

DATA VISUALIZATION PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE SECOND EDITION



ALEXANDRU C. TELEA

Data Visualization

This page intentionally left blank

Data Visualization

Principles and Practice

Second Edition

Alexandru Telea



First edition published in 2007 by A K Peters, Ltd.

Cover image: The cover shows the combination of scientific visualization and information visualization techniques for the exploration of the quality of a dimensionality reduction (DR) algorithm for multivariate data. A 19-dimensional dataset is projected to a 2D point cloud. False-positive projection errors are shown by the alphablended colored textures surrounding the points. The five most important point groups, indicating topics in the input dataset, are shown using image-based shaded cushions colored by group identity. The bundled graph shown atop groups highlights the all-pairs false-negative projection errors and is constructed by a mix of geometric and image-based techniques. For details, see Section 11.5.7, page 524, and [Martins et al. 14].

CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

© 2015 by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

No claim to original U.S. Government works Version Date: 20140514

International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-4665-8527-0 (eBook - PDF)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright material holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access www.copyright.com (http://www.copyright.com/) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

Trademark Notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at http://www.taylorandfrancis.com

and the CRC Press Web site at http://www.crcpress.com

To my family

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

Preface to Second Edition					
1	Introduction				
	1.1	How Visualization Works	3		
	1.2	Positioning in the Field	12		
	1.3	Book Structure	15		
	1.4	Notation	18		
	1.5	Online Material	18		
2	From	Graphics to Visualization	21		
	2.1	A Simple Example	22		
	2.2	Graphics-Rendering Basics	28		
	2.3	Rendering the Height Plot	30		
	2.4	Texture Mapping	36		
	2.5	Transparency and Blending	39		
	2.6	Viewing	43		
	2.7	Putting It All Together	47		
	2.8	Conclusion	50		
3	Data	Representation	53		
	3.1	Continuous Data	53		
	3.2	Sampled Data	57		
	3.3	Discrete Datasets	66		
	3.4	Cell Types	67		
	3.5	Grid Types	74		

	3.6	Attributes	81
	3.7	Computing Derivatives of Sampled Data	96
	3.8	Implementation	100
	3.9	Advanced Data Representation	111
	3.10	Conclusion	120
4	The	Visualization Pipeline	123
	4.1	Conceptual Perspective	123
	4.2	Implementation Perspective	136
	4.3	Algorithm Classification	144
	4.4	Conclusion	145
5	Scal	ar Visualization	147
	5.1	Color Mapping	147
	5.2	Designing Effective Colormaps	149
	5.3	Contouring	163
	5.4	Height Plots	176
	5.5	Conclusion	181
6	Vect	or Visualization	183
	6.1	Divergence and Vorticity	184
	6.2	Vector Glyphs	188
	6.3	Vector Color Coding	196
	6.4	Displacement Plots	200
	6.5	Stream Objects	203
	6.6	Texture-Based Vector Visualization	223
	6.7	Simplified Representation of Vector Fields	234
	6.8	Illustrative Vector Field Rendering	250
	6.9	Conclusion	252
7	Tens	or Visualization	253
	7.1	Principal Component Analysis	254
	7.2	Visualizing Components	259
	7.3	Visualizing Scalar PCA Information	259
	7.4	Visualizing Vector PCA Information	263
	7.5	Tensor Glyphs	266
	7.6	Fiber Tracking	269
	7.7	Illustrative Fiber Rendering	274

	7.8	Hyperstreamlines	280
	7.9	Conclusion	283
~	-		
8	Dom	ain-Modeling lechniques	285
	8.1	Cutting	285
	8.2	Selection	290
	8.3	Grid Construction from Scattered Points	292
	8.4	Grid-Processing Techniques	312
	8.5	Conclusion	324
9	Imag	e Visualization	327
	9.1	Image Data Representation	327
	9.2	Image Processing and Visualization	329
	9.3	Basic Imaging Algorithms	330
	9.4	Shape Representation and Analysis	345
	9.5	Conclusion	402
10	Volu	me Visualization	405
	10.1	Motivation	406
	10.2	Volume Visualization Basics	409
	10.3	Image Order Techniques	422
	10.4	Object Order Techniques	428
	10.5	Volume Rendering vs. Geometric Rendering	430
	10.6	Conclusion	432
11	Infor	mation Visualization	435
	11.1	What Is Infovis?	436
	11.2	Infovis vs. Scivis: A Technical Comparison	438
	11.3	Table Visualization	446
	11.4	Visualization of Relations	452
	11.5	Multivariate Data Visualization	505
	11.6	Text Visualization	532
	11.7	Conclusion	547
12	Cond	clusion	549
	Visu	alization Software	555
	A.1	Taxonomies of Visualization Systems	555
	A.2	Scientific Visualization Software	557
	A.3	Imaging Software	561

A.4 Grid Processing Software	•	566 560				
Bibliography						
Index		599				

Preface to Second Edition

THIS is the second edition of the book *Data Visualization: Principles and Practice* first published in 2008. Since its first edition, many advances and developments have been witnessed by the data-visualization field. Several techniques and methods have evolved from the research arena into the practitioner's toolset. Other techniques have been improved by new implementations or algorithms that capitalize on the increased computing power available in mainstream desktop or laptop computers. Different implementation and dissemination technologies, such as those based on the many facets of the Internet, have become increasingly important. Finally, existing application areas have gained increasing importance, such as those addressed by information visualization, and new application areas at the crossroads of several disciplines have emerged.

The second edition of this book provides a revised and refined view on data visualization principles and practice. The structure of the book in terms of chapters treating various visualization techniques was kept the same. So was the bottomup approach that starts with the representation of discrete data, and continues with the description of the visualization pipeline, followed by a presentation of visualization techniques for increasingly complex data types (scalar, vector, tensor, domain modeling, images, volumes, and non-spatial datasets). The second edition revises and extends the presented material by covering a significant number of additional visualization algorithms and techniques, as follows. Chapter 1 positions the book in the broad context of scientific visualization, information visualization, and visual analytics, and also with respect to other books in the current visualization literature. Chapter 2 completes the transitional overview from graphics to visualization by listing the complete elements of a simple but self-contained OpenGL visualization application. Chapter 3 covers the gridless interpolation of scattered datasets in more detail. Chapter 4 describes the desirable properties of a good visualization mapping in more detail, based on a concrete example. Chapter 5 discusses colormap design in additional detail, and also presents the enridged plots technique. Chapter 6 extends the set of vector visualization techniques discussed with a more detailed discussion of stream objects, including densely seeded streamlines, streaklines, stream surfaces, streak surfaces, vector field topology, and illustrative techniques. Chapter 7 introduces new examples of combined techniques for diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) visualization, and discusses also illustrative fiber track rendering and fiber bundling techniques. Chapter 8 introduces additional techniques for point-cloud reconstruction such as non-manifold classification, alpha shapes, ball pivoting, Poisson reconstruction, and sphere splatting. For mesh refinement, the Loop subdivision algorithm is discussed. Chapter 9 presents six additional advanced image segmentation algorithms (active contours, graph cuts, mean shift, superpixels, level sets, and dense skeletons). The shape analysis discussion is further refined by presenting several recent algorithms for surface and curve skeleton extraction. Chapter 10 presents several new examples of volume rendering. Chapter 11 has known arguably the largest expansion, as it covers several additional information visualization techniques (simplified edge bundles, general graph bundling, visualization of dynamic graphs, diagram visualization, and an expanded treatment of dimensionality reduction techniques). Finally, the appendix has been updated to include several important software systems and libraries. Separately, all chapters have been thoroughly revised to correct errors and improve the exposition, and several new references to relevant literature have been added.

Additionally, the second edition has been complemented by online material, including exercises, datasets, and source code. This material can serve both to practice the techniques described in the book, but also as a basis to construct a practical course in data visualization. Visit the book's website: http://www.cs.rug.nl/svcg/DataVisualizationBook.

Chapter 1

Introduction

THIS book is targeted at computer-science, mathematics, and engineeringsciences students in their last undergraduate years or early postgraduate phase. A second audience is practitioners in these fields who want to develop their own data-visualization applications but who have not had extensive exposure to computer graphics or visualization lectures. The book strives to strike an effective balance between providing enough technical and algorithmic understanding of the workings and often subtle trade-offs of the most widespread data-visualization algorithms while allowing the reader to quickly and easily assimilate the required information. We strive to present visualization algorithms in a simple-to-complex order that minimizes the time required to get the knowledge needed to proceed with implementation.

Data visualization is an extensive field at the crossroads of mathematics, computer science, cognitive and perception science, and engineering. Covering every discipline that shares principles with visualization, ranging from signal theory to imaging and from computer graphics to statistics, requires in itself at least one separate book. Our goal is to provide a compact introduction to the field that allows readers to learn about visualization techniques. Hence, several more specialized visualization algorithms and techniques have been omitted. On one hand, we have chosen to focus on those techniques and methods that have a broad applicability in visualization applications, occur in most practical problems in various guises, and do not demand a specialized background to be understood. On the other hand, we have also included a number of less mainstream researchgrade visualization techniques. With these methods, we aim to give the reader an idea of the large variety of applications of data visualizations, illustrate the range of problems that can be tackled by such methods, and also emphasize the strong connections between visualization and related disciplines such as imaging or computer graphics.

Whenever applicable, existing commonalities of structure, principles, or functionality between the presented visualization methods are emphasized. This should help the reader better understand and remember a number of underlying fundamental principles and design issues that span the visualization field. First, these principles allow one to design and use visualization applications for a problem domain or data type much easier than if one had to learn the required techniques anew. Second, this helps students understand the nature of such cross-domain principles as sampling, interpolation, and reconstruction, and design issues such as optimizing the trade-off between speed, memory consumption, and data representation accuracy. We believe this approach of understanding mathematics and software design by seeing their concrete application in a practical domain may benefit computer-science students, in particular, who have a less extensive mathematical background.

Throughout the book, we illustrate algorithmic and software design issues by providing (pseudo)code fragments written in the C++ programming language. Exercises covering the various topics discussed in the book, including datasets and source code, are also provided as additional online resources. (See Section 1.5.) These can be of help for experimenting with the various techniques and methods discussed in the main text, but also for organizing educational material for courses being taught based on this book. The reader is assumed to have an average understanding of the C++ language, i.e., be familiar with the language syntax and have basic knowledge of data structures and object-oriented programming. Whenever possible, the examples are described in terms of plain structured programming. Object-oriented notation is used only when it simplifies notation and helps understand the described algorithms. No particular software toolkit, library, or system is used to support this description. There is a single exception to this rule: in a few instances, we make use of a small set of concepts present in the OpenGL programming library, such as graphics operations and data types, to illustrate some visualization techniques. OpenGL is one of the best-known and most well-supported graphics libraries in use, has a quite easy learning curve, and provides a compact and concise way to express a wide range of graphical operations. Knowledge of OpenGL is not required to follow the material in this book. However, the provided code fragments should allow and encourage readers who are interested in implementing several of the presented techniques to get a quick start. For both a quick start in programming OpenGL applications as well as an in-depth reference to the library, we strongly recommend the classics, also known as the Red Book [Shreiner et al. 03] and the Blue Book [Shreiner 04].

We have decided to follow a toolkit-independent exposition of visualization principles and techniques for several reasons. First, we believe that understanding the main principles and working of data-visualization algorithms should not be intermixed with the arduous process of learning the inevitably specific interfaces and assumptions of a software toolkit. Second, we do not assume that all readers have the extensive programming knowledge typically required to master the efficient usage of some visualization software toolkits in use nowadays. Finally, different users have different requirements and work in different contexts, so the choice of a specific toolkit would inevitably limit the scope of the presentation.

Last but not least, designing a complete visualization system involves many subtle decisions. When designing a complex, real-world visualization system, such decisions involve many types of constraints, such as performance, platform (in)dependence, available programming languages and styles, user-interface toolkits, input/output data format constraints, integration with third-party code, and more. Although important for the success of a system design, such aspects are not in the realm of data visualization but of software architecture, design, and programming. All in all, we believe that presenting the field of data visualization in a manner as independently as possible from a toolkit choice makes this book accessible to a broader, less specialized audience.

1.1 How Visualization Works

The purpose of visualization is to get *insight*, by means of interactive graphics, into various aspects related to some process we are interested in, such as a scientific simulation or some real-world process. There are many definitions of visualization. Following Williams et al., visualization is "a cognitive process performed by humans in forming a mental image of a domain space. In computer and information science it is, more specifically, the visual representation of a domain space using graphics, images, animated sequences, and sound augmentation to present the data, structure, and dynamic behavior of large, complex data sets that represent systems, events, processes, objects, and concepts" [Williams et al. 95].

In most applications, the path from the given process to the final images is quite complicated and involves a series of elaborate data-processing operations. Ultimately, however, the visualization process produces one or several images



Figure 1.1. Types of questions targeted by the visualization process.

that should be able to convey insight into the considered process. In the words of pioneers in the field, visualization is "the use of computers or techniques for comprehending data or to extract knowledge from the results of simulations, computations, or measurements" [McCormick et al. 87].

Visualization and insight. The term "insight" is used very frequently in visualization-related disciplines and texts. However, what exactly does insight mean in this context? Visualization can help obtain several types of insight by answering several types of questions (see Figure 1.1). In the current context, we use the word "insight" to describe two types of information we get from a visualization application:

- 1. answers to concrete questions about a given problem;
- 2. *facts* about a given problem that we were not aware of.

Concrete questions: In the first case, we have some concrete questions about a given phenomenon, process, or dataset.¹ The purpose of visualization in this context is to answer these questions as well, and as quickly, as possible. Such questions can be *quantitative*, e.g., "given a two-dimensional (2D) land map, how high are the highest points?" In this case we are interested in a measurable answer on a given scale of reference, e.g., "the highest peak is 2500 meters above sea level." A sample list of quantitative questions targeted by visualization applications includes:

¹By *dataset*, we mean a collection of data values that describe some given phenomenon or structure. A more formal definition of a dataset is given in Chapter 3.

- Which are the minimum, maximum, or outliers of the values in a dataset, and for which data points do they occur?
- What is the distribution of the values in a dataset?
- Do values of different datasets exhibit correlations, and how much?
- How well do values in a dataset match a given model or pattern?

Quantitative vs. qualitative questions. At this point, readers may ask themselves why we need visualization to answer concrete questions such as what is the maximum value of a dataset, when a simple textual display would suffice. There are, indeed, many cases when this is true and when simple tools such as a text-based query-and-answer system work the best. However, there are also many cases when visualization works better for answering concrete, quantitative questions. In these cases, the answer to the question is not a single number but typically a set of numbers. For example, while the question "what is the value of a function f(x) at a given point x" can be answered by printing the value, the question "what are the values of f(x) for all x in a given interval" is best answered by plotting the graph of f(x) over that interval. Distributions, correlations, and trends of a value set are also best understood when depicted visually. Clearly, in some situations, task performance is better using visual representations, whereas in others, text-based representations are more efficient. An early study by Larkin and Simon [Larkin and Simon 87] on the effectiveness of visual representations on human task performance outlined two ways in which visual representations can outperform text-based ones:

- by substituting (rapid) perceptual inferences for difficult logical inferences;
- by reducing the search for information required for task completion.

Although not exhaustive, their research gives some theoretical underpinning to the intuitive appeal of using visualization to comprehend information.²

In addition to quantitative questions, a large number of questions targeted by visualization are of a *qualitative* nature, e.g., "given a medical scan of a patient, are there any anomalies that may indicate clinical problems?" A typical answer to this question would involve the discovery of patterns that have particular characteristics in terms of shape, position, or data values, which a human expert

 $^{^{2}}$ The title of their work, "Why a diagram is (sometimes) worth 10000 words," is emblematic for the well-known saying "A picture is worth a thousand words."

such as a medical doctor would classify as anomalous, based on his previous clinical experience. In such cases, it is quite hard, if not impossible, to answer the questions using fully automatic means, given the vague definition of the question and the high variability of the input data. The decisional input of the human expert, supported by interactive visualizations, is indispensable.

Exact vs. fuzzy questions. In scientific and engineering practice, questions typically range between extremely precise ones and very vague ones. Visualization is useful for both types of questions. Although it can be argued that precise questions can be answered with simple search-like queries that do not need any visual support, it can also be argued that displaying the answer of such queries in a visual manner makes them simpler to assimilate. Moreover, the visual support can provide additional information that may not be explicitly requested by the question but that can open broader perspectives on the studied problem. For example, displaying the highest peak on a land map both by indicating the peak's height as a number and also its position on a 3D elevation visualization shows not only the absolute height value but also how that value relates to the average height on the map (how high it is compared to the overall landscape, how many land points are at or close to the maximal height, and so on). This information, although not directly requested, can help formulate subsequent questions, which ultimately help the user to acquire a deeper understanding of the data at hand. This is one of the meanings of the term "insight."

Discover the unknown: In the second case, we may have no precise questions about our process at hand. However, we are interested in (literally) looking at, or examining, the information that this process provides. Why, one might ask, should we look at some data if we have no concrete questions to ask about it? There are several reasons for this. First, we may have had similar data in the past that was interesting, helpful, or critical for a given application, and we may want to find out if the current data is of the same kind. Second, we may have the feeling that, by examining a certain piece of data, we can acquire information on the process that produced it that we simply cannot obtain by other means. This role of visualization closely matches the perspective of a researcher who is interested in studying a phenomenon in order to find out novel facts and establish unexpected correlations. This is a second meaning of the term "insight."

The two types of questions are not separated in practice but rather serve two types of complementary scenarios. In the first scenario type, one has a number of precise questions to answer and is quite familiar with the type of data and application. This scenario is best targeted by fine-tuned visualizations answering those precise questions. However, after answering such questions, one may discover that some fundamental problem is still not solved, because the questions were too precise. In this situation, switching to the more exploratory, open-ended visualization scenario is a good continuation. This scenario follows a typical bottom-up pattern, going from precise to more general queries. In the second scenario type, the user receives a dataset that he is largely unfamiliar with. In this case, the best approach is to start with some exploratory visualization that presents a general overview of the data. After the general impression is formed, the user can decide, based on particularities discovered in the general view, which more specific, detailed visualization to use next, and thus which more precise question to answer. This scenario follows a top-down pattern, going from an overview to a detailed investigation. In the visualization field, this type of investigation is sometimes referred to as the *visualization mantra* of "overview, zoom, and details-on-demand," as coined by Shneiderman [Card et al. 99, Bederson and Shneiderman 03].

Finally, an important drive for visualizing data is sheer curiosity. Visualization methods often produce unexpected and beautiful imagery from many exotic types of data. Such images are intriguing and interesting to the eye. When examined in more detail, they can lead researchers to discover unexpected and valuable things about the processes and datasets at hand.

Examples. Figure 1.2 shows four examples of visualizations, each targeting different types of questions, and illustrating different visualization techniques. Figure 1.2(a) shows a visualization of average temperature and rainfall data over Europe in July over the period 1960–1990. Temperature is shown by color (red for large values, green for low values). Rainfall levels are indicated by the shaded bumps, with the highest-looking bumps indicating maxima. The central bump indicated by the arrow answers the question "where is the highest-rainfall region?" As this bump is green, we see that this region also has a mild temperature. Red, shallow bumps in the low part of the map show hot and dry regions. This visualization is discussed next in Chapter 5, Section 5.4.1.

Figure 1.2(b) shows a visualization of fluid flow in a box. Fluid enters through an inlet in the back, then flows and swirls inside the box, and exits through an outlet in the front. The drawn tubes indicate paths of different fluid particles as they advance from the inlet towards the outlet. Tubes are colored by the flow speed (blue for low speeds, red for high speeds). To answer the question "where are the fastest flow regions?," we can look for the red-colored tubes. This image also allows us to discover a potentially unknown phenomenon: Close to the outlet, the flow splits into two distinct rotating layers, indicated by the two



Figure 1.2. Visualization examples targeting different types of questions.

thick spiral-like tube bundles. Such an insight cannot be easily obtained without actually depicting the flow. This visualization is discussed next in Chapter 6, Section 6.5.3.

Figure 1.2(c) visualizes the population migration patterns in the United States. Red dots indicate city locations on an imaginary map. Cities between which there is some significant population migration are connected by black lines. Next, lines are "bundled" together so that the coarse-scale population flows between regions in the country become better visible as thick black bundles. Such bundles thus address the question "where and which are the main migration flows?" This insight is hard to convey without a visual representation. This visualization is discussed in Chapter 11, Section 11.4.2.

Figure 1.2(d) visualizes the changes in the open-source software repository of the popular Mozilla Firefox browser between 2002 and 2006. Each horizontal pixel line represents a file in this repository. Files are stacked vertically ordered by their creation date, with older files at the bottom, and newer files at the top. Each file pixel-line is cut into several segments, each segment representing a different version, or revision, of that file. Versions are colored by the identity of the software developer who added them to the repository. Looking at the emerging envelope (shown by the dashed curve), we see that the software grows in time at a superlinear rate. Assuming the trend holds, we can thus predict how large the software will be several months in the future. Separately, we see a dominant green color on most of the files starting from 2004. This shows that a major part of the contributions have come from a single developer (indicated by the green color), thus answers the question "which are the most active developer(s)?" More generally, this visualization lets us correlate developer activity with time periods and specific files, all in a single image. This visualization is discussed in Chapter 11, Section 11.6.3.

Subfields of data visualization. Traditionally, data visualization has been structured along two main fields: scientific visualization and information visualization. A third, newer field, called visual analytics has emerged in the past several years, as a bridge between and also an extension of the former two fields. Below we give a brief overview of these fields.

Scientific visualization: Visualization has emerged as a separate discipline in the 1980s of the last century, as a response to the increasingly large amount of data generated by computer numerical simulations of various physical processes, such as fluid flow, heat convection, or material deformations. As such, the attribute "scientific" associated to its name reflected, at that time, the focus on visualization solutions on providing insight into scientific simulations. Loosely put, scientific visualization, or *scivis* for short, can be described as being "primarily concerned with the visualization of three-dimensional phenomena (architectural, meteorological, medical, biological, etc.), where the emphasis is on realistic renderings of volumes, surfaces, illumination sources, and so forth, perhaps with a dynamic (time) component" [Friendly 08]. A salient aspect of scientific visualization is the depiction of datasets that have a natural spatial embedding, i.e., datasets whose elements or data points have positions with particular significance in space. Figures 1.2(a,b) show two examples of scientific visualization. In Figure 1.2(a), points represent geographical locations on a 2D map. In Figure 1.2(b), points represent locations in a 3D flow volume. Given this aspect, scientific visualization has been, more recently, also known under the name of spatial data visualization.

Information visualization: Besides spatial data, covered by scientific visualization, many other data types exist whose data elements do not have *prescribed* spatial positions. Simple examples are relational datasets, such as trees, graphs, and networks. Although such datasets require (like any other dataset) some spatial representation in order to be drawn on a piece of paper or computer screen, the spatial information is *assigned* to the data elements during the visualization construction, rather than *given* by the data elements themselves. These data types, including also tables, time series, documents, and software source code, form the object of a separate branch called information visualization, or *infovis* for short. The increase in number, size, and types of digital artifacts caused by the information society in the last decade, sometimes known under the term "big data," has been a particular catalyst of the growth of interest in information visualization. Figure 1.2(c) illustrates a type of information visualization technique. The graph being shown can come from a geographical map, like in our example, but can be also coming from any relational database.

Visual analytics: Recognizing the need to combine visualization solutions with data analysis and data mining front-ends, a new discipline has emerged from the information visualization, scientific visualization, and data-mining communities: visual analytics. Briefly put, the central goal of visual analytics is to provide techniques and tools that support end users in their analytical reasoning by means of interactive visual interfaces Wong and Thomas 04, Thomas and Cook 05, Meyer et al. 12]. Although, at the current moment, no clearly defined boundary exists between visual analytics and the more traditional infovis and scivis fields it has emerged from, several aspects differentiate visual analytics from its predecessors. First, visual analytics focuses on the entire so-called sensemaking process that starts with data acquisition, continues through a number of repeated and refined visualization scenarios (where interaction is heavily involved to allow users to explore different viewpoints or test and refine different hypotheses), and ends by presenting the insight acquired by the users on the underlying phenomena of interest. As such, visual analytics is typically characterized by a tight combination of data analysis, data mining, and visualization technologies and tools. Separately, visual analytics typically focuses on processes or datasets which are either too large, or too complex, to be fully understood by a single (static) image. As such, data mining, multiple views, and interactive and iterative visual inspection of the data are inseparable components of visual analytics. Figure 1.2(d) shows a snapshot from a visual analytics process. Here, the repository 2D view used can be seen as a classical infovis example. However, to understand, or make sense, of the development process taking place during the history of the studied software repository, several sorting and coloring options, interactively chosen by the user, need to be applied.



Figure 1.3. Conceptual view of the visualization process.

Interactive exploration. A further fundamental feature of visualizations is their *interactive* aspect. The visualization process is rarely a static one. In most applications, there is a need to visualize a large amount of data that would not directly fit on a single screen, a high-dimensional dataset containing a large number of independent data values per data point, or both. In such cases, displaying a static image that contains all the data is not possible. Moreover, even when this is possible, there usually are many ways of constructing the data-to-image mapping, which the user might like to try out in order to better understand the data at hand. All these aspects benefit from the use of interactive visualizations. Such applications offer the possibility of modifying several parameters, ranging from the view angle, zoom factor, and color usage to the type of visualization method used, and observing the changes in the produced image. If the interactvisualize-observe feedback loop (see Figure 1.3) is performed quickly enough, the user effectively gets the sensation of "navigating" through the data, a feature which strongly encourages and supports the exploration process. In particular, interactive visualizations are a crucial component of the sensemaking loop in visual analytics.

What type of insight can we expect from a visualization application, and what should we not expect? How can we measure how much insight a given image provides us into a certain process? And how can we construct visualization applications that provide us with the most insight into a problem or dataset of a given nature? To answer such questions, we must first understand how to construct a visualization application, how to represent the various types of data involved in the visualization process, how to set the various parameters of this process, and how to interpret the results. These are the topics of the following chapters.

1.2 Positioning in the Field

Since data visualization knows a long history, and can be taught or approached from many angles, it is important to position the material covered in this book with respect to a number of other prominent visualization books in the current literature. Without being exhaustive, we outline below how this book positions itself with respect to a number of highly-visible titles in the current visualization literature.

Interactive Data Visualization: Foundations, Techniques, and Applications. In this recent book, Ward et al. present a broad overview of interactive datavisualization techniques, with a strong focus on information visualization, interaction, and design guidelines [Ward et al. 10]. The aims and scope of our book is also somewhat different. [Ward et al. 10] focuses, for its largest part, on teaching how to design a (new) visualization application. As such, extensive space is dedicated to explaining the design process and design principles that are behind the construction of visualization applications. Equal attention is dedicated to the role and effective usage of interaction in the design of such applications. Finally, the process of evaluation of visualization applications is described in detail. Summarizing, [Ward et al. 10] adopts a top-down approach, starting from the aims of visualization and working out towards the design, implementation, and evaluation details of several techniques and algorithms. In contrast, the current book positions itself earlier in the teaching and practice workflow, and also adopts a more bottom-up approach. We focus more on spatial data visualization, also known as scientific visualization (scivis), and less on information visualization (infovis). Second, while [Ward et al. 10] focuses on tasks and users, and works it way from there towards introducing algorithms and techniques, we start by introducing fundamental data structures and algorithms, and work our way up towards applications. Interaction and evaluation are only briefly mentioned in our book, as we believe that these are more advanced mechanisms, to be studied only after the reader has achieved a good understanding of the basic algorithms and data structures involved in crafting a visualization application. Overall, we believe that our book is best positioned as support for a broad introductory course on data visualization, while [Ward et al. 10] can be used as support for a more advanced follow-up course on information visualization.

The Visualization Toolkit. Companion to the immensely successful Visualization Toolkit (VTK) software library, the fourth edition (2006) of this book represents the standard guide for developers who wish to integrate VTK into their data-

visualization applications [Schroeder et al. 06]. The VTK book serves a dual purpose. On the one hand, it introduces the basic principles of data visualization in terms of algorithms, visualization process pipeline, and dataset representations. On the other hand, it shows how all these elements map to software implementations in the VTK library. The VTK book follows a structure largely similar to our book—a computer graphics primer, data representation, fundamental algorithms for various data types, and image processing. Advanced visualization algorithms, web visualization, and visualization examples are treated in separate chapters.

The aims and scope of our current book are different than [Schroeder et al. 06]. First and foremost, our intended audience aims to cover all students and practitioners interested in using existing, and designing new, visualization applications, whether using the VTK software as a basis or not. There are advantages and disadvantages to this approach. On the one hand, learning data visualization by using VTK guarantees a uniform way of designing one's source code, and also the availability of a wealth of already-implemented algorithms and data structures ready to be used. On the other hand, the learning curve of VTK is, at times, far from being gentle—students are supposed to be familiar with object-orientation and, more importantly, invest a non-trivial amount of time into learning the VTK programming interfaces and idioms before being able to design their own visualization algorithms. In contrast, we emphasize less on code-level implementation details of visualization algorithms and data structures, but focus more on the design rationale behind these algorithms, in an implementation-neutral fashion. Overall, we believe that [Schroeder et al. 06] book can be best positioned as a technical add-on to our book for professionals interested in developing visualization software based on the VTK toolkit, and partially for students who need to complete visualization assignments using the same toolkit. Our book and [Schroeder et al. 06] can be seen as complementary—the former serving as an introduction to the theory of visualization, and the latter serving as a practical help for bridging theory and algorithmic concepts with a concrete software implementation.

The Visualization Handbook. In this book, Hansen and Johnson take the challenge of presenting several state-of-the-art visualization techniques, with a main focus on spatial data visualization (scivis) [Hansen and Johnson 05]. The book follows a structure largely similar to our book, with chapters covering the visualization of scalar, vector, and tensor data, and geometric or domain modeling. Additional chapters cover large-scale data visualization, virtual environments, and software frameworks for visualization. Finally, the book covers a set of selected topics on perception issues and applications of visualization in several domains.

The book edited by Hansen and Johnson was, at its publication moment, very well received as a necessary complement to an earlier edition of the VTK handbook [Schroeder et al. 06]. The current book strives to complement the more implementation-focused VTK handbook, though from a different perspective. The book of Hansen and Johnson is aimed at a more advanced public in terms of visualization and computer graphics background-typically, PhD students and professionals with basic knowledge of data visualization and an extensive mathematical background. The focus is also more on research-grade visualization methods and techniques presented in terms of novel algorithms and/or refinements of classical algorithms in separate chapters, written by experts in each visualization subfield. As such, [Hansen and Johnson 05] is a good example of a collection of "selected topics" in visualization. In contrast, our book aims broadly at entry-level students and practitioners who are not familiar with data visualization, may have a more limited mathematical background, and want to first get familiar with the classical algorithms before studying more involved topics.

Information Visualization Literature. Apart from the above, several books exist on more focused topics within the visualization field. For information visualization, the books of Ware [Ware 04] and Spence [Spence 07], both at their second edition, give a detailed coverage of the theory, methods, techniques, and application examples in the field of information visualization. In the same field, the book of Card et al. provides an early, but very readable and instructive, overview of information visualization techniques and applications [Card et al. 99]. In contrast, our book dedicates a single chapter (Chapter 11) to information visualization. Also, we dedicate little space to the usage of interaction techniques and perceptual issues. The main reason behind this difference is that the current book aims to teach its readers to use *existing* visualization techniques, with a broad focus on data visualization in general. In contrast, the books listed above focus mainly on teaching their audience how to design *new* visualizations, with a more specific focus on information visualization, and a "design by example" approach based on case studies.

In the category of information visualization literature, the book of Tufte on visual display of quantitative information represents a reference point [Tufte 01]. This book, aimed at designers of (new) visualizations, presents both a history of graphical design from a visualization perspective, and also a set of good design principles and potential pitfalls that should be considered when designing effec-

tive visualizations. Although the focus stays mainly on the design of statistical graphics, which can be seen as a part of information visualization, the discussed design guidelines and examples are also relevant for scientific visualization at large. In contrast to Tufte, where the picture design is central, we take in our book a more data-and-algorithm centric approach, and focus more on data representation and visualization algorithm details than on the design of the final picture.

1.3 Book Structure

The organization of this book follows a bottom-up structure. We assume that the reader has a minimal familiarity with computer graphics principles and techniques and is interested in starting from this basis and working to understand and eventually develop visualization methods. We introduce visualization techniques and principles gradually. We start with the simplest ones, which require just a minimal computer graphics and programming background. As the book unfolds, more complex mathematical and algorithmic notions are introduced to help readers understand the workings and trade-offs of advanced visualization techniques. Each chapter covers one separate visualization topic by presenting a number of visualization methods and algorithms for that topic. Just as the chapters themselves, the algorithms in a chapter are introduced in an increasing level of difficulty and specificity. We conclude several chapters by presenting a selection of the most recent advances in the field of visualization, in the form of one specialized, research-level algorithm or method.

Chapter 2. We begin, in Chapter 2, with a simple example that introduces data visualization to the reader: drawing the graph of a function of two variables using a height plot. We use this example to introduce several essential ingredients of data visualization, such as sampling and the dataset concept, and also relate visualization to computer graphics. These concepts are illustrated by easy-to-follow C++ code fragments based on OpenGL. This chapter also serves as a minimal (and thus limited) introduction to OpenGL.

Chapter 3. In Chapter 3, we continue our presentation of the dataset concept by describing the most frequently used types of datasets in visualization. For each dataset type, we describe its particular advantages, as well as its specific requirements. We use the running example of the function height plot visualization introduced in Chapter 2 to illustrate the various differences between the presented dataset types. For each dataset type, we detail the implementation of several fundamental operations: sampling, interpolation, reconstruction, and computing derivatives. After completing this chapter, the reader should have a good understanding of the various trade-offs that exist between different dataset types, be able to choose the right type of dataset for a given visualization problem at hand, and understand several aspects related to efficiently implementing datasets in software.

Chapter 4. Chapter 4 presents the visualization pipeline, the popular data-driven architecture used to construct most visualization applications nowadays. This chapter has two goals. At a conceptual level, the reader is introduced to the various data-processing stages that form the visualization process: data acquisition, data filtering or enrichment, data mapping, and rendering. At an implementation level, the architecture of a visualization application, seen as a set of data-processing algorithms interconnected by the datasets they read and write, is explained. After completing this chapter, the reader should understand the various steps involved in the construction of a particular visualization application, ranging from the conceptual data-processing stages up to an actual highlevel software architecture specified in terms of interconnected algorithms and datasets. Readers interested in implementing visualization applications can use the practical information provided here as a guideline on how to structure the high-level architecture of their applications in terms of computational modules with decoupled functionality.

In the next seven chapters of the book (Chapter 5 up to Chapter 11), we present the main visualization methods and algorithms that are used in practice in visualization applications.

Chapters 5–7. The following three chapters (Chapters 5, 6, and 7) discuss visualization methods for the most common data types in the visualization practice, ordered in increasing level of difficulty, i.e., scalars, vectors, and tensors, respectively.

Chapter 8. Chapter 8 presents domain-modeling techniques, which encompass those visualization techniques that manipulate both the data attributes and the underlying domain sampling, or grid, these live on. In particular, we discuss algorithms for domain resampling, grid-less interpolation, and construction of sampled representations from unstructured point sets.

Chapter 9. Chapter 9 is an overview of image visualization methods and discusses the particularities of image data, i.e., scalars sampled on uniform twodimensional grids. Here, specific techniques for image data are discussed, such as edge detection, histogram normalization, segmentation, and shape representation and analysis.

Chapter 10. Chapter 10 discusses volume visualization techniques, which target three-dimensional scalar fields sampled on uniform grids. Although the image and volume techniques presented in Chapters 9 and 10 can be seen as particular forms of scalar visualization, we discuss them separately, as image and volume visualization are, by themselves, vast research and application fields, which have developed many particular techniques suited to their specific data and goals.

Chapter 11. Chapter 11 switches the focus from the visualization of scientific datasets, which typically come from the sampling of continuous functions over compact spatial domains, to the visualization of information, or *infovis*. The infovis field targets the visual understanding of more general datasets such as text, database tables, trees, and graphs. As such, datasets need not be the result of a sampling process of some continuous signal defined over some spatial domain, many specific visualization methods have been developed to cope with the increased level of abstraction of the data. Given the sheer size of this field, as well as the focus of this book on the visualization of scientific datasets, Chapter 11 provides only a brief incursion in the field of infovis. Several differences between scientific and information visualization are highlighted in order to give the reader some insight on the particular challenges that the infovis field is confronted with. Algorithms for the visualization of tables, trees, static and dynamic graphs, multivariate data, and program source code text are discussed.

Chapter 12. Chapter 12 concludes the book, outlining current development directions in the field of visualization.

Appendix. The appendix provides an overview of existing popular visualization software systems. First, we present a classification of the different types of visualization systems from a software architecture perspective (Section A.1). The purpose of this classification is to emphasize some important choices that influence the construction of visualization systems. Next, a selection of representative visualization software systems is presented in the areas of scientific visualization, imaging, and information visualization (Sections A.2–A.5). Although not exhaustive, this overview should give the interested reader a starting point to search further for existing software that best matches a given set of requirements for a given application domain.

After completing this book, the reader should have a good impression of the palette of existing techniques for visualizing the various types of datasets present in the scientific visualization practice, the various trade-offs involved with each technique, and some of the main issues involved in the efficient implementation of the presented techniques into actual software. More advanced readers should, at this point, be able to implement functional, albeit simple, versions of several of the visualization algorithms discussed in this book. However, this book is not about implementing visualization algorithms. Readers interested in this topic should consult the specialized literature describing the design and use of visualization software toolkits [Schroeder et al. 06, Kitware, Inc. 04]. The aim of this book is to present an overview of data-visualization methods and teach the reader about the various trade-offs involved in the design of such methods, ranging from modeling issues to visual presentation and software design. But above all, we feel that this book has reached its goal if it inspires the reader to further study and explore the exciting world of visualization.

1.4 Notation

Throughout the book, we shall use the following notation conventions. Scalars and position vectors are denoted using italics, e.g., x, y. Direction vectors are denoted using bold, e.g., \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{q} . Continuous domains, such as surfaces in 3D, are denoted by calligraphic letters, e.g., S. Discrete domains, such as datasets containing data sampled on grids, are denoted by uppercase letters, e.g., S. Finally, pseudocode and C++ code fragments are written using computer font, e.g., printf.

1.5 Online Material

This book has an accompanying website:

http://www.cs.rug.nl/svcg/DataVisualizationBook

The website contains exercises, advanced examples, project suggestions, and practice exam questions (with answers) to expand or test your understanding of the topics covered in the book. Datasets and source code are provided.

Exercises include "exploration" visualizations that illustrate basic visualization techniques discussed in the book. Using the provided ParaView scripts and additional datasets, one can change parameters to explore various aspects of the data and also the visualization algorithms being illustrated. These exercises are easy to use and require no programming. They can be used for individual exploration or for additional course material (e.g., tutorials or lab sessions).

More complicated visualization techniques are addressed in advanced examples that consist of code fragments (in C or C++). These examples require compilation and possibly some code changes. They can serve as the basis for laboratory sessions in which students modify and/or extend the presented visualization algorithms.

Potential exam questions (without answers) are also available. Upon request, solutions will be made available to qualified instructors.

Finally, project proposals describe several larger-scale projects that cover a combination of visualization algorithms. Each project can form the basis of a practical assignment used in conjunction with a course, to test the students' abilities to design, implement, and demonstrate a larger piece of work. In contrast to the advanced examples, projects do not offer code fragments, and leave more freedom in terms of design and implementation choices.

Acknowledgments

The second edition of this book would have not been possible without the support and cooperation of a large number of people. Without being able to acknowledge all of them, or acknowledge the efforts of all contributors equally, a few names deserve special praise.

First of all, I want to express my thanks to Prof. Nelson Max from the Computer Science Department of the College of Engineering, UC Davis, USA. Prof. Max has dedicated a tremendous amount of time (and attention) in submitting several rounds of detailed corrections and suggestions for improvement to the first edition of this book. Without his effort, the second edition of this book would not have been able to reach its present form.

Second, I would like to thank all colleagues who contributed datasets, images, and source code to this book. Their names are too numerous to mention here, so credits to their contributions are listed throughout the book itself. Their willingness to share research results was indispensable to allow the second edition of this book to cover the extensive set of data-visualization topics considered and, in particular, illustrate these by state-of-the-art visualization examples.

Third, my thanks go to my editor, Sarah Chow, from CRC Press. Her kind and constant support, careful guidance, and encouragements have been crucial to the successful completion of the second edition of this book. Thanks go also to the University of Groningen, my current employer, for the freedom provided in managing my time during the entire period of writing the second edition. Next to this, I acknowledge the financial support offered by several organizations (CAPES/BRANETEC grant 028/2011 and CNPq grants 479070/2013-0 and 202535/2011-8, Brazil; and ANCS grant PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-0249, Romania). This support has been indispensable in performing various parts of the research work described in this book.

Finally, but not lastly, I thank my wife and son for their constant support and structural patience that they demonstrated during the long writing hours invested by me the past one and a half years. Without their back-up, the second edition of this book would have not been possible.

Chapter 2

From Graphics to Visualization

FOR many readers of this book, data visualization is probably a new subject. In contrast, computer-graphics techniques, or at least the term "computer graphics," should be familiar to most readers. In both visualization and graphics applications, we take as input some data and, ultimately, produce a picture that reflects several aspects of the input data. Given these (and other) similarities, a natural question arises: What are the differences between visualization and computer graphics? We shall answer this question in detail in Chapter 4. For the time being, it is probably easier to focus on the similarities between visualization and computer graphics. This will help us answer the following question: What is the role of computer graphics techniques in visualization?

This chapter introduces data visualization in an informal manner and from the perspective of computer graphics. We start with a simple problem that every reader should be familiar with: plotting the graph of a function of two variables f(x, y) = z. We illustrate the classical solution of this problem, the *height plot*, in full detail. For this, we shall use code fragments written in the C++ programming language. For the graphics functionality, we use the popular OpenGL graphics library.

This simple example serves many functions. First, it illustrates the complete visualization process pipeline, from defining the data to producing a rendered image of the data. Our simple example introduces several important concepts of the visualization process, such as datasets, sampling, mapping, and rendering. These concepts will be used to build the structure of the following chapters. Second, our example introduces the basics of graphics rendering, such as polygonal representations, shading, color, texture mapping, and user interaction. Third, the presented example will make the reader aware of various problems and design trade-offs that are commonly encountered when constructing real-life visualization applications. Finally, our example application illustrates the tight connection between data visualization and computer graphics.

After reading this chapter, the reader should be able to construct a simple visualization example using just a C++ compiler and the OpenGL graphics library. This example can serve as a starting point from which the reader can implement many of the more advanced visualization techniques that are discussed in later chapters.

2.1 A Simple Example

We start our incursion in the field of data visualization by looking at one of the simplest and most familiar visualization problems: drawing the graph of a real-valued function of two variables. This problem is frequently encountered in all fields of engineering, physics, mathematics, and business. We assume we have a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$, defined on a Cartesian product $D = X \times Y$ of two compact intervals $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$, f(x, y) = z. The graph of the function is the three-dimensional (3D) surface $S \in \mathbb{R}^3$, defined by the points of coordinates (x, y, z). In plain words, visualizing the function f amounts to drawing this surface for all values of $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Intuitively, drawing the surface S can be seen as warping, or elevating, every point (x, y) inside the two-dimensional (2D) rectangular area $X \times Y$ to a height z = f(x, y) above the xy plane. Hence, this kind of graph is also known as a warped plot, height plot, or elevation plot. Most engineering and scientific software packages provide built-in functions to draw such plots.

However simple, this visualization yields several questions: How should we represent the function f and the domains X and Y of its variables? How should we represent the surface S to visualize? How many points are there to be drawn? What kind of graphics objects should we use to do the drawing? Essentially, all these questions revolve around the issue of representing *continuous* data, such as the function f, surface S, and variable domains X and Y, on a computer. Strictly speaking, to draw the graph, we should perform the warping of (x, y, 0)to (x, y, f(x, y)) for all points (x, y) in D. However, a computer algorithm can perform only a finite number of operations. Moreover, there are only a finite



Figure 2.1. Elevation plot for the function $f(x, y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$ drawn using 30×30 sample points.

number of pixels on a computer screen to draw. Hence, the natural way to generate an elevation plot is to draw the surface points $(x_i, y_i, f(x_i, y_i))$ that correspond to a given finite set of sample points $\{x_i, y_i\}$ in the variable domain D. Figure 2.1 shows the pseudocode of what is probably the most-used method to draw an elevation plot. We sample the function definition domain $X \times Y$ with a set of N_x points placed at equal distances dx in X, as well as N_y points placed at equal distances dx in X, as well as N_y points placed at equal distances dx in X, as more plot for the Gaussian function $f(x, y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$, defined on $X \times Y = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$, using $N_x = 30$, $N_y = 30$ sample points. The sample domain is drawn framed in red under the height plot.

Using equally spaced points, regularly arranged in a rectangular grid, as the pseudocode in Listing 2.1 does, is an easy solution to quickly create a height plot. This samples the function domain D uniformly, i.e., uses the same sample point density everywhere. In addition to its simplicity, the uniform sampling permits us to approximate the graph of the function, the surface S, with a set of four-vertex polygons, or quadrilaterals, constructed by consecutive sample points in the x and y directions, as shown in Listing 2.1. This serves us well, as every modern graphics library provides fast mechanisms to render such polygons, also called graphics *primitives*. Our pseudocode uses a simple C++ class Quad to encapsulate the rendering functionality. To draw a Quad, we first specify
```
//X = [X_min, X_max]
float X_min, X_max;
float Y_min, Y_max;
                                       //Y = [Y_min, Y_max]
int
      N_x, N_y;
float dx = (X_max - X_min)/(N_x - 1);
                                       //x size of a cell
float dy = (Y_max-Y_min)/(N_y-1);
                                       //y size of a cell
float f(float, float);
                                       //the function to visualize
for (float x=X_min; x<=X_max-dx; x+=dx)
  for (float y=Y_{\min}; y \le Y_{\max}, y = dy)
  {
     Quad q;
     q. addPoint (x, y, f(x, y));
     q.addPoint(x+dx, y, f(x+dx, y));
     q.addPoint(x+dx, y+dy, f(x+dx, y+dy));
     q. addPoint (x, y+dy, f(x, y+dy));
     q.draw();
  }
```

Listing 2.1. Drawing a height plot.

its four 3D vertices using its addPoint method, and then we draw() it. An implementation of the Quad class using the OpenGL graphics library is presented in Section 2.3.

In our visualization, we assumed we could evaluate the function f to visualize at every desired point in its domain D. However, this assumption might be too restrictive in practice. For example, the values of f may originate from experimental data, measurements, simulations, or other data sources that cannot be or are too expensive to be evaluated during the visualization process. In such cases, the natural solution is to explicitly store the values of f in a data structure. This data structure is then passed to the visualization method. For our previous example, this data structure needs to store only the values of f at our regularly arranged sample points. For this, we can use a simple data structure: a matrix of real numbers. The modified visualization method that uses this data structure as input, instead of the function f, is shown in Listing 2.2.

The data generation, i.e., the construction of the matrix *data*, and visualization, i.e., the algorithm in Listing 2.2, are now clearly decoupled. This allows us to use our height-plot visualization with any algorithm that produces a matrix of sample values, as described previously. The matrix *data*, together with the extra information needed for drawing, i.e., the values of X_{\min} , X_{\max} , Y_{\min} , Y_{\max} , N_x , and N_y forms a fundamental concept in data visualization, called a *dataset*. A dataset represents either a sampling of some originally continuous quantity, like in the case of our function f(x, y), or some purely discrete quantity. An example of the latter is a page of text, which can be represented by a vector,

```
float X_min, X_max;
float Y_min, Y_max;
int
      N_x, N_y;
float dx = (X_max - X_min)/(N_x - 1);
                                       //x size of a cell
float dy = (Y_max - Y_min)/(N_y - 1);
                                       //y size of a cell
                                        //the dataset to visualize
float data [N_x][N_y];
for (int i=0; i<N_x-1; i++)
  for (int j=0; j<N-y-1; j++)
  {
     float x = X_{\min} + i * dx, y = Y_{\min} + j * dy;
     Quad q;
     q. addPoint (x, y, data [i][j]);
     q. addPoint (x+dx, y, data[i+1][j]);
     q. addPoint (x+dx, y+dy, data[i+1][j+1]);
     q.addPoint(x, y+dy, data[i][j+1]);
     q.draw();
  }
```

Listing 2.2. Drawing a height plot using a sampled dataset.

or string, of characters, i.e., a char data[N_x], a spreadsheet, or a database table containing, e.g., non-numerical attributes. These attributes can in turn be represented as a matrix char data[N_x] [N_y] of objects of type T, where Tmodels the attribute type. Various data types, such as temperature and pressure fields measured by weather satellites or computed by numerical simulations; 3D medical images acquired by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scanners; and multidimensional tables emerging from business databases are represented by different types of datasets. Datasets form the input and output of the algorithms used in data visualization, like the function sampling and elevation-plot algorithms discussed in our simple function visualization example. These aspects are discussed in detail in Chapters 3 and 4.

Let us go back to our elevation plot. The numbers of samples to be used, i.e., N_x and N_y , are parameters to be chosen by the user. The question arises: What are optimal values for these parameters? By using more samples, the quality of the discrete representation, the data matrix, and subsequently the quality of the visualization, can only increase, reaching the continuous case in the limit when N_x and N_y tend to infinity. However, this poses increasing storage requirements for the dataset (the data matrix) and computing power requirements for the visualization method. On the other hand, choosing too few samples yields a fast, low-memory, but also low-quality visualization. Figure 2.2 illustrates this with a visualization of the same function as before, this time with N_x =10 and N_y =10 samples.



Figure 2.2. Elevation plot for the function $f(x, y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$. A coarse grid of 10×10 samples is used.

Comparing this image with the previous one (Figure 2.1), we see that reducing the sample density yields a worse approximation of the surface S. This is especially visible close to the center point x = y = 0. Basically, our rendered surface approximates the continuous one with a set of quadrilaterals determined by the sample point locations and function values. The quality of this approximation is determined by how close this *piecewise bilinear approximation*, as delivered by our set of rendered quadrilaterals, is to the original continuous surface S. The question is thus how to choose the sample locations so that we achieve a good approximation with a given sample count, i.e., given memory and speed constraints. The answer is well known from signal theory [Ambardar 06]: The sampling density must be proportional to the local *frequency* of the original continuous function that we want to approximate. In practice, this means using a higher sample density in the areas where the function's higher-order derivatives have higher values.

To emphasize the importance of choosing a good sampling density, let us consider now a different function

$$g(x,y) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

The speed of variation, or frequency, of this function increases rapidly as we



Figure 2.3. Elevation plot for the function $f(x, y) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{x^2+y^2}\right)$, rendered using (a) a uniform grid of 100×100 samples and (b) an adaptively sampled grid.

approach the origin $x = y = 0.^1$ Figure 2.3(a) shows the elevation plot of this function constructed from a grid of 100 by 100 samples. Even though this plot has roughly 10 times more sample points than the one shown in Figure 2.1 for the Gaussian function, the resulting quality is clearly poor close to the origin. There are two solutions to alleviate this problem. First, we can increase the sampling density everywhere on the grid. However, this wastes samples points in the smooth areas, i.e., far away from the origin.

A better solution is to make the sampling density variable, for example, inversely proportional to the distance from the origin. Figure 2.3(b) shows the result of the nonuniform sampling density. Although we use as few samples as in the low-density, uniform-sampling approach (Figure 2.3(a)), the quality of the result is now visibly much higher in the central area. However, this quality comes at a price. It is now no longer possible to determine the sample point positions from the function domain extents and sample counts, as the density is nonuniform. Hence, we must explicitly store the sampling point positions together with the function samples in the dataset.

As we shall see in Chapter 3, several types of datasets permit the creation of such nonuniformly sampled grids, which offer different trade-offs between the freedom of specification of the sample positions, the storage costs, and the implementation complexity.

¹We shall ignore, for simplicity, the fact that the function is not defined for the point x = y = 0.

2.2 Graphics-Rendering Basics

In the next sections, we discuss how we actually *render*, or draw, the height plot constructed from our sampled dataset. For this, we first briefly cover the basics of graphics rendering in this section. Computer graphics is an extensive subject whose theory and practice deserves to be treated as a separate topic, and in a separate book. In this section, we shall sketch only the basic techniques used in a simple computer graphics application. Fortunately, these techniques are sufficient to illustrate and even implement a large part of the data-visualization algorithms discussed in this book, starting with our height-plot visualization example. In later chapters, we shall introduce several more advanced computer graphics techniques, such as the use of transparency and textures, when presenting specific visualization methods that require them in their implementation.

Rendering equation. Graphics-rendering generates computer images of 3D scenes, or datasets. The ingredients of this process are a 3D scene (or set of 3D objects), a set of lights, and a viewpoint. Essentially, the process can be described as the application of a *rendering equation* at every point of the given dataset. For a given point, the rendering equation describes the relationship between the incoming light, the outgoing light, and the material properties at that point. In general, the rendering equation has a complex form [Foley et al. 95]. Solving the rendering equation computes the outgoing light, or illumination, for every point of a 3D scene, given the scene, light set, and viewpoint.

In practice, several rendering equations are used, which can approximate lighting effects to various degrees of realism. Two known approximations are the *radiosity* methods, which are good at producing soft shadows [Foley et al. 95, Sillion 94], and *ray-tracing* methods, which are good at simulating shiny surfaces, mirror-like reflections, and precise shadows [Foley et al. 95, Shirley and Morley 03]. However, both radiosity and ray-tracing methods are relatively expensive to compute, even for simple 3D scenes. The reason behind this is that the rendering equations used by such methods relate the illumination of a given point to the illumination of several, potentially many, other points in the scene. For this reason, such methods are also called *global illumination* methods. Hence, solving for the complete scene illumination amounts to solving a complex system of per-point rendering equations.

A more efficient approach is to simplify the rendering equation to relate the illumination of a given scene point only, and directly, to the light set. Such approaches are called *local illumination* methods, since the rendering equation solves for the illumination locally for every scene point. We shall present a local



Figure 2.4. The Phong local lighting model.

illumination method that is implemented by the rendering back-ends of most visualization systems nowadays, such as the OpenGL library. This method, also known as the *Phong lighting* method, assumes the scene to be rendered to consist of opaque objects in void space, illuminated by a point-like light source. Hence, the lighting has to be computed only for the points on the surfaces of the objects in the scene. Phong lighting is described by Equation (2.1):

$$I(p, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L}) = c_{\text{amb}} + I_l \left(c_{\text{diff}} \max(-\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 0) + c_{\text{spec}} \max(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}, 0)^{\alpha} \right).$$
(2.1)

Here, p is the position vector of the surface point whose lighting we compute, **n** is the surface normal at that point, **v** is the direction vector from p to the viewpoint we look at the scene from, I_l is the intensity of the light, **L** is the direction the scene light illuminates p, and r is the *reflection vector* (see also Figure 2.4):

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{L} - 2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}. \tag{2.2}$$

Depending on the light source model, **L** takes different values. For a light source placed infinitely far away from the scene objects, also called a *directional light*, **L** is simply a direction vector. For an infinitely small light source located at some point l, equally shining in all directions, also called a *point-like light*, **L** is the unit-length vector in the direction p - l.

The lighting model in Equation (2.1) is a linear combination of three components: ambient, diffuse, and specular, whose contributions are described by the weighting coefficients $c_{\rm amb}$, $c_{\rm diff}$, and $c_{\rm spec}$, respectively, which are in the range [0, 1]. Ambient lighting is essentially a constant value. This is a rough estimate of the light reflected at the current point that is due to indirect illumination, i.e., light reflected onto the current point by all other objects in the scene. Diffuse lighting, also known as *Lambertian reflection*, is proportional to the cosine of the angle between the light direction $-\mathbf{L}$ and the surface normal \mathbf{n} , or the vector dot product $-\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}$. This models the equal scattering of incoming light in all directions around the surface normal. Diffuse lighting simulates the appearance of plastic-like, matte surfaces, and does not depend on the viewpoint. Finally, specular, or mirror-like, lighting is proportional to the cosine of the angle between the reflected light direction \mathbf{r} and view direction \mathbf{v} , raised to a specular power α . This models the scattering of the incoming light in directions close to the perfect mirror reflection direction \mathbf{r} . Specular lighting simulates the appearance of shiny, or glossy, surfaces, such as polished metal, and is viewpoint-dependent.

There is, so far, no *color* introduced in the lighting equation (2.1). This equation describes the interaction of light of a given color, or wavelength, with a surface. In other words, the factors c_{amb} , c_{diff} , c_{spec} , and I_l are all functions of the color. As we shall see next in Section 2.3, color can be modeled as a set of three intensities R, G, and B, corresponding to the red, green, and blue wavelengths respectively. Hence, in practice, Equation (2.1) is applied three times, so each of its factors will have three values, e.g., c_{amb}^R , c_{amb}^G , c_{amb}^B , and similarly for the other factors.

Ideally, the rendering equation should be applied at every point of every object surface in a given scene. However, as we shall see in the next section, it might be more practical and/or efficient to evaluate the rendering equation only at a few surface points and use faster methods to compute the illumination of the in-between points.

2.3 Rendering the Height Plot

Rendering the datasets discussed in Section 2.1 using the height-plot method relied upon our Quad class, which draws a 3D quadrilateral, specified by its four vertices. We can implement this class easily using the OpenGL graphics library, following the basic rendering model presented in Section 2.2. The implementation is shown in Listing 2.3.

Flat shading. As explained in Section 2.2, OpenGL applies the rendering equation at a subset of the points of a given surface and uses the resulting illumination values to render the complete surface. The simplest, and least expensive, renderclass Quad
{
 public:
 Quad()
 { glBegin(GL_QUADS}; }
 void addPoint(float x, float y, float z)
 { glVertex3f(x,y,z); }
 void draw()
 { glEnd(); }
};

Listing 2.3. Drawing a quadrilateral using OpenGL.

ing model provided by OpenGL is *flat shading*. Given a polygonal surface, flat shading applies the lighting model (Equation (2.1)) only once for the complete polygon, e.g., for the center point, and then uses the resulting color to render the whole polygon surface. The polygon normal can be computed automatically by OpenGL from the polygon vertices. The polygon is assumed to be a flat surface, so its normal is constant. This implies a constant shading result following Equation (2.1), hence the name "flat shading." In OpenGL, the flat shading mode is selected by the function call

```
glShadeModel(GL_FLAT);
```

Several results of flat-shaded height plots using quadrilaterals implemented by the Quad class were shown in the previous section.

In order to apply Phong lighting (Equation (2.1)), we must specify the ambient, diffuse, and specular coefficients $c_{\rm amb}$, $c_{\rm diff}$, and $c_{\rm spec}$. OpenGL offers a rich set of mechanisms to specify these coefficients, which we shall not detail here. The simplest way to control the appearance of a drawn object is to set its *material color*. If we use the default white light provided by OpenGL, this is equivalent to setting the diffuse factor $c_{\rm diff}$ in Equation (2.1). Setting the material color is accomplished by the function call

glColor3f(r,g,b);

where the color is specified by the RGB model using three floating-point values r, g, b in the range [0, 1]. The RGB color model is described in detail in Section 3.6.3. Note that the color specified by glColor3f affects all drawing primitives issued after that moment and until a new color specification is issued. Hence, to create the height plot shown in Figure 2.1, it is sufficient to issue a single glColor3f call before all the quads are drawn. The green color shown in the figure corresponds to the setting r = 0.3, g = 0.8, b = 0.37.

Besides specifying the object color, we must specify the light intensity and direction, i.e., the parameters I_l and **L** in Equation (2.1). In OpenGL, lights are specified by a number of function calls. First, OpenGL must be set up to use the Phong lighting equation, by enabling the lighting mechanism. OpenGL supports several light sources (most implementations provide at least eight of them). The next step is to enable one of the light sources, say the first one. These two operations are accomplished by the function calls

```
glEnable(GL_LIGHTING);
glEnable(GL_LIGHT0);
```

After lighting and the desired light(s) are enabled, we can specify the light intensity. As explained in the previous section, OpenGL uses a three-component light model, so the Phong lighting model (Equation (2.1)) is applied three times. Moreover, OpenGL allows us to separately specify the amount of light that interacts with the ambient, diffuse, and specular material components. Putting it all together, the lighting equations used by OpenGL are

$$\begin{split} I^{R}(p,\mathbf{v},\mathbf{L}) &= I^{R}_{\mathrm{amb}}c^{R}_{\mathrm{amb}} + I^{R}_{\mathrm{diff}}c^{R}_{\mathrm{diff}}\max(-\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{n},0) \\ &+ I^{R}_{\mathrm{spec}}c^{R}_{\mathrm{spec}}\max(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{v},0)^{\alpha}, \end{split}$$

$$I^{G}(p, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L}) = I^{G}_{\text{amb}} c^{G}_{\text{amb}} + I^{G}_{\text{diff}} c^{G}_{\text{diff}} \max(-\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 0) + I^{G}_{\text{spec}} c^{G}_{\text{spec}} \max(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}, 0)^{\alpha},$$
(2.3)

$$I^{B}(p, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L}) = I^{B}_{\text{amb}} c^{B}_{\text{amb}} + I^{B}_{\text{diff}} c^{B}_{\text{diff}} \max(-\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 0)$$
$$+ I^{B}_{\text{spec}} c^{B}_{\text{spec}} \max(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}, 0)^{\alpha}.$$

Here, the superscripts R, G, and B denote the color components. The triplet $(I_{\text{amb}}^R, I_{\text{amb}}^G, I_{\text{amb}}^B)$ specifies the amount (intensity) of light that interacts with the ambient material component $(c_{\text{amb}}^R, c_{\text{amb}}^G, c_{\text{amb}}^B)$, and is specified by the function call

glLightfv(GL_LIGHT0, GL_AMBIENT, I);

Here, $I = (I_{\text{amb}}^R, I_{\text{amb}}^G, I_{\text{amb}}^B)$ is a vector of three floating-point values. The same function can be used to specify the diffuse and specular light intensities for all

lights by replacing GL_AMBIENT with GL_DIFFUSE and GL_SPECULAR, respectively, and replacing GL_LIGHTO with the desired light name.

Finally, we must specify the direction and position of the light (see Section 2.2). This is achieved by the function call

```
glLightfv(GL\_LIGHT0, GL\_POSITION, p);
```

Here $p = (p_x, p_y, p_z, p_w)$ is a vector of four floating-point values. If the fourth value p_w is zero, the light is directional with the direction $\mathbf{L} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$. If p_w is not zero, the light is point-like, its position being given by $(p_x/p_w, p_y/p_w, p_z/p_w)$.

However attractive, this rendering of the surface approximation has several limitations. Probably the most salient one is the "faceted" surface appearance, due to its approximation by flat-shaded quadrilaterals. This artifact is visible even when we use a relatively densely sampled dataset, such as the one in Figure 2.2. When using flat-shaded polygons, removing this visual artifact completely for a height plot of an arbitrary function implies rendering polygons with a size of one pixel. In our setup, this implies, in its turn, using a prohibitively high sampling density. Just to give an impression of the costs, on a screen of 640×480 pixels, this strategy would require rendering over a hundred thousand polygons, and computing and storing a dataset of over a hundred thousand sample points, i.e., a memory consumption of a few hundred kilobytes, all for a simple visualization task.

Smooth shading. We can easily improve on this by using *Gouraud shaded*, or smoothly shaded, quads. Gouraud shading assumes the surface represented by the quad to have a non-constant normal—specifically, every quad vertex can have a distinct normal vector. This is a simple approximation of a real smooth surface, whose normal would, in general, vary at every surface point. Gouraud shading applies the lighting model (Equation (2.1)) at every quad vertex, using the respective vertex normal, yielding potentially four different colors. Next, all quad pixels are rendered with a color that smoothly varies between the four vertex colors resulting from the shading, using a technique called *interpolation*. Interpolation is described in greater detail in Chapter 3. Figure 2.5 shows the result of Gouraud shading applied to the same dataset rendered in Figure 2.1 with flat shading. In OpenGL, the smooth shading mode is selected by the function call

glShadeModel(GLSMOOTH);



Figure 2.5. Elevation plot for the function $f(x, y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$ (Gouraud shaded).

To perform Gouraud shading, we must add vertex normal information to our Quad class. This is easily done by adding an extra method to this class:

void Quad::addNormal(float * n) { glNormal3f(n[0],n[1],n[2]); }

which specifies a normal vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$ for every quad vertex. In OpenGL, specifying a vertex normal should be done before the specification of the vertex itself. In our case, addNormal() should be called right before addVertex() for that respective vertex.

The next step is to compute the actual vertex normals for our surface. The computing method depends on how the surface is specified. If the surface is specified analytically, e.g., by a function, we can proceed as follows. From analysis, we know that a vector normal to the graph of f, i.e., to our surface, is given by

$$\mathbf{n} = \left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, 1\right). \tag{2.4}$$

The vector **n** is related to another important mathematical concept called the *gradient*. Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, the gradient of f, denoted ∇f , is the vector

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

```
f(float,float);
                              //the function to visualize
float
float * n(float, float);
                              //the normal of f at (x,y)
for (float x=X_min; x<=X_max-dx; x+=dx)
  for (float y=Y_min; y \le Y_max - dy; y = dy)
  {
     Quad q;
     q.addNormal(n(x,y));
     q. addPoint (x, y, f(x, y));
     q.addNormal(n(x+dx, y));
     q.addPoint(x+dx, y, f(x+dx, y));
     q.addNormal(n(x+dx, y+dy));
     q.addPoint(x+dx, y+dy, f(x+dx, y+dy));
     q.addNormal(n(x, y+dy));
     q. addPoint (x, y+dy, f(x, y+dy));
     q.draw();
  }
```

Listing 2.4. Drawing a Gouraud-shaded height plot.

For functions with more variables, the gradient is defined analogously. Intuitively, the gradient of f is a vector that indicates, at every point in the domain of f, the direction in the domain in which f has the highest increase at that point. We shall encounter numerous applications of the gradient in the following chapters.

In our example, $f(x, y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$, so the vector $\mathbf{v} = (-2xf, -2yf, 1)$ has the same direction as the normal, though not the same length. We can thus compute the normal \mathbf{n} by normalizing \mathbf{v} :

$$\mathbf{n} = \left(-\frac{2xf}{\sqrt{4x^2f^2 + 4y^2f^2 + 1}}, -\frac{2yf}{\sqrt{4x^2f^2 + 4y^2f^2 + 1}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{4x^2f^2 + 4y^2f^2 + 1}}\right).$$
(2.6)

We can now draw the Gouraud-shaded height plot in Figure 2.5 using the code in Listing 2.4. Here, **n** is a function that computes the normal **n** of the original function f at a given point (x, y), i.e., implements Equation (2.6).

Computing vertex normals. The normal computation method described previously works for any function for which we can compute its partial derivatives analytically, as in Equation (2.4). However, as discussed in Section 2.1, our function may not be specified analytically, but as a sampled dataset. Still, in practice, we can perform Gouraud shading of sampled (e.g., polygonal) datasets using a simple technique called *normal averaging*. For every sample point p_i , denote by $P_1..P_N$ all polygons that have p_i as a vertex. We define the vertex normal \mathbf{n}_i at p_i as the average of all polygon normals $\mathbf{n}(P_i)$ that have p_i as a vertex:

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{\sum_{P_j} \mathbf{n}(P_j)}{N}.$$
(2.7)

Intuitively, Equation (2.7) says that the direction of the vertex normal \mathbf{n}_i is somewhere between the normals of the polygons P_j that share that vertex. For our height-plot example, the resulting Gouraud shading obtained using a normal vertex computed by averaging is practically identical to the one shown in Figure 2.5, which uses analytically computed normals.

Sometimes, however, averaging the polygon normals does not produce good results. The averaging in Equation (2.7) makes every polygon normal $\mathbf{n}(P_j)$ contribute equally to \mathbf{n}_i . However, some surfaces can contain polygons of highly variable sizes in the same neighborhood, e.g., sharing the same vertex. In this case, a more natural shading effect can be obtained by making the influence of a polygon on the vertex normals, and thus on the Gouraud shading of the surface, proportional to the polygon size. This can be easily achieved by using an area-weighted normal averaging, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{n}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{P_{j}} A(P_{j}) \mathbf{n}(P_{j})}{\sum_{P_{j}} A(P_{j})},$$
(2.8)

where $A(P_j)$ is the area of polygon P_j .

In Section 3.9.1, we shall elaborate further on the connection between normal averaging and data resampling.

2.4 Texture Mapping

Extra realism can be added to rendered 3D models by using a technique called *texture mapping*. The flat-shaded rendering mode described so far assigns a single color to a polygon. The Gouraud-shaded mode assigns to every polygon pixel a color that is linearly interpolated from the polygon vertex colors. Such techniques are limited in conveying the large amount of small-scale detail present in real-life objects, such as surface ruggedness or fiber structure that are particular to various materials, such as wood, stone, brick, sand, or textiles. Texture mapping is an effective technique that can simulate a wide range of appearances of such materials on the surface of a rendered object. As we shall see later in Chapters 6 and 9, texture mapping is at the core of the implementation of a variety of visualization techniques.

To illustrate the basic principle of texture mapping, let us look at a simple example. Figure 2.6(a) shows a 2D texture—in this case, a checkerboard-like



Figure 2.6. Texture mapping. (a) Texture image. (b) Texture-mapped object.

monochrome image. Figure 2.6(b) shows the effect of mapping the texture onto the 3D surface of our Gaussian height plot discussed earlier in this chapter. The texture-mapping process works as follows. First, imagine that the texture image is defined in a 2D coordinate system (s,t). Usually, s and t range between 0 and 1 and span the complete texture image, as shown in the figure. Hence, we can describe a monochrome texture as a function $tex(s,t): [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$, where the function value gives the luminance, or brightness, of each texture pixel. Next, we define, for every vertex of the rendered polygons, two texture coordinate values s and t. Intuitively, a polygon's vertex texture coordinates specify a part of the texture image that is next warped to match the size and shape of the polygon and finally is drawn on that polygon. When a polygon is rendered, its vertex texture coordinates are interpolated at every pixel, similarly to the color interpolation performed by Gouraud shading. The pixel is next rendered using a combination of the polygon color and texture color tex(s, t). Putting it all together, we can see the entire texture-mapping procedure as a function T that maps a pixel from the surface of the rendered object to another pixel in the texture image tex.

The simple texture-mapping functionality described here and shown in Figure 2.6 can be implemented in OpenGL by the following steps. First, we must define a 2D texture. The easiest way to do this is to start from a monochrome image stored as an array of unsigned chars, which can be, e.g., read from an image file or defined procedurally. The following code fragment creates an OpenGL texture from the image image that has width \times height pixels. First, we enable texture mapping in OpenGL. The parameter GL_TEXTURE_2D specifies that we shall use a two-dimensional texture. Next, we use the glTexImage2D OpenGL function to transfer the width \times height bytes of image in the graphics memory and create a corresponding 2D texture. The GL_LUMINANCE parameter specifies that we define a monochrome texture, also called a *luminance* texture. The glTexParameteri function specifies how to treat texture coordinates s, t that fall outside the range [0, 1], a feature that will be described later in this section. Finally, we use the glTexEnvf function to specify how the polygon color is going to be combined with the texture color. Here, we selected the GL_MODULATE mode, which multiplies the two values to yield the final pixel color. After the texture has been defined, we only have to associate texture coordinates with the vertices of the rendered polygons, and OpenGL will perform the texture-mapping operation automatically. To assign texture coordinates, we add a new method to our Quad class

```
void Quad::addTexCoords(float s, float t) 
{ glTexCoord2f(s,t); }
```

To render the texture-mapped height plot, we now simply add texture coordinates to every rendered polygon with the code fragment in Listing 2.6. Here, we have omitted the addNormal calls present in Listing 2.4 for conciseness. In this example, the texture coordinates are identical to the height plot's x, y coordinates scaled to the range [0, 2]. Since we specified GL_REPEAT as value for the GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_S and GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_T OpenGL parameters (Listing 2.5, lines 6–7), the texture coordinates that fall outside [0, 1] will be "wrapped" on this range. All in all, this produces the effect shown in Figure 2.6(b), where the texture seems to be projected from the xy plane to the height-plot surface and replicated twice in every direction, as visible from counting the checkerboard squares in the texture image and the textured height plot.

```
unsigned char* image; //the image data
int width, height; //the image size
glEnable(GL_TEXTURE_2D);
glTexImage2D(GL_TEXTURE_2D, 0, GL_LUMINANCE, width, height,
0, GL_LUMINANCE, GL_UNSIGNED_BYTE, image);
glTexParameteri(GL_TEXTURE_2D, GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_S, GL_REPEAT);
glTexParameteri(GL_TEXTURE_2D, GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_T, GL_REPEAT);
glTexEnvf(GL_TEXTURE_ENV, GL_TEXTURE_ENV_MODE, GL_MODULATE);
```

Listing 2.5. Defining a 2D texture.

```
float
                              //the function to visualize
       f(float,float);
float sx = (X_max - X_min)/2;
float sy = (Y_max - Y_min)/2;
for (float x=X_min; x<=X_max-dx; x+=dx)
  for (float y=Y_min; y \le w_max - dy; y = dy)
  {
     Quad q;
     q. addTexture (x/sx, y/sy);
     q. addPoint (x, y, f(x, y));
     q.addTexture((x+dx)/sx,y/sy);
     q. addPoint (x+dx, y, f(x+dx, y));
     q.addTexture((x+dx)/sx,(y+dy)/sy);
     q.addPoint(x+dx, y+dy, f(x+dx, y+dy));
     q. addTexture (x/sx, (y+dy)/sy);
     q.addPoint(x, y+dy, f(x, y+dy));
     q.draw();
  }
```

Listing 2.6. Drawing a texture-mapped height plot.

By defining different texture coordinates, a multitude of texture-mapping effects can be obtained, such as stretching, compressing, or rotating the texture image in a different way for every rendered polygon. The example presented here only briefly sketches the many possibilities offered by texture mapping, such as linear (1D) and volumetric (3D) textures, antialiased texture rendering, color and transparency textures, and multitexturing. A complete discussion of all possibilities of texture mapping is not possible here. For more details, as well as a complete description of the OpenGL texturing machinery and associated application programming interface (API), see the OpenGL reference and developer literature [Shreiner et al. 03, Shreiner 04].

2.5 Transparency and Blending

In the rendering examples discussed so far, we have used only fully opaque shapes. In many cases, rendering half-transparent (translucent) shapes can add extra value to a visualization. For instance, in our height-plot running example, we may be interested in seeing both the gridded domain and the height plot in the same image and from any viewpoint. We can achieve this effect by first rendering the grid graphics, followed by rendering the height plot, as described previously, but using half-transparent primitives.



Figure 2.7. The height plot in (b) is drawn on top of the current screen contents in (a) with additive blending to obtain the half-transparent plot result in (c). A black background is used.

In OpenGL, a large class of transparency-related effects can be achieved by using a special graphics mode called *blending*. To use blending, we must first enable it using the following function call:

glEnable(GL_BLEND);

Once blending is enabled, OpenGL will combine the pixels of every drawn primitive, such as polygons or lines, with the current values of the frame buffer (displayed image) at the locations drawn. In OpenGL terminology, the drawn primitive is called the *source*, whereas the frame buffer the primitive is drawn on is called the *destination*. Blending is performed independently for every source pixel drawn on a destination. If we denote the colors of the source and destination pixels by *src* and *dst*, respectively, the final value *dst'* of the destination pixel is given by the expression

$$dst' = s_f * src + d_f * dst. (2.9)$$

Equation (2.9) is essentially a weighted combination of the source and destination colors using the source and destination weight factors s_f and d_f respectively, which are both real values in the range [0, 1]. This equation is applied independently for every color component (R, G, and B). The weights s_f and d_f , also called *blending factors*, can be specified in a variety of ways. In the following, we show how to specify these factors to achieve a simple transparency effect that combines the grid and plot rendering in our height-plot example. The final result is shown in Figure 2.7(c). To obtain this result, we proceed as follows. First, we clear the screen to black. The reason for using black instead of the usual white background will become apparent soon. The frame buffer is cleared by the following sequence of OpenGL function calls:

glClearColor(0,0,0,0); //specify the clear color glClear(GL_COLOR_BUFFER_BIT); //clear the frame buffer

Next, we draw the grid structure. We do not use any blending, since we want the grid itself to be fully opaque. The result is shown in Figure 2.7(a). The final step is to draw the half-transparent height plot on top of this grid. The height plot to be drawn is shown, without the actual transparency, in Figure 2.7(b). For this, we first enable blending, as described previously. Next, we specify the blending factors s_f and d_f . A half-transparent plot is achieved by using a source factor $s_f < 1$. To ensure that, at each pixel, the contribution of the grid and plot objects sums up to one, we use a destination factor $d_f = 1 - s_f$. This combination is achieved by the OpenGL code

```
 glBlendFunc (GL_SRC_ALPHA, GL_ONE_MINUS_SRC_ALPHA); \\ glColor4f(r, g, b, s_f);
```

For the result shown in Figure 2.7(c), the colors r,g,b have the same values as for the example discussed in Section 2.3 and s_f has a value of 0.7.

The function glBlendFunc takes two parameters that specify the source and destination blending factors. These parameters are symbolic constants rather than being the blending factors themselves, and specify how the actual blending factors s_f and d_f will be computed. The most commonly used values of these constants are as follows. The constant GL_ONE sets the value of the respective blending factor to 1. The constant GL_SRC_ALPHA specifies that the source factor s_f will be taken from the *fourth* component, also called the *alpha* component, of the color of the drawn primitives. Hence, if we next set the color using glColor4f(r, g, b, s_f), s_f will be the actual source blending factor to $1 - s_f$, where s_f is the alpha value of the drawn primitives, as specified previously.

These parameters allow us to obtain various transparency effects. Using glBlendFunc(GL_SRC_ALPHA,GL_ONE) followed by drawing primitives that have the desired alpha values set via glColor4f starting with a black frame buffer effectively adds up the primitives' colors, weighted by their respective alpha values. We can achieve the same effect by using glBlendFunc(GL_ONE,GL_ONE), i.e., setting $s_f = d_f = 1$, and factoring the source blending factor in the color by using glColor3f(rs_f , gs_f , bs_f). Using



Figure 2.8. The height plot drawn half-transparently on top of the domain grid using a white background.

glBlendFunc(GL_SRC_ALPHA,GL_ONE_MINUS_SRC_ALPHA) realizes the convex combination

$$dst' = s_f * src + (1 - s_f) * dst.$$
(2.10)

The value of dst' is always clamped to one by OpenGL, so it is a good idea to use blending factors that will sum up to one when all primitives are drawn. This is achieved automatically if we use the blending factors as set by the convex combination in Equation (2.10).

Finally, there is a fourth constant GL_ZERO, which sets the respective source or destination blending factor to zero. Hence, calling the glBlendFunc(GL_ONE, GL_ZERO) function is equivalent to having blending disabled, i.e., the source color will simply overwrite the destination (frame buffer) color.

Figure 2.8 shows the height plot drawn with the same transparency value of 0.7 on top of the domain grid, this time using a white background, obtained by setting glClearColor(1,1,1,0). We leave the construction of the actual OpenGL blending code that achieves this image as an exercise for the reader.

Besides transparency, blending can be used to obtain many other graphical effects that are useful in visualization applications. Volume visualization, described in Chapter 10, fundamentally relies on blending to display volumetric datasets. Another use of blending is demonstrated in Section 6.6, for the construction of animated flow textures for visualizing vector fields. Yet another application of blending is described in Section 9.4.5, for the computation of distance fields in image data.

2.6 Viewing

All images of our height plot shown so far display the plot as viewed from a certain angle and position in space. To understand how to specify such viewing parameters, we need to understand how OpenGL processes 3D vertex coordinates to create the final image displayed on the screen. This process has several steps, or *transforms*, which are described next.

Virtual camera. The first step in specifying how to view a 3D scene is to specify where from, and in which direction, we want to look at the scene. The easiest way to picture this is to imagine that we have a virtual photo camera that we want to point at the scene in a specific way. In OpenGL, such a camera can be specified by indicating its location (also called the *eye* position **e**), a location towards which the camera is pointing (also called the *enter* position **c**), and a vector **u** indicating how the camera is rotated around the viewing direction $\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}$ (also called the "up" vector). Figure 2.9 illustrates this. The plane orthogonal to the viewing direction, on which the final image will appear, is also called the *view plane*.



Figure 2.9. Extrinsic parameters of the OpenGL camera.

In OpenGL, specifying the eye, center, and up vector values for a camera can be done using the gluLookAt function²

```
glMatrixMode (GL_MODELVIEW);
glLoadIdentity ();
gluLookAt (\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z, \mathbf{c}_x, \mathbf{c}_y, \mathbf{c}_z, \mathbf{u}_x, \mathbf{u}_y, \mathbf{u}_z)
```

The first two function calls (glMatrixMode and glLoadIdentity) ensure that the subsequent gluLookAt call will modify the extrinsic camera parameters of OpenGL, rather than intrinsic camera parameters, which are discussed in the next section. Extrinsic camera parameters are also called modelview parameters in OpenGL, hence the name GL_MODELVIEW. The up vector **u** should not be parallel to the viewing direction $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{e}$, otherwise we cannot unambiguously define the view plane. A simple but effective default setting is to have **c** equal to the center of the viewed scene, i.e., the average of all vertex coordinates; **u** equal to the *y*-axis of the 3D space, i.e., $\mathbf{u} = (0, 1, 0)$; and **e** at a distance from **c** slightly larger than the size of the scene's bounding-box. All three vectors **e**, **c**, and **u** are given in the same coordinate system as the 3D scene to view. These values are also known as the *extrinsic* camera parameters, since they do not specify how the camera is actually constructed, but only its placement in the 3D space.

Projection. After placing the camera in 3D space, we need to specify how the camera itself operates, or its *intrinsic* parameters. Following the analogy with a physical photo camera, which captures perspective projections of a 3D scene on a planar surface, intrinsic parameters give the focal length, field-of-view, and aspect ratio of the *view area* where we wish to capture the image (Figure 2.10). This view area is a rectangle in the view plane whose center is on the view direction line $\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}$ and whose axes are parallel to \mathbf{u} , respectively ($\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}$) × \mathbf{u} . The focal length z_{near} gives the distance, along the view direction, from the eye position \mathbf{e} to the view plane. No objects closer to \mathbf{e} than z_{near} are "seen" by the camera. Hence, the view plane is also called the near clipping plane, as it clips, or removes from viewing, objects in front of it. The field-of-view *fov* is the angle formed by the top, respective bottom, borders of the view area with the eye position \mathbf{e} . The aspect ratio *aspect* gives the ratio of the horizontal to the view direction, from the eye position \mathbf{e} . The aspect ratio *aspect* gives the ratio of the horizontal to the view direction, from the eye position \mathbf{e} . The aspect ratio *aspect* gives the ratio of the horizontal to the view direction, from the eye position \mathbf{e} . The aspect ratio *aspect* gives the ratio of the horizontal to the view direction, from the eye position \mathbf{e} to a plane parallel to, and behind, the

²Strictly, speaking, gluLookAt and all other functions discussed next that start with glu are part of the Graphics Library Utility (GLU) library and not OpenGL. As virtually any system which offers OpenGL also offers GLU, we do not make this distinction next.



Figure 2.10. Intrinsic parameters of the OpenGL camera.

view plane beyond which the camera "sees" no objects. This plane is also called the far clipping plane, as it clips, or removes from viewing, objects behind it.

Given this configuration, the camera will render only objects located inside a pyramid frustum delimited by the view area and its corresponding projection on the far clipping plane. This frustum is drawn in green in Figure 2.10. Specifying intrinsic camera parameters can be done in OpenGL by using the gluPerspective function

```
glMatrixMode(GLPROJECTION);
glLoadIdentity();
gluPerspective(fov, aspect, z<sub>near</sub>, z<sub>far</sub>)
```

The first two function calls (glMatrixMode and glLoadIdentity) ensure that the subsequent gluPerspective call will affect the intrinsic, or projection, camera parameters rather than the extrinsic ones, which were discussed in the previous section. The constraints for gluPerspective are that both z_{near} and z_{far} should be positive values. Also, typically $z_{near} < z_{far}$. gluPerspective implements the perspective projection, as explained above. Good default values are *fov* equal to 45 to 90 degrees. Parallel, or orthographic, projections, which do not



Figure 2.11. OpenGL viewport transform from view area on the view plane to a screen area.

make objects further away from the view plane appear smaller (an effect also called *foreshortening*), are also supported by OpenGL, by using the gluOrtho2D function

 $gluOrtho2D(x_{left}, x_{right}, y_{bottom}, y_{top})$

The values x_{left} , x_{right} , y_{bottom} , and y_{top} define the positions of the four edges of the view area rectangle on the view plane, with respect to the intersection point of the view direction with this plane. This effectively creates a parallelepipedlike view volume instead of a pyramid frustum. Orthographic projections are less frequently used in visualization applications than perspective projections. They are useful in cases when we want equal 3D distances to appear as equal 2D distances on the view plane (no foreshortening).

Viewport. The projection transform described above effectively specifies how 3D objects inside the view frustum are projected to a 2D rectangle on the view plane. The third and final step of viewing is to map this rectangle to a 2D screen area, called a *viewport*. This is achieved by the so-called viewport transform. In OpenGL, this transform is specified by the glViewport function

glViewport(x, y, width, height)

The effect of glViewport is to map the entire view area rectangle to the screen rectangle whose lower left corner is given by the pixel coordinates x, y and having

dimensions width and height pixels (Figure 2.11). The mapping is linear, i.e., the view area is effectively translated and uniformly stretched or squeezed, along each individual screen axis, to fit the screen rectangle. Typically, given a screen window of $W \times H$ pixels, we want to fill the entire window with our drawn scene. Setting x = y = 0 and width = W and height = H fills the entire window with our view area. However, if the aspect-ratio aspect (from, e.g., perspective projection) does not equal W/H, the scene will appear unnaturally stretched or compressed on the screen, as shown by the example in Figure 2.11. In other words, the scaling factors from the view area to the screen window are not equal for the two screen axes. A simple way to fix this is to set *aspect* to W/H. However, this changes the view area's shape depending on the shape of the screen window, which may clip off interesting portions of our scene. A better way is to compute width and height as being the values which fit the *largest* rectangle of aspect-ratio *aspect* within the available screen space $W \times H$, and next compute x and y so that this rectangle is centered within the $W \times H$ screen space.

2.7 Putting It All Together

We have introduced so far the OpenGL functionality for drawing 3D shapes and specifying the viewing parameters. We next discuss how all these bits are put together to create a full OpenGL application.

The structure of such a minimal application, outlined in Listing 2.7, consists of three main parts:

- the main function (main, lines 1-10);
- a function for setting up the viewing parameters (viewing, lines 12–17);
- a function for doing the actual drawing (draw, lines 19-31).

Initialization. The main function takes care of creating a screen window onto which OpenGL will draw our scene. For this, we use here the Graphics Utility Toolkit (GLUT), a simple and easy to use library that provides an uniform interface between the platform-independent OpenGL library and various so-called windowing systems, such as Windows, OS X, and X Windows.³ The first step is to initialize GLUT (Listing 2.7, lines 3–4). The second line above tells GLUT that we want a screen window using RGB colors GLUT_RGB), that we will draw

³GLUT is freely available from http://www.opengl.org/resources/libraries/glut.

```
i int main(int argc, char** argv)
2 {
     glutInit(&argc, argv);
                                                        //Initialize GLUT library
3
     glutInitDisplayMode (GLUT_RGB | GLUT_DOUBLE | GLUT_DEPTH);
 4
     glutInitWindowSize(800,600);
                                                        //Specify the initial window size
\mathbf{5}
     glutCreateWindow("Visualization");
                                                        //Create a window with given title
 6
     glutDisplayFunc(draw);
                                                        //Specify function to draw scene
 7
     glutReshapeFunc(viewing);
                                                        //Specify function to set up viewing
8
     glutMainLoop();
                                                        //Start the event loop
9
10 }
11
  void draw()
                                                        //Draw the 3D scene
12
   {
13
     glClear(GL_COLOR_BUFFER_BIT | GL_DEPTH_BUFFER_BIT);
14
     //Add here code to draw the 3D scene, see, e.g., Listing 2.4
15
     glutSwapBuffers ();
                                                        //Display the drawn image
16
  }
17
18
  void viewing (int W, int H)
                                                        //Set up viewing (see Section 2.6)
19
20 {
                                                        //1. Camera (modelview) transform
     glMatrixMode (GL_MODELVIEW);
^{21}
     glLoadIdentity();
22
     gluLookAt (\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z, \mathbf{c}_x, \mathbf{c}_y, \mathbf{c}_z, \mathbf{u}_x, \mathbf{u}_y, \mathbf{u}_z);
23
24
     glMatrixMode (GL_PROJECTION);
                                                        //2. Projection transform
25
     glLoadIdentity();
26
     float aspect = float(W)/H;
27
     gluPerspective (fov, aspect, near, far);
^{28}
29
     glViewport(0, 0, W, H);
                                                        //3. Viewport transform
30
31 }
```

Listing 2.7. OpenGL application structure.

3D shapes where we want to perform hidden surface removal (GLUT_DEPTH), and that we want to use double buffering for this window (GLUT_DOUBLE). Hidden surface removal and double buffering are explained below. Line 5 specifies the initial size of our upcoming screen window. Line 6 creates the actual window.

We could next proceed by directly adding OpenGL code to set up the viewing parameters and for drawing the actual scene. This code is provided by our functions viewing and draw, respectively. However, in a windowing system, users can manipulate such windows, e.g., by minimizing, maximizing, or resizing them. In all such cases, our application needs to redraw the window contents. To do this, GLUT uses an *event-based* system, where windows are automatically (re)drawn every time such window changes occur. For this to work, GLUT needs to know which code to execute when a window's size changes and when a window needs to be redrawn, i.e., our viewing and draw functions, respectively. Lines 7 and 8 connect these two functions to GLUT. The final step of main, line 9, passes the application control flow to GLUT: From now on, GLUT will automatically invoke our viewing function whenever our window is resized, and, separately, the draw function whenever our window needs to be redrawn.

Viewing. The viewing function contains all code required to perform the viewing transforms described in Section 2.6. The first step sets up the virtual camera extrinsic parameters (lines 21–23). Of course, to make the code complete, we need to specify actual values for the vectors \mathbf{e} , \mathbf{c} , and \mathbf{u} that define our viewpoint and viewing direction. The second step sets up the projection (lines 25–28). For illustration, we used here a perspective projection which keeps the same aspect ratio between the screen window and the view area. As for the camera extrinsic parameters, we need here to specify actual values for the field-of-view *fov* and near and far plane positions *near* and *far*. The third and last step specifies the viewport, which in our case is the entire screen window (line 30). Note that the width W and height H of the screen window are automatically supplied by GLUT as parameters to our function viewing. This way, our code knows about the geometry of this window, and can subsequently adapt the viewing parameters (view-area aspect-ratio *aspect* and viewport width and height).

Drawing. The draw function contains all code that draws the actual scene. GLUT guarantees that this function is always called after viewing has been called upon a window-geometry change. Hence, our viewing parameters are correctly set up. The first step in drawing (line 14) is to clear the window's frame buffer (GL_COLOR_BUFFER_BIT). In the same time, we also clear the so-called *depth buffer* of our window (GL_DEPTH_BUFFER_BIT). The depth buffer, also called a *z buffer*, holds, for each pixel (x, y) of a window, a value indicating the distance from the view plane to the closest pixel of any primitive that covers (x, y). The depth buffer allows OpenGL to draw primitives in any order, and obtain, in the frame buffer, a correct "hiding" of primitives lying farther from the view plane by closer ones, much like the way we do not see in reality objects lying behind other objects. This process is also known as hidden surface removal. For this, we first need to clear the depth buffer (line 14, GL_DEPTH_BUFFER_BIT), i.e., set its values for all pixels to a value larger than the distance between the view and far clipping plane.

Next, we add our desired scene drawing (line 15). This can be, for instance, the height plot drawing code in Listing 2.4. Besides the actual primitive drawing, this is the place to set the various other parameters of our drawing, such as lighting, textures, and blending. As this code draws its various primitives, OpenGL will only write to screen pixels (x, y) whose depth-buffer values are larger than the depth of the current primitive at (x, y).

Drawing a complex scene containing tens or thousands of primitives is not an instantaneous process, even on modern graphics cards. If the drawing occurs *directly* on the visible window surface, the user may notice a certain amount of flickering, as primitives get incrementally added to the drawing. To remove this problem, we use a technique called *double buffering*. Two images are kept by OpenGL. The first image, called the *onscreen buffer*, is the image currently being displayed in the window. The second image, called the *offscreen buffer*, is the area where the actual drawing occurs. When the drawing is ready, we simply let OpenGL "swap" the onscreen and offscreen buffers, so the constructed image is displayed at once, thereby removing the flickering. This operation is executed by the glutSwapBuffers call in line 16.

Improvements. Constructing a full-fledged visualization application using Open-GL is more complicated than the basic blueprint shown in the previous section. Issues that need to be addressed include management of multiple windows, processing input from keyboard and mouse, and integrating user-interface elements such as buttons and sliders. Such issues are beyond the scope of this book. For a more extensive introduction to building OpenGL applications, we refer to the books of [Shirley and Marschner 09, Shreiner et al. 03]. A simple but effective user-interface toolkit that integrates easily with OpenGL and GLUT is the OpenGL User Interface C++ library (GLUI).⁴ While less powerful than other user-interface toolkits, GLUI enables the addition of user-interface elements such as buttons, sliders, checkboxes, and menus to an OpenGL application in a portable and quick-to-learn manner.

2.8 Conclusion

In this chapter, we introduced the basic structure of the visualization process, using as an example the simple task of visualizing a two-variable real-valued function. The process can be summarized as follows (see also Figure 2.12):

- acquire the data of interest into a discrete dataset;
- map this dataset to graphics primitives;
- render the primitives to obtain the desired image.

⁴GLUI is freely available from http://glui.sourceforge.net.



Figure 2.12. Visualization process steps for the elevation plot.

The presented example can be, and actually is many times, regarded as a pure graphics application rather than a data-visualization application. However, if we think of the primary goal of this example, conveying insight to the user about the values and variation of a real-valued function defined over a twodimensional domain, we discover the visualization aspect. Moreover, this simple example allows us to encounter the fundamental concepts and building bricks of the visualization process: datasets, mapping, rendering, and the visualization process, or pipeline. *Datasets*, the elements used to store and represent data, are discussed further in Chapter 3 together with their main operations: sampling and interpolation. *Mapping*, the process that produces viewable geometric objects from the abstract datasets, is discussed further in its various guises from Chapter 5 onwards. *Rendering*, the process that displays a geometric set of objects, has been already discussed in this chapter. Finally, the complete chain of operations and concepts that constitutes the visualization process is discussed in Chapter 4. This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 3

Data Representation

N Chapter 2, we used a simple application, the visualization of a two-variable function using a height plot, to introduce several main concepts of data visualization, such as datasets, sampling, and rendering. Our discussion revolved around the dataset as a key concept for approximating a continuous signal by means of a discrete representation.

In this chapter, we expand this discussion on discrete data representation and approximation. First, we detail the notions of continuous data sampling and reconstruction. We introduce basis functions, discrete meshes, and cells, as a means of constructing piecewise continuous approximations from sampled data. These notions are illustrated using the simple example of visualizing a twovariable function with a height plot, introduced in Chapter 2. Next, we present the various types of datasets commonly used in the visualization practice and detail their relative advantages, limitations, and constraints. We also discuss several aspects related to efficiently implementing the dataset concept. After completing this chapter, the reader should have a good understanding of the various trade-offs involved in the choice of a dataset model, and be able to decide which is the most appropriate dataset for a given visualization application.

3.1 Continuous Data

3.1.1 What Is Continuous Data?

The main goal of visualization is to produce pictures that enable end users get insight into data that describe various phenomena or processes, including both natural and human-controlled phenomena. Examples are the circulation of air in the atmosphere due to weather conditions; the flow of water in oceans and seas; convection of air in closed spaces such as buildings due to temperature differences caused by heating and cooling; the deformation, electrostatic charging, vibration, and heating of mechanical machine parts subjected to operational stress; and the magnetic and electrostatic fields generated by electrical engines.

Such phenomena are modeled in terms of various physical quantities. These quantities can be either directly measured or computed by software simulations. From the point of view of data representation, these quantities can be classified in two fundamentally different categories: intrinsically continuous and intrinsically discrete ones. Well-known examples of continuous quantities are pressure, temperature, position, speed, density, force, color, light intensity, and electromagnetic radiation. Examples of intrinsically discrete data are the hypermedia, e.g., text and image, contents of web pages; software source code; plain text, as found in documents of various types; and various kinds of database records.

For the sake of brevity, we shall from now on refer to intrinsically continuous data as *continuous data* and to intrinsically discrete data as *discrete data*, respectively. Continuous data are usually manipulated by computers in some finite approximative form. To avoid confusion, we shall refer to this as *sampled data*. Sampled data are also discrete, in the sense that they consist of a finite set of data elements. However, in contrast to what we call intrinsically discrete data, sampled data always originates from, and is intended to approximate, a continuous quantity. As we shall see in Section 3.2, we can always go from sampled data back to a continuous approximation of the original continuous data. In contrast, what we call intrinsically discrete data has no counterpart in the continuous world, as is the case of a page of text, for example. This is a fundamental difference between continuous (or sampled) data and discrete data. As we shall see throughout this book, this difference causes many, often subtle, constraints and choices in designing effective and efficient visualization methods.

Intrinsically continuous data, whether coming in its original continuous representation or represented by some sampled approximation, is the subject of a separate branch of data visualization. This branch is traditionally called *scientific visualization*, or *scivis*. The name reflects the fact that continuous data usually measure physical quantities that are studied by various scientific and engineering disciplines, such as physics, chemistry, mechanics, or engineering. Intrinsically discrete data, which has no direct continuous counterpart, is the subject of a relatively younger visualization branch, called *information visualization*, or *infovis*. This book mainly focuses on scivis methods and techniques, with the exception of Chapter 11, which performs a short overview of a few main infovis topics. Consequently, the remainder of this chapter will discuss solely the representation of continuous and sampled (scivis) data.

3.1.2 Mathematical Continuity

Mathematically, continuous data can be modeled as a function

$$f: \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C},$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the function domain and $C \subset \mathbb{R}^c$ is the function codomain, respectively. In a related terminology, f is called a d-dimensional, or d-variate, c-value function. In other words, if we write f(x) = y, where $x \in D$ and $y \in C$, this actually means $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (y_1, \ldots, y_c)$. In visualization applications, for its sampled counterpart, introduced in Section 3.2, is sometimes called a *field*. Mathematically, f is continuous if for every point $p \in C$ the following holds:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that if } \|x - p\| < \delta, x \in \mathcal{C} \text{ then } \|f(x) - f(p)\| < \epsilon.$ (3.1)

This continuity criterion is known as the *Cauchy criterion*, after the French eighteenth-century mathematician who proposed it, or the $\epsilon - \delta$ criterion, a name that follows from its formulation.

What does continuity mean in the intuitive sense? In plain terms, a function is continuous if the graph of the function is a connected surface without "holes" or "jumps." Furthermore, we say that a function f is continuous of order k if the function itself and all its derivatives up to and including order k are also continuous in this sense. This is denoted as $f \in C^k$. Figure 3.1 illustrates the continuity concept for the case of a one-dimensional function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, whose graph is displayed in green. The first image (Figure 3.1(a)) shows a function having a discontinuity at the points x_0 . Clearly, if we evaluate the



Figure 3.1. Function continuity. (a) Discontinuous function. (b) First-order C^0 continuous function. (c) High-order C^k continuous function.

jump of f around the point x_0 , i.e., evaluate $f(x_0 - \delta)$ and $f(x_0 + \delta)$, the jump $|f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0 - \delta)|$ will always have the fixed value b - a, regardless of how small the value δ is, i.e., how close we sample the function to the point x_0 . The derivative f'(x) is shown in red in the figure. Note that f'(x) is not defined for the discontinuity point x_0 .¹

Figure 3.1(b) shows a continuous function $f \in C^0$. Here, f consists of three linear components. The graph of f shows no holes or jumps, so clearly Equation (3.1) holds. In contrast, its first derivative f' is of the same type as the function f shown before in Figure 3.1, i.e., it is discontinuous. However, if we take the three intervals delimited by the two points x_1 and x_2 and ignore the points x_1 and x_2 themselves, the derivative f' is continuous for every interval. Functions f whose derivatives are continuous on compact intervals as in this example are also called *piecewise continuously differentiable*, piecewise smooth, or piecewise C^{∞} . Such functions will play an important role in approximating sampled data later in Section 3.2. A final example is shown in Figure 3.1(c). Here, both the function and its derivative are continuous, so $f \in C^k$, where $k \geq 1$. Some functions, such as the Gaussian used in Chapter 2, are themselves and all their derivatives continuous. We denote this by $f \in C^{\infty}$.

3.1.3 Dimensions: Geometry, Topology, and Attributes

The triplet $\mathcal{D} = (D, C, f)$ defines a continuous dataset. In the following, we shall use the notation \mathcal{D} to refer to a dataset and the notation D to refer to a domain. The dimension d of the space \mathbb{R}^d into which the function domain D is embedded, or contained, is called the geometrical dimension. The dimension $s \leq d$ of the function domain D *itself* is called the *topological dimension* of the dataset. Understanding the difference between geometrical and topological dimensions is easiest by means of an example. If D is a plane or curved surface embedded in the usual Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 , then we have s = 2 and d = 3. If D is a line or curve embedded in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 , then we have s = 1 and d = 3. You can think of the topological dimension as the number of independent variables that we need to represent our domain D. A curved surface in \mathbb{R}^3 can actually be represented by two independent variables, like latitude and longitude for the surface of the Earth. Indeed, we can describe such a surface by an implicit function f(x, y, z) = 0, which actually says that only two of the three variables x, y, and z vary independently. Similarly, a curve's domain can be described implicitly

¹Informally, we can say that $f'(x_0)$ is equal to infinity, as it has a finite jump at a point, which can be seen as an infinitely small interval. However, a numerical function (and hence its derivatives, too) is usually defined to take finite values, hence we call f not differentiable, or discontinuous, in x_0 .

by two equations f(x, y, z) = 0 and g(x, y, z) = 0, which means that just one of the variables x, y, and z varies independently. A final concept frequently used in describing functions and spaces is the *codimension*. Given the previous notation, the codimension of an object of topological dimension s and geometrical dimension d is the difference d - s.

To simplify implementation-related aspects, virtually all data-visualization applications fix the geometrical dimension to d = 3. This makes application code simple, uniform, yet sufficiently generic. Hence, the only dimension that actually varies in visualization datasets is the topological dimension s. In practice, sis one, two, or three, which corresponds to the curve, surface, or volumetric datasets, respectively. Since the topological dimension is the only variable one, in practice it is also called the *dataset dimension*. In the remainder of this book, when we talk about a dataset's dimension, we mean its topological dimension, and implicitly assume that the geometric dimension is always three. Topological dimension is going to be important when we talk about sampled datasets and grid cells in Section 3.2.

In practice, dataset dimensions model spatial or temporal components. So, one may ask, what if we want to model a time-dependent volumetric dataset? This would require s = 4 dimensions, which would in turn ask for d = 4 geometric dimensions. Most visualization applications do not support such datasets explicitly, since they are relatively infrequent and supporting them would require working with more than three geometrical dimensions. In practice, time-dependent volumetric datasets are often represented as sequences of three-dimensional datasets where the sequence number represents the time dimension.

The function values are usually called dataset *attributes*. The dimensionality c of the function codomain C is also called the *attribute dimension*. The attribute dimension c usually ranges from 1 to 4. Attribute types are discussed later in Section 3.6. For the time being, let us assume for the simplicity of the discussion that c = 1, i.e., our function f is a real-valued function.

3.2 Sampled Data

Scientific visualization aims at displaying various properties of functions, as introduced in the previous section. However, we do not always avail of data in its continuous, functional, representation. Moreover, several operations on the data—including processing, such as filtering, simplification, denoising, or analysis, and rendering—are not easy, nor efficient, to perform on continuous data representations. For these reasons, the overwhelming majority of visualization methods works with sampled data representations, or sampled datasets. Two operations relate sampled data and continuous data:

- Sampling: Given a continuous dataset, we have to be able to produce sampled data from it.
- Reconstruction: Given a sampled dataset, we have to be able to recover an (approximative) version of the original continuous data.

Sampling and reconstruction are intimately connected operations. The reconstruction operation involves specifying the value of the function between its sample points, using the sample values, using a technique called *interpolation*. We have seen, in Chapter 2, a simple illustration of sampling and reconstruction for a two-dimensional dataset containing a scalar attribute: the surface representing the graph of a two-variable function. We sampled this dataset using two strategies, i.e., a uniform and a nonuniform sample point density. Next, we reconstructed (and rendered) the surface using a set of quadrilaterals determined by our sample points. This simple example illustrated a correlation between the sample-point density and distribution and the quality of the result of the polygon-based surface rendering. The conclusion was that the reconstruction quality is a function of the amount and distribution of sample points used. In the following, we shall analyze this relationship for the general case of a dataset represented as a *d*-variate, *c*-value function.

To be useful in practice, a sampled dataset should comply with several requirements: it should be accurate, minimal, generic, efficient, and simple [Schroeder et al. 06]. By accurate, we mean that one should be able to control the production of a sampled dataset \mathcal{D}_s from a continuous one \mathcal{D}_c such that \mathcal{D}_c can be reconstructed from \mathcal{D}_s with an arbitrarily small user-specified error, if desired. By minimal, we mean that \mathcal{D}_s contains the least number of sample points needed to ensure a reconstruction with the desired error. As we saw in Chapter 2, minimizing the sample count favors a low memory consumption and high data processing and rendering speed. By generic, we mean that we can easily replace the various data-processing operations we had for the continuous \mathcal{D}_c with equivalent counterparts for the sampled \mathcal{D}_s . By efficient, we mean that both the reconstruction operation and the data-processing operations we wish to perform on \mathcal{D}_s can be done efficiently from an algorithmic point of view. Finally, by simple, we mean that we can design a reasonably simple software implementation of both \mathcal{D}_s and the operations we want to perform on it.

Interpolation. Let us first consider the reconstruction of a continuous approximation from the sampled data. We define reconstruction as follows: given a sampled dataset $\{p_i, f_i\}$ consisting of a set of N sample points $p_i \in D$ and sample values $f_i \in C$, we want to produce a continuous function $\tilde{f} : D \to C$ that approximates the original f. The reconstructed function should equal the original one at all sample points, i.e., $\tilde{f}(p_i) = f(p_i) = f_i$. One way to define the reconstructed function that satisfies this property is to set

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \phi_i, \qquad (3.2)$$

where $\phi_i : D \to C$ are called *basis functions* or *interpolation functions*. In other words, we have defined the reconstruction operation using a weighted sum of a given set of basis functions ϕ_i , where the weights are exactly our sample values f_i . Since we want that $\tilde{f} = f_j$ for all sample points p_j , we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \phi_i(p_j) = f_j, \forall j.$$
(3.3)

Equation (3.3) must hold for any function f. Let us consider a function g that is overall zero, except at p_j , where $g(p_j) = 1$. Replacing the expression for g in Equation (3.3) we obtain that

$$\phi_i(p_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Equation (3.4) is sometimes referred to as the *orthogonality* of basis functions. Let us now consider the constant function g(x) = 1 for any $x \in D$. Replacing g in Equation (3.3), we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(p_j) = 1$ for all p_j , i.e., the sum of all basis functions is 1 at all sample points. However, if we enforce that this sum is 1 at all points $x \in D$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i(x) = 1, \forall x \in \mathbf{D},$$
(3.5)

then we can exactly reconstruct g everywhere in D. The property described in Equation (3.5) is called the *normality* of basis functions or, in some other texts, the *partition of unity*. Most basis functions used in practice in data approximation are both orthogonal and normal.

Grids and cells. To reconstruct a sampled function, we can use different basis functions. These offer different trade-offs between the continuity order of the
reconstruction, on the one hand, and complexity of the functions, thus the evaluation efficiency of Equation (3.3), on the other hand. To be able to explain the different choices one has for basis functions, we must now introduce the notions of sample grids and grid cells.

A grid, sometimes also called a mesh, is a subdivision of a given domain $D \in \mathbb{R}^d$ into a collection of cells, sometimes also called elements, denoted c_i . The most commonly used cells are sets of connected lines in \mathbb{R} (also called polylines), polygons in \mathbb{R}^2 , polyhedra in \mathbb{R}^3 , and so on. Moreover, the union of the cells completely covers the sample domain, i.e., $\bigcup_i c_i = D$, and the cells are nonoverlapping, i.e., $c_i \cap c_j = 0, \forall i \neq j$. In other words, a grid is a tiling of the domain D with cells. The vertices of these cells are usually the sample points $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, though this is not required, as we shall see.

We can now define the simplest set of basis functions, the *constant basis* functions. For a grid with N cells, we define the basis functions ϕ_i^0 as follows:

$$\phi_i^0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in c_i, \\ 0, & x \notin c_i. \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

In Equation (3.6), the superscript 0 denotes that our basis functions are constant, i.e., of zero-order continuity. Clearly, these basis functions are orthogonal and normal. If we now imagine our sample points are inside the grid cells, e.g., at the cell centers, then these basis functions approximate a given function $\tilde{f} = \sum_i f_i \phi_i^0$ by the piecewise, per-cell, constant sample values f_i . For a simple grid with equal cells, such as one created by the uniform sampling discussed in Chapter 2, this interpolation is equivalent to assigning to every point $x \in D$ the sample value of the nearest cell center. For this reason, the piecewise constant interpolation is also called *nearest-neighbor interpolation*. As an example, Figure 3.2 shows the reconstruction of the Gaussian function discussed in Chapter 2 with constant basis functions.

Constant basis functions are simple to implement and have virtually no computational cost. Also, they work with any cell shape and in any dimension. However, constant basis functions provide a poor, staircase-like approximation \tilde{f} of the original f. Over every cell i, \tilde{f} is piecewise constant, equal to the sample value f_i in that cell, but has discontinuities at the cell borders, as visible in our example (see Figure 3.2).

We can provide a better, more continuous reconstruction of the original function by using higher-order basis functions. The next-simplest basis functions beyond the constant ones are the *linear basis functions*. To use these, however, we need to make some assumptions about the cell types used in the grid. Let us consider a single quadrilateral cell c having the vertices (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) , where



Figure 3.2. Gaussian function reconstructed with constant basis functions.

 $v_1 = (0,0), v_2 = (1,0), v_3 = (1,1), \text{ and } v_4 = (1,1), \text{ i.e., an axis-aligned square of edge size 1, with the origin as first vertex. We call this the$ *reference cell* $in <math>\mathbb{R}^2$. In the following, to distinguish coordinates given in the reference cell $[0,1]^d$ from coordinates given in the function domain $D \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the former by r_1, \ldots, r_d (or r, s, t for d = 3) and the latter by x_1, \ldots, x_d (or x, y, z for d = 3). Coordinates in the reference cell are also called *reference coordinates*. For our reference cell, we define now four local basis functions $\Phi_1^1, \Phi_2^1, \Phi_3^1$, and $\Phi_4^1, \Phi_4^1: [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows (see also Figure 3.3):²

$$\Phi_1^1(r,s) = (1-r)(1-s),
\Phi_2^1(r,s) = r(1-s),
\Phi_3^1(r,s) = rs,
\Phi_4^1(r,s) = (1-r)s;$$
(3.7)

We denoted these local basis functions using capital letters (e.g., Φ) to distinguish them from global, gridwise ones, which are denoted with lowercase letters (e.g., ϕ). These basis functions are indeed orthogonal and normal. For any point (r, s) in the reference cell, we can now use these basis functions to define

²In the following, for a basis function Φ_i^j , the superscript j denotes the order of the function (0 = constant, 1 = linear, etc.), and the subscript i denotes the index of the cell vertex to which this function corresponds.



Figure 3.3. Basis functions, interpolation, and coordinate transformations for the quad cell.

a function $\tilde{f}(r,s) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i \Phi_i^1(r,s)$, as in Equation (3.2). Since \tilde{f} is a sum of first-order continuous basis functions, it is a first-order continuous reconstruction of the four sample values f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 defined at the cell vertices.

We would like now to perform exactly the same reconstruction as previously for any quadrilateral cell $c = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ of some arbitrary grid, such as our height plot. In general, such cells are not axis-aligned unit squares located at the origin, but arbitrarily quadrilaterals having any position and orientation in \mathbb{R}^3 . How can we then use Equation (3.7) on such cells? The answer is relatively simple: For every such arbitrary quadrilateral cell c, we can define a coordinate transformation $T : [0, 1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that maps our reference cell to c.

What should such a transformation T look like? First, we want to have a simple expression for T that works for any cell type. Second, we want to map the reference cell vertices v_i to the corresponding world cell p_i , so $T(v_i) = p_i$. Finally, we would like to have, if possible, linear transformation T, for simplicity and computational efficiency. All this suggests that we can design T using our

reference basis functions. We do this as follows: Given any cell type having n vertices p_1, \ldots, p_n in \mathbb{R}^3 , we define the transformation T that maps from a point r, s in the reference cell coordinate system to a point x, y, z in the actual cell to be

$$(x, y, z) = T(r, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \Phi_i^1(r, s).$$
(3.8)

In other words, T is a linear combination of the basis functions Φ_i^1 of the given cell, evaluated at the desired location r, s in the reference cell, weighted with the world cell vertex coordinates p_i . If T maps the reference cell to the world cell then its inverse T^{-1} maps points x, y, z in the world cell to points r, s in the reference cell, where our basis functions Φ_i^1 are defined. Using T^{-1} , we can rewrite Equation (3.2) for our quad cell c as

$$\tilde{f}(x,y,z) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i \Phi_i^1(T^{-1}(x,y,z)).$$
(3.9)

To compute the inverse transformation T^{-1} , we must invert the expression given by Equation (3.8). Since this inversion depends on the actual cell type, we shall detail the concrete expressions for T^{-1} later in Section 3.4.

Putting it all together. We now have a way to reconstruct a piecewise C^1 function \tilde{f} from samples on any quad grid: For every cell c in the grid, we simply apply Equation (3.9). We can now finally define our piecewise C^1 reconstruction in terms of a set of global basis functions ϕ , just as we did before for the piecewise constant reconstruction (Equation (3.6)). Given a grid with sample points p_i and quad cells c_i , we can define our gridwise basis functions ϕ_i^1 as follows:

$$\phi_i^1(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x, y, z) \notin cells(p_i), \\ \Phi_j^1(T^{-1}(x, y, z)), & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in c = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}, \text{ where } v_j = p_i, \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

where $cells(p_i)$ denotes the cells that have p_i as a vertex.

In other words, Equation (3.10) says that every basis function ϕ_i^1 , corresponding to sample point p_i , equals the transformed local basis function Φ_j^1 of the corresponding cell vertex $v_j = p_i$, for all points in the cells that have p_j as vertex, and is zero everywhere outside these cells. We can verify that $\{\phi_i^1\}$ are orthogonal and normal. Moreover, they are C^1 continuous, by definition. Hence, a reconstruction of a sampled dataset (Equation (3.2)) using these basis functions is piecewise C^1 . For our height-plot visualization, using these basis functions means that we approximate the function graph surface with a set of



Figure 3.4. Overview of sampling and reconstruction.

 C^1 surface elements. Hence, all visualizations shown in Section 2.1 that rendered our height plot using a set of quads are nothing else but reconstructions of the function graph surface with C^1 basis functions. Luckily, we do not have to program this interpolation ourselves when doing rendering. For a number of cell types, such as lines, triangles, and quads, virtually all rendering engines nowadays (such as OpenGL) provide efficient interpolation implementations as rendering primitives.

The complete sampling and reconstruction process is illustrated schematically in Figure 3.4 for a one-dimensional signal. Sampling the continuous signal fat the points x_i produces a set of samples f_i . Let us organize the samples along a simple grid consisting of line segments—that is, each cell (x_i, x_{i+1}) holds two consecutive samples (f_i, f_{i+1}) . Performing a cell-wise reconstruction, i.e., multiplying the cell samples by the global basis functions ϕ_i obtained from the

	constant geometry	bilinear geometry
constant lighting	staircase shading	flat shading
bilinear lighting		Gouraud shading

Table 3.1. Combinations of geometry and lighting interpolation types.

reference basis functions Φ_1^1 and Φ_1^2 via the transform T, and adding up the results, we obtain the reconstructed signal \tilde{f} .

The sampling and reconstruction mechanisms described so far can be applied to more data attributes than surface geometry alone. Let us consider, for example, surface shading. Shading, as introduced in Chapter 2, can be seen as a function $s : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ that yields the light intensity, given a surface point position $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and surface normal $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We have seen how we can approximate the geometry of a surface given a set of surface sample points, using constant or C^1 basis functions, yielding a staircase (e.g., Figure 3.2) or polygonal (e.g., Figure 2.1) representation, respectively. The question is now: Can we apply the same reconstruction mechanisms for the surface shading too? And if so, what are the trade-offs?

Using our basis-function machinery, the answer is now simple. Given a polygonal surface rendering, flat shading, introduced in Chapter 2, assigns the intensity value \tilde{s} computed using the lighting equation (Equation (2.1)) at every polygon center, to all polygon points. Clearly, this is nothing more than interpolation of the illumination function \tilde{s} using piecewise basis functions (Equation (3.6)). Putting it together, a flat-shaded polygonal surface, such as the height plot in Figure 2.1, is a reconstruction of the original continuous surface using piecewise bilinear interpolation for the geometry and piecewise constant interpolation for the illumination. What about the Gouraud, or smooth, shading introduced in Chapter 2? Recall that Gouraud shading evaluates the lighting equation (Equation (2.1)) at every polygon vertex v_i , using the corresponding vertex normal. For a quad, for example, this produces four illumination values s_i . Next, Gouraud shading produces a "smooth" illumination over the polygon by interpolating between these values s_i using piecewise bilinear basis functions. Putting it together, a Gouraud-shaded polygonal surface, such as the height plot in Figure 2.5, is a reconstruction of the original continuous surface using piecewise bilinear interpolation for both the geometry and illumination. The various combination possibilities of the geometry and lighting interpolation types are summarized in Table 3.1. Note that the combination of piecewise constant interpolation for geometry and piecewise bilinear interpolation for the lighting does not make sense, since the interpolated geometry is discontinuous.

3.3 Discrete Datasets

In the previous sections, we have described how to reconstruct continuous functions from sampled data provided at the vertices of the cells of a given grid. In brief, we can say that, given

- a grid in terms of a set of cells defined by a set of sample points,
- some sampled *values* at the cell centers or cell vertices,
- a set of *basis functions*,

we can define a piecewise continuous reconstruction of the sampled signal on this grid, and work with it (e.g., compute its derivatives or draw its graph) in similar ways to what we would have done with the continuous signal the samples came from.

In Section 3.1, we defined a continuous dataset for a function $f : D \to C$ as the triplet $\mathcal{D} = (D, C, f)$. In the discrete case, we replaced the function domain D by the sampling grid $(\{p_i\}, \{c_i\})$, and the continuous function f by its piecewise k-order continuous reconstruction \tilde{f} computed using the grid, the sample values f_i , and a set of basis functions $\{\Phi_i^k\}$ (Equation (3.2)). Hence, the discrete (sampled) dataset counterpart of (D, C, f) is the tuple $\mathcal{D}_s = (\{p_i\}, \{c_i\}, \{f_i\}, \{\Phi_i^k\})$: grid points, grid cells, sample values, and reference basis functions. Visualization deals in the overwhelming majority of situations with discrete data, so discrete datasets are a fundamental instrument, both in theory and practice. When talking about *datasets* in the remainder of this book, we shall always refer to discrete datasets, as defined here.

In the previous sections, we have shown how to replace a continuous dataset \mathcal{D}_c with its discrete counterpart \mathcal{D}_s . We have seen that this replacement means, from a mathematical point, working with a piecewise k-order continuous function \tilde{f} instead of a potentially higher-order continuous function f. In this section, we turn our attention to the implementation aspects of discrete datasets. We recall the dataset requirements introduced in Section 3.1: A dataset should be *accurate*, *minimal*, *generic*, *efficient*, and *simple*. For a discrete dataset, these requirements translate to constraints on the number and position of sample points p_i , shape of cells c_i , type of reference basis functions Φ_i , and number and type of sample values f_i . These constraints determine specific implementation solutions, as follows. The cell shapes, together with the basis functions, determine different *cell types*. These are described next in Section 3.4. The number and position of sample points determine different grid types.

Sections 3.5.1, 3.5.2, 3.5.3, and 3.5.4. Finally, the number and type of sample values f_i determine the *attribute types*. These are described in Section 3.6.

3.4 Cell Types

As explained in Section 3.2, a grid is a collection of cells c_i , whose vertices are the grid sample points p_i . Given some data sampled at the points p_i , the cells are used to define supports for the basis functions ϕ_i used to interpolate the data between the sample points. Hence, the way sample points are connected to form cells is related to the domain D we want to sample, as well as the type of basis functions we want to use for the reconstruction.

The dimensionality d of the cells c_i has to be the same as the topological dimension of the sampled domain D, if we want to approximate D by the union of all cells $\cup_i c_i$. If D is a curve, we will use line cells. If D is a surface, we will use planar cells, such as polygons. If D is a volume (d = 3), we must use volumetric cells, such as tetrahedra. The reference coordinate systems for such cells have d dimensions, which are denoted next by r, s, and t.

In the following, we shall present the most commonly used cell types in datavisualization applications. Figure 3.5 shows, for each cell type, the shape of the cell, its vertices p_0, \ldots, p_n , and the shape of the reference cell in the reference coordinate system *rst*. For each cell type, we shall also present the linear basis functions it supports, as well as the coordinate transformation T^{-1} that maps from locations x, y, z in the actual (world) cell to locations r, s, t in the reference cell.

3.4.1 Vertex

We start with the simplest cell type of dimension d = 0. This cell is essentially identical to its single vertex, $c = \{v_1\}$. Following the normality property (Equation (3.5)), it follows that the vertex has a single, constant basis function:

$$\Phi_1^0 = 1. \tag{3.11}$$

Essentially, vertex cells are identical to, and provide nothing beyond, the sample points themselves. In practice, one does not make any distinction between sample points and vertex cells, so these cells can be seen more of a modeling abstraction.



Figure 3.5. Cell types in world (red) and reference (green) coordinate systems.

3.4.2 Line

The cell type of the next dimension is the line segment, or line. Line cells have dimension d = 1 and two vertices, i.e., $c = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Line cells are used to interpolate along any kind of curves embedded in any dimension, e.g., planar or spatial curves. Line cells allow linear interpolation. Given the reference line cell defined by the points $v_1 = 0, v_2 = 1$, the two linear basis functions are

$$\Phi_1^1(r) = 1 - r,
\Phi_2^1(r) = r.$$
(3.12)

For a point p contained in a line cell (p_1, p_2) , the transformation T^{-1} is simply the ratio between the lengths of the segment pp_1 and the cell length, that is

$$T_{\rm lin}^{-1}(x,y,z) = \frac{\|p-p_1\|}{\|p_2-p_1\|}.$$
(3.13)

3.4.3 Triangle

We move now to the next dimension, d = 2. Here, the simplest cell type is the triangle, i.e., $c = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Triangles can be used to interpolate along any kind of surfaces embedded in any dimension, e.g., planar or curved surfaces. We can do linear interpolation on triangles. Given the reference triangle cell defined by the points $v_1 = (0, 0), v_2 = (1, 0), v_3 = (0, 1)$, the three linear basis functions are

$$\Phi_1^1(r,s) = 1 - r - s,
\Phi_2^1(r,s) = r,
\Phi_3^1(r,s) = s.$$
(3.14)

The transformation T^{-1} for triangular cells is slightly more complicated than the one for line cells:

$$T_{\rm tri}^{-1}(x,y,z) = (r,s) = \left(\frac{\|(p-p_1) \times (p_3-p_1)\|}{\|(p_2-p_1) \times (p_3-p_1)\|}, \frac{\|(p-p_1) \times (p_2-p_1)\|}{\|(p_3-p_1) \times (p_2-p_1)\|}\right)$$
(3.15)

Figure 3.6 explains this intuitively: Take a triangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) , in world coordinates, and denote its area by A_{123} . Take a point p in this triangle, and consider the areas A_{12} and A_{13} the areas of the triangles (p, p_1, p_2) and (p, p_1, p_3) , respectively. These quantities are the so-called *barycentric* coordinates of p within our triangle. Consider now the area ratios $r = A_{13}/A_{123}$ and $s = A_{12}/A_{123}$, which are exactly the expressions in Equation 3.15. As p stays within the initial triangle, both r and s are bounded in [0, 1]. Moreover, when $p = p_1$, we



Figure 3.6. Coordinate transformation $T_{\rm tri}$ explained using barycentric coordinates.

obtain (r, s) = (0, 0); when $p = p_2$, we get (r, s) = (1, 0); and when $p = p_3$, we get (r, s) = (0, 1). The values r and s are precisely our desired reference-cell coordinates.

3.4.4 Quad

Another possibility to interpolate over two-dimensional surfaces is to use quadrilateral cells, or quads. We have seen examples of using quads for approximating curved surfaces since our first visualization example in Chapter 2, the height plot. Quads are defined by four vertices $c = (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and have four corresponding basis functions. The reference quad, defined by the points $v_1 = (0,0), v_2 = (1,0), v_3 = (1,1), v_4 = (0,1)$, is an axis-aligned square of edge size 1. On this reference quad, the basis functions are

$$\Phi_1^1(r,s) = (1-r)(1-s),
\Phi_2^1(r,s) = r(1-s),
\Phi_3^1(r,s) = rs,
\Phi_4^1(r,s) = (1-r)s.$$
(3.16)

A natural question arises whether to use quads or triangles when interpolating on surfaces. The answer is, in most cases, a matter of implementation convenience. Some operations on triangles with linear basis functions, e.g., computing the intersection between the cell and a line or the distance from a cell to a line, are relatively simpler (and possibly faster) to implement than on equivalent quads using bilinear basis functions. However, rendering the same (large) grid using triangles might be slower than when using quads, since roughly twice as many primitives have to be sent to the graphics engine. When designing visualization software, a good practice is to use as few cell types as possible. The same is true for any data-processing operation that has to work on all the cells in a grid, e.g., computing derivatives. A good trade-off between flexibility and simplicity is to support quad cells as input data, but transform them internally into triangle cells, by dividing every quad into two triangles using one of its two diagonals. This simplifies and streamlines the overall software design, as only triangle operations have to be further implemented.

The transformation T_{quad}^{-1} for a general quad cell is, unfortunately, not as simple as for triangular cells. We cannot invert Equation (3.8) when we deal with the bilinear basis functions of a quad cell (Equations (3.16)). One solution for this problem is to numerically solve for r, s as functions of x, y, z [Press et al. 02]. If our actual quad cells are rectangular instead of arbitrary quads, like in a uniform or rectilinear grid, we can do better. In that case, the transformation T_{rect}^{-1} consists of two line transformations T_{lin}^{-1} . Given a quad cell with vertices p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 , we have

$$T_{\text{rect}}^{-1}(x, y, z) = (r, s) = \left(\frac{(p - p_1) \cdot (p_2 - p_1)}{\|p_2 - p_1\|^2}, \frac{(p - p_1) \cdot (p_4 - p_1)}{\|p_4 - p_1\|^2}\right).$$
 (3.17)

3.4.5 Tetrahedron

We now move to the next dimension, d = 3. Here, the simplest cell type is the tetrahedron, defined by its four vertices $c = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$. On the reference tetrahedron defined by the points $v_1 = (0, 0, 0), v_2 = (1, 0, 0), v_3 = (0, 1, 0), v_4 = (0, 0, 1)$, the four linear basis functions are

$$\Phi_1^1(r, s, t) = 1 - r - s - t,
\Phi_2^1(r, s, t) = r,
\Phi_3^1(r, s, t) = s,
\Phi_4^1(r, s, t) = t.$$
(3.18)

Given a tetrahedral cell with vertices p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 , we can deduce the transformation T_{tet}^{-1} using the barycentric coordinate idea outlined for T_{tri}^{-1} (see Section 3.4.3). In detail, if $T_{\text{tet}}^{-1}(x, y, z) = (r, s, t)$, then the reference coordinates r, s, and t of the point p = (x, y, z) can be computed as the ratio of the volumes of the tetrahedra $(p, p_1, p_3, p_4), (p, p_1, p_2, p_4),$ and (p, p_1, p_2, p_3) to the volume of (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) . This gives the following formulas for the reference coordinates:

$$r = \frac{|(p - p_4) \cdot ((p_1 - p_4) \times (p_3 - p_4))|}{|(p_1 - p_4) \cdot ((p_2 - p_4) \times (p_3 - p_4))|},$$

$$s = \frac{|(p - p_4) \cdot ((p_1 - p_4) \times (p_2 - p_4))|}{|(p_1 - p_4) \cdot ((p_2 - p_4) \times (p_3 - p_4))|},$$

$$t = \frac{|(p - p_3) \cdot ((p_1 - p_3) \times (p_2 - p_3))|}{|(p_1 - p_4) \cdot ((p_2 - p_4) \times (p_3 - p_4))|}.$$
(3.19)

Some applications use also *pyramid cells* and *prism cells* to discretize volumetric domains (see Figure 3.5). To limit the number of cell types we need to support in a concrete software implementation, pyramid and prism cells can be split into tetrahedral cells, similar to the way we split quad cells into two triangle cells.

3.4.6 Hexahedron

The next d = 3-dimensional cell type is the hexahedron, or hex, defined by its eight vertices $c = (v_1, \ldots, v_8)$. The reference hexahedron is the axis-aligned cube of unit edge length, with v_1 at the origin. On this cell, the eight linear basis functions are

$$\Phi_{1}^{1}(r, s, t) = (1 - r)(1 - s)(1 - t),
\Phi_{2}^{1}(r, s, t) = r(1 - s)(1 - t),
\Phi_{3}^{1}(r, s, t) = rs(1 - t),
\Phi_{4}^{1}(r, s, t) = (1 - r)s(1 - t),
\Phi_{5}^{1}(r, s, t) = (1 - r)(1 - s)t,
\Phi_{6}^{1}(r, s, t) = r(1 - s)t,
\Phi_{7}^{1}(r, s, t) = rst,
\Phi_{8}^{1}(r, s, t) = (1 - r)st.$$
(3.20)

Just as the tetrahedral cell in 3D is analogous to the triangle cell in 2D, hex cells are analogous to quad cells. Hence, the pros and cons of using hex cells instead of tetrahedra are similar to the 2D discussion involving quads and triangles, and so are the solutions. We can split hexahedral cells into six tetrahedra each, and then use only tetrahedra as 3D cell types, simplifying software implementation and maintenance.

Similar to quad cells, the transformation T_{hex}^{-1} for hexahedral cells cannot be computed analytically and must be determined using numerical methods. However, just as for quad cells again, we can do better in case our actual hex cells are rectangular solids, or boxes, i.e., their edges are orthogonal on each other (see Figure 3.5). These cells are also called *box cells*. In this case, T_{box}^{-1} can be computed by combining three instances of the line-cell transformation T_{lin}^{-1} , similarly to the approach we took for rectangular cells (Equation 3.17):

$$T_{\text{box}}^{-1}(x, y, z) = (r, s, t) \\ = \left(\frac{(p - p_1)(p_2 - p_1)}{\|p_2 - p_1\|^2}, \frac{(p - p_1)(p_4 - p_1)}{\|p_4 - p_1\|^2}, \frac{(p - p_1)(p_5 - p_1)}{\|p_5 - p_1\|^2}\right).$$
(3.21)

3.4.7 Other Cell Types

We have presented the most commonly used cell types in $d \in [0, 3]$ dimensions. As a closing remark, we mention that various authors and software packages sometimes offer more cell types, such as squares, pixels, triangle strips, polygons



Figure 3.7. Converting quadratic cells to linear cells.

in 2D, and cubes and voxels in 3D. Such cells have similar (linear) interpolation functions and properties to the ones described here. Squares and pixels are essentially identical to our rectangle cell, except that they have equal-size edges, and pixels are usually thought to be aligned with the coordinate axes. Triangle strips [Shreiner et al. 03, Schroeder et al. 06] are not a different type of cell from an interpolation point of view, but just a more memory-efficient way to store sequences of triangle cells that share edges, so they can be seen as an implementation-level optimization. Cubes and voxels play the role in 3D that squares and pixels respectively have in 2D.

Finally, let us mention that the piecewise constant and linear basis functions, which we have described so far, are not the only ones used in practice. Some applications use *quadratic* cells, which are called so because they can support quadratic basis functions.³ Quadratic cells are the equivalents of the cells presented here, but also contain the midpoints of cell edges—shown by the red points in Figure 3.7 for several cell types. Such cells can support quadratic basis functions and provide piecewise quadratic (C^2), hence smoother, reconstruction of data, and are often used in numerical applications such as finite element methods [Reddy 93].⁴ Figure 3.7 (left) shows the quadratic interpolation \tilde{f}_{quad} along a one-dimensional quadratic cell, which yields a parabola, and the equivalent linear interpolation \tilde{f}_{lin} along the corresponding two linear cells obtained from splitting the quadratic cell.

However, few visualization applications support such cells natively. In practice, visualization applications usually convert datasets that contain quadratic cells to the cell types we described previously by splitting the quadratic cells using

³Do not confuse *quadratic* cells, which support second-order basis functions, with *quadrilateral* (quad) cells, which are two-dimensional cells having four vertices.

⁴For a quadratic triangle, for instance, we need six sample points (corners and edge midpoints), since a quadratic function $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + f$ needs six coefficients (a..f) to be defined.

their edge midpoints and/or cell centers into several linear cells (see Figure 3.7 for examples of several 1D and 2D cells), or even simply ignoring the extra midpoints and their associated data. Besides the added complexity, quadratic cells cannot be directly rendered by standard OpenGL, which supports only linear (Gouraud) interpolation of colors over a polygon. Although more recent additions, such as pixel shaders, allow simple implementations to perform quadratic color interpolation at every pixel of a polygon, such extensions are not yet commonly supported by visualization software.

As a general conclusion, when you have to implement and maintain a specific visualization application, it is much simpler, faster, and less error prone to support just the minimal number of cell types that are strictly needed, rather than all possible variants. In general, you should add new cell types to your application data representation only if these allow you to implement some particular visualization or data-processing algorithms much more easily and/or efficiently than cell types your software already supports.

3.5 Grid Types

Now that we have presented the cell types, we can describe the various types of grids we can construct using these cells. Many types of grids exist in the visualization practice. We shall describe the most widely used grid types: uniform grids, rectilinear grids, structured grids, and unstructured grids.

3.5.1 Uniform Grids

We start with the simplest grid type, the uniform grid. In a uniform grid, the domain D is an axis-aligned box, e.g., a line segment for d = 1, rectangle for d = 2, or rectangular solid for d = 3. We can describe this box as a set of d pairs $((m_1, M_1), \ldots, (m_d, M_d))$ where $(m_i, M_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2, m_i < M_i$ are the coordinates of the box in the *i*th dimension. On a uniform grid, sample points $p_i \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are equally spaced along the d axes of the domain D. If we denote by $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_d \in \mathbb{R}$ the spacing, or sampling steps, along the d axes of D then a sample point on a uniform grid can be written as $p_i = (m_1 + n_1\delta_1, \ldots, m_d + n_d\delta_d)$, where $n_1, \ldots, n_d \in \mathbb{N}$. There are $N_i = 1 + (M_i - m_i)/\delta_i$ sample points on every axis. Hence, in a uniform grid, a sample point is described by its d integer coordinates n_1, \ldots, n_d . These integer coordinates are sometimes also called structured coordinates. A simple example of a uniform grid is a 2D pixel image, where every pixel p_i is located by two integer coordinates. The strong regularity of the sample points in a uniform grid makes their implementation

simple and economical. We can uniquely order the sample points p_i in the increasing order of the indices, starting from n_1 to n_d , i.e.,

$$i = n_1 + \sum_{k=2}^d \left(n_k \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} N_l \right).$$
(3.22)

This numbering convention is sometimes called the *lexicographic order*, since it corresponds to a lexicographic (alphabetic-like) ordering of the strings n_d , n_{d-1}, \ldots, n_1 formed by concatenating the index values. If we use this numbering convention, we do not have to store explicit sample point coordinates for uniform grids, as these can be computed from the grid sizes and samples per dimension. Storing a *d*-dimensional uniform grid amounts, thus, to storing 3*d* values. For every dimension, we store (m_i, M_i, δ_i) , i.e., the spatial extents and sampling step. Other schemes are also possible, such as storing the spatial extents and number of sample points per dimension (m_i, M_i, N_i) . Moreover, this regular point ordering allows us to define the grid cells implicitly by using the point indexes. For example, let us consider a *d*-dimensional uniform grid using boxlike cells, i.e., lines in 1D, quads in 2D, and hexahedra in 3D. In such a grid, we can compute the vertex indices v[] of the cell with index *c* using the function in Listing 3.1. In Listing 3.1, d is a constant indicating the grid dimension, i.e.,

Listing 3.1. Computing vertex indices from a cell index.



Figure 3.8. Uniform grids. 2D rectangular domain (left) and 3D box domain (right).

1, 2, or 3. The idea of the algorithm is simple. First, we pass from the cell index c to the cell structured coordinates C[]. Next, we pass from cell structured coordinates to vertex structured coordinates. Finally, we pass from the vertex structured coordinates to the vertex indices. Here, the function lex(n_1, \ldots, n_d) implements Equation (3.22). Note, however, that cell vertex indices v[] are not returned in the same order as denoted in Figure 3.5, but in order of variation of the vertex structured coordinates. Similar cell index to vertex index translations are also derivable for other cell shapes, such as triangles in 2D and tetrahedra in 3D.

Our first visualization example (see Listing 2.1) used a 2D uniform grid to store the sample values of the two-variable function to be visualized. In the code, the grid was defined by its spatial extents X_min, X_max, Y_min, and Y_max and by the number of sample points per dimension N_x and N_y.

Figure 3.8 shows two examples of uniform grids, one for a 2D rectangular and the other for a 3D box-like domain. The grid edges, that is, the cell edges whose vertices have one of the integer coordinates equal to zero, are drawn in red. The grid corners, i.e., the grid vertices whose integer coordinates are either minimal or maximal, i.e., equal to either 0 or N_i , are drawn in green.

The major advantages of uniform grids are their simple implementation and practically zero storage requirements. Regardless of its size, storing a ddimensional grid itself takes 3d floating-point values, i.e., only 12d bytes of memory.⁵ Storing the actual sample values at the grid points takes storage

 $^{^5\}mathrm{We}$ assume in the following that the size of a floating-point number is four bytes, or 32 bits.

proportional to the number of sample points. For example, storing one scalar value for each sample point, as in the case of our height-plot visualization, requires $\prod_{i=1}^{d} N_i$ floating-point values. However, this is an issue concerning the data attributes themselves, not the grid, as described further in Section 3.6.

3.5.2 Rectilinear Grids

However simple and efficient, uniform grids have limited modeling power. Representing non-axis-aligned domain shapes requires framing them in an axis-aligned bounding-box. Since this box is uniformly sampled, we waste memory for the sample values that fall outside the domain itself. A second problem was already illustrated in our first visualization example in Chapter 2. To accurately represent a function with a nonuniform variation rate, such as when drawing the graph of the function $e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$, we need either to use a high sampling density on a uniform grid (as in Figure 2.1), or use a grid with nonuniform sample density (as in Figure 2.3(b)).

Rectilinear grids are a first step in this direction. They still keep the axisaligned, matrix-like point ordering and implicit cell definition used by the uniform grids (Equation (3.22) and Listing 3.1), but they relax the constraint of equal sampling distances for a given axis. Instead, rectilinear grids allow us to define a separate sample step δ_{ij} for each row of points that shares a coordinate n_i in every dimension $i \in [1, d]$. A sample point on a rectilinear grid can be written as $p_i = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, where $x_i = m_i + \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \delta_{ij}$. Figure 3.9 shows a rectilinear grid.



Figure 3.9. Rectilinear grids. 2D rectangular domain (left) and 3D box domain (right).

Implementing a rectilinear grid implies storing the grid origins (m_i, N_i) and sample counts for every dimension d, as for the uniform grid. Additionally, we must store $\delta_{ij}, i \in [1, d], j \in [1, N_i]$ sample steps. In total, the storage requirements are $2d + \sum_{i=1}^{d} N_i$ values, where we assume floating-point and integer numbers to have the same storage size in computer memory.

Figure 3.9 shows two examples of rectilinear grids. The grid edges and grid corners are colored in red and green, respectively, just as for the uniform grids presented in Section 3.5.1. These grids are similar to the uniform ones shown in Figure 3.8, except that the distances δ_{ij} between the sample points are now not equal along the grid axes. The 2D rectilinear grid (see Figure 3.9 (left)) is actually a slice extracted from the 3D grid (see Figure 3.9 (right)). Slicing is discussed in detail in Section 8.1.2.

3.5.3 Structured Grids

However useful, rectilinear grids do not remove the two constraints inherent to uniform grids. The sampled domain is still a rectangular box. The sample point density can be changed only one axis at a time. For our height-plot visualization of the exponential function, for example, rectilinear grids do not allow us to place more sample points only in the central peak region, where we need them. To do this, we need to allow a free placement of the sample point locations.

Structured grids serve exactly this purpose. They allow explicit placement of every sample point $p_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id})$. The user can freely specify the coordinates x_{ij} of all points. At the same time, structured grids preserve the matrix-like ordering of the sample points, which allows an implicit cell construction from the point ordering. Intuitively, a structured grid can be seen as the free deformation of a uniform or rectilinear grid, where the points can take any spatial positions, but the cells, i.e., grid topology, stay the same. Implementing a structured grid implies storing the coordinates of all grid sample points p_i and the number of points N_1, \ldots, N_d per dimension. This requires a storage space of $3 \prod_{i=1}^d N_i + d$ values.

Structured grids can represent a large set of shapes. Figure 3.10 shows several examples. As shown in Figure 3.10 (left), structured grids can represent domains having a smooth, cornerless border, such as the circular domain in the image. The surface of our familiar function graph introduced in Chapter 2 is also best represented by a structured grid (see Figure 3.10 (middle)). Figure 3.10 (right) shows a 3D structured grid that has hexahedral cells. The grid edges and corners are drawn in red and green, respectively, just as for the uniform and rectilinear grid examples discussed in the previous sections.



Figure 3.10. Structured grids. Circular domain (left), curved surface (middle), and 3D volume (right). Structured grid edges and corners are drawn in red and green, respectively.

3.5.4 Unstructured Grids

Structured grids can be seen as a deformation of uniform grids, where the topological ordering of the points stays the same, but their geometrical position is allowed to vary freely. There are, however, shapes that cannot be efficiently modeled by structured grids. For example, consider a domain consisting of a square with a circular hole in the middle (see Figure 3.11). We cannot cover this domain with a structured grid, since we cannot deform a rectangle to match a rectangle with a hole—the two shapes have different topologies.⁶ A second limitation of all grid types described so far concerns the specification of grid cells. For uniform, rectilinear, or structured grids, cells are *implicitly* specified, e.g., by always connecting grid points in the same order. This can be too restrictive in many cases.

These problems are solved by using unstructured grids. Unstructured grids are the most general and flexible grid type. They allow us to define both their sample points and cells explicitly. An unstructured grid can be modeled as a collection of sample points $\{p_i\}, i \in [0, N]$ and cells $\{c_i = (v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{iC_i})\}$. The values $v_{ij} \in [0, N]$ are called *cell vertices*, and refer to the sample points $p_{v_{ij}}$ used by the cell. A cell is thus an ordered list of sample point indices. This model allows us to define every cell separately and independently of the other cells. Also, cells of different type and even dimensionality can be freely mixed in the same grid, if desired. If cells share the same sample points as their vertices, this can be directly expressed. This last property is useful in several contexts.

 $^{^{6}}$ The two shapes are distinguished by their *genus*. The plain square is said to be of genus 0, while the square with hole is of genus 1.



Figure 3.11. A domain consisting of a square with a hole in the middle cannot be represented by a structured grid. The domain border, consisting of two separate components, is drawn in red. Unstructured grids can easily model such shapes, whether using (a) a combination of several cell types, such as quads and triangles, or (b) a single cell type, such as, in this case, triangles.

First, storing an index, usually represented by an integer, is generally cheaper than storing a *d*-dimensional coordinate, such as *d* floating-point values. Second, we can process the grid geometry, i.e., the positions of the sample points p_i , independently of the grid topology, i.e., the cell definitions.

Implementing an unstructured grid implies first storing the coordinates of all grid sample points p_i , just as for the structured grid, and next storing all vertex indices for all cells. These indices are usually stored as integer offsets in the grid sample point list $\{p_i\}$. If we use different cell types in the grid then we must either store the cell size, i.e., number of vertices, for every cell, or alternatively organize the cells in separate lists, where each list contains only cells of a given type. In practice, it is preferable to use unstructured grids containing a single cell type, as these are simpler to implement and also can lead to significantly faster application code. The costs of storing an unstructured grid depend on the types of cells used and the actual grid. For example, a grid of C d-dimensional cells with V vertices per cell and N sample points would require dN+CV values.

Figure 3.12 shows several examples of unstructured grids. The first grid (Figure 3.12 left) shows the same circular domain as in Figure 3.10, but now sampled on an unstructured grid. The domain boundary is drawn in red. The second example (Figure 3.12 middle) shows a more complex 2D domain, obtained by slicing a 3D uniform dataset containing an magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)



Figure 3.12. Unstructured grids. Circle (left), head slice (middle), and 3D bunny surface (right).

scan with a plane. Slicing is treated in detail in Section 8.1.2. The boundary of the domain is drawn in red, for clarity. It is clearly difficult, if not impossible, to sample domains with such complex and irregular boundaries using structured grids. The unstructured grid has no problem representing such a domain, however. The third example (Figure 3.12 right) shows an unstructured grid representing a 3D surface of a bunny, with the grid edges drawn in red for clarity. As in the previous example, unstructured grids can represent such shapes with no problem.

3.6 Attributes

So far, we have described three of the four ingredients of a discrete dataset $\mathcal{D}_s = (\{p_i\}, \{c_i\}, \{f_i\}, \{\phi_i^k\})$: the grid consisting of sample points p_i and cells c_i , and the reference basis functions Φ_i^k . This section discusses the sample values f_i in more detail.

As we said in the beginning of our discussion on data representation, visualization data can be modeled by some continuous or discrete function with values in a domain $C \in \mathbb{R}^c$. Hence, the sample values f_i are *c*-dimensional points. In visualization, the set of sample values of a sampled dataset is usually called *at*tribute data. Attribute data can be characterized by their dimension *c*, as well as the semantics of the data they represent. This gives rise to several *attribute* types. These are described in the following sections.

3.6.1 Scalar Attributes

Scalar attributes are c = 1 dimensional. These are represented by plain real numbers. Scalar attributes can encode various physical quantities, such as temperature, concentration, pressure, or density, or geometrical measures, such as length or height. The latter is the case for our function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ visualized in our elevation plot example.

3.6.2 Vector Attributes

Vector attributes are usually c = 2 or c = 3 dimensional. Vector attributes can encode position, direction, force, or gradients of scalar functions. Usually, vectors have an *orientation* and a *magnitude*, the latter also called *length* or *norm*. If only the magnitude is relevant then we actually have a scalar, rather than a vector, attribute. If only the orientation is relevant, we talk about *normalized* vectors, i.e., vectors of unit length. When such vectors represent the normalized gradient of an implicit function whose graph is a 2D curve or 3D surface, these unit vectors are called also *normals*, as described in Chapter 2. Some authors regard vectors and normals as two different attribute types. However, in practice there is no structural difference between the two in terms of data representation. In particular, in most visualization software, normals are also stored using the same number of components as vectors, i.e., c = 2 for 2D curve normals and c = 3 for 3D surface normals, as this considerably simplifies the data storage implementation.

3.6.3 Color Attributes

Color attributes are usually c = 3 dimensional and represent the displayable colors on a computer screen. The three components of a color attribute can have different meanings, depending on the color system in use. One of the most-used color systems is the well-known RGB system, where the three color components specify the amount, or intensity, of red, green, and blue that a given color contains. Usually, these components range from 0 (no amount of a given component) to 1 (component is at full brightness). The RGB system is an *additive* system. That is, every color is represented as a mix of "pure" red, green, and blue colors in different amounts. Equal amounts of the three colors determine gray shades, whereas other combinations determine various hues.

RGB space. The RGB color space is usually represented as a *color cube* with one corner at the origin, the diagonally opposite corner at location (1, 1, 1), and the edges aligned with the R, G, and B axes (see Figure 3.13(a)). Every point inside



Figure 3.13. Color-space representations. (a) RGB cube. (b) RGB hexagon. (c) HSV color wheel. (d) HSV color widget (Windows).

the cube represents one of the displayable colors. The cube's main diagonal connecting the points (0,0,0) and (1,1,1) is the locus of all the grayscale values. Brighter colors are located closer to the cube's outer faces (visible in the figure) whereas darker colors are located closer to the origin (hidden in the figure). RGB color representations are handy from an implementation perspective, as most graphics software, such as OpenGL and image storage formats, manipulate colors in this way.

However, manipulating a 3D cube representation of a color space can be difficult in practice. Moreover, since we cannot see "inside" the RGB cube, it may be handy to visualize only the outer surface of the cube. If we view the cube along the main diagonal using an orthographic projection, we see a 2D hexagon, as shown in Figure 3.13(b). The cube corners, the *primary colors*, are shown as small spheres. As we shall see next, this represents the space of all colors having a luminance equal to one. Moreover, all other colors situated inside the RGB cube are just darker versions of these colors.

HSV space. Another popular color representation system is the HSV system, where the three color components specify the hue, saturation, and value of a given color. The advantage of the HSV system is that it is more intuitive for the human user. Hue distinguishes between different colors of different wavelengths, such as red, yellow, and blue. Saturation represents the color "purity." Intuitively, this can be seen as how much the hue is diluted with white or how far the color is from a primary color. A saturation of 1 corresponds to the pure, undiluted color, whereas a saturation of 0 corresponds to white. Value represents the brightness, or luminance, or a given color. A value of 0 is always black, whereas a value of 1 is the brightest color of a given hue and saturation that can be represented on a given system. For this reason, the HSV system is sometimes also called HSB, where "B" stands for brightness. The HSV color space is often represented using a color wheel (see Figure 3.13(c)). Every point p inside the color wheel represents an HSV color whose hue is given by the angle (scaled to the [0, 1]range) made by the vector p - o with the horizontal axis, where o is the wheel's center, and whose saturation is given by the length $\|p - o\|$ of the same vector. Value is not explicitly represented by the color wheel. Hence, the color wheel shows all possible hues and saturations for a given value. If value were added, as a dimension orthogonal to the color wheel space, the entire HSV space would thus create a cylinder. The HSV color wheel is quite similar to the RGB color hexagon in terms of color arrangement. Compared to the hexagon, the color wheel has the advantage that the hue parameter is mapped to a visual attribute that varies smoothly, i.e., the wheel angle. For example, a curve of constant saturation is a circle and a hexagon, respectively, in these two representations.

As we shall see next, the value (or luminance) component of an HSV color is equal to the maximum of the R, G, and B components. Hence, all colors shown by an HSV color wheel for a given value $V \in [0, 1]$ are equivalent to all points on the outer faces of a cube similar to the whole RGB cube but of edge size V. Such an equal-value surface for V = 0.5 is shown in Figure 3.14 inside the RGB cube. As we shall see in Section 5.3, such a constant-value surface is called an *isosurface*.

In practice, there exist many other visual representations of color spaces. For example, the color selector widget in the Windows operating system uses a



Figure 3.14. Surface in the RGB cube representing colors with the constant value V = 0.5.

different representation, shown in Figure 3.13(d). This widget is similar to the HSV color wheel in the sense that it maps the hue and saturation components to a 2D surface, in this case a square instead of a circle. The value component is explicitly represented as a separate color bar at the right of the colored square, and shows all colors having the H and S components specified by the selected point in the color square and values $V \in [0, 1]$. The color square can be thought of as a "cut-out" of the color wheel along the horizontal positive half-axis followed by a stretch of the resulting shape to a square. Whereas the color wheel maps equal changes of the H and S parameters to unequal changes of position on the wheel by compressing the colors with low S components into a relatively small area around the center, the color square maps the HS space isometrically to the square area. This lets users specify low S colors potentially more easily than with the color wheel.

Converting between RGB and HSV. Since HSV color specification is often more convenient for the end user while RGB specification is required by various software, it is important to know how to map colors from the HSV to the RGB space. Listing 3.2 provides a simple C++ function that converts from the RGB to the HSV space. In this code, both RGB and HSV colors are represented as arrays of three floating-point values, with the respective color components in the natural array order. The largest RGB component gives the value, or lu-

```
void rgb2hsv(float
                     r, float
                               g, float
                                         b,
              float& h, float& s, float& v)
{
  float M = \max(r, \max(g, b));
  float m = \min(r, \min(g, b));
  float d = M - m;
  v = M;
                            //value = max(r,g,b)
  s = (M>0.00001)? d/M:0; //saturation
  if (s==0) h = 0;
                            //achromatic case, hue=0 by convention
  else
                            //chromatic case
  {
    if (r==M)
                    h =
                             (g-b)/d;
    else if (g=M) h = 2 + (b-r)/d;
    else
                    h = 4 + (r-g)/d;
    h /= 6;
    if (h < 0) h += 1;
  }
}
```

Listing 3.2. Mapping colors from RGB to the HSV space.

minance. The saturation represents the ratio between the smallest and largest RGB component (normalized to [0, 1]), i.e., how much the color differs from a grayscale value. Finally, the hue is given as the difference between the medium and smallest RGB components. A special case takes place when the saturation S equals zero. This corresponds to a grayscale, for which the hue H cannot be determined uniquely. In this case, we set H = 0 by convention.

Listing 3.3 shows the implementation of the inverse mapping from HSV to RGB. The code distinguishes six cases, which correspond to sectors of 60 degrees of the color wheel. Within each sector, saturated colors are created as a linear interpolation between two primary colors, for example red and yellow for the first sector $(H \in [0, 1/6])$, as a function of H. The final result is produced by linearly interpolating between the saturated color and white, as a function of S.

Structurally speaking, color attributes can be also seen as vector attributes. However, color is often thought of, and also implemented as, a separate attribute in most data-visualization software systems, for a number of reasons. First, operations on color are quite different than operations on general vectors. For example, it makes sense to decrease the saturation of a color, or compute its complementary color or its grayscale value, but these operations have no natural counterpart on vector data. Conversely, computing the angle between two vectors is a far more common operation on vector attributes, although it could be also performed on colors. Second, the three color components of a color attribute are usually represented as positive normalized real values in [0, 1] or, in case of

```
void hsv2rgb(float
                   h, float
                              s, float
                                        ν,
             float& r, float& g, float& b)
{
  int
        hueCase = (int)(h*6);
  float frac
                = 6 * h - hueCase;
  float lx
                = v * (1 - s);
  float ly
                = v * (1 - s * frac);
                = v * (1 - s * (1 - frac));
  float lz
  switch (hueCase)
  {
    case 0:
    case 6: r=v; g=lz; b=lx; break;
                                       // 0<hue<1/6
    case 1: r=ly; g=v; b=lx; break;
                                       // 1/6<hue<2/6
                                       // 2/6<hue<3/6
    case 2: r=lx; g=v; b=lz; break;
                                       // 3/6<hue/4/6
    case 3: r=lx; g=ly; b=v; break;
                                       // 4/6<hue<5/6
    case 4: r=lz; g=lx; b=v; break;
    case 5: r=v; g=lx; b=ly; break;
                                       // 5/6<hue<1
  }
}
```

Listing 3.3. Mapping colors from HSV to the RGB space.

a discrete color model, as 8-bit integers in [0, 255], whereas vector components usually are arbitrary real numbers. All in all, it follows that it is more natural, less confusing, and also more efficient from an implementation point of view to represent colors and vectors as different attribute types.

Color perception. The RGB and HSV color spaces are simple and convenient tools for representing colors in a way which efficiently maps to implementation, respectively allows a simple and intuitive way for users to select colors. However, interpreting values such as hue, saturation, and brightness in terms of how humans actually *perceive* such color properties is a different matter. Consider luminance, for example. The HSV luminance value computed by Listing 3.2 is, indeed, low for colors that we perceive as "dark," and respectively high for colors that we perceive as "bright." However, this value is not proportional with what, in general, humans would perceive as being the brightness of a given color. For instance, in the HSV model, both pure blue (R = 0, G = 0, B = 1) and pure yellow (R = 1, G = 1, B = 0) have the same luminance V = 1. However, most humans will argue that they perceive pure yellow as being brighter than pure blue.

To account for such perceptual issues, and also to make the difference of colors (as represented in a color space) be as close as possible to the perceived differences of the same colors (as assessed by human subjects visualizing the respective colors on a given device), many other color representation models, or color spaces, have been designed. For example, in the sRGB color representation [ICC 14], luminance Y of a color is computed as

$$Y = 0.21R + 0.72G + 0.07B. \tag{3.23}$$

Intuitively, this models the fact that humans perceive green as contributing most to the brightness of a color, followed by red, and blue as being the least important contributor.

Besides RGB, HSV, and sRGB, many other color spaces, or color representations, exist. The theory and practice of color representation is an extensive subject, which is outside of the scope of this book. For more details, we refer the interested reader to an excellent field guide on color theory, representation, and practice [Stone 03].

3.6.4 Tensor Attributes

Tensor attributes are high-dimensional generalizations of vectors and matrices. Tensor data can most easily be explained by means of an example: Measuring the curvature of geometric objects.

Curvature as a tensor. Consider first a planar curve C. For every point x_0 on C, let us take a local coordinate system xy such that x is tangent to the curve and y is normal to the curve in x_0 . In this system, the curve can be described as y = f(x) in the neighborhood of x_0 , where $f(x_0) = 0$. The curvature is then defined as $\partial^2 f / \partial x^2(x_0)$. The curvature describes how small movements on C change the curve's normal or, alternatively, how much the curve deviates from the tangent line at a given point. The more the normal changes, the more the curve differs from its tangent line, and the higher its curvature is.

Consider now a surface S (see Figure 3.15). For every point x_0 on S, take a local coordinate system xyz such that x and y are tangent to the surface and z coincides with the surface normal \mathbf{n} in x_0 . Around x_0 , the surface can be described as z = f(x, y), with $f(x_0) = 0$. Similar to the planar case, *curvature* describes how small movements along S result in changes to the surface normal or, in other words, how the surface deviates, at some given point, from its tangent plane at that point. However, there is a problem. Whereas movements around a point on a curve can happen only in two directions, and so can be described by a single number, movements around a point on a surface can happen in an infinite number of directions, so they cannot be described by a single number. To solve this problem, consider the gradient ∇f of the function f(x, y). The rate



Figure 3.15. Curvature of two-dimensional surfaces.

of variation of f in some direction \mathbf{s} is

$$f(x_0 + \mathbf{s}) = f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)\mathbf{s},$$
 (3.24)

In other words, we can link the directional derivative $\partial f/\partial \mathbf{s}$ with the gradient by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}} = \nabla f \cdot \mathbf{s}. \tag{3.25}$$

Now consider expressing the rate of variation of the gradient in a given direction. If we now differentiate Equation 3.24 with respect to x and separately to y, and combine the two resulting expressions using vector notation, we obtain that the rate of variation of $\nabla f = (\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$ in some direction s can be expressed as

$$\nabla f(x_0 + \mathbf{s}) = \nabla f(x_0) + H(x_0)\mathbf{s}, \qquad (3.26)$$

where H is a 2×2 matrix containing the second-order partial derivatives of f(x, y) in the *local* coordinate system, called the *Hessian* of f:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.27)

From Equations (3.25-3.27), we can easily obtain that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{s}^2}(x_0) = \mathbf{s}^T H \mathbf{s}.$$
(3.28)

This gives the curvature of our surface at some point x_0 in any given direction **s**. This value is identical to the curvature of the curve C determined by the intersection of the surface with a plane that contains the vectors **n** and **s** (see Figure 3.15) and is also known as *normal curvature*. However, to use Equation (3.28), we have to build our local coordinate system every time we want to compute the curvature of some point.

We can simplify this procedure as follows. Consider that our surface S is given in global coordinates instead of local ones, for example as an implicit function f(x, y, z) = 0. We can then, after some mathematical manipulations, express the rate of change of the surface normal at the point x_0 as

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{s}^2}(x_0) = \frac{\mathbf{s}^T H \mathbf{s}}{\|\nabla f(x_0)\|}$$
(3.29)

where H is the 3 \times 3 Hessian matrix of partial derivatives of f(x, y, z) in the global coordinate system:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.30)

Using Equation (3.30), we can compute the curvature of a surface given in global coordinates, without the need of constructing a local coordinate system. For details of the derivation of Equation 3.29, see [Hartmann 99].

Summarizing, we can compute the curvature of a planar curve using its second derivative $\partial^2 f / \partial x^2$, and the curvature of a 3D surface in a given direction using its Hessian matrix H of partial derivatives. Given this property of the Hessian matrix, it is also called the *curvature tensor* of the given surface.

Tensors, vectors, and scalars. Besides curvature, tensors can describe other physical quantities that depend on direction, such as water diffusivity or stress and strain in materials. Tensors are characterized by their *rank*. Scalars are tensors of rank 0. Vectors are tensors of rank 1. The Hessian curvature tensor is a rank 2 symmetric tensor, since it is expressed by a symmetric, rank 2 matrix. In general, tensors of rank k are k-dimensional real-valued arrays.



Figure 3.16. Scalar value, gradient vector, and curvature tensor for a function f(x, y).

Table 3.2 summarizes the relationships between the value of a function, its gradient, and its curvature tensor, for a function of two variables f(x, y). Consider the height plot of such a function, like the half-ellipse in Figure 3.16. Given a point (x, y), the function itself, f(x, y), gives us the plot height at that point. Given also a direction \mathbf{s} , the gradient $\nabla f(x, y)$ and Hessian tensor H(x, y) can be used to retrieve the *slope* and the *curvature* of the plot in that direction, respectively. The gradient is also the direction around (x, y) in which the slope is *maximal*, the maximum value being its norm. The vector $\nabla f(x, y)^{\perp}$, orthogonal to the gradient, is the direction in which the slope is *minimal*, the minimum value being zero. Similarly, for the curvature, we can compute the directions e_1 and e_2 along which the curvature values λ_1 and λ_2 , the latter being the curvatures at (x, y) of the red, respectively yellow, curves in Figure 3.16. The technique to compute these quantities, called *principal component analysis*, is discussed later on when detailing tensor visualization (Section 7.1).

In the visualization practice, two-dimensional symmetric tensors are the most common. We shall discuss tensor data in more detail in Chapter 7, when we present tensor visualization methods.

3.6.5 Non-Numerical Attributes

All the attribute types presented so far were real-valued, i.e., points in some space \mathbb{R}^c . The question arises whether attribute types that are not represented

Quantity	Data	Slope	Curvature
Value	f(x,y)	-	-
Value in direction ${\bf s}$	—	$\nabla f(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{s}$	$\mathbf{s}^T H(x, y) \mathbf{s}$
Maximum direction	-	$\nabla f(x,y)$	\mathbf{e}_1
Maximum value	-	$\ \nabla f(x,y)\ $	λ_1
Minimum direction	-	$\nabla f(x,y)^{\perp}$	\mathbf{e}_2
Minimum value	-	0	λ_2

Table 3.2. Scalars, gradient vectors, and tensors for a function f(x, y).

by real numbers can be also used. From a purely implementation perspective, the answer is simple: We can use any data type as an attribute type in a discrete dataset, by storing the data values, i.e., instances of the desired data type, at the grid points. Examples of possible non-numerical attribute types are text, images, file names, or even sound samples.

However, when doing this, an essential question immediately arises: What is the meaning of such a dataset? We defined a sampled, or discrete, dataset as the tuple $\mathcal{D}_s = (\{p_i\}, \{c_i\}, \{f_i\}, \{\Phi_i^k\})$, consisting of grid points $p_i \in D$, grid cells c_i , sample values $f_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and reference basis functions $\Phi_i^k : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$. The main property for \mathcal{D}_s was to permit us to reconstruct some piecewise, korder continuous function $\tilde{f}: D \to C$, given its sample values $f_i \in C$. If our attribute types are real values, i.e., $C \subset \mathbb{R}^c$, we have seen how to construct our basis functions to interpolate between the sample values. The situation is quite different when we use non-numerical attribute types. First, what should the meaning of the multiplication between sample values f_i and real-valued basis functions Φ_i and of addition of the sample values in Equation (3.9) be? For instance, what should multiplication of a string with a real value and addition of two strings mean? It is, of course, always possible to define such operations for non-numerical data types such that the reconstruction equation can be applied. However, the deeper question is: What is the relationship between what we reconstruct in this way, i.e., our f, and what we had originally sampled into the f_i values, i.e., f?

In many cases, such a relationship is hard to find, or even nonexistent for nonnumerical attributes. Conversely, if the sample values in some discrete dataset have indeed come from the sampling of a continuous function f, it is always possible to define its reconstruction \tilde{f} , regardless of the attribute type. It is always technically possible to store non-numerical attributes in a discrete dataset, such as at the points on a grid. However, this should only be seen as an implementation convenience for some particular purpose, and *not* as defining a sampled dataset. Remember that the notion of a sampled dataset implies the existence of meaningful basis functions on the grid, which can interpolate between the sample values of a given type. If we cannot interpolate the attribute type in the sample values, the semantics of the sample points, cells, and sample values in our discrete dataset is not that of a sampled dataset.

As mentioned in Section 3.1, scientific visualization, or scivis, is the branch of data visualization that works with sampled datasets, and is the main topic of this book. Purely discrete datasets, which may include points, cells, and attribute values, but no basis functions, are the domain of information visualization, or infovis. Infovis techniques are briefly covered in Chapter 11.

3.6.6 Properties of Attribute Data

The main purpose of attribute data is to allow a reconstruction f of the sampled information f_i (see Equation (3.2)). There are more operations possible on attribute data. In general, these operations can be associated with manipulations one wants to do on the reconstructed function \tilde{f} . For example, given a function $\mathbf{v} : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that represents some vector quantity, and its reconstruction $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ using the vector attributes \mathbf{v}_i , we can compute a reconstruction \tilde{f} of the "vector magnitude" function $f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbb{R}_+, f(x) = \|\mathbf{v}(x)\|$ by using the reconstruction $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. That is, we have replaced the "vector magnitude" operation on the continuous function f with an operation on the sample values \mathbf{v}_i .

Attribute data in sampled datasets have several general properties, as follows.

Completeness. First, attribute data, i.e., the sample values f_i , must be defined for all sample points p_i of a dataset \mathcal{D}_s . Indeed, if samples are missing at some points p_j , it is not possible to apply Equation (3.2) over the cells that share these points p_i , i.e., reconstruct \hat{f} over those cells. In practice, we may, however, lack sample values f_i over some subset of points $M \subset \{p_i\}$ of our sampling domain, for various reasons. In such cases, several solutions are possible. First, we can completely remove p_i from the grid, since we have no data at those locations. However, this implies repairing the grid, i.e., replacing all cells that originally used any of the p_i by new cells that do not use p_i but still represent a tiling of the domain D (see Section 3.2). This operation can be rather complex when many points p_j lack data, and also can change the grid type from the uniform, rectilinear, or structured types to the more complex unstructured grid type (see Sections 3.5.1-3.5.4). A second solution is to define the missing values f_i in some way. The simplest way is to replace them by some special "default" value, e.g., zero. This is simple to do, and also allows us to distinguish these points from the regular points in the subsequent visualizations. Finally, we can define the missing values $f_i \in M$ using the existing values $f_i \notin M$ using some more complex interpolation scheme, as described in Section 3.9. Note that, in case our grid carries attribute data for which no interpolation scheme is defined (see Section 3.6.5), we have no constraint that attributes must be defined at all sample points, since Equation (3.2) does not apply.

Multivariate data. A second property of attribute data is that any cell type can contain any number of attributes, of any type, as long as these are defined for all sample points, as discussed previously. Indeed, all cell types presented in Section 3.4 define their own basis functions and thus allow reconstruction following Equation (3.2). Datasets having several attributes are usually called *multivariate.* If the number of attributes is large, such datasets are sometimes called *high variate*. The reader may have noticed that, given c real-valued data attributes defined over the same domain D, it is possible to think of these either as a single function $f: \mathbf{D} \to \mathbb{R}^c$ or as c separate functions $f_i \to \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in [1..c]$. In other words, we can choose whether we want to model our data as a single c-value dataset or as c one-value datasets. Of course, other combinations are possible, too. The answer to this choice is to consider all attributes that have a related meaning as a single higher-dimensional attribute, and to separate attributes with different meanings. For example, consider a two-dimensional (d = 2) image dataset, which has an RGB color c = 3 defined at every point in $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We could model this either as a single function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$, where the value of f at some point x yields the color (R, G, B) of that point, f(x) = (R, G, B). Alternatively, we could use three functions $r: D \to \mathbb{R}, g: D \to \mathbb{R}$, and $b: D \to \mathbb{R}$, where every function yields one color component, i.e., r(x) = R, g(x) = G, b(x) = B. The first representation is more natural, as the color components have a related meaning. Moreover, operations on color attributes must consider all color components simultaneously.

Node vs. cell attributes. Some data visualization applications classify attribute data into *node* or *vertex attributes* and *cell attributes*. Node attributes are defined at the vertices of the grid cells, hence they correspond to a sampled dataset that uses linear, or higher-order, basis functions. Cell attributes are defined at the center points of the grid cells, hence they correspond to a sampled dataset that uses constant basis functions. Vertex attributes can be converted to cell attributes and conversely by resampling, as described in Section 3.9.1.

High-variate interpolation. A final word must be said about interpolation of higher-dimensional attribute data. From the reconstruction formula (see Equation (3.2)) and the definitions of our basis functions $\Phi_i : D \to \mathbb{R}$ for all our cell types (see Section 3.4), it follows that reconstruction of a *c*-dimensional function

from a *c*-dimensional attribute data $f_i \in \mathbb{R}^c$, where c > 1, is done by reconstructing all its *c* components separately from the respective components of f_i . Examples of this situation are reconstructing normal, color, and vector attributes (c = 3), and rank 2 or rank 3 tensor attributes (c = 4 and c = 9), respectively).

However, the c attribute components are sometimes related by some constraint, or *invariant*. We distinguish the following situations:

Normals: For normal attributes $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the three components $\mathbf{n}_x, \mathbf{n}_y, \mathbf{n}_z$ are constrained to yield unit length normals, i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{n}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_x^2 + \mathbf{n}_y^2 + \mathbf{n}_z^2}.$$
(3.31)

Depending on the choice of the basis functions, interpolating the three components $\mathbf{n}_x, \mathbf{n}_y, \mathbf{n}_z$ separately as scalar values may *not* preserve the unit length property on the interpolated normal **n**. This is simple to verify when, e.g., using linear basis functions Φ^1 . There are several solutions to this problem. The easiest is to interpolate the components separately, using whatever basis functions we like, and then enforce the desired constraint on the result by normalizing it, i.e., replacing **n** with $\mathbf{n}/||\mathbf{n}||$. This gives good results when the sample values do not vary too strongly across a grid cell. However, enforcing the constraint on the interpolated result can fail. For example, imagine a line cell (a, b) having normal attributes $\mathbf{n}_a = (-1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{n}_b = (1, 0, 0)$ assigned to its end points. In the middle of the cell, the normal interpolated by using, e.g., linear basis functions is the null vector, which cannot be renormalized to unit length. An answer to this problem is to represent the constraint *directly* in the data attributes, rather than enforcing it after interpolation. For normal attribute types, this means representing 3D normals as two *independent* orientations, e.g., using two polar coordinates α, β , instead of using three x, y, z coordinates, which are dependent via the unit-length constraint. We can now interpolate the normal orientations α, β using the desired basis functions, to obtain the desired unit-length normal results.

Vectors: For vector attributes, a similar situation occurs. We can use the "default" solution, that is, interpolate the three components $\mathbf{v}_x, \mathbf{v}_y, \mathbf{v}_z$ of a vector \mathbf{v} separately as scalar attributes. Alternatively, we can express the vector using polar coordinates (two angles and one length component), and interpolate these components. The results, as in the case of our normal attribute example described above, will be different. However, whereas for normals we had a clear invariant to enforce, which determined that the polar coordinate solution is better than per-component interpolation, the situation for vector attributes is more
subtle. Here, the suitability of one interpolation scheme versus the other one depends on the *nature* of the continuous data that the vector dataset samples. Knowing how the continuous data actually varies allows selecting the appropriate interpolation scheme.

Colors: Colors can be interpolated component-wise in the RGB or HSV spaces (or, for that matter, any other space in which we choose to represent them, such as sRGB). Just as for vector data, when interpolating colors it is important to know which invariants (if any) are to be preserved. For instance, linearly interpolating between pure red and pure green in the RGB space will not yield yellow along the interpolation path, while performing the same in the HSV space will do. To visualize this, consider that the interpolation path in RGB space is a straight line in the color cube shown in Figure 3.13(a). In HSV space, the same path becomes a circle arc along the color wheel in Figure 3.13(c). The optimal color space for the desired interpolation, thus, depends on the invariants we wish to maintain along the interpolation path.

Tensors: Yet a different situation occurs for tensor attributes. Linear componentwise interpolation of the entries of the tensor matrix is the simplest, and often most used, solution. For symmetric tensors, this guarantees that the resulting value will also be a symmetric tensor [Zhukov and Barr 02]. However, in many applications, we are not directly interested in, or using, these tensor components, but derived quantities such as eigenvectors and eigenvalues (described further in Section. 7.1). Linear component-wise interpolation of tensors can create undesired variations in these derived quantities. Since a tensor can be reduced to three eigenvectors and three scalar eigenvalues, directional interpolation, similar to the proposal for vector fields based on polar coordinates outlined above, can be used. However, we now have the problem of matching two sets of three vectors each, in order to determine how the respective vectors will rotate, or vary, along the interpolation path. This matching is not trivial, and incorrect matching decisions can lead to wrong results [Kindlmann et al. 00]. For rank 2 symmetric tensors sampled on triangle grids, an effective interpolation strategy based on a combination of component-wise interpolation and eigenvector interpolation is presented in [Hotz et al. 10].

3.7 Computing Derivatives of Sampled Data

For the same polygonal surface, Gouraud shading usually produces better-looking, easier-to-understand results than flat shading. Since both flat and Gouraud shading are highly optimized nowadays by rendering engines and graphics cards, choosing between the two is not really a matter of optimizing performance. We saw in Chapter 2 that Gouraud shading requires surface normals to be available, in some way, at the polygon vertices. Also, we saw how to compute surface normals using the derivatives of the data, in case these are a continuous function. In this section, we address the problem of computing derivatives when we have a sampled dataset.

One of the requirements mentioned in the previous section for a sampled dataset \mathcal{D}_s was that it should be generic, i.e., that we can easily replace the various data-processing operations available for its continuous counterpart \mathcal{D}_c with equivalent operations on the \mathcal{D}_s . We saw, also, that computing derivatives is such an operation. Derivatives of data are needed for a wide range of processing tasks, ranging from the simple normal computation for Gouraud shading to more complex data filtering and smoothing and feature detection tasks, as described in Chapters 5 and 9.

How should we compute data derivatives in case all we have is a sampled dataset $\mathcal{D}_s = (p_i, c_i, f_i, \Phi_i)$? Since we replaced our continuous signal f with \tilde{f} , a logical answer is to compute derivatives of the reconstructed signal \tilde{f} and use them instead of the derivatives of the original signal f. We know how to reconstruct \tilde{f} from \mathcal{D}_s using a given set of basis functions: $\tilde{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \phi_i$ (see Equation (3.2)). Remember, f is a d-dimensional function, i.e., $f : D \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We can now compute the derivative of \tilde{f} with respect to its *i*th variable x_i as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x_i}.$$
(3.32)

This is a linear combination of the derivatives of the basis functions in use. However, this expression is not very convenient to use, since the actual basis functions ϕ_j are quite complex on a general grid (see Equation (3.10)). We can simplify the the computation of the derivatives of \tilde{f} using the expressions of the reference basis functions Φ_j , since these look the same on all cells of a given type in a given grid. Using Equations (3.9) and (3.32), we get

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_i}(r).$$
(3.33)

We now use the derivation chain rule and obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r_j} \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial x_i} \tag{3.34}$$

to obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial r_k} \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial x_i}.$$
(3.35)

Finally, we can rewrite Equation (3.35) in a convenient and easy-to-remember matrix form, as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_2} \\ \cdots \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_d} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_d}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_d}{\partial x_2} \\ \cdots \\ \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_d} & \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial x_d} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_d}{\partial x_d} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{inverse Jacobian matrix } J^{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial r_1} \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial r_2} \\ \cdots \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial r_d} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.36)

The matrix in Equation (3.36), containing the derivatives of the reference cell coordinates r_i with respect to the global coordinates x_j , is called the *inverse* Jacobian matrix $J^{-1} = (\partial r_i / \partial x_j)_{ij}$. As the name suggests, this matrix is indeed the inverse of the Jacobian matrix $J = (\partial x_i / \partial r_j)_{ij}$. In Section 3.2, we introduced a coordinate transform $T : [0,1]^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ that maps points from the reference cell to the actual cells, i.e., $T(r_1, \ldots, r_d) = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, and its inverse $T^{-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (r_1, \ldots, r_d)$. Section 3.4 presented concrete forms of T^{-1} for various cell types. Using T^{-1} , we can rewrite the inverse Jacobian as

$$J^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial T_i^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\partial x_j}\right)_{ij},\tag{3.37}$$

where T_i^{-1} denotes the *i*th component of the function T^{-1} .

Putting it all together, we get the formula for computing the partial derivatives of a sampled dataset \tilde{f} with respect to all coordinates x_i :

$$\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_i}\right)_i = \sum_{k=1}^N f_k \left(\frac{\partial T_i^{-1}}{\partial x_j}\right)_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial r_i}\right)_i.$$
(3.38)

To use Equation (3.38) in practice, we need to evaluate the derivatives of both the reference basis functions Φ_k and the coordinate transform T^{-1} at the desired point x. We have analytic expressions or numeric methods to compute Φ_k and T^{-1} for every cell type (see Section 3.4), so the procedure is straightforward. Alternatively, we can evaluate the Jacobian matrix instead of its inverse, using the reference-cell to world-cell coordinate transforms T instead of T^{-1} , then numerically invert J, and finally apply Equation (3.36). We can make another important observation. For several cells described in Section 3.4, such as lines, triangles, and tetrahedra, the coordinate transformations T^{-1} are linear functions of the arguments x_i , so their derivatives are constant. Hence, the derivatives of \tilde{f} are of the same order as those of the basis functions Φ_k we choose to use.

Let us see what this means for a shaded polygonal surface example using, for example, triangular cells. We have seen that this is a piecewise linear (order 1) surface reconstruction. Normals are computed using the surface derivatives (see Equation (2.4)). Hence, surface normals for this polygonal surface are piecewise constant, which is exactly what flat shading does. In other words, flat shading is the mathematically "correct" shading for this polygonal surface.

For uniform and structured datasets, Equation (3.38) for computing partial derivatives is actually quite simple. For example, consider an uniform grid with cell size $(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_d)$, as described in Section 3.5.1. For an axis-aligned, box-like cell having the lower-left corner (p_1, \ldots, p_d) and the upper-right corner $(p_1 + \delta_1, \ldots, p_d + \delta_d)$, the coordinate transformation is $T^{-1}(x) = ((x_1 - p_1)/\delta_1, \ldots, (x_d - p_d)/\delta_d)$. Hence, the derivatives of T^{-1} are

$$\left(\frac{\partial T_i^{-1}}{\partial x_j}\right)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1/\delta_i, & i=j,\\ 0, & i\neq j. \end{cases}$$
(3.39)

Let us now consider some concrete cell and its basis functions, such as the 2D quad and its bilinear basis functions given in Section 3.4.4. By computing the derivatives $\partial \Phi_i / \partial r$ and $\partial \Phi_i / \partial s$ for all the four basis functions Φ_1 , Φ_2 , Φ_3 , and Φ_4 and substituting these in Equation (3.39), we get the expression of the derivatives $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial x$ and $\partial \tilde{f} / \partial y$ for our reconstructed function \tilde{f} over the given cell

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x} = (1-s)\frac{f_2 - f_1}{\delta_1} + s\frac{f_3 - f_4}{\delta_1},
\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial y} = (1-r)\frac{f_4 - f_1}{\delta_2} + r\frac{f_3 - f_2}{\delta_2},$$
(3.40)

where f_1 , f_2 , f_3 , and f_4 are the sample values at the four cell vertices corresponding to basis functions Φ_1 , Φ_2 , Φ_3 , and Φ_4 . In other words, this means that the partial derivatives of \tilde{f} inside a given cell are computed by linearly interpolating the 1D derivatives of \tilde{f} along opposite cell edges. A similar result can be obtained for rectilinear grids, as well as for hexahedral cells.

Computing derivatives of discrete datasets is a delicate process. If the dataset is noisy, the computed derivatives tend to exhibit an even stronger noise than the original data. Care must be used when interpreting information contained in the derivatives. A relatively simple method to limit these problems is to prefilter the input dataset in order to eliminate high-frequency noise, using methods such as the Laplacian smoothing described in Section 8.4. However, one must be aware that smoothing may also eliminate important information from the dataset together with the noise.

3.8 Implementation

In this section, we present an implementation of the dataset concept. The proposed implementation follows several of the requirements for datasets introduced in Section 3.2. First, it allows subsequent application code to treat all dataset types uniformly, by defining a generic **Grid** interface that is implemented in particular ways by the various concrete grid types. Second, it provides a reasonable balance between implementation simplicity and memory and speed efficiency, making it useful in practical applications. We stress that this implementation is not an optimal one. Higher efficiency can be achieved, both in terms of speed and smart storage schemes that minimize data duplication and copying when working with multiple datasets. For readers interested in studying an efficient as study of [Schroeder et al. 06, Kitware, Inc. 04]. However, describing such a dataset implementation in detail, with all the design issues involved, is beyond the scope of this book.

3.8.1 Grid Implementation

We begin by defining a Grid interface that declares all operations all our grid types should support as shown in Listing 3.4.

The methods numPoints and numCells return the number of grid points and cells, respectively. In a grid, both points and cells are identified by unique integer identifiers (IDs). For uniform, rectilinear, and structured grids, these IDs usually correspond to the lexicographic ordering of the grid elements (see

```
class Grid
ł
public:
                     ~Grid() {}
     virtual
                                               = 0:
     virtual int
                      numPoints()
     virtual int
                      numCells()
                                               = 0;
                      getPoint(int,float*)
                                               = 0;
     virtual void
     virtual int
                      getCell(int, int*)
                                              = 0;
     virtual int
                      findCell(float*)
                                              = 0;
     void
                      world2cell(int,float*,float*);
};
```

Listing 3.4. Grid implementation.

Equation (3.22)). Given an ID, the methods getPoint and getCell return the point coordinates and the cell vertex IDs for the point or cell specified by the passed ID argument. The method findCell returns the cell ID for the cell that contains a given location given in world coordinates, or an invalid cell ID, such as -1, if the location falls outside the grid. Finally, the method world2cell implements the inverse transformation from world coordinates to cell parametric coordinates, i.e., the transform T^{-1} described in Section 3.4. Specifically, world2cell(c,world,cell) maps from the 3D world coordinates world to the 3D parametric coordinates cell for the cell with the ID c. In the case of 2D or 1D cells, this method will ignore the extra coordinates. This method does not depend on the actual grid type but on the cell type, so it can be implemented in the base class. The world2cell method does *not* check whether the world point is indeed contained in the given cell—this is the job of the findCell method. If the world point is in the specified cell then the resulting parametric coordinates are in the [0,1] range. If not, then at least one of the resulting parametric coordinates falls outside this range.

Since we are going to subclass Grid, we implement a dummy virtual destructor. This ensures that application code can safely delete Grid subclasses via references or pointers—the virtual specifier ensures that the appropriate subclass destructor gets invoked [Stroustrup 04]. Next, we implement the different grid types described in the previous sections by subclassing the Grid interface. To simplify the presentation, we shall consider only the case of 2D grids with quad cells. However, the implementation shown here can be easily generalized to other dimensions and cell types. For the same reasons, we skip the implementation of the attribute interpolation using basis functions and the coordinate transformations. These can be programmed by following their description in Sections 3.4 and 3.6.

Uniform grids. Listing 3.5 shows the implementation of a UniformGrid, which is a straightforward mapping of the structure presented in Section 3.5.1.

For uniform grids, the implementation of findCell is quite simple, as the grid topology is regular. Listing 3.6 exemplifies this for 2D uniform grids. First, we compute the cell coordinates from the point world coordinates. Next, we compute the cell ID from the cell coordinates.

Rectilinear grids. Let us now consider the rectilinear grid. We can simplify its implementation by subclassing it from UniformGrid. We can inherit most methods except getPoint(), since rectilinear and uniform grids share the same topology but not the same geometry.

```
class UniformGrid : public Grid
{
public:
         UniformGrid(int N1_, int N2_, float m1_, float m2_,
                      float M1, float M2)
         : N1(N1_), N2(N2_), m1(m1_), m2(m2_),
         d1((M1-m1)/(N1-1)), d2((M2-m2)/(N2-1)) \{\}
   int
        numPoints()
         { return N1*N2; }
   \operatorname{int}
        numCells()
         \{ \text{ return } (N1-1)*(N2-1); \}
   void getPoint(int i,float* p)
         { p[0]=m1+(i\%N1)*d1; p[1]=m2+(i/N1)*d2; }
   int
         getCell(int i, int* c);
        //see Listing 3.1
   int
   int
         getDimension1()
         { return N1; }
        getDimension2()
   int
         { return N2; }
protected:
   int
           N1, N2; //Number of points along the x- and y-axes
          m1,m2; //Minimal coordinate values in this grid
   float
private:
          d1,d2; //Cell sizes in this grid
   float
};
                   Listing 3.5. Uniform grid implementation.
```

```
int findCell(float* p)
{
    int C[2];
    //compute cell coordinates C[0],C[1]
    C[0] = floor((p[0]-m1)*N1/d1);
    C[1] = floor((p[1]-m2)*N2/d2);
    //test if p is inside the dataset
    if (C[0]<0 || C[0]>=N1-1 || C[1]<0 || C[1]>=N2-1)
        return -1;
    //go from cell coordinates to cell index
    return C[0] + C[1]*N1;
}
```

Listing 3.6. Implementing findCell for uniform grids.

};

Listing 3.7. Rectilinear grid implementation.

In Listing 3.7, we store the 2D grid point x- and y-coordinates in float arrays d[0] and d[1] implemented using the Standard Template Library (STL) class std::vector<float>. Here and in the following, we omit the namespace qualification std:: for brevity. In actual code, either prefix all STL symbols with this namespace (e.g., write std::vector<float>) or specify using namespace std; in every file before making use of these symbols. STL provides a versatile and expressive set of programming building blocks, such as basic data containers and algorithms. Using STL to implement the various aspects of datasets massively simplifies the overall implementation and reduces the code size and the chance for errors such as memory leaks. Moreover, recent STL implementations are carefully optimized for memory and speed, so using STL containers incurs a negligible overhead as compared to classical arrays, for example.

For rectilinear grids, implementing findCell is also quite simple. First, we compute the cell coordinates from the point world coordinates, similar to the uniform grid. However, this process requires two searches (for 2D grids) in order to determine in which intervals [d[0][i], d[0][i+1]] (for the *x*-axis) and [d[1][j], d[1][j+1]] (for the *y*-axis) does the target point fall. These intervals can be found either via linear searches on the two axes, yielding a complexity of max (O(N1), O(N2)). Or, if we explicitly store the vectors of grid coordinates $(0, d[0][1], \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{N1} d[0][i])$ and $(0, d[1][1], \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{N2} d[1][i])$, we can use a binary search instead, yielding a complexity of max $(O(\log N1), O(\log N2))$. Given its relative simplicity, we leave the actual implementation as an exercise for the reader.

Structured grids. Structured grids have the same topology as uniform and rectilinear grids, but a different geometry than rectilinear grids. Hence, we derive our StructuredGrid class from UniformGrid as seen in Listing 3.8.

```
class StructuredGrid : public UniformGrid
{
public:
          StructuredGrid(int N1, int N2, float m1, float m2,
                           float M1, float M2)
          : Uniform Grid (N1, N2, m1, m2, M1, M2)
          { points.resize(2*N1*N2); }
  int
          numPoints()
          \{ \text{ return points.size}()/2; \}
  void
          getPoint(int i, float* p)
          \{ p[0] = points [2*i]; p[1] = points [2*i+1]; \}
  void
          setPoint(int i,float* p)
          { points [2*i]=p[0]; points [2*i+1]=p[1]; }
private:
     vector < float > points; //Coordinates for all grid points
};
```

Listing 3.8. Structured grid implementation.

Since structured grids allow us to set the coordinates of every point independently, we provide a setPoint method to this end. Finally, structured grids do not have a regular geometry that can be exploited to implement a fast and simple version of the findCell method. Since the vertex coordinates can be arbitrary, findCell must perform an actual search that identifies in which cell our target point falls. Iterating over all cells is clearly too slow. An acceptable solution is to use a *spatial search structure*.

Several types of structures allow fast location of the closest point, or N closest points, of a given point set to a given candidate point. Such structures typically subdivide the convex hull, or the easier to compute bounding-box, of the point set hierarchically in disjoint cells that can be tested quickly. Finding the closest point(s) to the candidate point is done by traversing the spatial subdivision tree starting from the root cell (which contains the complete point set, i.e., the complete grid in our case) and following the path of cells that contain the candidate until a leaf cell is reached. If the leaf cell contains several points, a simple linear search is used to determine the closest one(s). Several spatial search structures exist. A first notable example is *octrees*, which use axis-aligned boxes as cells and split every cell into four (in 2D) or eight (in 3D) smaller cells of equal size. More efficient examples are kd-trees [Bentley 90, Friedman et al. 77] and box decomposition trees or bd-trees [Arya et al. 98]. These use also axis-aligned cells, but every cell is now split into two subcells. The splitting direction and the splitting line (in 2D) or plane (in 3D) are determined by a number of rules in



Figure 3.17. Spatial subdivision of a 2D point cloud using (a) kd-trees and (b) bd-trees.

order to balance the tree optimally. In addition, kd-trees can split a cell into a shrunken version of the cell and the space outside, which creates less-deep trees for highly clustered point sets, hence improving the search time.

A very efficient, simple-to-use open-source software package for spatial search providing kd-trees and bd-trees is the Approximate Near Neighbors (ANN) package written in C++ [Mount 06]. ANN works efficiently even beyond 3D, supports N-nearest-neighbor search with a user-specifiable N, is simple to install and call, and uses preprocessing time and space linear in the point set size and the dimension. Another open-source package that offers efficient spatial search structures such as kd-trees is the Gnu Triangulated Surface (GTS) library [GTS 13]. Figure 3.17 shows the generated kd-tree (left) and bd-tree (right) respectively for a 2D point set.

Spatial search structures such as octrees, kd-trees, and bd-trees work best for point sets. To use them for implementing the findCell operation, we insert the complete set of grid vertices in the data structure and search for the closest *vertex* to the given point candidate. Once this is found, we test the cells that use this vertex to see which one actually contains the candidate. If none does, which can happen in some special configurations, we search for the second-closest vertex and repeat the procedure until the containing cell is found. An extra speed-up that can be used is based on the heuristic that, in practice, application algorithms search for cells starting from a geometrically coherent set of points. In other words, consecutive calls to findCell will return the same cell, cells that are neighbors, or other cells that are close to each other in the dataset. We can exploit this in the implementation of findCell by caching the previously returned cell and, in subsequent calls, first testing whether the searched location falls in this cell. If so, the function completes without any search. If not, the existing spatial search structure is used.

One limitation of spatial search structures is that they take relatively long to build as compared to the search time. This is not a problem in case of a grid with fixed geometry. If the grid's geometry changes frequently compared to the number of searches, it can be faster to use a brute-force linear search instead of a spatial search structure.

Unstructured grids. We now turn our attention to unstructured grids. Unstructured grids have the same geometry as structured grids but have a different topology. Yet, we cannot derive a UnstructuredGrid class from StructuredGrid, since the latter also inherits a regular topology from UniformGrid. Hence, we derive UnstructuredGrid directly from the Grid interface as seen in Listing 3.9.

```
class UnstructuredGrid : public Grid
public:
          UnstructuredGrid (int P, int C)
          { points.resize(2*P); cells.resize(3*C); }
  int
          numCells()
          \{ \text{ return cells.size}()/3; \}
  int
          numPoints()
          \{ \text{ return points.size}()/2; \}
  int
          getCell(int i, int* c)
            c[0] = cells[3*i]; c[1] = cells[3*i+1];
            c[2] = cells[3*i+2]; return 4;
          }
  void
          getPoint(int i,float* p)
          \{ p[0] = points [2*i]; p[1] = points [2*i+1]; \}
  void
          setCell(int i, int* c)
          \{ cells [3*i]=c[0]; cells [3*i+1]=c[1]; \}
            cells [3*i+2]=c[2]; \}
  void
          setPoint(int i,float* p)
          { points [2*i]=p[0]; points [2*i+1]=p[1]; }
private:
     vector <int>
                     cells;
                              //Vertex indices for all (triangle) cells
     vector<float> points; //Coordinates for all sample points
};
```

Unstructured grids allow us to set the vertices that constitute the cells independently. To this end, we provide the **setCell** method. Finally, unstructured grids can use the same **findCell** implementation based on spatial search structures as the structured grids.

3.8.2 Attribute Data Implementation

Now that we have the grid functionality, we must implement the data attributes. In Section 3.2, we discussed two interpolation methods for attribute data, constant and linear. Both methods work in a piecewise fashion: You provide the world coordinates x_j of a point in a given cell. From these, parametric cell coordinates r_j are computed, using the transformation T^{-1} , and these are used to evaluate the cell's reference basis functions Φ_i weighted by the cell's attribute values f_i at its sample points (see Equation (3.9)).

An ideal interface for a dataset would let us evaluate its reconstruction function \tilde{f} at any coordinates x_j inside the sampled domain, i.e., treat the dataset as a truly (piecewise) continuous function. However, since we use piecewise reconstruction, this would imply finding the cell the desired point is in, as described previously. This is an expensive operation, which can potentially be needed many times if we need to evaluate \tilde{f} on many cells. Luckily, many data-processing algorithms do not need to evaluate the dataset at purely random locations. In practice, most data-processing algorithms work on a cell-by-cell basis, instead of requiring evaluation of the reconstruction function at random locations.

The data attribute evaluation functionality can be easily added to our dataset class. To do this, we make some design decisions. First, we shall support only piecewise constant and linear interpolations, both for all cell types. This may seem restrictive at first. However, the overwhelming majority of visualization applications use only these interpolation methods, in practice, since they are simple to implement and quick to compute. Second, we shall enforce that attribute values f_i are defined for all sample points *i*. As explained in Section 3.6.6, this is a natural property if we think of reconstructing signals over the whole domain. Third, we must decide which attribute types of those described in Section 3.6 we want to store in our dataset, and how many of each type. In general, we would like to store a user-defined number of each type, and also allow for user-defined attribute types. In the following sample implementation, however, we shall include only a single scalar attribute and leave the extension to several instances of several attribute types as an exercise for the reader. Finally, in the example presented here, we limit ourselves to 2D grids as in the previous code examples shown earlier in this section. Extending these examples to 3D grids is a simple exercise left to the reader.

```
public:
    float& getC0Scalar(int c)
        { return c0_scalars[c]; }
    float getC0Scalar(int c, float x, float y)
        { return getC0Scalar(c); }
protected:
    vector<float> c0_scalars;
```

Listing 3.10. Implementing nearest neighbor interpolation for scalar data.

In practice, we need attribute data for all grid types we have defined. Hence, we shall provide attribute support by adding extra code to the base class Grid, as follows.

Scalar attributes. Piecewise constant interpolation of scalar attributes is done with the simple code in Listing 3.10.

Given a cell index c, the first method getCOScalar(int) returns the corresponding cell scalar attribute. Cell attributes are stored as a vector of floats. The second method getCOScalar(int,float,float) performs the piecewise constant interpolation of the scalar data, given a cell index and a location within that cell. This basically amounts to returning the cell scalar value.

For piecewise linear interpolation of scalar attributes, we add the code shown in Listing 3.11 to the Grid class. The first method getC1Scalar(int) returns the sample value stored at a given grid vertex sample point, i.e., implements what is usually called *vertex data*. As for the cell data, this function returns a reference, so one can both read and write the vertex scalar attributes. The second method getC1Scalar(int,float*) performs the piecewise linear interpolation of the scalar data, given a cell index c and a world coordinate location p (given as a 2float vector) within that cell, i.e., it implements Equation (3.9). In this function, the MAX_CELL_SIZE constant represents the maximum number of vertices in a cell, which is four for all 2D cells, and eight for all 3D cells discussed earlier. The method world2cell performs the transformation from world coordinates p to parametric coordinates q. Finally, the function Phi models the per-vertex basis functions of the considered cell.

Vector attributes. Similar methods can be implemented for vector data. We next illustrate this for two-dimensional vector attributes. As for the grid type, extending this to higher-dimensional attributes is a simple exercise. For a dataset having N cells, one typically allocates a vector<float> co_vectors having 2N

public:

```
float& getC1Scalar(int v)
    { return c1_scalars[v]; }
float getC1Scalar(int c, float* p)
    {
        int cell[MAX_CELL_SIZE];
        int C = getCell(c, cell);
        float q[2], f=0;
        world2cell(c,p,q);
        for(int i=0;i<C;i++)
            f += getC1Scalar(cell[i])*Phi(i,q[0],q[1]);
        return f;
    }
}</pre>
```

protected :

vector <float > c1_scalars;

Listing 3.11. Implementing linear interpolation for scalar data.

float elements such that the vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y)$ for cell *i* is stored at locations 2i, 2i + 1 in the container. In pure object-oriented fashion, it is tempting to consider manipulating vectors via some Vector class and thus storing vector attributes as a vector<Vector> co_vectors instead of a plain float array. However, most visualization application implementations will not use this model, since it complicates the code significantly. Also, frequent operations on attributes, such as iteration, creating and copying attribute arrays, and reading and writing components of such an array will become slower when using the extra structuring level implied by a Vector class instance.

The implementation of nearest-neighbor interpolation for vector data in Listing 3.12 is basically identical to nearest-neighbor interpolation of scalars (Listing 3.10).

public:

```
float* getC0Vector(int c)
        { return &(c0_vectors [2*c]); }
float getC0Vector(int c, float x, float y)
        { return getC0Vector(c); }
```

protected:

```
vector <float > c0_vectors;
```

Listing 3.12. Implementing nearest neighbor interpolation for vector data.

```
public:
float* getC1Vector(int v)
           { return \&(c1\_vectors[2*v]); }
void
       getC1Vector(int c,float* p,float* v)
             int cell[MAX_CELL_SIZE];
             int C = getCell(c, cell);
             float q[2];
             world2cell(c,p,q);
             v[0] = v[1] = 0;
             for (int i=0; i<C; i++)
             ł
               float* vi = getC1Vector(cell[i]);
                         += vi [0] * Phi(i,q[0],q[1]);
               v [0]
                         += vi [1] * Phi(i, q[0], q[1]);
               v[1]
             }
           }
```

protected :

vector <float > c1_vectors;

Listing 3.13. Implementing linear interpolation for vector attributes.

Listing 3.13 shows the implementation of linear interpolation for vector attributes. Just as for cell vector attributes, vertex vector attributes are best stored consecutively component-wise in a float array c1_vectors. The first method getC1Vector(int) returns a pointer to the first component v_x of a vertex's vector attribute. The second method getC1Vector(int,float*,float*) performs the piecewise linear interpolation of the vector data over a cell, similar to the analogous getC1Scalar method for scalar data, and returns the interpolated vector in a user-provided float array v.

Storing and interpolating other attribute types than scalars and vectors, such as normals, colors, and tensors follows the same implementation patterns as illustrated above, so we leave this also as a (slightly more complex) exercise for the interested reader.

Storing several attribute instances. In actual production code, a dataset needs to support *several* instances of all the attribute types. This is required when a dataset needs to store a variable number of attributes of a given type, for instance a pressure and a temperature scalar field. This requires an interface by which the user can specify which of the instances of a certain attribute type is to be used. A simple and effective solution is to store the attribute vectors in

an associative array that can be addressed by a unique name. With STL, this can be done for scalar attributes by using a map<string,vector<float>*>, for example. Given an attribute name as a string (for example, "temperature"), the map class returns a reference to the corresponding vector<float> that stores the actual data, or null if there is no such attribute. Similar associative arrays can be constructed for every attribute type.

3.9 Advanced Data Representation

In the preceding sections, we have seen how to reconstruct piecewise constant and piecewise linear functions from sampled datasets, represented on grids with various cell types, using constant and linear basis functions. For the vast majority of data visualization applications, these representations are sufficient. However, there are situations when more advanced forms of data manipulation and representation are needed. In this section, first, we will describe the task of data *resampling*, which is used in the process of converting information between different types of datasets that have different sample points, cells, or basis functions (Section 3.9.1). Then we will describe the process of interpolating data provided as a set of scattered points, in case we do not have cell information (Section 3.9.2).

3.9.1 Data Resampling

In our height-plot visualization example in Chapter 2, we saw several instances of reconstructing functions from a sampled dataset. We used piecewise linear interpolation for the polygonal surface itself. We used piecewise constant interpolation for the flat shading and piecewise linear interpolation for the Gouraud shading, respectively. Finally, we used piecewise constant interpolation for the surface normals. We saw in Chapter 2 that we need normal values at the polygon vertices, the vertex normals, to do the Gouraud shading of the surface. However, piecewise constant normals, i.e., the polygon normals themselves, are discontinuous at the polygon vertices—actually, over the complete polygon edges—so we cannot use them as approximations for the vertex normals. How can we compute vertex normal values from the known polygon normals?

The answer to this question is provided by a more general operation on discrete datasets, called *resampling*. Consider a *source* dataset $\mathcal{D} = (\{p_i\}, \{c_i\}, \{f_i\}, \{\Phi_i\})$ and a *target* dataset $\mathcal{D}' = (\{p'_i\}, \{c'_i\}, \{f'_i\}, \{\Phi_i\})$, which approximate both the same continuous function $f : D \to C$, but where the source grid $(\{p_i\}, \{c_i\})$ differs from the target grid $(\{p'_i\}, \{c'_i\})$. Resampling computes the



Figure 3.18. Converting cell to vertex attributes. The vertex value f'_i equals $\frac{A_1f_1+A_2f_2}{A_1+A_2}$, the area-weighted average of the cell values using vertex *i*.

values f'_i of the target dataset as function of the values f_i of the source dataset. For simplicity, we assume that both datasets use the same set of basis functions Φ_i , although this is not a strict requirement.

Cell to vertex resampling. Let us now consider a common resampling operation in data visualization: converting cell attributes (f_i) to vertex attributes (f'_i) . Cell attributes imply the use of constant basis functions Φ_i , as discussed in Section 3.2. Vertex attributes, in contrast, imply the use of higher-order basis functions, such as linear ones. On the other hand, we want the sample points of the target grid cells (which are the target grid vertices) to be identical to the source cell vertices for the two grids to match, as shown in Figure 3.18 for a one-dimensional grid.

A desirable property of resampling a function \tilde{f} is that it should lead to a function \tilde{f}' that is close to \tilde{f} over the whole domain D. Mathematically, this can be expressed as

$$\int_{c'_i} (\tilde{f}' - \tilde{f})^2 ds \approx 0, \quad \forall \text{ cells } c'_i \in \mathcal{D}'.$$
(3.41)

That is, the integrals of the original function \tilde{f} and the resampled function \tilde{f}' are equal over all cells c'_i of the target grid. Using the normality property of the basis functions (see Equation (3.5)), we obtain after some computations that

$$f'_{i} = \frac{\sum_{c_{j} \in cells(p_{i})} A(c_{j}) f_{j}}{\sum_{c_{j} \in cells(p_{i})} A(c_{j})},$$
(3.42)

where $A(c_j)$ is the area of source grid cell c_j and $cells(p_i)$ are all cells that have

point p_i as vertex. In other words, vertex data is the area-weighted average of the cell data in the cells that use a given vertex. Equation (3.42) is identical to Equation (2.8) used in Section 2.2 to compute vertex normals for Gouraud shading from the polygon normals.

Vertex to cell resampling. Using similar reasoning, we can compute the conversion formula from vertex attributes (f'_i) to cell attributes (f_i) as being

$$f_i = \frac{\sum_{p_j \in \text{ points}(c_i)} f'_j}{C}, \qquad (3.43)$$

where points(c_i) denotes all points p_j that are vertices of cell c_i and $C = |\text{points}(c_i)|$ is the number of vertices of cell c_i . In other words, cell attributes are the average of the cell's vertex attributes.

In conclusion, we can always convert between cell attributes and vertex attributes. However, this does not mean that a dataset with cell attributes is *identical* to one with vertex attributes. As explained previously, cell attributes imply using piecewise constant interpolation, whereas vertex attributes imply a higher-order interpolation, such as linear. Resampling data from, e.g., cells to vertices increases the assumed continuity. If our original sampled data were indeed continuous of that order, no problem appears. However, if the original data contained, e.g., zero-order discontinuities, such as jumps or holes, resampling it to a higher-continuity grid also throws away discontinuities which might have been a feature of the data and not a sampling artifact. An example of this delicate problem is given in Section 9.4.7. In contrast, resampling from a higher continuity (e.g., vertex data) to a lower continuity (e.g., cell data) has fewer side effects—overall, the smoothness of the data decreases globally.

Subsampling and supersampling. Data resampling is not limited to converting between cell and vertex samples. Two other frequently used resampling operations are *subsampling* and *supersampling*. Subsampling is a resampling operation that reduces the number of sample points. Subsampling is useful when we are interested in optimizing the processing speed and memory demands of a visualization application by working with smaller datasets. In most cases, the subsampled dataset uses the same basis functions as the original dataset and its points are actually a subset of the original dataset points. However, this is not mandatory. After eliminating a certain number of sample points, subsampling operations can choose to redistribute the remaining points in order to obtain a better approximation of the original data in terms of the integral criterion defined earlier. Subsampling implementations can take advantage of the dataset topology. For example, on uniform, rectilinear, and structured grids, an oftenused subsampling technique is to keep every kth point along every dimension and discard the remaining ones. This technique, called *uniform subsampling*, is simple to implement and quite effective when the original dataset is densely sampled with respect to the data variation. However, uniform subsampling makes no assumptions about the spatial data variation, so it might discard important features, such as regions where the data changes rapidly, together with less important, constant regions. Given the way most interpolation functions (such as constant and linear) reconstruct the data, a desirable property of subsampling is to keep most samples in the regions of rapid data variation and cull most samples from the regions of slow data variation. In Section 8.4, we shall present several subsampling methods applicable to both structured and unstructured datasets.

Supersampling (also called *refinement*) is the inverse of subsampling. Here, more sample points are created from an existing dataset. Similar to subsampling, the supersampled dataset usually includes all the original dataset points and uses the same basis functions, although this is not mandatory. Supersampling is useful in several situations when we need to manipulate or create information on a dataset at a level of detail, or scale, that is below the one captured by the sampling frequency, or density, of that dataset. In that case, we introduce more points by supersampling, and then use these to encode the desired detail information. The counterpart of uniform subsampling is *uniform supersampling*, which introduces k points into every cell of the original dataset. Similar to subsampling, an efficient supersampling implementation usually inserts extra points only in those spatial regions of the dataset where we need to further add extra information. We shall discuss several supersampling methods in Section 8.4.

3.9.2 Scattered Point Interpolation

So far, our definition of a sampled dataset has been based on a grid of cells that represent a tiling of the data domain (see Section 3.2). However, there are situations when we would like to avoid constructing or storing such a grid. Such a case occurs when you have measured some data at some given points that have a complex spatial distribution, and you have no explicit cell information that would connect the points into a tiling of some domain. A classical example is 3D surface scanning, where laser devices are used to measure the position of a set of points on the surface of a 3D object. For complex object surfaces, this process delivers a scattered 3D point set, also called a *point cloud*, with optional surface normal and surface color per-point attributes. Hence, all the data we have to work with are the points and their corresponding data values $\{p_i, f_i\}$. For the scanning example, the data values f_i are the surface normals and/or colors measured by the scanner device.

Remember, the goal of a dataset is to allow reconstructing some continuous signal from a sampled representation. In our surface scanning example, how can we reconstruct a smooth surface \tilde{S} if all we are given is the point set $\{p_i\}$ with optional normal or color data $\{f_i\}$? Recalling the reconstruction formula (see Equation (3.2)), we need to define some basis functions ϕ_i at the sample points p_i . There are several ways to do this.

Constructing a grid from scattered points. First, we can construct a grid from the point set. The way this is done depends on the meaning of the point samples p_i . If these points come from the sampling of some supposedly smooth 3D surface, we can construct an unstructured grid with 2D cells, e.g., triangles, which have p_i as vertices, and which approximate a smooth surface as much as possible. Several *triangulation methods* exist to do this. We shall describe such method in more detail in Section 8.3. Once we have this grid, we can use the constant or linear interpolation functions described earlier in this chapter.

Gridless interpolation. A second way is to avoid constructing a grid altogether. This has several advantages. Constructing unstructured grids from large, complex 3D point clouds can be a delicate process. Storing the grid can be a high burden for very large datasets. As described in Section 3.5.4, storing the cell information can double the amount of memory required in the worst case. Moreover, our point set can change in time, because it represents some moving object or because we would like to process it with geometric modeling operations such as editing, filtering, or deformations. Triangulating the point set to compute a grid every time the points change can be a very costly operation. Finally, if we have a large point set representing a complex 3D surface, visualizing it by rendering the corresponding triangulation may not be the fastest option. In such cases, we can do better by using a gridless point representation.

How can we reconstruct a continuous function from a scattered point set without recurring to an explicit grid? What we need is a set of gridless basis functions, which should respect the properties in Equations (3.4) and (3.5) as much as possible. There are several ways to construct such functions. A frequently used choice for gridless basis functions is *radial basis functions*, or RBFs. These are functions $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$, which depend only on the distance

$$r = \|x\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2} \tag{3.44}$$

between the current point $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the origin. Moreover, RBFs $\Phi(r)$ smoothly drop from one at their origin (r = 0) to a vanishing value for large values of the distance r.

In practice, we would like to limit the effect of a basis function to its immediate neighborhood. This is both computationally efficient and ensures that a data point p contributes only to the interpolation of its immediate neighborhood, thus does not unnecessarily smooth out the information present in the data points which are far away from p. To do this, we specify a radius of influence R, or support radius, beyond which Φ is equal to zero. In this setup, a common RBF is the Gaussian function

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda r^2}, & r < R, \\ 0, & r \ge R. \end{cases}$$
(3.45)

The parameter $\lambda \geq 0$ in Equation (3.45) controls the decay speed, or the shape, of the radial basis functions. Setting $\lambda = 0$ yields constant cylinder-shaped radial functions, which are equivalent to the constant basis functions we used for gridbased datasets. Higher values of λ yield faster-decaying functions. For d = 2, that is, on a 2D domain, the graph of such a radial basis function looks like our visualization running example introduced in Chapter 2. Besides Gaussian functions, we can use other radial basis functions too. Another popular choice are inverse distance functions defined as

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+r^2}, & r < R, \\ 0, & r \ge R. \end{cases}$$
(3.46)

In practice, we would like to make the support radius R variable for each sample point p_i . The radius values R_i , i.e., values of R used for all points p_i , control the influence of the sample point p_i . Higher values of R_i yield smoother reconstructions \tilde{S} , since more RBFs overlap at a given point. However, this has a higher computational cost and, as already said, smooths out data more. Lower values of R_i yield less-smooth reconstructions, but higher performance. In the limit, setting $R_i = 0$ for all points yields the scattered point set where \tilde{S} coincides with the sample point locations p_i and is zero everywhere else. For uniformly sampled point sets, we can set $R_i = 1/\sqrt{\rho}$, where ρ is the surface point density, measured in points per surface unit area. For nonuniformly sampled point sets, we can set R_i to the average interpoint distance in the neighborhood of point p_i . A simple heuristic here is to set R_i to the distance from p_i to its K^{th} closest neighbor, for small values of K. This ensures that any point p_i "spreads" its information far enough as to cover the empty space between itself and its K closest neighbors, but no further. Using the reference RBF Φ and the per-point support radius values R_i , we can define the global RBFs $\phi_i(x) = \Phi(T_i^{-1}(x))$, just as we did for the grid-based interpolation. The inverse transform T^{-1} that maps from the world space to the reference RBF space is just a translation from the current sample point p_i to the origin and a scaling of the distance r with a factor of R/R_i .

Performance issues. Once we have our global RBFs ϕ_i , we can reconstruct our surface S just as with grid-based approaches (see Equation (3.2)). Given a point p, we shall sum only those basis functions ϕ_k that are nonzero at p. In the case of a grid-based interpolation, finding these basis functions was trivial, as they were the functions associated with the vertices of the cell that contained p. In the case of radial basis functions, we must find all the K nearest sample points $N = \{p_1, \ldots, p_j, \ldots, p_K\}$ to p so that $||p - p_j|| < R_j$, since only the basis functions ϕ_j have a nonzero contribution at p. One way to find N is to store all sample points p_i in a spatial search structure such as a kd-tree [Bentley 75, Samet 90, Mount 06]. Given next a point p, we search for all neighbors $B = \{p_j\}$ of p so that $||p - p_j|| < R(p)$, eliminate from these the neighbors $F = \{p_j | p_j \in B\}$ where $||p-p_i|| > R_i$, and use the RBFs ϕ_i of the remaining neighbors $p_i \in N = B \setminus F$ to evaluate Equation (3.2). Here, R(p) is the distance from p to its farthest neighbor p_i that can influence p. This can be set, conservatively, to the largest value of R_j over our entire point set. Searching for all neighbors of a point p located closer than a given distance is also called *range search*, as opposed to the K nearest-neighbor search that returns the K closest points to p. However, a technical problem of range search is that the number K = |B| of nearest neighbors produced by it is variable per point, and may be very large for points located in dense areas of our point cloud. A typical solution for this is to trade off reconstruction accuracy for speed by upper-bounding K by a fixed value K_{max} .

Spatial search structures provide efficient retrieval of the nearest neighbors and range neighbors at any given location. A good, scalable implementation of such a search structure is provided by the Approximate Nearest Neighbor (ANN) library [Mount 06]. In some sense, such a data structure plays the role of a grid, i.e., it lets us find which are the sample points that affect a given spatial region. Following the analogy, a grid cell is equivalent to the set of K nearest neighbors of a point. And just as when using grids, we must update our search data structure when our point set changes by reinserting the sample points that have moved. This is an operation that can be done efficiently [Mount 06, Clarenz et al. 04].

Shepard interpolation. Radial basis functions with compact support are an efficient and effective way to smoothly interpolate scattered point data. However, unless extra constraints are set on the support radius values R_i and function shape parameter λ , these functions are not by definition orthogonal and normal, as the constant and linear basis functions defined on a grid were. This means that the reconstruction \tilde{S} may not exactly interpolate the points p_i . Overly large values for R_i yield an overestimated \tilde{S} that shows up as an oversmoothed reconstruction. Conversely, overly small values for R_i yield a good fit between \tilde{S} and the sample points p_i , but the signal \tilde{S} may exhibit a characteristic "wavy" appearance, due to the violation of the partition of unity property (see Equation (3.5)). For computing RBFs that respect the partition of unity property and also yield smooth reconstructions, we recommend studying the references at the end of this section.

A simple solution that offers a second-best alternative to orthogonal and normal basis functions is to constrain the results of the interpolation to lie in the range of the sample positions p_i , as follows. Given K nearest neighbors p_1, \ldots, p_K of some point p, where K is a fixed value for all points, we compute $\tilde{S}(p)$ as

$$\tilde{S}(p) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i \phi_i(p)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \phi_i(p)}.$$
(3.47)

When using the inverse distance basis functions (see Equation (3.46)), this method is called Shepard's interpolation method [Shepard 68]. Shepard's interpolation produces results which are very similar to Gaussian RBF methods.

Figure 3.19 shows an example. The input data consists of a 2D scattered point cloud, with scalar data values f_i located at the points p_i . Figure 3.19(a) shows a naive visualization where each point is colored by its data value, using a blue-to-green-to-red color mapping. Hence, low value points are rendered with cold colors (blue hues); intermediate values appear as green, and high values are rendered with warm colors (orange, yellow, and red).⁷ Figure 3.19(a) corresponds to an interpolation $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ of our data points computed with radius values $R_i = 1$ pixel for all points. As visible, we can see the data values f_i at the locations of the data points p_i , and we also notice that the point cloud has a highly nonuniform density. The white space surrounding the colored points indicates that no interpolation is practically done at other locations.

Figures 3.19(b-d) show the effect of Shepard interpolation computed by

$$\tilde{f}(p \in \mathbb{R}^2) = \frac{\sum_{p_i \in N_{R(p)}} f_i e^{-\lambda \left(\frac{\|p-p_i\|}{R(p)}\right)^2}}{\sum_{p_i \in N_{R(p)}} e^{-\lambda \left(\frac{\|p-p_i\|}{R(p)}\right)^2}}.$$
(3.48)

⁷This technique, called *rainbow* color mapping, is described in further detail in Section 5.1.



Figure 3.19. Shepard interpolation of a scalar signal from a 2D point cloud.

The value λ is set to 5, ensuring that the Gaussian RBF $\Phi(r)$ reaches a near-zero value for a distance r = 1. The set $N_{R(p)}$ contains all neighbors p_i located within a radius R(p) to the current point p where we want to evaluate \tilde{f} . R(p) is set to the distance d(p) between p and its closest data point p_i plus a small usercontrolled factor ϵ , i.e., $R(p) = d(p) + \epsilon$. This way, the interpolation $\tilde{f}(p)$ depends only on the data points p_i which are closest to p, or in other words, adapts itself to the local point density. The parameter ϵ allows controlling the smoothing amount present in the interpolation. For additional insight, we visualize the distance d(p) by modulating the color saturation by a value of $1 - d(p)/R_{max}$, where R_{max} indicates the maximum distance from the point cloud up to which we wish to interpolate \tilde{f} . Saturated colors indicate thus locations close to data points, where it makes sense to compute \tilde{f} . White pixels indicate locations further away from any input data point than R_{max} , thus areas where we do not want to evaluate \tilde{f} . In Figure 3.19(b), ϵ is set to a distance equivalent to a few pixels. The colored area in the figure indicates the spatial extent over which we interpolate \tilde{f} . Since R_{max} is larger than the average interpoint distance in the input cloud, the locations "inside" the point cloud's extent get all interpolated. We notice now how \tilde{f} exhibits two low-value zones (dark blue regions in Figure 3.19(b)). We also notice a few high-value outliers (red spots in Figure 3.19(b)). Given that ϵ is small, the interpolated signal \tilde{f} is relatively unsmooth, but in the same time is able to preserve small-scale detail such as the high-value outliers mentioned before. Figures 3.19(c,d) show the same interpolation, now using increasingly larger values for ϵ . The result \tilde{f} now becomes increasingly smoother, but is less able to capture small-scale local outliers. The values $\tilde{f}(p_i)$ at the data points p_i become increasingly different from the input data values f_i , due to the smoothing effect of the larger radius values R(p). For instance, the value of the top-left outlier point (orange in Figure 3.19(b)) becomes relatively lower, as compared to its neighbors, in Figures 3.19.

Summarizing, we can represent data purely as scattered point sets $\{p_i\}$ carrying optional data attributes $\{f_i\}$. Some visualization texts call such representations unstructured point datasets. However, if the function of a dataset is to provide a (piecewise) continuous reconstruction of its data samples using some variant of Equation (3.2), we need to specify also a choice for the basis functions Φ_i to have a complete dataset (p_i, f_i, Φ_i) . Several such functions can be used in practice, such as radial basis functions. To efficiently perform the reconstruction, search methods are needed that return the sample points p_i located in the neighborhood of a given point p.

Scattered data interpolation and approximation is an extensive subject. More information on this can be found in specialized references such as the recent book by Wendland [Wendland 06]. For a shorter, but still rigorous mathematical treatment of meshless interpolation methods, see [Belytschko et al. 96].

3.10 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have presented the fundamental issues involved in representing data for visualization applications. Visualization data is produced, in many cases, by sampling a continuous signal defined over a compact spatial domain. The combination of the signal sample values, the discrete representation of the signal domain, and the mechanisms used to reconstruct a (piecewise) continuous approximation of the signal is called a *dataset*. Virtually all data-processing operations in the visualization process involve using the discrete representation provided by the dataset. Hence, having generic, efficient, and accurate ways to represent and manipulate datasets is of utmost importance.

In practice, the signal domain is discretized in a grid that contains a set of cells defined by the sample points. The data samples, also called *data attributes*, are stored at these points, and can be of several types. The most used are numerical types which permit interpolation: scalar, vector, color, and tensor. Together with these cells, basis functions are provided for signal reconstruction. The most-used basis functions in practice are constant and linear, given the simplicity of implementation and direct support in the graphics hardware.

Several types of grids provide different trade-offs between representation flexibility and storage and computational costs. The most-used types in practice are uniform, rectilinear, structured, and unstructured grids. Finally, gridless interpolation methods exist as well. These provide signal reconstruction from the sample point avoiding the construction and storage of cells altogether. To provide this extra flexibility, special spatial search data structures are required for fast location of neighbor points.

Visualization data can also originate from different sources than sampling a continuous signal. Data attributes such as text, images, or relations are purely discrete, and often not defined on a spatial domain. Such datasets form the target of information visualization applications, and are separately described in Chapter 11.

In the following chapters, we shall see how the various aspects described in this chapter (sampling and reconstruction, interpolation and basis functions, grids and cells) will be reflected in the construction of different types of visualization applications. This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 4

The Visualization Pipeline

N Chapter 2, we showed the basic steps of a visualization application and also sketched a simple data representation for the function graphing problem. In Chapter 3, we described in detail how to represent discrete, sampled data. We introduced several dataset types that offer different trade-offs between representation flexibility and storage and implementation requirements. At this point, we should have a good understanding of how to represent the data we are interested in visualizing. The focus of this chapter is to present the structure of a complete visualization application, both from a conceptual and a practical perspective.

We begin our discussion with a conceptual description of the structure of visualization applications (Section 4.1), which introduces the four main ingredients of such an application: data importing, data filtering and enrichment, data mapping, and data rendering. Next, we describe several implementation considerations of this conceptual structure (Section 4.2). Section 4.3 discusses a classification of the various algorithms used in the visualization process. Finally, we conclude our analysis on the structure of visualization applications (Section 4.4).

4.1 Conceptual Perspective

As explained in Chapter 1, the role of visualization is to create images that convey various types of insight into a given process. The process is illustrated conceptually in Figure 1.3. The visualization process consists of the sequence of steps, or operations, that manipulate the data produced by the process under



Figure 4.1. Functional view on the visualization pipeline.

study and ultimately deliver the desired images. On both the conceptual and the design level, this divide-and-conquer strategy in designing visualizations allows one to manage the complexity of the whole process. On the implementation level, this strategy allows us to construct visualizations by assembling reusable and modular data-processing operations, much as in other fields of software engineering. Given this modular decomposition, the visualization process can be seen as a *pipeline* consisting of several *stages*, each modeled by a specific data transformation operation. The input data "flows" through this pipeline, being transformed in various ways, until it generates the output images. Given this model, the sequence of data transformations that take place in the visualization process is often called the *visualization pipeline*.

The visualization pipeline typically has four stages: data importing, data filtering and enrichment, data mapping, and data rendering. These are illustrated schematically in Figure 4.1, and are detailed next.

In order to better understand the various operations that take place in the visualization pipeline, we can use a functional description of this process. Conceptually, we can see the visualization pipeline as a function Vis that maps between \mathbb{D}_I , the set of all possible types of raw input data, and the set \mathbb{I} of produced images:

$$Vis: \mathbb{D}_I \to \mathbb{I}.$$
 (4.1)

By (interactively) examining the produced image, users should be able to obtain insight into the original raw data and answer questions about phenomena captured by this data. Conceptually, we can also model this process by a function *Insight* that maps from the output image to the input data, in inverse direction to the Vis function:

$$Insight: \mathbb{I} \to \mathbb{D}_I. \tag{4.2}$$

For simplicity and conciseness, we have described *Insight* as a function mapping from images to raw data. In full detail, *Insight* maps from the produced images to the actual *questions* the user has about the raw data, which are not necessarily one-to-one with the data itself. We shall detail this point further in Section 4.1.3. For the time being, it is sufficient to understand that the process of getting insight goes in an inverse direction to the visualization pipeline itself.

The user's feedback that connects the images with the original problem (the bottom blue arrow in Figure 4.1) is not limited to insight only. When visually monitoring a live process that generates data, the user may want to *steer* the process on a given path by changing its parameters. Applications that provide such facilities effectively close the loop between the visualization output and the application's inputs. If the complete round trip is executed in (sub)second time, the user effectively steers the process at hand by means of visual feedback. This process, called *computational steering*, is implemented by several visualization software applications, such as SciRUN [SCIRun 07], CUMULVS [CUMULVS 07, Geist et al. 97], and CSE [van Liere et al. 12, van Liere and van Wijk 96].

Obviously, not all Vis functions of the type sketched in Equation (4.1) are equally relevant for our goal of getting insight into, or understanding, the desired aspects of our dataset. Moreover, for real-world, complex application scenarios, it is quite plausible that we cannot construct the desired visualization function in a single step. The various steps of the visualization pipeline shown in Figure 4.1 correspond to specific subfunctions, each taking care of a specific concern. The concatenation, or composition, of these subfunctions yields the desired visualization Vis.

Figure 4.2 shows a necessarily simplified view of the actual complex interactions that involve the user, visualization application, input data, and produced images by this application, as well as the obtained insight. Many other factors are of importance in establishing qualitative and quantitative dependencies be-



Figure 4.2. The visualization process seen as a composition of functions.

)

tween these elements, such as the actual domain-specific knowledge of the user; the way insight builds up by executing the visualization process described in Figure 4.1 repeatedly for the same, or different, inputs; and the type of user interaction that allows various parameters of this process to be interactively tuned, to name just a few. Different models for the way visualization works have been presented, which incorporate these factors up to different extents and in different ways [Schroeder et al. 06, van Wijk 05].

In the following sections, we shall detail the four steps of the visualization pipeline presented in Figure 4.1 and, at the same time, discuss the desirable properties of their corresponding functions.

4.1.1 Importing Data

First, we have to be able to *import* our input data into the visualization process. Conceptually, this implies finding a representation of the original information we want to investigate in terms of a dataset, be it continuous or discrete. In functional terms, importing the input data maps the raw information \mathbb{D}_I that is available at the beginning of the visualization process to a dataset $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{D}$, such as the ones described in Chapter 3. Here, \mathbb{D} represents the set of all supported datasets of a given visualization process. If we follow the data representations given in Chapter 3, \mathbb{D} consists of uniform, rectilinear, structured, and unstructured datasets. Importing data can be described by the function

$$Import: \mathbb{D}_I \to \mathbb{D}. \tag{4.3}$$

Practically, importing data means choosing a specific dataset implementation and converting the original information \mathbb{D}_I to the representation implied by the chosen dataset type \mathbb{D} . Ideally, *Import* is a one-to-one mapping, such as reading the input data from some external storage, such as a file or a database, or from a live data source, such as a measuring device, a scanner, or an analog-to-digital converter. A direct mapping can happen only if the chosen dataset type \mathbb{D} can directly represent the input data \mathbb{D}_I . In practice, however, data importing can imply translating between different data storage formats, resampling the data from the continuous to the discrete domain, like we did in Section 2.1, or from one resolution and/or grid type to another, as discussed in Section 3.9.1. For our height-plot example introduced in Section 2.1, our input data is a continuous function $f(x, y) \to \mathbb{R}$, and the import operation involves sampling it on the desired dataset, such as a regular grid.

The choices made during data importing determine the quality of the resulting images, and thus the effectiveness of the visualization. For example, if the imported data is incorrect, incomplete, uncertain, of low quality, or the importing method throws away information from the original data, it is in general very hard (and sometimes impossible) to completely restore the data quality later in the visualization pipeline. For this reason, the data importing step should try to preserve as much of the available input information as possible, and make as few assumptions as possible about what is important and what is not. Concerns such as simplifying or filtering the data should be addressed in the next steps of the visualization process. We illustrated these considerations in Chapter 2 by showing how the quality of the height plot is affected by the choice of the grid type and sampling density.

4.1.2 Data Filtering and Enrichment

Once we have imported the data, we must decide which are its important aspects, or features, we are interested in. In most cases, the imported data is not one-to-one with the aspects we want to get insight into. This is inherent to our choice of importing data "as is." Usually, raw data do not model directly the aspects targeted by our questions. If it were so, we would not need visualization at all, as we could just directly query the input data and get the desired answers. Visualization is useful when the subject of our questions involves more complex features than directly modeled by the input data. Hence, we must somehow distill our raw dataset into more appropriate representations, also called *enriched* datasets, which encode our features of interest in a more appropriate form for analysis and visualization. This process is called *data filtering* or *data enriching*, since it performs two tasks: On one hand, data is filtered to extract relevant information. On the other hand, data is enriched with higher-level information that supports a given task. In the literature, these two operations are typically not discussed separately, but amalgamated in a single stage of the visualization pipeline. Given this, we shall use the terms "filtering" and "enrichment" interchangeably, unless specified otherwise explicitly in the context.

Data filtering can be described by the function

$$Filter: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}. \tag{4.4}$$

Both the input (domain) and output (codomain) of the filtering function are datasets, since the filtering function is strictly a data-manipulation operation. This is in contrast to the data importing function and, as we shall see next, to the mapping and rendering functions as well.

We next give several example contexts where data filtering is necessary.

See what is relevant. Often, we are not interested in the properties of the complete input dataset, but only in those of a specific *subset of interest* that is relevant for a given task. For example, medical specialists are interested in seeing only specific anatomical structures related to a certain condition, which are a subset of the entire dataset they obtain from scanning devices such as CT or MRI scanners. Financial analysts may want to focus on the behavior of only a small subset of companies of interest, given a large set of stock exchange data containing the stock prices of thousands of companies. Selecting a subset of interest can be done in the spatial domain, in the attribute value domain, or in a combination of both. For our height-plot example, computing derivatives of the sampled dataset, as described in Section 3.7, is an example of a filtering operation.

Handle large data. Another reason for data filtering is the fact that input datasets can be overwhelmingly large. This makes efficient processing difficult, which can be a serious problem when users require interactive visualization applications. A more fundamental problem related to size is the limited output resolution of the typical computer screens used by visualization applications. Think of the simple example of displaying a two-dimensional colored image. This is an instance of visualizing a 2D dataset that has color attributes specified per cell (or pixel). If the input datasets exceed a certain size, the visualization will produce output images that no longer fit a given screen. One solution used in practice is *zooming*, i.e., subsampling the input image and displaying only a subset of its pixels that captures the overall characteristics of the complete dataset. The complementary solution, *panning*, i.e., selecting a subset of the input image at its original resolution, can also be seen as a form of data filtering.

Ease of use. A final reason for data filtering is *convenience*. It is very hard, if not practically impossible, to describe the entire palette of data-processing operations involved in the visualization process in terms of a single data representation or dataset type. Hence, datasets are usually transformed from one form to the other during the visualization process, such that they fit the data representation required by the processing operations we want to apply. Practically, this implies working with different types of datasets that have different dimensionalities, cells, grids, interpolation functions, and attribute values. Consider again our height-plot example. If a given visualization package provides only derivative computation on triangle cells, and our data comes as a quad grid, we need to convert the quads into triangles in order to be able to use the respective package.

4.1.3 Mapping Data

The filtering operation produces an enriched dataset that should directly represent the features of interest for a specific exploration task. Once we have this representation, we must map it to the visual domain. We do this by associating elements of the visual domain with the data elements present in the enriched dataset. This step of the visualization process is called *mapping* and can be modeled by the function

$$Map: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}_V.$$
 (4.5)

This function takes a dataset $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{D}$ and maps it to a dataset of visual features \mathcal{D}_V . The easiest way to think of visual features is to imagine them as subsets of a visual domain \mathbb{D}_V , i.e., $\mathcal{D}_V \in \mathbb{D}_V$. The visual domain \mathbb{D}_V is a multidimensional space whose axes, or dimensions, are those elements that we perceive as quasiindependent visual attributes.¹ Examples of these axes are shape, position, size, color, texture, shading, and motion. Clearly, there are cases when these visual attributes are not independent, but overlap—think of texture, color, and shading, for instance. However, the principle remains the same. Hence, a visual feature is a colored, shaded, textured, and animated 2D or 3D shape. In rendering parlance, a visual feature dataset \mathcal{D}_V is a 2D or 3D scene and the visual domain \mathbb{D}_V is the set of all possible 2D or 3D scenes.

The actual visual features, thus the actual function Map used in a specific application depend very much on the purpose of the visualization, specifics of the data, and ultimately on the preference of the designer of that visualization. For our height-plot example, the mapping operation takes the sampled dataset that represents our function and constructs a set of polygons that represent a height surface. In this case, we *mapped* the actual dataset extent to the *xy*-coordinates of a polygonal surface, and the height attribute of the dataset points to the *z*coordinate. The 3D coordinates of the polygonal surface are the visual features that encode our dataset extent and height-scalar attribute (see Figure 4.3).

Mapping vs. rendering. When reading the above description of the mapping operation, one question arises: Why do not we directly map our dataset $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{D}$ to the final Image $\in \mathbb{I}$, i.e., what is the use of the intermediate visual feature dataset \mathcal{D}_V ? And yet, this part of the visualization pipeline is usually split in two steps: mapping (discussed in this section) and rendering (discussed in the next section). There are several good reasons for this splitting, as follows:

¹This relationship is long known. In [Bertin 77], the visualization design (equivalent to our mapping function) is defined as the linking of the input dataset dimensions to the so-called visual variables (equivalent to our visual attributes).



Figure 4.3. The direct and inverse mapping in the visualization process.

- Purpose: Mapping encodes explicit design decisions about *what*, and *how*, we want to visualize. Mapping typically converts "invisible" data to "visible" representations. In contrast, rendering simulates the physical process of lighting a "visible" 3D scene. In other words, mapping specifies those visual attributes that encode actual data, whereas rendering specifies the remaining visual attributes that users can tune to their taste to examine the 3D scene. In our height-plot example, the plot's geometry encodes data, so it is specified at the mapping stage. However, the color of the plot, the viewpoint, and the lighting parameters do not encode actual data, so the user can tune them while examining the 3D scene. This is exactly what happens when the user rotates the 3D plot to view it from different angles, for example.
- Modularity: Both mapping and rendering operations are quite complex. In practice, they consist of numerous substeps that have elaborate implementations. Separating the two operation types (and their implementations) modularizes the visualization pipeline and favors a clean design based on separation of concerns and software reuse. In particular, many graphics methods and their implementations, such as 3D rendering libraries, can be readily (re)used in a visualization application once the rendering step is

separated from the mapping step. Among others, this allows a given visualization pipeline to use different back-end renderers, such as OpenGL, DirectX, or render-to-file formats.

Desirable mapping properties. Data mapping is arguably the visualization pipeline step that is most characteristic for the visualization process. The other pipeline steps largely overlap with techniques present in other disciplines as well: Importing and filtering data can be largely thought of in terms of resampling, projection, restriction, and various other signal processing operations, at least in the case of sampled (scivis) datasets. Rendering is basically nothing more than applying computer graphics techniques, such as coordinate transformations, lighting, texture mapping, and rasterization. In contrast, data mapping targets the quite-specific visualization task of making the invisible and multidimensional data visible and low-dimensional, respectively. To do this, the data mapping function *Map* should try to satisfy several desirable properties.

First, Map should preferably be *injective*. That is, different values $x_1 \neq x_2$ from the dataset \mathcal{D} to be visualized should be mapped to different visual attribute values $Map(x_1) \neq Map(x_2)$ in the visual feature dataset \mathcal{D}_V . This property is essential for the design of an effective visualization. To understand this, think of how users interpret the images produced by visualization applications. When we look at such an image, we want to be able to make some judgments about the original data or parts of it. For example, when we look at the image of the height plot of a function z = f(x, y), we want to be able to say which is the height z of any point (x, y) in the function domain. In other words, we must be able to mentally *invert* the function Vis (or a part of this function) that encoded the initial data into the image features (see Equation (4.1)). For this, we must be able to invert some of the components of this function: the rendering *Render*, mapping *Map*, filtering *Filter*, and data importing *Import* operations. In practice, *Filter* and *Import* may be not invertible. Moreover, we may not want to invert these steps, for example when the elements we are really interested in getting insight into are individualized only after the filtering step. Still, if *Map* and *Render* are invertible, we can make judgments about the enriched datasets \mathcal{D} that model our problem domain, using the rendered images. However, if neither Map nor Render are invertible, it is hard to make discriminative judgments about the data looking at the final image.

Let us detail the process of inversion a bit further. For a 3D scene containing easily recognizable shapes and suitable lighting and viewing parameters, our human vision system should be able to invert the *Render* function; that is, we should be able to recognize which are the 3D visual features \mathcal{D}_V which are
rendered in the 2D image. Of course, this requires the visual feature dataset \mathcal{D}_V to be rendered appropriately. For example, a 3D scene rendered from a bad angle and with low lighting will produce an image in which we may not recognize any of the 3D visual features present in the scene, hence an image which does not tell us anything *insightful*.

We are thus left with inverting Map. For this, we should be able to mentally associate data attribute values $x_i \in \mathcal{D}$ with the visual features \mathcal{D}_V that we have recognized in the rendered images. For example, when we see a point with high *elevation* on our 3D function plot, we should infer the function has a high *value* there (see Figure 4.3). Similarly, when we see a *red* spot on a weather heat map, we should infer the temperature is *high* there. If the function Map is injective, it is invertible over its whole value range, which is what we want.

Inverting the mapping. Nevertheless, having Map invertible from a purely mathematical point of view is sometimes not enough. We must know how, and be able, to do the inversion mentally when we look at the pictures. For this, we must first and foremost know the significance of the visual attributes used in the rendering. That is, we must know how color, shape, position, texture, and the other visual attributes used in the mapping relate to data attributes of interest. This knowledge can be implicit, encoded in well-established conventions that are assumed to be known by all our users. Examples are visualizations that map some attribute to an icon orientation, shape, and size, such as weather maps that use special icons to denote wind speed and direction, sun intensity, and type and strength of rainfall. Other widely spread conventions include the orientation of cartographic maps, where the north is usually placed at the top, and the specific colormaps used to indicate relief forms on these maps, with their typical shades of blue (water courses and lakes), green (fields), light brown (medium heights), dark brown (mountains), and white (peaks), and similarly for traffic signs. This knowledge can be provided also explicitly. For example, most visualizations that map numerical attributes to colors display a *color legend*, which shows how colors correspond to values, and effectively assists the user in doing the mental color-to-value inverse mapping. Colormaps are discussed in detail further in Chapter 5.

Figure 4.4 illustrates the above. Our input dataset consists of a function $f: M \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ which associates to each 2D position on a geographic map M the amount of rainfall and the temperature recorded at that position. To visualize this information, we draw the actual map (domain M of f). The color at each point indicates temperature. The height of the shaded bumps drawn atop of the map indicates the amount of precipitation. Consider now that the



Figure 4.4. Inverse mapping for a weather map visualization.

user selects a point in this visualization, indicated by the circle icon in Figure 4.4: What can we say about the data at that point? To answer this, we must

- know to which point in *M* the selected point maps. This is easy to do, since *M* is mapped one-to-one to our 2D visualization space;
- know how color encodes temperature *and* be able to map each hue in the visualization to a different numerical temperature value. For this, we need an invertible colormap;
- know how height encodes rainfall *and* be able to map each shade of gray in the visualization to a different rainfall value.

Distance preservation. A stronger, and equally useful property used in many visualization applications, is that the function Map tries to preserve distances when mapping from the data to the visual domain. That is, the distance $d(x_1, x_2)$ between any two values x_1 and x_2 in the dataset \mathcal{D} should suggest the distance $d'(Map(x_1), Map(x_2))$ in the visual feature dataset \mathcal{D}_V . The simplest way to do this is to use a direct proportionality relationship between the two. This is useful when we are interested in visually comparing relative values rather than assessing absolute attribute values. For example, looking at our 3D elevation plot, we can tell the relative function values of various data points in the domain by visually comparing their heights in the 3D plot, even though we may have no exact idea about their absolute values. In practice, visualization applications often use *linear* mapping functions to map between some numerical attribute value

and some visual attribute, such as height, position, luminance, or hue. Linear functions are quite effective, since they are invertible, simple to understand, and also preserve distances well between the data and visual spaces. However, linear functions do not work well when the range of distances to be mapped is very large and the data values are not uniformly spread over this range, but clustered in small subranges thereof.

Mapping functions used in visualizations where data is to be measured are sometimes called *measurement mappings* [Lanza and Marinescu 06]. Following measurement theory, a measurement mapping function must fulfill the *representation condition*, which states that such a mapping must "map entities into numbers and empirical relations into numerical relations in such a way that the empirical relations preserve and are preserved by the numerical relations" [Pfleeger et al. 05].

In practice, many *Map* functions are, strictly speaking, not invertible over their entire range, and also do not preserve distances. For instance, the shading used in Figure 4.4 to map rainfall values can show only a small number of different values, since both our visual system and the graphics hardware used to render that image can distinguish, respectively display, only a limited set of gray shades. This is not a problem as long as the introduced deviations do not make users draw incorrect conclusions from what they see. As always, evaluations of the effectiveness of a mapping function can only be made with respect to a concrete application domain, task, and user group. To give just a simple example, a colormap that translates scalar values to hues that works perfectly for most people may be quite ineffective for colorblind users.

Organization levels. As mentioned earlier, not all visual variables allow equally well the same types of inverse mapping operations. Table 4.1 lists the most common visual variables and their so-called "organization levels" [Bertin 77]. These characterize the types of operations that one can visually perform with ease on such variables. In increasing organization level of detail, a variable $v \in \mathbb{D}_V$ is said to be

- associative if v allows a categorical attribute mapped by v to be perceived independently on the presence of other visual variables in the same image. For instance, "shape" is associative, since we can easily distinguish different shapes even when colored or positioned differently. A variable that is not associative is called *dissociative*;
- selective if v allows a categorical value mapped by v to be (nearly) instantaneously perceived as different from other values mapped by v. For instance,

Visual variable	Quantitative	Ordinal	Selective	\mathbf{A} ssociative/ \mathbf{D} issociative
Position	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Α
Size	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	D
Brightness		\checkmark	\checkmark	D
Texture		\checkmark	\checkmark	Α
Color (hue)			\checkmark	Α
Orientation			\checkmark	Α
Shape			\checkmark	Α

Table 4.1. Organization levels of visual variables.

"color" is selective, since we can easily visually select all red shapes in a set of differently-colored objects;

- ordinal if v allows one to pre-attentively compare different values mapped by v. For example, "size" is ordinal, since we can easily see if two objects have the same size or if one object is larger than the other one;
- quantitative if v allows one to visually compute ratios between different values mapped by v. For example, "size" (seen as length, for instance) is quantitative by excellence, since we can easily tell how many times an object is longer (or shorter) than another object.

The properties of the organization levels outlined in Table 4.1 are, of course, not granted. These are properties that a given visual variable *can* take, given a well-designed visualization and taking into account an average use-case and user. We can clearly find cases when these properties do not hold, due, e.g., to a poor visualization design, a too-large dataset, a bad display device, or an untrained or inattentive user. The main value of this classification is to render designers aware of the inherent limitations that visual variables have. For instance, because "size" is (typically) quantitative but "color" is not, displaying a data attribute for which we wish to visually compute and compare ratios should best map this attribute to size rather than color.

Related to the inversion process is the difference between *data* and *information*. Following the discussion of Spence [Spence 07], we state that the main task of visualization is to derive information, i.e., useful facts that lead to conclusions about a certain problem, from data, i.e., recorded figures such as the signal samples on a grid. The mapping function should allow retrieval of information, and not just raw data, from the produced pictures.

Further reading. Designing effective visual mappings is at the core of the success (or failure) of designing effective visualization applications. Besides the basic

theory covered in this section, many more aspects contribute to a successful design: choice of visual encodings as a function of the display medium; accepted conventions of the targeted user group; usage of interaction mechanisms to facilitate data exploration; knowledge of perceptual, cognitive, and human vision factors; and aesthetic principles driving the overall visualization design. More information on the above topics is available in a variety of places. The recent book of Ward et al. provides a thorough and balanced coverage of all the above points, with a focus on interactive visualization and user-centered design [Ward et al. 10]. The classic book of Tufte provides a lower-level, but equally important, view on the design of statistical graphics [Tufte 01]. Chapter X in the *Visualization Handbook* of Hansen et al. provides additional insight in perceptual issues in data visualization [Hansen and Johnson 05]. Finally, the books of Bertin provide a detailed discussion of the desirable properties of visual variables used in the design of the mapping function and how these should be used to encode different types of attributes [Bertin 77, Bertin 83].

4.1.4 Rendering Data

The rendering operation is the final step of the visualization process. Rendering takes the 3D scene created by the mapping operation, together with several user-specified viewing parameters such as the viewpoint and lighting, and renders it to produce the desired images:

$$Render: \mathbb{D}_V \to \mathbb{I}. \tag{4.6}$$

In typical visualization applications, viewing parameters are considered part of the rendering operation. This allows users to interactively navigate and examine the rendered result of a given visualization by rendering the 3D scene without having to recompute the mapping operation. Indeed, if the viewpoint changes but the 3D scene produced by the mapping stays the same, all we have to do is render the scene anew with the new viewing parameters, which is a relatively cheap operation.

4.2 Implementation Perspective

Putting it all together, we can describe the visualization pipeline as a composition of functions that have dataset arguments and values. If we denote by \mathbb{D} the space of all our datasets then we have

$$Vis = F_1 \circ F_2 \circ \ldots \circ F_n, \quad \text{where } F_i : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}.$$
 (4.7)

The various functions F_i perform the data rendering, mapping, filtering, and importing, thus in inverse order of their appearance in the visualization pipeline. The input of F_n is the application's raw data, and the output of F_1 is the final image. This model allows us to decompose each of the four stages into as many subfunctions as we need. This has several purposes. First, it is conceptually easier to think of complex operations, such as filtering, in terms of a composition of simple filter-like atomic operations that each address a specific task. Second, this favors modular, reusable software design and allows us to assemble visualization applications from a set of predefined functional components. In practice, there is no clear-cut separation as to which functions should be considered in the data importing, filtering, mapping, and rendering stages. Different visualization application implementations make different choices here. From a purely implementation perspective, all data types used in the pipeline (raw data, sampled datasets, and images) can be considered as datasets, and all pipeline operations can be modeled as functions that read and write datasets.

The design of a visualization application has two main parts. First, we choose the right data-processing operations, or functions F_i , that are needed in the visualization pipeline of a given application (see Equation (4.7)). Second, we choose the right dataset implementations $\mathcal{D}_j \in \mathbb{D}$ to connect the pipeline functions F_i . We outlined in Chapter 3 how to implement the datasets \mathcal{D}_j . We now briefly discuss how to implement the data-processing operations F_i .

Dataflow design. If we use an object-oriented design, we can implement the functions F_i as classes that have three properties:

- They read one or more *input* datasets $\mathcal{D}_i^{\text{inp}}$.
- They write one or more *output* datasets $\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\text{out}}$.
- They have an execute() operation that computes $\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\text{out}}$ given $\mathcal{D}_{i}^{\text{inp}}$.

The choice of letting the function F have several datasets as input (arguments) and output (results) is purely a practical one. The actual number, type, and meaning of the inputs and outputs depends on the semantics of the function itself. In implementation terms, a function F with these properties can be modeled as a class F with three methods as shown in Listing 4.1. The setInput() and getOutput() methods are simple accessors to the input and output datasets $\mathcal{D}_i^{\text{inp}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_j^{\text{out}}$, respectively. These are represented by Grid subclasses, such as uniform or unstructured datasets, which have been detailed in Chapter 3. In a basic implementation, the accessors would simply store references to the input and output datasets in the local inputs and outputs vectors of the F

```
class F
{
  public:
    void setInput(Grid*,int);
    Grid* getOutput(Grid*,int);
    virtual void execute() =0;
  protected:
    vector<Grid*> inputs;
    vector<Grid*> outputs;
  };
```

Listing 4.1. Visualization operation implementation.

class. Concrete visualization operations would inherit from the abstract class F in Listing 4.1 and implement the actual execute() operation, which would read the input datasets, perform the actual data-processing operations, and write the corresponding output datasets present in the inputs and outputs containers of the base class.

Given this implementation, a visualization application can be seen as a network of function, or operation, objects F_i that are connected with each other by sharing input and output Grid datasets. Figure 4.5 depicts such a simple application consisting of four function objects and four datasets. The first operation F_1 has no input, as it imports the data into the pipeline from an external source. The operation F_4 has no output—we assume this is the rendering operation that produces the final image. Operations can have multiple inputs or outputs, and the same dataset can be read by multiple operations. Having the same dataset written by multiple operations is possible, too, but generally not recommended, as it complicates substantially both the implementation and the understanding of the design.

When we input new raw data into the pipeline, the application should first execute F_1 , then F_2 and F_3 (in any order, since they do not depend on each other), followed by F_4 . In the general case, consider the graph whose nodes are the operations F_i and edges are the data dependencies between operations, i.e., there is a directed edge F_i to F_j if F_i writes a dataset that is read by F_j . In Figure 4.5, this graph consists of four nodes $F_1 \ldots F_4$ and four edges (drawn in blue). If the application graph is acyclic, the execution is equivalent to calling the execute() method of all operations F_i in the order of the topological sorting of the graph [Cormen et al. 01]. This ensures that an operation is executed only when all its inputs are available and up-to-date. Cyclic application graphs can also be accommodated but require more complex update mechanisms, for which reason they are less used in practice. The sequence of operation executions in



Figure 4.5. Visualization pipeline represented as a network of objects.

this application model follow the "flow" of data from the importing operation to the final rendering operation. For this reason, this design is often called a *dataflow* application model.

Summarizing, a visualization application can be implemented as a network of operation objects that have dataset objects as inputs and outputs. To execute the application, the operations are invoked in the dataflow order, starting with the data importing and ending with the rendering. This model—though in principle sufficient—needs numerous additions to provide a scalable and efficient implementation for a visualization framework. Among the most notable additions, we mention reference counting and automatic memory management for the dataset and operation objects, typed inputs and outputs that ensure the dataset-operation compatibility, smart pipeline traversal methods that minimize the number of performed operation executions upon data changes, parallelization and distribution of execution on one or several machines, progressive update mechanisms that allow users to stop the pipeline execution at any desired moment, and serialization facilities for both the datasets and the application itself.

Dataflow implementation. Several professional visualization frameworks implement these features and also provide hundreds of advanced data manipulation, mapping, and rendering operations.² Among these, the Visualization Toolkit (VTK) stands out as being arguably the best known and most used framework in both academic and industrial contexts [Schroeder et al. 06, Kitware, Inc. 04]. VTK is a professional visualization framework based on the previously discussed dataflow application model. VTK implements all the preceding advanced mechanisms, provides a huge set of visualization operations, has a highly efficient implementation in C++, and comes with bindings for several interpreted languages, such as Tcl, Java, and Python. VTK is an open-source product, which means it can be easily modified and embedded in different development con-

 $^{^{2}}$ For more information on actual visualization software, see the appendix.

texts. As a consequence, VTK is used and continuously improved by a large developer community. However, the great flexibility, genericity, and efficiency of the VTK toolkit come with a price in terms of *complexity*. Learning how to use VTK to develop visualization applications is an involved task that requires considerable programming experience and patience. For readers interested in exploring the possibility of using VTK for their visualization applications, we refer to the several available books that describe both the principles and design of the toolkit [Schroeder et al. 06, Kitware, Inc. 04], as well as to the web resources containing the open source VTK software and example datasets [Kitware, Inc. 13].

The same architectural and design principles are used in the Insight Toolkit (ITK) [National Library of Medicine 14]. In contrast to VTK, which addresses general-purpose data visualization, ITK focuses on the more specific field of image segmentation, processing, and registration. ITK can handle multidimensional images and offers algorithms for thresholding, edge detection, smoothing, denoising, distance computations, segmentation, and registration. ITK offers the same wrapper concepts, open-source development model as VTK, but also shares a similar steep programming-intensive learning curve.

Visual dataflow programming. Building visualization applications is not restricted to programmers who write source code. Several more advanced methods offer simpler, more intuitive application construction mechanisms. A popular development metaphor during the 1990s was visual application building. This metaphor has been implemented by several visual programming environments or visual application builders [AVS, Inc. 06, Walton 04, MeVis Inc. 13, Telea and van Wijk 99]. In this paradigm, the end user constructs the dataflow application network by assembling iconic representations of the visualization operations. Icons describing the operations available in a number of module libraries are dragged onto a canvas workspace where their inputs and outputs are connected by means of mouse manipulations. Graphical user interfaces (GUIs) are provided by the environment to let users interactively control the parameters of the various visualization operations, thereby achieving the goal of interactive data exploration. When the user modifies such a parameter, the environment triggers a dataflow execution engine that updates the complete application network from the affected operations onward until a new image is rendered in the visualization window.

Figure 4.6 illustrates these concepts for the by now familiar height-plot application in the VISSION environment [Telea and van Wijk 99]. Figure 4.7 shows the same height-plot application in the MeVisLab environment, a recent



Figure 4.6. The height-plot application in the VISSION application builder [Telea and van Wijk 99].



Figure 4.7. The height-plot application in the MeVisLab application builder [MeVis Inc. 13].



Figure 4.8. A visualization application in the AVS application builder [AVS, Inc. 06].

powerful visual application builder [MeVis Inc. 13]. Both environments use the VTK toolkit as underlying implementation to provide the visualization services. However, the raw VTK functionality is "wrapped" into visual representations, thereby making it easy to use and learn.

Figure 4.8 shows a snapshot from a different visualization application in the AVS visualization system [AVS, Inc. 06]. The main design elements, i.e., the module library, the application dataflow network, the user interfaces, and the actual visualization window, are easily recognizable. Other similar visual application builders exist, such as VisTrails [Bavoil et al. 05] and DeVIDE [Botha 13].

Simplified visual programming. A slightly different type of visual application builder is illustrated in Figure 4.9 by the ParaView environment [Henderson 04]. Similar to VISSION and MeVisLab in that they all use the VTK library and its underlying machinery to provide the actual implementation of visualization operations, ParaView features a more beginner-friendly end-user interface. The freedom of constructing application networks of fully general graph topology by



Figure 4.9. The height-plot application in the ParaView application builder [Henderson 04].

visual programming (present in MeVisLab, AVS, or VISSION) is traded in ParaView for a simpler application-building process using conventional GUI menus that is easier and faster to learn and use. A similar trade-off of design freedom for utilization simplicity is used in other toolkits, such as MayaVi [MayaVi 13].

The main attraction of visual programming environments such as the ones illustrated in Figures 4.6, 4.7, and 4.9 is that they allow rapid prototyping of visualization applications by users who have virtually no programming skills. However, such environments are less effective for the production of complex visualization applications. Many real-world visualization applications have typically hundreds of operations, contain an intricate control flow that cannot be easily modeled using the dataflow paradigm, and need complex custom code for their user interfaces. Moreover, the structure of such applications changes rarely after they have entered their mature development phase. All these factors make visual programming environments less suited for the creation of final applications, albeit they remain quite effective for the prototyping phase.

4.3 Algorithm Classification

At this point, we have all the theoretical and practical ingredients needed to start learning about a number of specific visualization techniques, also called *visualization algorithms*. The visualization practice of the last 15 years has generated a large number of visualization algorithms. If we look at any general-purpose visualization software system, library, or framework, we find hundreds of such techniques. Clearly, we need a way to organize these techniques so that we can learn them and refer to them easily. There are several ways to classify visualization techniques. Most existing classifications in use are based in some way or another on the way the visualization techniques interact with each other as parts of the same visualization pipeline. Any taxonomy is based on some commonality that its elements share. In the case of visualization algorithms, they share the input and output datasets by means of which they are coupled in the visualization pipeline, as described earlier in this chapter.

One of the most intuitive and widespread taxonomies of visualization techniques is based on the type of attributes these techniques work with. Following the attribute terminology introduced in Section 3.6, we talk thus about *scalar*, *vector*, and *tensor visualization methods*. These methods are discussed in Chapters 5, 6, and 7, respectively. Readers may have noticed that Section 3.6 introduced *color* attributes as a separate class. Color visualization methods are essentially either rendering methods, which we do not discuss separately, or image processing methods, which we shall treat separately in Chapter 9. Finally, the non-numeric attribute types, such as text, graphs, or general data tables, are targeted by information visualization (infovis) methods, which we shall briefly overview in Chapter 11.

As in any taxonomy, there are elements that do not easily fit our attributebased classification of visualization methods. For example, there are specific visualization methods that deal with the underlying sampling domain representation rather than with the attributes. We classify these methods in a special category called *domain modeling methods*. Examples of such methods are grid warping techniques that change the location of the sample points, cutting and selection techniques that extract a subset of the sampling domain as a separate dataset, or resampling techniques that change the cells and/or the basis functions used to reconstruct the data. These methods form the subject of Chapter 8.

Alternative classifications exist as well. Schroeder et al. propose a *struc*tural classification that groups visualization techniques by the type of dataset ingredient they change. Their classification includes *geometric* techniques (that alter the geometry, or locations, of sample points), *topological* techniques (that alter the grid cells), *attributes* techniques (that alter the attributes only), and *combined* techniques (that alter several of a dataset's ingredients) [Schroeder et al. 06]. Yet another type of classification was proposed by Marcus et al. in terms of a five-dimensional model containing the following dimensions [Marcus et al. 03]:

- Task: What is the task to be completed?
- Audience: Which are the users?
- Target: What is the data to visualize?
- Medium: What is rendering (drawing) support?
- Representation: What are the graphical attributes (shapes, colors, textures) used?

This classification is useful to describe not only visualization algorithms, but also entire visualization applications.

Besides the classifications discussed here, in practice, virtually every visualization software framework proposes its own classification that reflects either some user-centered aspect of the software interface or some implementationoriented aspect. However, our practice has shown that many users naturally think of (scientific) visualization methods in terms of scalar, vector, tensor, and domain modeling algorithms. Hence, we shall use this classification in our presentation of the most widely used visualization techniques.

4.4 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have described the structure of the visualization process, or visualization pipeline, both from a conceptual and an implementation point of view. In this section, we shall try to draw several conclusions about this process.

First and foremost, although our aim has been to present the structure of a visualization application from a modular perspective, where every module in the pipeline has a clearly defined function, the situation in practice is often quite far from this model. There is no clear-cut separation of the visualization stages of data importing, filtering, mapping, and rendering. Still, the main separation point in the visualization pipeline takes place at the moment when the abstract data becomes potentially visible, i.e., after the mapping stage. This characteristic is present in all visualization applications. Further pipeline refinements, such as distinguishing data importing from data filtering, are less obvious. Actual

applications can separate and structure the pipeline in different ways, depending on design and implementation considerations that go beyond the topic of this general discussion.

A second point of interest concerns the desirable properties of a given visualization pipeline structuring. From an implementation point of view, the elements that are assembled to form the pipeline should meet the usual requirements of software components: modularity, reusability, simplicity, extensibility, minimality, and generality. As in any software engineering domain, meeting all these requirements is clearly a daunting task and is in general not optimally possible, given the extremely wide range of possible visualization operations and datasets.

A third point of interest concerns the properties of the mapping function. The effectiveness of a given visualization is critically determined by this function. In general, the mapping function should be invertible, so we can grasp the data properties by looking at its visual mapping, and unambiguous, so we do not doubt about what we see. There is, however, no silver bullet in designing such mapping functions. Constructing a "good" data-to-image mapping is as much of a science as an art. From the scientific arena, we can reuse elements of sampling and signal theory, visual perception, computer vision, and cognitive sciences. Visualization is also a craft or an art, as such choices are not always deterministic. In this respect, aesthetics is essential to a good visualization, as its users must be attracted to spend effort to study and work with it.

In the remaining chapters, we shall present concrete instances of the visualization pipeline described in this chapter, in terms of several visualization methods for scalar, vector, tensor, image, volumetric, and abstract data.

Chapter 5

Scalar Visualization

VISUALIZING scalar data is frequently encountered in science, engineering, and medicine, but also in daily life. Recalling from Section 3.6, scalar datasets, or scalar fields, represent functions $f : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$, where \mathcal{D} is usually a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 . There exist many scalar visualization techniques, both for two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) datasets. In this chapter, we present a number of the most popular scalar visualization techniques: color mapping, contouring, and height plots. We start in Section 5.1 with color mapping and then discuss the design of effective colormaps in Section 5.2. In Section 5.3, we discuss contouring in two and three dimensions. In Section 5.4, we present the height plots.

5.1 Color Mapping

Color mapping is probably the most widespread visualization method for scalar data. Putting it simply, color mapping associates a color with every scalar value. Using the visualization pipeline terminology introduced in Chapter 4, color mapping is a mapping function $m : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}_V$. The geometry of \mathcal{D}_V is the same as \mathcal{D} , but with a color that depends on the scalar data defined on \mathcal{D} . In other words, this means that color mapping is not concerned with creating specific shapes to visualize data, but with coloring such shapes on which scalar data is defined to show the data values. For every point of the domain of interest \mathcal{D} , color mapping applies a function $c : \mathbb{R} \to Colors$ that assigns to that point a color $c(s) \in Colors$ which depends on the scalar value s at that point. There are several ways to define such a scalar-to-color function c. We shall discuss two of the most common forms: color look-up tables and transfer functions. Color look-up tables are the simplest way to implement color mapping. Simply put, a color look-up table C, also called a *colormap*, is a uniform sampling of the color-mapping function c:

$$C = \{c_i\}_{i=1..N}, \quad \text{where } c_i = c\left(\frac{(N-i)f_{\min} + if_{\max}}{N}\right).$$
 (5.1)

In practice, Equation (5.1) is implemented as a table of N colors c_1, \ldots, c_N , which are associated with the scalar dataset values f, assumed to be in the range $[f_{\min}, f_{\max}]$. Knowing the scalar range is important, as it allows us to construct a color mapping with a clear and simple meaning: the colors c_i with low indices i in the colormap represent low scalar values close to f_{\min} , whereas colors with indices close to N in the colormap represent high scalar values close to f_{max} . In practice, f_{min} and f_{max} can either be determined automatically by examining the sampled dataset values or be prescribed by the user. In the latter case, dataset values outside the prescribed range $[f_{\min}, f_{\max}]$ are clamped to this range to yield valid colors in the given colormap. Scaling the dataset values to their range works well when we can determine this range in advance of the visualization. However, imagine an application where we want to visualize a time-dependent scalar field f(t) with $t \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$. The typical solution is to visualize the color-mapped values of the scalar field f(t) for consecutive values of t in $[t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$. However, if we do not know f(t) for all values t before we start the visualization, we cannot compute the absolute scalar range $[f_{\min}, f_{\max}]$ to apply Equation (5.1). Moreover, even when this is possible, we may not want to do so. If the range $[f_{\min}(t_i), f_{\max}(t_i)]$ of a time step $t_i \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$ is much smaller than the absolute range $[f_{\min}, f_{\max}]$, normalizing f to the absolute range will show little detail as we look at the individual frames. In such situations, a better solution might be to normalize the scalar range separately for every time frame f(t). Of course, this implies drawing different color legends for every time frame as well.

Besides using a sampled scalar-to-color function to a discrete look-up table, one can also define the function c analytically, if desired. Since colors are usually represented as triplets in either the RGB or HSV (hue-saturation-value) color systems, this is usually done by defining three scalar functions $c_R : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $c_G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and $c_B : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, whereby $c = (c_R, c_G, c_B)$. The functions c_R , c_G , and c_B are also called *transfer functions*. More on transfer functions will be presented in Chapters 9 and 10 when we discuss image and volume visualization. In practice, one uses predefined look-up tables when there is no need to change the individual colors at runtime and transfer functions when the investigation goals require dynamically changing the color-mapping function.

5.2 Designing Effective Colormaps

The main challenge for visualizations using color mapping is to design an *effective* colormap C. But what does it mean for a colormap to be effective? In terms of the mapping operation described in Section 4.1.3, a color-mapping visualization is effective if, by looking at the generated colors, we can easily and accurately make statements about the original scalar dataset that was color mapped. Different types of statements and analysis goals require different types of colormaps. Such goals include the following:

- **1.** Absolute values: Tell the absolute data values at all points in the displayed dataset.
- **2.** Value ordering: Given two points in the displayed dataset, tell which of the corresponding two data values is greater.
- **3.** Value difference: Given two points in the displayed dataset, tell what is the difference of data values at these points.
- 4. Selected values: Given a particular data value $f_{interest}$, tell which points in the displayed data take the respective value $f_{interest}$. A variation of this goal replaces $f_{interest}$ by a compact interval of data values.
- 5. Value change: Tell the speed of change, or first derivative, of the data values at given points in the displayed dataset.

Clearly, some of the above goals are more challenging to realize than others. For instance, goal 2 only implies that we can tell whether a data value is greater (or smaller) than another value, but not by how much that is so, nor which are the absolute data values. Goal 3 implies that we can tell how far apart two data values are, but not which is the largest and which is the smallest, or in other words how the data values are ordered. Goal 4 implies that we can tell where in the dataset a chosen value $f_{interest}$ occurs, but requires little or nothing about telling anything about points having data values different than $f_{interest}$ (except that they do not take this value). Goal 5 implies that we can tell how quickly values change at or around a given point, but not the absolute values of these data values.

Designing a colormap that achieves all above goals in equal measure is a very challenging task. As such, colormap designs typically focus on optimizing a subset of the above goals at the possible expense of the others. In the following, we discuss several important design decisions pertaining to the construction of colormaps, and relate them to the goals mentioned above.

Color legends. Consider our first goal—arguably the most challenging of all. To achieve this goal, we must be able to mentally invert the color-mapping function c; that is, look at a color of some point in the visual domain \mathcal{D}_V and tell its scalar value f. To be able to do this, we must know the color-mapping function c. In practice, this is achieved by drawing a so-called *color legend*. This is usually a color strip containing all the colors c_i in our colormap, annotated with labels that indicate the values f for all or a number of the depicted colors. By looking at an actual visualization and comparing its colors with the labeled colors in the colormap, we are able to infer the scalar values of the depicted dataset at desired points in the drawn image. Several examples of color legends are shown later on in this section, see, e.g., Figure 5.2.

However, the color legend mechanism has some conditions to succeed. First, the color-mapping function c must be invertible, as explained in Section 4.1.3. This requires the function to be *injective*, meaning that every scalar value in the range $[f_{\min}, f_{\max}]$ is associated with a unique color. The colors used must be unique in the eye of the beholder. It is not sufficient that the colors have different numerical (e.g., RGB) values. We must also be able to easily perceive them *visually* as being different if we want to be able to map them to scalars using the color legend. Second, the spatial resolution of the visualized dataset must be high enough as compared to the speed of variation of the scalar data f that we are able to visually distinguish separate regions having different colors.

Color legends are required for any application of color mapping where we require to map a color to a data-related quantity. Note that this does not apply only to goal 1 of our taxonomy. Indeed, if we want to make quantitative judgments about the ordering of data values (goal 2), a color legend is required to tell how colors are ordered with respect to the ordering of the data values. If we want to compare distances between data values (goal 3), we need a color legend to show which differences are small and which are large. If we want to tell which data points take a given value of interest (goal 4), we need a color legend to tell which is the color showing the value of interest. If we want to tell the speed of data variation (goal 5), and reduce this task to color mapping the magnitude of the gradient $\|\nabla f\|$ of our scalar signal f, we then need a color legend for $\|\nabla f\|$.



Figure 5.1. Construction of rainbow colormap.

Rainbow colormap. Colormap design is also influenced by application or domainspecific conventions and traditions. For example, many engineering and weather forecast applications use a blue-to-red colormap, often called the rainbow colormap (see Figure 5.1). This colormap is based on the intuition that blue, a "cold" color, suggests low values, whereas red, a "hot" color, suggests high values. We can construct a rainbow colormap using three transfer functions R, G, B, shown in Figure 5.1. The rainbow colormap is constructed by the code in Listing 5.1. This constructs three trapezium-shaped transfer functions R, G, and B, ranging from zero to one. The functions are centered at different locations on the scalar value (horizontal) axis, which determines the blue-to-red colormap structure. The functions overlap almost everywhere, which makes the hues in the colormap vary smoothly. The parameter $dx \in [0, 1]$ controls the amount of pure blue and red used at the beginning and the end of the colormap, respectively, which gives more aesthetically pleasing results than a colormap containing only mixed hues. In terms of the interpolation theory discussed in Chapter 3. these transfer functions can be seen as piecewise linear basis functions used to interpolate between their corresponding primary colors.

Figure 5.2(a) shows the rainbow colormap applied to a 2D slice from a computer tomography (CT) volumetric dataset.¹ Data values indicate tissue density, with high values corresponding to hard structures, such as bones, and low values corresponding to soft tissues, such as the brain, skin, fat, and muscles. The lowest data value corresponds to air. Using the rainbow colormap, we can relatively easily distinguish the harder tissues (color mapped to yellow, orange, and red), and the air (color mapped to dark blue).

 $^{^1\}mathrm{More}$ on CT and medical visualization will be presented in Chapter 10. Slicing is explained further in Section 8.1.2.

```
void c(float f, float& R, float& G, float& B) 
{
    const float dx = 0.8;
    f = (f < 0)? 0 : (f > 1)? 1 : f; //clamp f in [0,1]
    g = (6-2*dx)*f + dx; //scale f to [dx, 6 - dx]
    R = max(0,(3-fabs(g-4)-fabs(g-5))/2);
    G = max(0,(4-fabs(g-2)-fabs(g-4))/2);
    B = max(0,(3-fabs(g-1)-fabs(g-2))/2);
}
```

Listing 5.1. Rainbow colormap construction.

Rainbow colormaps are one of the widest used colormap types in data visualization, and they are included, and often provided as default, in numerous visualization applications [Borland and Taylor 07]. However, the rainbow colormap has also several important limitations:

- Focus: Perceptually, warm colors arguably attract attention more than cold colors. In our example in Figure 5.2(a), this means our attention is attracted more towards the high data values. This can be desirable if these are our values of interest (goal 4). However, depending on the application, these may not be the values where we want to focus on.
- Luminance: The construction of the rainbow colormap proposed in Figure 5.1 and Listing 5.1 states that all generated colors have the same HSV luminance, i.e., the same maximum of the R, G, and B color components (see Section 3.6.3, Listing 3.2), except for the "tails" of the colormap, where the luminance is slightly increasing, respectively slightly decreasing. However, as explained by Section 3.6.3, Equation 3.23, perceived luminance and HSV luminance are far from being identical. As such, luminances of the rainbow colormap entries vary non-monotonically. This leads to users being potentially attracted more to certain colors than to others, and/or perceiving certain color contrasts as being more important than others. The latter issue can create problems for goal 5. This issue can be corrected by adjusting the rainbow colormap entries so that they use the same hues, but have maximal luminance as being given by Equation 3.23.
- Context: Hues can have application-dependent semantics. The rainbow colormap is based on the assumption that "warm" colors, such as yellow and red, are perceived as being associated with higher data values, whereas "cold" colors such as blue suggest low values. This assumption is based on the interpretation of the rainbow colormap as a heat, or temperature,

map. While this might be a good encoding for visualizing a temperature dataset, this association is much harder and more unnatural to do in case of, for example, a medical dataset.

- Ordering: The rainbow colormap assumes that users can easily order hues from blue to green to yellow to red, such as used by this colormap. While this ordering can be learned by a sustained use of the rainbow colormap, we cannot assume that any user will order hues in this particular manner. When this assumption does not hold, goal 2 is challenged.
- Linearity: Besides the colormap invertibility requirement, visualization applications often also require a *linearity* constraint to be satisfied. To explain this, assume, for example, that we visualize a linear function f(x) = x with the rainbow colormap. The result of the visualization is actually identical to the color bar displayed at the bottom of Figure 5.2(a). It can be argued that this colormap, which essentially maps value to hue, is not linear. Some users perceive colors to change "faster" per spatial unit in the higher yellow-to-red range than in the lower blue-to-cyan range. Hence, a linear signal would be perceived by the user as nonlinear, with possibly undesirable consequences for goals 3 and 5.

Other colormap designs. Many other colormap designs are possible. Figure 5.2 shows five such colormaps applied to our 2D CT slice, apart from the already discussed rainbow colormap.

Grayscale: Figure 5.2(b) shows a *grayscale* colormap. Here, we map data values f linearly to luminance, or gray value, with f_{min} corresponding to black and f_{max} corresponding to white. Most medical specialists, but also nonspecialists, would agree that the grayscale produces a much easier-to-follow, less-confusing visualization on which details are easier to spot than when using the rainbow colormap in Figure 5.2(a). The grayscale colormap has several advantages. First, it directly encodes data into luminance, and thus is has no issues regarding the discrimination of different hues. Second, color ordering is natural (from dark to bright), which helps goal 2. For medical visualizations, for example, the black-to-white colormap can be more effective than the rainbow one, as these grayscales map intuitively to the ones visible in X-ray photographs. Finally, rendering grayscale images is less sensitive to color reproduction variability issues when targeting a range of display or print devices. However, on the negative side, telling differences between two gray values, or addressing goal 3, is harder than when using hue-based colormaps. A more subtle problem occurs if our dataset



Figure 5.2. Scalar visualization with various colormaps.

to display does not form a *compact* domain (such as the 2D slice in Figure 5.2). Examples of such datasets are scattered 2D point clouds, parallel coordinate plots, or node-link graph drawings, such as the ones shown in Figures 11.32, 11.29, and 11.23 respectively for information visualization applications (Chapter 11). In such cases, we cannot use the grayscale colormap, or for that matter any colormap which includes very bright colors, since such colormaps will make data points appear too similar to the background color, and thus make them potentially invisible.

Two-hue: Figure 5.2(c) shows a *two-hue* colormap. The colormap entries are obtained by linearly interpolating between two user-selected colors, blue and yellow in our case. The two-hue colormap can be seen as a generalization of the grayscale colormap, where we interpolate between two colors, rather than between black and white. If the two colors used for interpolation are perceptually quite different (such as in our case, where they differ both in hue but also in perceived luminance), the resulting colormap allows an easy color ordering, and also produces a perceptually more linear result than the rainbow colormap. Also, in case none of the two colors that define this colormap is too bright, the result is suitable to be used for non-compact data displayed on a white background.

However, a disadvantage of this type of colormap is that it arguably offers less dynamic range: In general, we can distinguish between more hues (such as in the case of the rainbow colormap) than between an equal number of mixes of the same two hues.

The two-hue colormap in Figure 5.2(c) uses both hue and luminance differences of its two defining colors to create a higher dynamic range. However, imagine using this colormap on a 3D shaded surface. In such cases, the luminance perceived in the final visualization is ambiguous, as it can be due to the luminance of the colors present in the colormap, the luminance due to the shading used for the 3D surface, or a combination of both. A simple way to correct this issue is to use a corrected isoluminant two-hue colormap, where all entries have the same luminance, similar to the luminance-corrected rainbow colormap discussed earlier, such as a two-hue colormap generated using red and green as end colors. The disadvantage of this design is that less colors can be individually perceived, leading to challenges for goals 1, 4, and 5.

Heat map: Figure 5.2(d) shows a colormap typically known as a *heat map*, or *heated body colormap*. The intuition behind its colors is that they represent the color of an object heated at increasing temperature values, with black corresponding to low data values, red-orange hues for intermediate data ranges, and yellow-white hues for the high data values respectively [Borland and Taylor 07, Moreland 09]. Compared to the rainbow colormap, the heat map uses a smaller set of hues, but adds luminance as a way to order colors in an intuitive manner. Compared to the two-hue colormap, the heat map uses more hues, thus allowing one to discriminate between more data values. Eliminating the right end of the colormap (thus, using yellow rather than white for the highest data value) allows using this colormap also for non-compact domains displayed on a white background. However, its strong dependence on luminance makes the heat map less suitable for color coding data on 3D shaded surfaces, just as the two-hue nonisoluminant colormaps. Together with the grayscale map, the heat map is a popular choice for medical data visualization.

Diverging: Figures 5.2(e,f) show two final colormap examples, known under the name of *diverging*, or *double-ended scale*, colormaps. Diverging colormaps are constructed starting from two typically isoluminant hues, just as the isoluminant two-hue colormaps. However, rather than interpolating between the end colors c_{min} and c_{max} , we now add a third color c_{mid} for the data value $f_{mid} = (f_{min} + f_{max})/2$ located in the middle of the considered data range $[f_{min}, f_{max}]$, and use two piecewise-linear interpolations between c_{min} and c_{mid}

and between c_{mid} and c_{max} , respectively. Additionally, c_{mid} is chosen so that it has a considerably higher luminance than c_{min} and c_{max} . In Figure 5.2(e), we used a diverging colormap with $c_{min} = blue$, $c_{max} = red$, and $c_{mid} = white$. This can be interpreted as a temperature colormap, where the average value (white) is considered neutral, and we want to emphasize points which are "colder," respectively "warmer," than this value. In Figure 5.2(f), we used a diverging colormap with $c_{min} = green$, $c_{max} = red$, and $c_{mid} = brightyellow$. Since the maximum luminance of this colormap is lower than that of pure white, we can use this colormap also on non-compact data domains. Diverging colormaps effectively consist of two two-hue colormaps for the left, respectively right, halves of the considered data range. Diverging colormaps are good for situations where we want to emphasize the deviation of data values from the average value f_{mid} , and also effectively support the task of assessing value differences (goal 3).

Zebra colormap: In some applications, we want to emphasize the variations of the data rather than absolute data values (goal 5 of our initial task taxonomy). This is useful when we are interested in detecting the dataset regions where data changes the most quickly or, alternatively, stays constant. For this goal, we could simply use one of the continuous colormaps presented in Figure 5.2 on the magnitude of the gradient $\|\nabla f\|$ of our scalar dataset f. However, this solution implies all the challenges of choosing a "good" continuous colormap which we previously outlined. Additionally, we can only tell the absolute value of our data's rate of change, not the *direction* in which that rate of change is maximal.

A different solution is to use a colormap on the scalar dataset f containing two or more alternating colors that are perceptually very different. When the data values change, the colors change abruptly, yielding easily detectable bandlike patterns in the visualization. Figure 5.3 illustrates this design. In the left image, we visualize a scalar function $f(x, y) = e^{-10(x^4 + y^4)}$, whose shape is quite similar to the Gaussian shown in Figure 2.1. Instead of a height plot, we now use a grayscale colormap that maps the function value range [0, 1] to 30 distinct shades of gray. The result is a smooth image (see Figure 5.3(a)). Although we can clearly distinguish low values (shown as black) from high values (shown as light gray or white), telling how the data changes within the intermediate data-range region is hard, since we cannot easily tell apart gradients of similargrayvalue shades. If we use instead a colormap that maps the same value range to an alternating pattern of black and white colors, we obtain the zebra-like result shown in Figure 5.3(b). Thin, dense stripes indicate regions of high variation speed of the function, whereas the flat areas in the center and at the periphery of the image indicate regions of slower variation. We can now also see better



Figure 5.3. Visualizing the scalar function $e^{-10(x^4+y^4)}$ with (a) a luminance colormap and (b) a zebra colormap. The luminance colormap shows absolute values, whereas the zebra colormap emphasizes rapid value variations.

which are the regions where the data has similar rates of variation across our domain.

Interpolation issues. Another important aspect of color mapping is the relationship between the colormap design, scalar data variation speed, and domain sampling frequency.

Figure 5.5 illustrates this by means of an example—a sphere geometry on which a periodic sine function is visualized with a rainbow colormap. The mesh is overlaid on top of the visualization to emphasize the sampling frequency. The periodic band structure of the function is clearly visible in Figure 5.4(a), where the sphere is sampled at a 64×64 resolution. However, the structure starts getting noisy as we decrease the sampling resolution (Figure 5.4(b), 32×32 samples). If the resolution is decreased further, we obtain a completely incorrect visualization (Figure 5.4(c)) that suggests a completely different function than the actual one to the unprepared user.

To explain the problem, consider the color-mapping implementation: First, color mapping (Equation 5.1) is applied at the grid vertices p_i yielding one color attribute $c(s_i)$ per vertex. Here, s_i denotes the scalar attribute value stored at vertex p_i . Next, the surface cells are rendered as colored polygons, using the color interpolation provided by the polygon rendering machinery. Hence, the



Figure 5.4. Vertex-based color mapping. The sphere geometry is sampled with (a) 64×64 points, (b) 32×32 points, and (c) 16×16 points.

color c(p) of a resulting pixel p will be a linear combination

$$c(p) = \sum_{i} c(s_i)\phi_i(p) \tag{5.2}$$

of the colors of the vertices of the polygon in which p falls. Here, ϕ_i denote the linear interpolation functions used for the polygonal cell (see Section 3.2). However, what we would really want to obtain at pixel p is a color mapping of the interpolated value of our scalar values s_i , i.e.,

$$c(p) = c\left(\sum_{i} s_{i}\phi_{i}(p)\right).$$
(5.3)

The problem we see in Figure 5.4 is due to the fact that color mapping (i.e., the function c) and interpolation are *not* commutative operations in general. For instance, consider c as given by the rainbow colormap, and two scalar values s_1 and s_2 corresponding to blue, respectively red, defined at two vertices p_1 and p_2 . For a point situated at equal distance from p_1 and p_2 , Equation 5.2 computes the color $\frac{c(s_1)+c(s_2)}{2}$, i.e., the average of red and blue, which is purple. This color is incorrect—it does not even exist in our rainbow colormap. In contrast, Equation 5.3 would compute the color $c(\frac{s_1+s_2}{2})$, i.e., green. This is the desired result, as green corresponds indeed to the average of the values s_1 and s_2 in our rainbow colormap.

The vertex-based color mapping implemented by Equation 5.2 is probably the most widespread one due to its simplicity and direct support by even lowend graphics hardware. However, as seen, the results are suboptimal for dataset



Figure 5.5. Texture-based color mapping. The sphere geometry is sampled with (a) 64×64 points, (b) 32×32 points, and (c) 16×16 points.

regions where the data varies too quickly. A better solution is to implement Equation 5.3. For this, we proceed as follows. First, we store for each vertex p_i the value $\frac{s_i - s_{min}}{s_{max} - s_{min}}$, i.e., our scalar values normalized in a range of [0, 1], as a texture coordinate. Next, we render our surface cells textured with a 1D texture containing the colormap. 1D textures work identically to the 2D textures described in Section 2.4, with the exception that they are described by a single texture coordinate and they are stored as a 1D image, i.e., a vector of colored pixels.

Figure 5.5 shows the results of texture-based color mapping. As visible, these are better, in the sense that no incorrect colors are generated: The resulting images are a pixel-accurate rendering of the piecewise-linear reconstruction of our given sampled signal. Indeed, the texture-based method interpolates the scalar value s, and then applies the color mapping c separately at every rendered pixel. In contrast, the vertex-based color mapping applies the color mapping at the vertices only, and then interpolates the mapped colors themselves. Texture-based color mapping produces reasonable results even for a sparsely sampled dataset. However, this technique requires rendering texture-based polygons, an option that may not be available on low-end graphics hardware or in situations when we want to use the available texture for other purposes.

Color banding. Another important aspect in colormap design is the choice of the number of colors N. Choosing a small N would inevitably lead to the *color banding* effect. This is well-known to any computer user who has tried to reduce the number of colors in a color image using image-processing programs. Figure 5.6 demonstrates color banding on a simple scalar dataset. The scalar



Figure 5.6. Color banding caused by a small number of colors in a look-up table.

data ranges between -0.23 and 0.23. The dataset is visualized four times using the same rainbow look-up table, each time with fewer colors in the look-up table. As the number of different colors in the look-up table decreases, equal color bands become visible in both the image and the color legend. Mathematically, color banding produces artifacts identical to quantizing the scalar signal range. Indeed, when we use, for example, just 32 colors to visualize the dataset in Figure 5.6(b), the effect is practically the same as if we first quantized our scalar signal to 5 bits, and then visualized it via a colormap with a high number of colors.

When we visualize a continuous dataset, color banding is usually not desirable, as it creates discrete visual artifacts in the rendered image that do not reflect any discreteness of the input data. As demonstrated in Figure 5.6, color banding can be avoided by increasing the number of colors in the colormap and ensuring there are no sharp perceptual transitions in the colormap. The more quickly the data varies spatially, the smoother the colormap has to be to avoid color banding. Typical scalar visualization applications would use between 64

and 256 different colors in a colormap, the preference being for more rather than fewer colors.

Color banding is related to a different usage of color mapping—visualizing *categorical* data. Consider a scalar dataset f which, rather than taking values in a continuous domain such as \mathbb{R} , takes values in a discrete set of values $\{f_i\}$. When visualizing f with color mapping, two issues are important. First, we want to show the identity of each data value, i.e., the *category i* implied by the data value f_i . Second, if these values imply distinct categories, we cannot interpolate between the values f_i . Categorical datasets emerge naturally in many application areas—consider for example a geographical dataset where points are labeled with a data value describing their type, such as roads, houses, agricultural land, forest, mountains, and lakes. However, categorical datasets can be also created from continuous datasets by scalar data quantization, also known as *binning*. For example, the visualization in Figure 5.6(d) can be thought of having as input a scalar dataset containing eight categories corresponding to the eight data ranges displayed. Differences between visualizing continuous and categorical datasets are discussed separately in Section 11.2.

When visualizing categorical datasets, if we consider the goals listed at the beginning of Section 5.2, we are typically interested in goals 1 and 4 (and possibly goal 2 if our categories are ordered), but not goals 3 and 5. Additionally, the number of different categories plays a crucial role in colormap design. As such, specific colormaps for categorical datasets have been designed. An excellent online resource that allows choosing a categorical colormap based on data properties and task types is ColorBrewer [Brewer and Harrower 13].

Additional issues. Selecting an optimal colormap is further influenced by a number of additional considerations, as follows:

• Geometry: Not all colors are equally strongly perceived when displayed on surfaces that have the same area. Perceiving a color accurately is strongly influenced by the colors displayed in neighboring areas [Moreland 09]. Densely packed colors are not perceived separately under a certain spatial scale, but blended together by the human eye. This becomes an issue when the areas in question are very small, such as when color mapping a point cloud (Figure 11.32). In extreme cases, the eye will not even be able to separate individual colors as such. Whereas this situation is generally undesirable, a useful application of the limited power of separation of human vision is the RGB color-mixing mechanism used by many devices such as computer displays and TV screens. Here, a large spectrum of colors is generated by displaying closely packed, small-scale dots colored in the primary red, green, and blue hues, with various intensities. A similar system is used by the dithering processes in the printing technology.

- User group: While most users would feel comfortable with colormaps containing a large variety of hues, 6 to 10 percent of all men would not be able to correctly separate red from green hues [Stone 03]. This and other forms of colorblindness should be taken into account when designing colormaps in critical applications or applications intended to be used by a large public.
- Medium: Another factor in colormap usage is the medium used to present the visualization. Computer screens (CRT or LCD), printed materials (matte or glossy), and projectors have quite different ways of reproducing and displaying color. It is hard to design rich colormaps, containing more than a dozen colors, that look the same on all these devices. A very common mistake made in practice is to use hue-based colormaps, such as the rainbow colormap, and display the resulting visualizations on luminancebased devices, such as black-and-white printed material. A large amount of detail immediately disappears in such cases, such as red and green colors, which look quite different on a computer screen, becoming indistinguishable from a luminance point of view on a black-and-white printout.
- Contrast: Color mapping, as described in this section, generates color values from scalar values by means of a colormap or color transfer function. A related set of techniques involves modifying the gray values of a grayscale image, or colors of a color image, in order to emphasize details of interest. Although such techniques involve some common algorithmic and design elements with color mapping, they are of a fundamentally different nature, as they work on the colors of an existing image rather than in the process of creating colors from scalars. We shall discuss such techniques separately in the context of image processing (see Section 9.3.1).

Designing effective colormaps is a complex task that is as much a science as an art. This involves knowledge of the application domain conventions, typical data distribution, visualization goals, general perception theory, intended output devices, and the user preferences. There is no universally effective colormap. For a concrete application, the best advice is to use the typical colormaps accepted in practice for that given domain or industry. Generally, for guidelines for designing colormaps, we refer to dedicated literature on perception in visualization [Ware 04], color science [Stone 03], and the use of color in information visualization [Card et al. 99, Bederson and Shneiderman 03]. A good starting reference for the various problems and subtleties involved in the process of designing colormaps for visualizing scalar data is the paper of Bergman et al. [Bergman et al. 95], which also describes a rule-based mechanism and tool for assisting users with selecting effective colormaps for different types of datasets. For a discussion on the use of rainbow, diverging, heat map, and grayscale colormaps in scientific visualization, we refer to [Borland and Taylor 07, Moreland 09]. For an extensive discussion of issues involved in color mapping both continuous and categorical attributes, see the book of Colin Ware on information visualization [Ware 04]. For a general discussion of color science, beyond color mapping in data visualization, a good starting point is Stone's book on digital color [Stone 03]. For the design of colormaps that have a few (5-10) different colors, one can use the ColorBrewer tool resource [Brewer and Harrower 13]. Among other features, ColorBrewer can generate colormaps tuned for encoding sequential and qualitative value types and optimized for different types of output, such as monochrome or color printer, projector, laptop, and monitor.

5.3 Contouring

In the previous section, we described how 2D scalar fields can be visualized using the simple colormap technique. We also discussed how using too few colors in a colormap leads to undesired color-banding effects (see Figure 5.6). However, color banding is related to fundamental and widely used visualization technique called *contouring*. To understand contouring, think of the meaning of the sharp color transitions that separate the color bands in Figure 5.7(a).

Consider, for instance, the transition between the yellow and orange bands; that is, all points in the figure that are on the border separating these two colors. As can be seen from the associated color legend, points in the yellow band have scalar values s below 0.11, whereas the points in the orange band have scalar values s above 0.11 (see also Equation (5.1)). Hence, the points located on the color border itself have the scalar value s = 0.11. Note that, for this reasoning to hold, we must assume that our dataset does not exhibit a sudden "jump" localized exactly on the extent of the border itself. This holds for all datasets that represent the sampling of a continuous signal.

Points located on such a color border, drawn in black in Figure 5.7(a), are called a *contour* line, or *isoline*. Formally, a contour line C is defined as all points p in a dataset \mathcal{D} that have the same scalar value, or isovalue s(p) = x, or

$$C(x) = \{ p \in \mathcal{D} | s(p) = x \}.$$

$$(5.4)$$



Figure 5.7. Relationship between color banding and contouring.

The name "isoline" also reflects this definition, as in Greek, *isos* means "the same" or "equal." The name "contour line" stems from one of the first applications of this technique in cartography. Contour lines are drawn on land maps to explicitly indicate all points that have the same altitude. Note that Equation (5.4) can be applied also in higher dimensions than two. For 3D datasets, contours are 2D surfaces called *isosurfaces*. Several examples of isosurfaces are provided later in this section.

In Figure 5.7(b), we show the same scalar dataset as in Figure 5.7(a), visualized with the same rainbow colormap. However, this time the colormap has 256 entries, instead of only eight as in Figure 5.7(a). This is visible in the fact that the color legend itself exhibits a smoother hue variation as compared to the one in Figure 5.7(a). The right image is smoother, which is desirable, as it helps us distinguish more detail than in the left image. Yet, this image does not help us further if we are interested in easily and quickly distinguishing which are the points that have a specific scalar value of interest, e.g., s = 0.11. This task was easily done on the left image, because the colormap used there exhibited a clear hue transition from dark blue to cyan located precisely at this value. We can actually combine the advantages of contours and color mapping by simply drawing contours for all values of interest on top of a color-mapped image that uses a rich colormap. The result is shown in Figure 5.7(b), where we have drawn seven contours for scalar values equally spaced in [-0.165, 0.165].

Besides indicating points where the data has specific values, contours can also tell us something about the data variation itself. Assume that we have a set of contours for scalar values that are equally spaced in the *scalar* domain, such as in Figure 5.7(b). Clearly, the contours themselves are not equally spaced in the *spatial* domain. Areas where contours are closer to each other, such as in the center of the image, indicate higher variations of the scalar data. Indeed, the scalar distance between consecutive contours (which is constant) divided by the spatial distance between the same contours (which is not constant) is exactly the derivative of the scalar signal.

Contour properties. Contours have a number of important properties. Consider Figure 5.8, which shows a two-variable function z = f(x, y) with the familiar elevation plot technique. Over the function graph, three isolines are drawn, for three different values, v_0 (blue), v_1 (red), and v_2 (yellow). The isolines are translated on the vertical (z) axis above the xy plane with their corresponding values v, instead of being drawn in the dataset domain (xy plane) as in Figure 5.7. In this situation, the isolines correspond with the intersection of the function graph with horizontal planes $z = v_i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Several properties of isolines are noticeable in Figure 5.8. First, isolines can be either closed curves, such as the yellow isoline, or open curves, such as the blue and red isolines. Isolines never stop inside the dataset itself—they either close upon themselves, such as the yellow one, or stop when reaching the dataset border, such as the blue and red ones. Second, an isoline never



Figure 5.8. Isoline properties.



Figure 5.9. The gradient of a scalar field is perpendicular on the field's contours.

intersects (crosses) itself, nor does it intersect an isoline for another scalar value. Hence, isolines for different values are "nested" inside each other, as we can see in Figure 5.7. These properties always hold for a (piecewise) continuous dataset as defined in Section 3.1. In other words, the scalar data does not have "jumps" between points that are close in the dataset domain. Finally, consider Figure 5.9, which shows the same scalar function and its isolines as in Figure 5.8, this time viewed from above along the z-axis. The vector field displayed in the image shows the gradient of the scalar function. This figure demonstrates an important property of the contours, namely that they are perpendicular to the gradient of the contoured function. This property is not surprising: The gradient of a function is the direction of the function's maximal variation, whereas contours are points of equal function value, so the tangent to a contour is the direction of the function's minimal (zero) variation. Another example showing how the gradient of a scalar field is perpendicular to its isolines is given in Section 9.4.7.

Computing contours. How can we compute contours, given a discrete, sampled dataset \mathcal{D} ? Since this dataset is defined as a set of cells carrying node or cell scalar data, plus additional basis functions (Chapter 2), it is natural to try to construct contours in the same discrete cell space. In the actual construction technique, presented next, we shall use an important property of isolines. We



Figure 5.10. Constructing the isoline for the scalar value v = 0.48. The numbers in the figure indicate scalar values at the grid vertices.

have seen in Section 3.1 that the reconstruction of a sampled dataset with piecewise linear basis functions is a piecewise linear function itself. The graph of this function is piecewise linear, too. Being the intersection of the function graph with a horizontal plane, the isoline of such a dataset is, therefore, piecewise linear. Since an isoline has topological dimension 1, this is a polyline.

The basic algorithm for constructing an isoline is quite simple. The principle is illustrated by the simple 5×5 cell grid in Figure 5.10, where we construct the isoline for the value v = 0.48. For every cell c of the dataset, we test whether the isoline intersects the respective cell, as follows. For every edge $e = (p_i, p_j)$ of the cell c, we test whether the isoline value v is between the scalar vertex attributes v_i and v_j corresponding to the edge end points p_i and p_j . If the test succeeds, the isoline intersects e at a point

$$q = \frac{p_i(v_j - v) + p_j(v - v_i)}{v_j - v_i}.$$
(5.5)

This result is obtained by expressing q as a linear interpolation of p_i and p_j with the same weights used to express v as a linear interpolation of v_i and v_j . We repeat the previous procedure for all edges of our current cell and finally obtain a set of intersection points $S = \{q_i\}$ of the isoline with the cell. For


Figure 5.11. Contour ambiguity for a quad cell (drawn in red). The isovalue is equal to 0.37. Numbers in the figures indicate the scalar values at the cell vertices.

the sample dataset in Figure 5.10, these points are drawn in purple. The set S contains at least two points, since an isoline cannot enter a cell without exiting it, as discussed previously, and at most as many points as cell edges, since the dataset is linearly interpolated along every cell edge, so there can be at most one intersection point along every edge. Next, we must connect these points together to obtain the actual isoline. Since we know that our isoline is piecewise linear over a cell, we can use line segments to connect these points. These lines are drawn in white in Figure 5.10. If S contains exactly two points, there is no problem. However, S can contain more points, as illustrated in Figure 5.11 for the quad cell marked in red, which has four intersection points.

In this case, exactly two possibilities exist for connecting the four intersection points, shown in the left and right images in Figure 5.11. Note that the other connection possibilities are invalid, as they would lead to intersecting contours. The first possibility (see Figure 5.11(a)) creates two separate contour loops, whereas the second possibility (see Figure 5.11(b)) creates a single contour loop. In practice, an implementation may choose either of the connection possibilities separately for each cell. If one has additional knowledge on the contour topology, e.g., that it must have a single connected component, this information can be used to discriminate between the two connection possibilities. When we use a triangular mesh, such ambiguities do not exist. This may suggest that we could eliminate ambiguities from quad meshes by splitting all quad cells into two triangles each. However, the ambiguity is now shifted to the quad-splitting process. For example, the isoline configuration inside the marked cell in Figure 5.11(a) would be produced when using two triangles obtained by splitting the quad cell diagonally from the lower-left to the upper-right vertex. Similarly, the configuration in Figure 5.11(a) would be produced when using two triangles obtained by splitting the quad cell diagonally from the upper-left to the lower-right vertex.

It is now clear that contouring needs to have at least piecewise linear, C^0 datasets as input. This means that we cannot directly contour image data, for example, which is actually a uniform grid with piecewise constant interpolation, and thus represents a discontinuous function. Resampling image datasets to piecewise linear datasets can be easily done, as explained in Section 3.9.1. However, note that this has the hidden effect of changing the continuity assumptions on the dataset from piecewise constant to piecewise linear. While this should not pose problems in many cases, there are situations when such changes in assumptions can lead to highly incorrect visualizations. An example of such a situation is discussed in Section 9.4.7.

A final issue to be considered is the complexity of computing contours. If we make no specific assumptions about a dataset then a contour for a given isovalue can pass through every single cell of that dataset. For each cell, we must test whether the isovalue intersects every cell edge and, if so, compute the exact intersection location using Equation (5.5). Hence, it is important to reduce the number of operations done per cell. The most popular method that accomplishes this is the *marching squares* method, which works on 2D datasets with quad cells, and its *marching cubes* variant, which works on 3D datasets with hexahedral cells [Lorensen and Cline 87]. These methods are discussed next.

5.3.1 Marching Squares

The marching squares method begins by determining the topological state of the current cell with respect to the isovalue. A cell's topological state describes which of the cell edges are intersected by the contour and how these intersection points are to be connected with lines to yield the isoline fragments. To simplify the description, we shall say that a cell vertex p_i is *inside* the isoline if its scalar value v_i is smaller than the isovalue v, and *outside* the isoline if v_i is greater than v. The situation $v_i = v$ can be subsumed by either the inside or outside case. A quad cell has, thus, $2^4 = 16$ different topological states. The state of a quad cell can be represented by a 4-bit integer index, where each bit stores the inside/outside state of a vertex. This integer can be used to index a *case*



Figure 5.12. Topological states of a quad cell (marching squares algorithm). Red indicates "inside" vertices. Bold indices mark ambiguous cases.

table (see Figure 5.12) that holds optimized code for every topological state. Optimizations include performing the edge-isovalue intersection computations (see Equation (5.5)) only on those edges that are known to be intersected for a specific topological state. The states 0101 and 1010 in the case table represent the ambiguous situations described previously for the example in Figure 5.11. That is, the method implementation can choose whether to create the full lines or the dashed ones.

Putting it all together, we obtain the marching squares implementation shown in Listing 5.2.

The marching squares algorithm constructs independent line segments for each cell, which are stored in an unstructured dataset type, given that isolines have no regular structure with respect to the grid they are computed on. An useful postprocessing step is to merge the coincident end points of line segments originating from neighbor grid cells that share an edge. Besides decreasing the

```
for each cell c_i of the dataset

{

int index = 0;

for (each vertex v_j of c_i)

store the inside/outside state of v_j in bit j of index;

select the optimized code from the case table using index;

for (all cell edges e_j of the selected case)

intersect e_j with isovalue v using Equation (5.3);

construct line segments from these intersections;

}
```



Figure 5.13. Topological states of a hex cell (marching cubes algorithm). "Inside" vertices are marked in red. Bold indices mark ambiguous cases.

isoline dataset size, this also creates a dataset on which operations such as computing vertex data from cell data via averaging (see Section 3.9.1) is possible.

5.3.2 Marching Cubes

The marching cubes algorithm operates similarly to marching squares, but accepts 3D instead of 2D scalar datasets and generates 2D isosurfaces instead of 1D isolines. Marching cubes begins just like marching squares does. Since a hex cell has eight vertices, marching cubes would need to treat $2^8 = 256$ different topological cases. In practice, this number is reduced to only 15 by using symmetry considerations.² The 15 different topological states used by marching cubes are sketched in Figure 5.13.

In contrast to marching squares, there are more ambiguous cases for marching cubes, i.e., cases when the intersection points of a cell can be connected in several ways with planar components. The six ambiguous cases for marching cubes are marked by bold indices in Figure 5.13. The ambiguous cases for marching squares, i.e., have two diagonal vertices "inside" and the other two "outside." There are several variants in which we can construct contours in these cells, as illustrated by Figure 5.14.

 $^{^{2}}$ The same reduction could be done for the 16 topological cases of marching squares. However, 15 is already a small number, so there is little need to further reduce the size of the case table.



Figure 5.14. Ambiguous cases for marching cubes. Each case has two contouring variants.

Unfortunately, in an ambiguous case, we cannot select the variant to use independently for every cell, as we did for marching squares. If we did so, there would be the risk that the constructed isosurface could exhibit artificial cracks. There are several ways to prevent this. First, we can replace every hex cell with five or six tetrahedra and use a marching tetrahedra algorithm instead of marching cubes. Marching tetrahedra has no ambiguous cases, just as marching triangles. Second, we can still use marching cubes with a small extension, given the following observation: An ambiguous hex cell has, as already explained, (at least) an ambiguous quad face. Hence, its hex cell neighbor that shares that ambiguous face is also ambiguous. We can thus solve the crack problem by taking care that the variants picked for an ambiguous cell *and* its ambiguous cell neighbors solve the shared *face* ambiguity in the same way.

As it is visible from Figures 5.13 and 5.14, marching cubes generates a set of polygons for each contoured cell, which includes triangles, quads, pentagons, and hexagons. For simplicity, most implementations would triangulate these polygons on the fly, or even directly generate the triangles from the various cases, and save the resulting 3D isosurface as an unstructured dataset. Just as for marching squares, a postprocessing pass is needed to merge the coincident end points of triangles originating from neighbor grid cells that share a face. An additional step needed for marching cubes is the computation of isosurface normals. These are needed for smooth shading. Normals can be computed by normal averaging, as explained in Section 2.3. Alternatively, since isosurfaces are orthogonal to the scalar data gradient at each point (see Figure 5.9 and related explanations), normals can be directly computed as being the normalized gradients of the scalar data.

Interpreting the results of marching cubes must be done with care. Figure 5.15 shows an isosurface of an MRI scan uniform dataset of 128^3 voxels for



Figure 5.15. Ringing artifacts on isosurface. (a) Overview. (b) Detail mesh.

Figure 5.16. Two nested isosurfaces of a tooth scan dataset.

an isovalue corresponding to the skin tissue. From the given viewpoint, the isosurface exhibits a "wavy" pattern that is clearly visible in the area marked in the image. This pattern is not an actual feature of the data but is caused by subsampling of the original signal on a low-resolution uniform grid. Figure 5.15(b) shows a zoomed-in view with the isosurface grid overlaid on top of the shaded artifact area. The relation between the regular structure of the underlying 3D dataset and the unstructured triangle mesh of the isosurface is visible. Users should be familiar with their specific application data to avoid confusing the presence of such artifacts with actual features in the data. This observation applies also to other artifacts, such as holes in the surface or small disconnected components. As a general rule, most isosurface details that are under or around the size of the resolution of the isosurfaced dataset can be either actual data or artifacts, and should be interpreted with great care.

We can draw more than a single isosurface of the same dataset in one visualization. Figure 5.16 shows two isosurfaces of a tooth scan dataset. The blue opaque isosurface corresponds to a high isovalue, which denotes a hard material such as the enamel present on the tooth upper surface. The beige isosurface corresponds to a lower isovalue, which denotes the softer dentine material present inside the tooth. By making the latter isosurface semitransparent, we can see through it and discover the first opaque isosurface, and also see its internal surface, which corresponds to the tooth nerve chamber. The process of rendering several nested semitransparent isosurfaces that correspond to a discrete sequence of isovalues can be generalized to the continuous case, as we shall see with the volume rendering technique presented in Chapter 10.



Figure 5.17. Relationships between isosurfaces, isolines, and slicing.

Isosurfaces and isolines are strongly related, as the following example shows. Consider the same 3D dataset containing a human head MRI scan as used in Figure 8.2 (see Section 8.1.2). Figure 5.17(a) illustrates such a 3D dataset. Let us construct an isosurface of this dataset for the isovalue that corresponds to the skin tissue (see Figure 5.17(d)). Consider now a 2D slice from the same dataset (Figure 5.17(b)) on which we construct an isoline for the skin isovalue (drawn in red in Figure 5.17(c)). Finally, let us slice the isosurface itself with the same slicing plane we used before. The result is shown in green in Figure 5.17(e). If we compare Figures 5.17(c) and 5.17(e), we see that the isoline is identical to the intersection of the slice plane and the 3D isosurface. In other words, we can say that the slicing and contouring operations are commutative. This observation is important, as it suggests that 3D isosurfaces (and other similar surfaces) can be constructed by assembling a set of 2D contours located on a set of parallel slice planes. If the set is dense, i.e., the slice planes are close to each other, and the dataset does not exhibit too-sharp variations, we can connect points on an isoline with the closest points on the isolines from the previous and next slice and construct the 3D isosurface. This technique can be useful in several situations, for example when we do not have the original 3D volume to isosurface, but have a set of 2D contours on consecutive (near) parallel slices.

Marching algorithm variations. The marching squares and marching cubes algorithms have many variations and come in many flavors. In general, these variations try to address several requirements, such as genericity in terms of input dataset type, speed of execution, and quality of obtained contours. There exist similar algorithms to marching squares and marching cubes for all cell types, such as lines, triangles, and tetrahedra. These algorithms can treat all grid types, e.g., structured and unstructured, including grids with mixed cell types, as long as all encountered cell types are supported. All algorithm variants produce unstructured grids. If the input dataset has more vertex attributes than just the scalars used to contour, it is possible to interpolate all vertex attributes at the locations of the contour vertices and save them into the output contour dataset.

Isosurfaces can be also generated and rendered using point-based techniques. Point-based techniques exploit the observation that 3D surfaces can be rendered using large numbers of (shaded) point primitives. When the point density is high enough, there are no gaps in the rendering and the surface looks realistic. As we have seen, isosurfaces produced by marching cubes from uniform datasets have a quasiconstant point density, which makes them well suited for point-based rendering. On several graphics hardware configurations, point primitives can be considerably faster, and demand less memory, than polygonal ones. Moreover, rendering a dense set of points, also called a *point cloud*, eliminates the often costly stage of assembling polygonal primitives into a mesh, eliminating duplicate points, and computing vertex normals. Point-based techniques are detailed further in Section 8.3.2 in the context of scattered points interpolation.

Dividing cubes algorithm. A classical algorithm for generating and rendering isosurfaces using point clouds is *dividing cubes* [Cline et al. 88]. Dividing cubes works for 3D uniform and rectilinear grids, i.e., grids that have box-shaped cells (see Section 3.5). Similar to marching cubes, dividing cubes iterates over all dataset cells and detects those intersected by the given isosurface by comparing the isovalue with the cell vertex values. For dividing cubes, however, it suffices to detect whether a cell has at least one inside and one outside vertex, which is cheaper than the full bit-coding done by marching cubes. The cells that pass the intersection test are next recursively subdivided into a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ lattice of smaller cells. The cell-isosurface intersection test and cell subdivision are recursively repeated until the cell size falls below a minimal size. The algorithm is similar to the one used when building octrees or similar spatial subdivision structures. For all resulting cells, the dividing cubes algorithm draws a shaded point primitive located at every cell center. In sampling terms, we can say that dividing cubes approximates the isosurface using constant basis functions, whereas marching cubes uses linear basis functions (see Chapter 3).

For dividing cubes, the ideal minimal cell size is that of a screen pixel, in which case every point primitive is of pixel size and there are no visual gaps or other rendering artifacts in the rendered isosurface. However, this may be costly, so the division can be stopped earlier, yielding larger cells. In this case, larger point primitives can be used, such as splats (see Section 8.3.2), in order to obtain a gap-free isosurface rendering. To prevent visual gaps when zooming in an isosurface rendered with dividing cubes, we can either dynamically recompute the isosurface, i.e., generate more point primitives, a slower but more accurate option, or simply increase the point primitive size, which is fast but can create visual artifacts when viewing close-ups.

5.4 Height Plots

Height plots, also called *elevation* or *carpet plots*, were introduced by our first visualization example in Section 2.1. Given a two-dimensional surface $\mathcal{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}$, part of a scalar dataset \mathcal{D} , height plots can be described by the mapping operation

$$m: \mathcal{D}_s \to \mathcal{D}, \quad m(x) = x + s(x)\mathbf{n}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}_s,$$

$$(5.6)$$

where s(x) is the scalar value of \mathcal{D} at the point x and $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the normal to the surface \mathcal{D}_s at x. In other words, the height-plot mapping operation "warps" a given surface \mathcal{D}_s included in the dataset along the surface normal, with a factor proportional to the scalar values.

In their most common variant, height plots warp a planar surface \mathcal{D}_s . This type of height plot has been demonstrated several times in this book, starting with Chapter 2. However, we can produce height plots starting from different basis surfaces \mathcal{D}_s , such as the torus shown in Figure 5.18. The values of the scalar dataset on the torus surface are shown with a blue-to-red colormap in



Figure 5.18. (a) Non-planar surface. (b) Height plot over this surface.



Figure 5.19. (a) Grayscale color mapping of scalar dataset. (b) Height plot of the same dataset, emphasizing fine-grained data variations.

Figure 5.18(a). Figure 5.18(b) shows the height plot of these scalar values, done by warping the torus surface with the scalar values along the surface normal. In this image, both the height and the color encode the scalar value—in other words, the bumps are red, whereas the valleys are blue. The two visual cues strengthen each other to convey the scalar value information. If desired, one can encode two different scalar values with a height plot, one into the plot's height and the other into the plot's color. This scenario is detailed next in Section 5.4.1.

Figure 5.19 shows a different use-case for height plots. The left image shows a 2D scalar plot of a brain CT slice, displayed with a grayscale colormap. The image is similar to Figure 5.2(a). Here, scalar values encode tissue density, with white corresponding to the hardest structures (bone) and black corresponding to air. Since a linear scalar-to-grayscale colormap was used here, high-contrast borders between regions of different densities are easily seen. Also, large differences of absolute data values are easily seen—we can, for instance, locate the hardest and softest tissues within the given scan by looking for the brightest, respectively darkest, areas in the image. However, small-scale data variations are not easily visible, since the dynamic range of a grayscale colormap on a typical computer screen has only 256 different values (8 bits of luminance, see Section 3.6.3). Figure 5.19(b) shows the same dataset, this time displayed using a height plot, visualized from above, and with a directional light shining from a slightly oblique direction. By tuning the material specular and diffuse properties of the rendered 3D plot surface, we can now emphasize small-scale data variations much better. In contrast to Figure 5.19(a), absolute data values are now not visible. However, we now can much better discern local, relatively small-scale, data variations,

which map to luminance variations in the plot. Since shading differences do not depend on *absolute*, but *relative* data variations, low-value regions do not suffer from being obscured (dark), as in the grayscale plot.

Height plots are a particular case of *displacement*, or *warped*, plots. Displacement plots are used to visualize vector datasets and are detailed in Section 6.4.

5.4.1 Enridged Plots

The height plots, color mapping, and contouring techniques, introduced in the previous sections, both address the task of displaying scalar values in different ways, and have their own strengths and limitations:

- Height plots are easy to learn, are intuitive to understand, generate continuous images, and show the local gradient of the data in terms of actual slope or shading of the plot. However, quantitative information can be hard to extract from such plots—in a complex height plot, it is not easy to tell which peak is the highest. Also, 3D occlusion effects can occur. Changing the viewing parameters can alleviate these, but this requires additional user interaction.
- Color mapping share the advantages of height plots and do not suffer from 3D occlusion problems. However, making quantitative judgments based on color data can be hard, and requires carefully designed colormaps, which may be application or even dataset dependent.
- Contour plots are effective in communicating precise quantitative values. However, such plots are less intuitive to use. Also, they do not create a dense, continuous, image—information is not shown at all points of the input dataset.

Combining height plots, contour plots, and color mapping alleviates some, but not all, of the problems of each plot type. Additionally, combined plots mix several graphical metaphors (lines, shading, colors, 3D rendering) in a single image. This means that we cannot use these metaphors for displaying other information in the same image.

An alternative solution is proposed by *enridged contour maps* [van Wijk and Telea 01]. The basic idea is simple: Consider a scalar dataset given by a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$, and its height plot given by the mapping z(x, y) = sf(x, y), for all points $(x, y) \in D$. Here, s > 0 is the plot's scaling factor. Figure 5.20(a) shows an example, where we view the height plot from above, looking along the -z-axis,



Figure 5.20. (a) Height plot. (b–d) Enridged height-plot variations for the same dataset.

rendered with standard Gouraud shading. Next, instead of the linear mapping z = sf, we use a non-linear mapping given by

$$z(x,y) = sf(x,y) + sh \ g\left(\frac{f(x,y) \bmod h}{h}\right)$$
(5.7)

where g(u) = au(1-u) is a parabolic function. The resulting enridged plot for a value of a = 0.6 is shown in Figure 5.20(b). Intuitively, the effect of Equation 5.7 is to add parabolic bumps of height a to consecutive intervals in the range of f of size h. The parameter $a \in [0, 1]$ sets the strength of the bump effect. The parameter h controls how "thick" the resulting bands are, and is similar in function to the distance between consecutive contours in isoline plots.

Two observations can be made over Figure 5.20(b). First, enridged plots combine the appearance of contour plots and height plots. Indeed, the sharp shading transitions, or ridges, occurring at points where f is a multiple of h are visually salient and have the same effect as contours. This is explained by the fact that z, thus its normal too (which is next used for shading) is first-order discontinuous at these points. Also, the function z in Equation 5.7 is continuous over D, and is also displayed at each point of the domain D, creating a dense



Figure 5.21. Average rainfall and temperature over Europe for January (a) and July (b), visualized using enridged plots.

visualization similar to height plots. Second, and more interestingly, the nested cushion-like shapes that emerge in this type of plot convey a sensation of height which is much stronger than in classical height plots (Figure 5.20(a)). This enables us, for example, to easily visually select plot regions which belong to the same band, and thus have the same scalar value (modulo h).

Enridged plots can be also extended to use a hierarchy of contour levels, by using two instances of the second right-hand-side term in Equation 5.7, for two different parameter-pairs (h_1, a_1) and (h_2, a_2) . Figure 5.20(c) illustrates this effect. The second parameter-pair (h_2, a_2) obeys the constraints $a_2 < a_1$ and $h_1 = kh_2$ (with k being a positive integer). Intuitively, (h_1, a_1) show large intervals of the visualized scalar function f, and visually generates what we call major ridges. In contrast, (h_2, a_2) model denser contours in the range of f, which are depicted by visually subtler transitions, which we call minor ridges. In Figure 5.20(c), we used $a_1 = 0.5$ and $a_2 = 0.25$, and k = 5, i.e., 5 minor ridges between every two consecutive major ridges. The overall effect is akin to a ruler laid out over the range of f: Major ridges correspond to large and saliently rendered gradations (e.g., centimeters) and minor ridges correspond to smaller and less saliently rendered gradations (e.g., millimeters).

Enridged plots can be further tuned to emphasize the nesting relationship between different regions of the scalar domain D, to help users see which domain regions have values larger than other regions. For this, we simply replace the symmetric parabolic profile g(u) = u(1 - u) by an asymmetric one, which increases sharply close to u = 0 and is relatively flat close to u = 1. To ensure continuity of the height z delivered by Equation 5.7, we keep the constraint g(0) = g(1) = 0. Such an asymmetric profile can be obtained by $g(u) = u(1-u)^n$ for integer n > 2 values. Figure 5.20(d) shows this effect. Compared to the previous images in the same figure, we now get a much stronger sense of the nesting of regions having similar scalar values (modulo h). The image resembles the structure of a Venn-Euler diagram. As we shall see later in Chapter 11, these cushion-like nested structures constructed in image space can be useful for visualizing data hierarchies in different contexts, beyond scalar fields.

Figure 5.21 demonstrates the use of enridged plots, with two hierarchy levels, for the visualization of multivariate scalar data. Here, we show the average rainfall and temperature over Europe for two year moments (January and July), averaged over the period 1960–1990, using enridged plots and color mapping, respectively.³ As visible, color mapping combines quite well with the shading of the enridged plots, since we use here a hue (blue-to-red), constant-luminance, colormap for the former, and luminance (shading) for the latter. The visual nesting effect of the enridged plots let us easily find the highest-rainfall regions as the "bubbles" which stand out in the images. For January, we see that this happens over southwest Scandinavia, in an area of low-to-mild temperatures for that year period. For July, the rainfall bubble occurs across the Alps, in a region of mild temperatures. We also see that the coldest regions in January occur over Russia, in areas with lowest rainfall, and the hottest regions in July occur over the Mediterranean, also in lowest-rainfall zones.

5.5 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have presented a number of fundamental methods for visualizing scalar data: color mapping, contouring, slicing, and height plots. Color mapping assigns a color as a function of the scalar value at each point of a given domain. Contouring displays all points within a given two- or three-dimensional domain that have a given scalar value. Height plots deform the scalar dataset domain in a given direction as a function of the scalar data. These techniques work on 1D, 2D, and 3D datasets of arbitrary topology. The main advantages of these techniques is that they produce intuitive results, easily understood by the vast majority of users, and they are simple to implement. However, such techniques have also a number of restrictions.

In their standard form, color mapping, contouring, and height plots take as input a one- or two-dimensional scalar dataset. This may be restrictive, for example, when we want to visualize a 3D scalar dataset. Additional techniques such as slicing can be used to extract a lower-dimensional subset, such as a 2D

³Data obtained from the IRI/LDEO Climate Data Library (www.ldeo.columbia.edu).

slice from a 3D volume, and display it using the visualization methods presented in this chapter. Slicing techniques are further elaborated in Chapter 8. In some other cases, however, we want to visualize the scalar values of *all*, not just a few, of the data points of a 3D dataset. Volume-rendering techniques, presented in Chapter 10, are an answer to this demand.

Besides these fundamental techniques, many different scalar visualization methods exist. For example, specific methods exist for the visualization of scalar data defined over images and volumes, i.e., two-dimensional and threedimensional uniform grids with scalar attributes, respectively. These methods are discussed further in more detail in Chapter 9 (image visualization) and Chapter 10 (volume visualization).

Chapter 6

Vector Visualization

ECTOR data is as frequently encountered, and as important, as scalar data. Strictly put, a vector is a tuple of n scalar components $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n), v_i \in \mathbb{R}$. An n-dimensional vector describes, for example, a position, direction, rate of change, or force in \mathbb{R}^n . However, the majority of visualization applications deal with data that describes physical phenomena in two- or three-dimensional space. As a consequence, most visualization software defines all vectors to have three components. 2D vectors are modeled as 3D vectors with the third (z) component equal to null. Although one could provide separate implementation-level support for 2D vectors, this would massively complicate the structure of visualization software, lead to code replication, and ultimately reduce performance.

Recalling from Section 3.6, vector fields are functions $f : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}^3$, where \mathcal{D} is usually a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 . Vector datasets are samplings of vector fields over discrete spatial domains. In this chapter, we shall discuss a number of popular visualization methods for vector datasets: vector glyphs, vector color coding, displacement plots, stream objects, texture-based vector visualization, and the simplified representation of vector fields.

A very important application domain for vector visualization is *computational fluid dynamics (CFD)*. CFD simulations are able to predict the time-dependent behavior of compressible 3D fluid flows consisting of several potentially interacting substances, or species, having different densities and pressures, over complex spatial geometries. The solution of a CFD simulation consists of several datasets, each for a different time step. For each time step, several attributes are computed and stored into the solution dataset, such as velocity, pressure, density, flow divergence, and vorticity. Since divergence and vorticity are fundamental concepts in understanding the structure of vector fields and are used by many visualization methods for vector data, we shall detail these notions first.

We begin our discussion by first describing a number of fundamental mathematical operators that are used to analyze vector fields (Section 6.1). Next, we present vector glyphs, one of the simplest and most popular techniques used to visualize such fields (Section 6.2). The use of scalar visualization techniques to depict vector fields is discussed in Section 6.3. We then introduce the displacement plot technique for visualizing vector data (Section 6.4). Section 6.5 presents stream objects, which use integral techniques to construct paths in vector fields. Section 6.6 discusses the use of textures for visualizing vector fields. Section 6.7 discusses a number of strategies for simplified representation of vector datasets. Section 6.8 presents a number of illustrative visualization techniques for vector fields, which offer an alternative mechanism for simplified representation to the techniques discussed in Section 6.7. Finally, Section 6.9 concludes this chapter.

6.1 Divergence and Vorticity

Divergence and vorticity are important quantities for vector field visualization, but also for the visualization and processing of other types of datasets, such as meshes, images, and scalar and tensor fields.

Divergence. Given a vector field $\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, the divergence of $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)^1$ is the scalar quantity

div
$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z}.$$
 (6.1)

Intuitively, if \mathbf{v} is a flow field that transports mass, div \mathbf{v} characterizes the increase or loss of mass at a given point p in the vector field in unit time.

- A positive divergence at p denotes that mass would spread from p outward. Positive divergence points are called *sources* (see Figure 6.1(b)).
- A negative divergence at p denotes that mass gets sucked into p. Negative divergence points are called *sinks* (see Figure 6.1(c)).
- A zero divergence at p denotes that mass is transported without getting spread or sucked, i.e., without compression or expansion.

¹The divergence of a vector field \mathbf{v} is also denoted as $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$.



Figure 6.1. Divergence and curl in 2D. (a) Divergence construction. (b) Source point. (c) Sink point. (d) Rotor construction. (e) High-vorticity field.

An equivalent definition of the divergence of \mathbf{v} at a point p is

div
$$\mathbf{v}(p) = \lim_{\Gamma \to p} \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma}) \mathrm{d}s.$$
 (6.2)

Here, Γ is a closed hypersurface (a curve for 2D vector fields and a surface for 3D vector fields) around the current point p, $|\Gamma|$ is the area (2D) or volume (3D) of the space enclosed by Γ , and \mathbf{n}_{Γ} is the outward normal of Γ (see Figure 6.1(a)). The integral in Equation (6.2) computes the flux that the vector field transports through the imaginary boundary Γ . The limit $\Gamma \rightarrow p$ describes a curve that shrinks around the current point p until it becomes infinitesimally short.

Figure 6.2(a) shows the divergence of a 2D flow field using a blue-to-red colormap. The vector field is visualized with arrow glyphs for illustration purposes. Red areas indicate high positive divergence, or sources. Two such sources are clearly visible. Blue areas indicate high negative divergence, or sinks. Within the dark blue area, two pronounced sinks are visible. If we correlate the divergence and vector glyph visualizations, we get the image of a flow field that emerges from the sources and ends up in the sinks.

Vorticity. Given a vector field $\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, the *vorticity* of \mathbf{v} , also called the *curl* or *rotor* of \mathbf{v}^2 , is the vector quantity

rot
$$\mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y}\right).$$
 (6.3)

The vorticity rot \mathbf{v} of \mathbf{v} is a vector field that is locally perpendicular to the plane of rotation of \mathbf{v} and whose magnitude expresses the speed of angular rotation of \mathbf{v} around rot \mathbf{v} . Hence, the vorticity vector characterizes the speed and direction

²The curl of a vector field **v** is also denoted as $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$.

of rotation of a given vector field at every point. In some textbooks, the rotor is also denoted as curl \mathbf{v} .

An equivalent definition of the vorticity of \mathbf{v} at a point p is given using line integrals. If we take any plane Π passing through p, and \mathbf{n} is the normal to Π , and consider a closed curve $\Gamma \subset \Pi$ around p, then the projection of rot \mathbf{v} on \mathbf{n} is given by

$$(\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v}(p)) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \lim_{\Gamma \to p} \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}.$$
(6.4)

where ds is the infinitesimal oriented displacement on Γ (see also Figure 6.1(d)).

Vorticity signals the presence of vortices in vector fields. An informal definition of a vortex is a region where the vector field locally circles around a point called the *vortex center*. High-vorticity areas (such as in Figure 6.1(e)) indicate the presence of vortices. High vorticity and high divergence are typically complementary. For example, the divergence of the high-vorticity field in Figure 6.1(e) is zero and the vorticity of the high-divergence fields in Figures 6.1(b) and (c) is also zero. However, this is not always the case: For vector fields that *spiral* around a point, i.e., quickly rotate around the point while being in the same time converging into, or diverging out from, that point, both divergence and vorticity can be high. Additionally, when combining divergence and curl metrics in the construction of a visualization, it is useful to recall that div rot $\mathbf{v} = 0$ for any vector field \mathbf{v} .

Figure 6.2(b) shows the absolute value of the vorticity of a velocity field from a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation [Brandenburg 03], using a blue-tored colormap. The field itself is visualized with arrow-capped stream tubes, a technique detailed in Section 6.5. Blue areas indicate low-vorticity, laminar regions. Red areas indicate high-vorticity regions. We can see two types of such regions. Two small circular red spots indicate localized vortices, which are also outlined by the circling streamlines. Several elongated thin red strips indicate areas where the vector field quickly changes direction. Given the shape, these are not vortices, but separation lines that divide regions where the flow has opposite directions. If one is interested in locating such high-vorticity areas, a simple method of reasonable accuracy is to contour the vorticity field for high isovalues and select the data points inside such a contour.

Figure 6.3 visualizes the vorticity of a more complex turbulent 2D flow. Blue and red indicate respectively counterclockwise and clockwise spinning vortices. Green indicates low-vorticity, laminar regions. The image clearly conveys the high complexity of the flow. A typical pattern for such phenomena, which are also known as *turbulent flows*, is the high number of vortices and the alternation of their spinning directions. Such flows are encountered in the study of



Figure 6.2. (a) Divergence of a 2D vector field. (b) Absolute value of vorticity of a 2D vector field.

aerodynamics and fluid dynamics and can exhibit highly complex patterns. Understanding such patterns is one of the important ongoing challenges of scientific visualization.

Streamwise vorticity. The streamwise vorticity Ω of a field **v** is the scalar quantity equal to the projection of rot **v** along **v** itself:

$$\Omega = \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}.$$
(6.5)

Intuitively, Ω describes how quickly **v** turns around itself.

Helicity. Another quantity used to characterize vector fields is *helicity*. Helicity is defined as one-half the scalar product of the velocity and vorticity vectors. Intuitively, helicity describes the extent to which the vector field exhibits a corkscrew-like local motion. Helicity is a conserved quantity if the flow is inviscid and homogeneous in density. Helicity is useful in weather studies for understanding severe convective storms and tornadoes, since in strong updrafts, the velocity and vorticity vectors tend to be aligned, yielding high helicity [Majda et al. 01].



Figure 6.3. Vorticity of a 2D fluid flow field. Note the alternation between vortices with opposite spinning directions. (Image courtesy of I. Barosan, Eindhoven University, the Netherlands.)

To compute divergence and vorticity and related quantities, we need the partial derivatives of the vector field components. On a discrete dataset, these can be approximated using the formulas specific for every grid cell type given in Section 3.7. However, as with all discrete datasets, derivatives can be sensitive to noise, so the results of such computations must be interpreted carefully.

6.2 Vector Glyphs

Vector glyphs are probably the simplest, and fastest, and most popular technique for visualizing vector fields. The vector glyph mapping technique essentially associates a vector glyph, or *vector icon*, with every sample point of the vector dataset. Various properties of the icon, such as location, direction, orientation, size, and color, are adjusted to reflect the value of the vector attribute it represents. The name *glyph*, meaning "sign" in Greek, reflects this principle of associating discrete visual signs with individual vector attributes. Every glyph is a sign that conveys, by its appearance, properties of the represented vector, such as direction, orientation, and magnitude.

Line glyphs. There are many variations of this framework for vector glyphs. Essentially, they propose various trade-offs between sampling density (how many glyphs we can display on a given screen area) and number of encoded attributes (how many attributes we can display per glyph). We shall present a number of vector glyphs, starting with the simplest one: the line. Lines essentially show the position, direction, and magnitude of a set of vectors. Given a vector dataset

defined on a sampled domain D, we associate a line $l = (x, x + k\mathbf{v}(x))$ with every sample point $x \in D$ that has a vector attribute $\mathbf{v}(x)$. The parameter k represents the scaling factor used to map the vector magnitudes to the geometric domain. Oriented line glyphs are sometimes also called *hedgehogs*, due to the particularly spiky appearance of the visualization.

Figure 6.4 shows a line glyph, or hedgehog, visualization of a 2D vector field defined on a square domain. The vector field is the MHD dataset earlier used in



Figure 6.4. Hedgehog visualization of a 2D magnetohydrodynamic velocity field. (Data courtesy of Prof. Martin Rumpf, University of Bonn, Germany.)

Figure 6.2(b). The original uniform dataset has a resolution of 256×256 sample points. The images show the hedgehog visualization of the vector field uniformly subsampled in both x and y dimensions at a rate of 2 (see Figure 6.4(a)), a rate of 4 (Figure 6.4(b)), and a rate of 8 (Figure 6.4(c)). In all these images, the line glyphs are scaled proportionally to the vector field magnitude, the scaling factor k being proportional to the subsampling rate. In Figure 6.4(d), the vector field is uniformly subsampled at a rate of 8, but the line glyphs are all scaled to the same length. The glyphs are colored by color mapping the vector field magnitude scalar field to blue-to-red colormap. In this way, color cues strengthen (or replace) length cues to convey information about the vector magnitude. In many applications, color is used to show other scalar fields related to the vector field, such as pressure, temperature, or density.

Looking at Figure 6.4, we can make a number of important observations. First, it is clear that high-resolution vector datasets must be subsampled in order to be visualized with hedgehogs. Comparing Figures 6.4(a), (b), and (c), we can argue that it is easier to comprehend the vector field in the last image than in the first two, as the line glyphs are longer, hence their direction and orientation are easier to discern. The direction is even easier to follow in the last image (Figures 6.4(d)), where all glyphs have the same, relatively large, size. Hence, the clarity of hedgehog visualizations depends strongly on the glyph scaling factor. Ideally, a glyph should be as large as possible, since larger glyphs have an easier perceivable direction, but not too large, so it would not intersect neighboring glyphs. If we scale all glyphs to the same size, as in Figure 6.4(d), this constraint is easy to obey by scaling each glyph to the average cell size at its origin. This removes clutter, but eliminates the use of the glyph size (length) as a visual cue for the vector field magnitude. If we scale the glyphs to reflect the vector field magnitude, such as in Figures 6.4(a)–(c), eliminating clutter is more problematic. We could still use a unique glyph scaling factor k so that all glyphs are locally smaller than the cell size. Another option is to use a nonlinear term $k\mathbf{v}$, which, e.g., has constrained minimal and maximal values or has a logarithmic, instead of linear, variation with $|\mathbf{v}|$. This will prevent clutter and guarantee glyph visibility, but will drop the one-to-one relationship between vector magnitude and glyph length.

Cone and arrow glyphs. More complex shapes can be used for glyphs besides lines. Figure 6.5 shows the same 2D vector field as in Figure 6.4, this time visualized with 3D cone and arrow glyphs. Such glyphs have the advantage of being able to convey a signed direction, whereas lines convey an unsigned direction only. However, these glyphs also take more space to draw, so they increase the



Figure 6.5. Different glyph types. (a) Cones. (b) Arrows.

clutter or require lower-resolution datasets. An interesting compromise between arrows and lines is to use Gouraud shaded lines. By shading the line glyph from full color (or full opacity) at the glyph origin to the background color (or full transparency) at the line tip, a visual effect similar to a thin arrow can be obtained without the need for extra screen space.

By using even more complex glyph shapes, we can encode more attributes than the vector field itself. This feature is needed in situations when one has to analyze not just the relative behavior of a single (vector) field in different spatial regions, but the correlations between several scalar and vector fields. Such situations occur frequently in computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations. A 3D CFD solution consisting of flow velocity, vorticity, divergence and material density, pressure, and temperature offers 3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 10 attributes per dataset point. To visualize all this information, we would need to design a glyph with 10 degrees of freedom. Such glyphs have been designed and used in the visualization of fluid flow [van Walsum et al. 96], albeit with limited effectiveness.

6.2.1 Vector Glyph Discussion

The trade-off between the power of expression of glyphs, or number of attributes they can encode, and minimal screen size needed by a glyph is an important characteristic of glyph-based visualizations. To understand this better, let us compare these for a moment with the color-mapping visualizations discussed in Section 5.1. In both cases, the data attributes are available only at the discrete sample points of a dataset D. However, color mapping is typically applied at *every point* of the dataset D, either via texture-based interpolation or via the vertex-based color interpolation provided by the polygon rendering machinery. We say that color mapping produces a *dense* visualization, where every pixel represents an (interpolated) data value. In contrast, most glyph-based visualizations for vector data cannot have this freedom. Since a glyph takes more space than just a pixel, we cannot draw one glyph at every pixel of a given dataset. All glyph visualizations share this inherent discreteness, or sparseness, of the output. This affects the inverse image-to-data mapping (see Chapter 4) at the core of the visualization process.

Vector glyphs in 2D. Consider a zoomed-in detail showing a hedgehog plot over a single cell of a 2D vector field (see Figure 6.6). In the first case (see Figure 6.6(a)), the vector field variation over the displayed cell is quite small. We can easily interpolate *mentally* the displayed arrow glyphs and arrive at the conclusion that the vector field has an upper-right direction and orientation, and increases in magnitude in this direction. In the second case (see Figure 6.6(b)), the situation is more problematic. The vector field varies greatly between the vertices of the considered cell, so it is harder to mentally interpolate between these four vector glyphs and get an idea of how the field actually behaves over the considered surface. Clearly, the interpretation can get very confusing when we have hundreds of cells in this situation.

This difference between scalar (color-mapped) visualizations and vector (glyph) visualizations can be explained in sampling terms. Scalar color-mapping techniques such as the ones discussed in Section 5.1 produce a *piecewise linear* visualization. Glyph techniques produce a *purely discrete* visualization. In the first case, we do not have to mentally interpolate between drawn pixels, as



Figure 6.6. Visual interpolation of vector glyphs. (a) Small data variations are easily interpolated. (b) Large data variations create more problems.



Figure 6.7. (a) Vector glyphs on a dataset regularly subsampled on a rotated sample grid. (b) Subsampling artifacts are alleviated by random sampling. Both visualization display 1200 glyphs.

the graphics hardware has done this task for us. In the second case, we only have visual indication at the sample points (e.g., cell vertices), so we must do this interpolation ourselves. When the visualized signal varies smoothly, like in Figure 6.6(a), this task is relatively easy. When this is not the case, like in Figure 6.6(b), we have a harder problem. The task is made more difficult when we have to interpolate between *directions* and *orientations*, as in the case of vector glyphs, since this is apparently not easily done by the human visual system.

Another problem of vector glyph visualizations is caused by the regular pattern of the sample points present in uniform and rectilinear grids. The problem is visible in the central area of Figures 6.4(c) and (d). In these regions, the perception of the diagonal orientation of the vector glyphs is weakened by the regular vertical pattern of the uniformly distributed sampling points. This problem affects dense visualizations to a much lesser degree. The regular subsampling problem is present also when the subsampling grid is not aligned with the original grid. Figure 6.7(a) shows an arrow glyph visualization of the same 2D vector field as in Figure 6.4, this time subsampled on a rectilinear grid rotated approximately 30 degrees with respect to the original dataset grid. The undesired visual interference between the grid lines and glyph directions is clearly visible. By subsampling the dataset using a randomly distributed (instead of regularly arranged) set of points, the problem can be alleviated. This is illustrated in Figure 6.7(b), where we use the same dataset and subsampling rate as before but a random point distribution instead of a regular one.



Figure 6.8. Glyph-based visualization of a 3D vector field. (Data courtesy of Prof. Martin Rumpf, University of Bonn, Germany.)

Vector glyphs in 3D. Vector glyphs can be used to visualize 3D vector fields, too. Figure 6.8 shows an arrow glyph visualization of a 3D vector dataset sampled on a uniform grid containing $128 \times 85 \times 42$ data points that describes the flow of water in a box-shaped basin that has an inlet, located upper-right, an outlet, located lower-left, and two obstacles (not drawn in the figure) that cause the sinuous behavior of the flow. Visualizing such a dataset with vector glyphs at full resolution would produce a completely cluttered result. Randomly subsampling the dataset to 100,000 points and visualizing it with line glyphs produces the result shown in Figure 6.8(a). Besides the known problems of glyphs in 2D, an

additional problem of 3D glyph visualization becomes apparent here: occlusion. Closer glyphs obscure further ones, which makes understanding the flow behavior deep inside the dataset quite difficult. Note that using arrow instead of line glyphs only increases the occlusion problem, as arrows have a larger screen area than lines.

We can alleviate the occlusion problem by further subsampling the dataset to only 10,000 data points (see Figure 6.8(b)). However, the dataset is now too sparse to be able to distinguish local details. A different way to tackle the occlusion problem is to draw the glyphs transparently. Figure 6.8(c) shows the same visualization as in Figure 6.8(a), but this time using line glyphs with a transparency of 0.15. Closer glyphs now cause less occlusion, allowing us to "see" deeper inside the dataset. An interesting visual effect is achieved by using monochrome, instead of color mapped, transparent line glyphs (see Figure 6.8(d)). Here, a single color (black) is blended, so the resulting visualization is easier to interpret. The high velocity "flow core" located at the center of the fluid flow is now easily visible as a dark region. We shall investigate transparencybased techniques for visualizing 3D datasets in more detail later in Chapter 10, when discussing volume visualization methods.

Vector glyphs on 3D surfaces. In addition to 2D (planar) surfaces and 3D volumes, glyph-based visualization can be used on 3D surfaces embedded in volumetric datasets. The idea behind this technique is similar to the color-mapping technique on 3D surfaces presented for scalar fields in Section 5.1. First, we select a surface of interest from a given 3D dataset. Next, we draw vector glyphs at the sample points of the surface. Figure 6.9 illustrates this. The surface of interest is a velocity magnitude isosurface of the vector field itself. The selected isovalue isolates the flow core, i.e., the region where the velocity magnitude is equal to about half the maximal velocity over the whole dataset. The square shapes of the inlet and outlet are now visible. Figure 6.9(a) shows the isosurface and line glyphs rendered on the isosurface itself. The glyphs are not color mapped by velocity magnitude as before, since they all have the same length given the definition of the supporting surface. Figure 6.9(b) shows a variant of the previous visualization. Here, the support surface is not shown, which allows us to see all the vector glyphs that were previously masked by the surface. To diminish occlusion, we use a transparency of 0.3 for the glyphs.

An important observation about vector glyph visualizations on surfaces is that the vectors do not have to be tangent to the surface. This condition holds only for a special type of surfaces called *stream surfaces*, which are discussed further in Section 6.5. Glyph visualizations on such surfaces are easier to under-



Figure 6.9. Glyph-based vector visualization on a 3D velocity isosurface.

stand, since the glyphs tend to stay on the surface, rather than on surfaces on which the field is not tangent, where the glyphs get visually entangled and cause more visual clutter.

Summarizing our discussion, the main advantages of glyph-based visualization of vector fields are the simple implementation and intuitive interpretation of glyphs such as arrows. However, as we have seen, these advantages are offset by several problems, such as occlusion, subsampling artifacts, and potentially difficult visual interpolation of directions. The interpretation difficulties caused by these problems can be partially overcome by techniques such as random subsampling, carefully setting glyph lengths, and, in the case of 3D glyph visualizations, by interactively investigating the result by 3D manipulation. In the following sections, we shall present several other visualization techniques for vector fields that try to alleviate these problems.

6.3 Vector Color Coding

As we have seen in the previous section, dense visualizations, such as colormapped surfaces, have several advantages compared to sparse visualizations, such as glyphs. The natural question that arises is whether we can develop dense visualizations for vector fields, similar to the color-mapped surfaces used for scalar fields. One of the simplest techniques to produce such visualizations is *vector color coding*. Similar to scalar color mapping, vector color coding as-



Figure 6.10. Vector color coding. (a) Orientation and magnitude. (b) Orientation only.

sociates a color with every point of a given surface on which we have defined a vector dataset. The color is used to encode the vector orientation and direction attributes. Vector color coding can be easiest understood if we represent colors in the hue-saturation-value (HSV) system introduced in Chapter 2. Colors in the HSV system can be visualized using a so-called *color wheel*, such as the one shown at the right in Figure 6.10. Every distinct hue corresponds to a different angle of the color wheel: red is 0° , magenta is 60° , blue is 120° , cyan is 180° , green is 240° , and yellow is 300° . Saturation is represented as the distance from the wheel center to a given color point. Value is usually represented as a separate one-dimensional "luminance" parameter, since the color wheel can encode only two distinct parameters.

Color coding on 2D surfaces. Vector color coding for 2D vector fields proceeds as follows. Assume we have a color wheel of unit radius and all vectors in the 2D dataset are scaled so that the longest one has unit length. Under these conditions, every vector is represented by the color it points to if we place it at the center of the color wheel. The vector orientation is encoded in the hue and the vector length in the value. The saturation parameter is set to one, i.e., we use only fully saturated colors. The color coding process is applied for every point of the dataset, similarly to the scalar color coding, either via texture or polygon color interpolation (see Section 5.1).

Figure 6.10(a) shows the vector color coding for the same 2D vector dataset as was used in the previous section. Clearly, this image does not suffer from the sampling problems discussed for glyph visualizations, which is a positive element. Low-vector-magnitude regions can be easily detected as dark (low value) areas, whereas high-vector-magnitude regions show up as brightly colored areas. However, in contrast to the intuitive arrow plots, this visualization is highly abstract. The inverse mapping from hue to vector orientation takes quite some time to be learned, so users have to be trained extensively to interpret such images.

Several variations of the basic idea exist. If we are interested only in the vector orientation and not the magnitude, we can set the value component to one, and we obtain the visualization shown in Figure 6.10(b). Here, the orientation patterns of the vector field are easier to distinguish than in Figure 6.10(a), since the image is brighter. Besides the standard color wheel containing all rainbow hues, other color wheels can be used to emphasize on certain orientations, similar to the various colormap manipulations described in Section 5.1 for scalar fields.

Besides the directional color coding, we can also directly encode the vector components v_x, v_y, v_z into colors. In this setting, a 3D vector field is visualized by three separate scalar color-mapped fields. Although this method is probably the simplest way, from a technical perspective, to produce a visualization of a vector field, it has limited effectiveness. The user must visually correlate the same locations in three color images to get insight into the vector data at that location. Even if the user were able to accurately identify the location of the same spatial point in three different images, mentally performing three separate color-to-scalar mappings independently is a very hard task. Furthermore, it is difficult to imagine the direction of a vector just by looking at three scalar fields representing its components. For a more involved discussion on the reasons to avoid this type of color coding, see Colin Ware's book on information visualization [Ware 04]. All in all, this method is seldom used, except in cases when users are very familiar with the vector field structure and domain shape, e.g., due to a low variability, and want to look for specific outlier-like details.

Color coding on 3D surfaces. Vector color coding can also be applied to 3D surfaces. However, the mapping of a 3D orientation to hues on the color wheel is not as simple as in the 2D case. Although such mappings can be done, for example by using the hue channel to encode the x and y vector components and the value channel to encode the z component, performing the inverse mapping from hue to 3D orientation visually is generally a very challenging task. If we are interested in less than a general mapping, the problem becomes simpler to tackle. For example, let us again consider the 3D fluid flow dataset discussed in the previous section, which we have visualized in Figure 6.9 with a velocity magnitude isosurface. We may be interested in seeing how much the actual

flow direction differs from the isosurface normal or, in other words, how far this isosurface is from a stream surface tangent to the flow. For this, we can color-code the angle α between the surface normal **n** and the vector data **v**, which can be computed as

$$\alpha = \arccos\left(\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

The result, shown in Figure 6.11, is a scalar field that can be visualized with color mapping. On top of the colored isosurface, the vector field itself is visualized with semitransparent line glyphs. The large green areas indicate that the vector field is close to tangent to a large percentage of the given surface. The dark blue area in the upper-right part of the image indicates a region where the vector field "exits" the surface. This is the region where the inflow bounces straight against the upper obstacle inside the box (drawn in light gray). In contrast, the red region in the middle of the image indicates a region where the vector field "enters" the surface. In this area, the flow starts being deflected by the lower obstacle in the box.



Figure 6.11. Color coding the tangency of a vector field to a given surface. The angle between the vector and surface normal is encoded via a rainbow colormap.

Summarizing, vector color coding solves many of the technical problems that glyph plots suffer from. However, its lack of intuitiveness makes it not so popular outside specialized areas.

6.4 Displacement Plots

Vector glyphs, described in the previous section, can be understood in terms of displaying trajectories. The vector glyph with the origin at some point p can be seen as the trajectory p would follow in $\mathbf{v}(p)$ over a short time interval Δt . The vector glyph shows both the start and end points of the trajectory, i.e., p and $p + \mathbf{v}(p)\Delta t$, respectively. Displacement plots take a different approach by showing only the end points of such trajectories. Given a surface $S \in D$ inside the domain D of a vector field, where S is discretized as a set of sample points p_i , a displacement plot of S is a new surface S' given by the set of sample points

$$p_i' = p_i + k\mathbf{v}'(p_i). \tag{6.7}$$

In Equation (6.7), \mathbf{v}' is a vector field that controls the displacement of the surface S and k is the displacement factor (analogous to Δt) that controls how pronounced the displacement is.

In the simplest case, we can set $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}$, and displace the surface S in the direction of the actual vector field itself. Figure 6.12 demonstrates the displacement plot technique for the 3D flow dataset introduced in Section 6.2 for two planar surfaces orthogonal to the *x*-axis (Figure 6.12(a)) and *y*-axis, respectively (Figure 6.12(b)). Both examples use a displacement factor k = 20. In this example, the displacement plots are colored by the vector field component on which the input surface is perpendicular, i.e., $|\mathbf{v}_x|$ for Figure 6.12(a) and $|\mathbf{v}_y|$ for Figure 6.12(b). Blue shows the minimal (negative) displacement, red is the maximal (positive) displacement, and green indicates a nondisplaced point with vector value close to zero. The color mapping enhances the information provided by the displaced surface geometry. To ease the interpretation, the visualization is enhanced with semitransparent vector glyphs.

A natural interpretation of a displacement plot is to think of it as being the effect of displacing, or warping, a given surface in the vector field. For this reason, displacement plots are sometimes also called *warped plots*. Displacement plots have the major advantage that they produce a visually continuous result—at least when they are applied on a continuous input surface. However, displacement plots produce a more abstract, less intuitive visualization than simpler methods such as vector glyphs. In Figure 6.12(a), for example, the red areas,



Figure 6.12. Displacement plots of planar surfaces in a 3D vector field.

warped forward in the direction of the x-axis, indicate regions where the fluid flow strongly follows the inlet-to-outlet direction (see Figure 6.9 for the position of the flow inlet and outlet). Blue regions are also interesting, as these indicate a *backward* flow that goes against the main stream. In such regions, phenomena such as vortices can occur. Figure 6.12(b) tells a similar story, but now from the perspective of the y-axis.

Note, however, that the displacement plot technique presented here is not the same as the height-plot technique described in Section 5.4. Height plots visualize a scalar field by warping a given surface along its normal, i.e., set the warping direction to $\mathbf{v}' = s\mathbf{n}$ (Equation 6.7), where \mathbf{n} is the surface normal and s is some scalar field that reflects the vector field properties. For instance, we can set s to $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ to show the magnitude of \mathbf{v} , or respectively to $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$, to show the magnitude of \mathbf{v} in the direction orthogonal to our surface S. In contrast, displacement plots visualize a vector field by warping a given surface along the vector field itself, i.e., do not use the surface normals. This is visible in Figure 6.12(b). Here, the front surface is warped outside the box-shaped flow domain in the area of the outlet. Similarly, the back surface is warped inside the flow domain in the area of the inlet. If we used height plots of, e.g., the velocity magnitude, these surfaces would have been warped in the direction of their normals, which would not have led to such effects.

Parameter settings. Several elements control the quality of a displacement plot. First, the displacement factor k in Equation (6.7) must be carefully set. Values that are too large would warp the input surface too much, which can easily lead to self-intersecting surfaces. Even when self-intersection does not occur, large



Figure 6.13. Displacement plots constructed using a box and a spherical surface.

warp factors shift the displaced surface far away from its actual location. This conveys incorrect insight to the user, as one will visually associate the warped surface with the actual location $p + k\mathbf{v}(p)$ where this surface is drawn, and not with the original location p that the surface should depict. Values that are too small, on the other hand, do not show the warping effect strongly enough so that it is recognizable in the visualization and it lets the users map it back visually to a displacement value. Just as for color mapping, nonlinear scaling and clamping techniques can be applied to control the mapping of the vector data to displacement values. A second important parameter is the shape and position of the surface to be warped. These parameters actually control the set of points at which we are interested in visualizing the vector field. Planar surfaces are often a popular choice for displacement plots. Since they are flat, displacement values are easiest to distinguish on them. However, even when using planar surfaces, some care is needed. The worst case takes place when the surface is (almost) tangent to the vector field to be visualized. In this case, the warped surface stays in the same plane as the original surface, so the actual goal of using the warping as a visual cue for the vector field is not reached. Moreover, such situations easily lead to self-intersecting polygons on the surface.

Besides planes, other geometric objects can be used to create displacement plots. In Figure 6.13, two displacement plots were created using a sphere (left) and a box (right) surface, both colored by the velocity magnitude. The visual difference between the expected shape of the original object and the perceived (deformed) shape serves as a cue for the vector magnitude. In addition to surfaces, 1D curves can be used too, if desired. In general, the choice of the object to deform should be correlated with the expected vector field behavior and meaning. For example, if we have a force field describing material deformation in the 3D domain of some mechanical assembly, we can use the assembly's own surface as input for the displacement plot. The obtained visualization conveys the "natural" meaning that the assembly's surface is being deformed by the force acting on it.

6.5 Stream Objects

Both the vector glyph and displacement plot techniques visually relate the actual position p of a sample point to its displaced position $p + \mathbf{v}\Delta t$ in the vector field \mathbf{v} . We can think of vector glyphs as "trajectories" over a short time Δt of imaginary particles released in the vector field at some desired locations. Similarly, displacement plots can be seen as the end points of the trajectory over a short time Δt of a given input surface. Hence, the natural question arises whether we could use such trajectories, computed for longer time intervals, to visualize a given vector field.

Stream objects are the answer to this question. Rather than a single visualization technique, stream objects are more of a family of such techniques, related by the idea of visualizing the trajectory of some input object in a vector field over a given time interval.

6.5.1 Streamlines and Their Variations

We shall start exploring the stream objects family with its simplest, and probably most frequently used, members: the streamline variations.

Before we proceed, we must make a distinction between time-dependent and time-independent vector fields. Time-dependent vector fields $\mathbf{v} : D \times T$ are defined over some spatial domain D and time interval T. That is, at the same spatial position $\mathbf{x} \in D$, the vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ can have different values at different time moments $t \in T$. Time-independent, or stationary, vector fields $\mathbf{v} : D$ are defined over a spatial domain D and do not change value in time. The two types of vector field admit different visualizations, as follows.

Streamlines. For time-independent vector fields, a *streamline* is a curved path starting from a given point \mathbf{x}_0 which is tangent at \mathbf{v} . If we model a streamline as a parametric function $S_{stream}(\tau) = \mathbf{x}(\tau)$, where τ represents the arc-length coordinate along the curve, then a streamline obeys the equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \times \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(\tau)) = 0 \tag{6.8}$$
This says that the streamline point at position \mathbf{x} is tangent to the vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ at the same position. This can also be expressed in terms of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(\tau)) \tag{6.9}$$

with initial condition $\mathbf{x}(\tau = 0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ (the streamline must pass through a given seed point \mathbf{x}_0) and with the constraint $\tau \in [0, S_{max}]$ (the streamline has a length given by S_{max}). If we integrate Equation 6.9 over τ , we obtain the streamline

$$S_{stream} = \{ \mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau \in [0, S_{max}] \}, \quad \mathbf{x}(\tau) = \mathbf{x}(0) + \int_{s=0}^{\tau} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(s)) ds,$$

with $\mathbf{x}(\tau = 0) = \mathbf{x}_0.$ (6.10)

Streamlines are defined for time-independent vector fields. However, in various texts, streamline computation mentions a concept of *time*. This refers to the parameters τ and s in the above equations, which can be thought of as *integration* time, and should not be confounded with the *physical time* of a time-dependent dataset.

Pathlines. In case of time-dependent vector fields $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, several types of curves can be integrated in the vector field. First and simplest, streamlines can be computed by fixing, or freezing, the physical time t to a desired value t_{fixed} and using Equation (6.10) to compute the curves that a particle would follow in $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t_{fixed})$.

A second option is to compute the actual path $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of a particle in the timedependent vector field, given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \tag{6.11}$$

starting from a given seed point $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$. This is nothing but applying Equation 6.9 while making the integration time τ run in sync with the physical time t. The emerging curves $S_{path} = \mathbf{x}(t)$ are called *particle traces*, or *pathlines*. They describe the actual trajectory, over physical time, that a particle released at \mathbf{x}_0 follows in the time-dependent vector field.

Streaklines. For time-dependent vector fields, we can define a different type of curve besides pathlines. Take a fixed initial point \mathbf{x}_0 in the flow domain D. Over the considered time interval T, imagine that ink is continuously released from \mathbf{x}_0 . The ink will get advected in the vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)$ and yield a time-dependent curve which is moved with the flow. This curve is called a *streakline*. Formally,

denote a streakline at physical time t as a time-dependent curve $S_{streak} = \mathbf{x}(\tau, t)$, where τ is the arc length coordinate along the streakline for a fixed time t. Then we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(\tau, t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(\tau, t)) \tag{6.12}$$
$$\mathbf{x}(\tau = t, t) = S_{path}(\tau)$$
$$\mathbf{x}(0, 0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$

In other words, the end point (for $\tau = t$) of a streakline coincides with the end point of the pathline starting at the same seed point \mathbf{x}_0 and running for the time t.

Note that, for stationary vector fields, all above concepts (streamlines, pathlines, and streaklines) are identical, i.e., they lead to the same curves. In the following, we shall focus on the computation of stationary streamlines. As such, and to simplify notation, all further references to "time" denote integration time.

Computing streamlines. For a sampled vector dataset, we must solve Equation (6.10) using the definition of \mathbf{v} given by the reconstruction equation (Equation (3.2)). In the case of piecewise linear basis functions, we remember that this reconstruction gives the vector field over every dataset cell as a linear combination of the vector field values at the cell vertices. For contours (defined by Equation (5.4)), we used this property of the reconstruction to represent contours as a set of piecewise linear primitives (lines or planes), one primitive per dataset cell. However, we cannot use the same per-cell construction strategy for streamlines. Since the interpolated vector direction usually changes within every cell, the streamline segments determined by each cell are not straight lines. Instead, we shall compute streamlines by discretizing the integration time t.

Several numerical methods solve Equation (6.10) approximately by discretizing the time t and replacing the integral with a finite sum. The simplest, but also least accurate, method is the Euler integration, given by

$$\int_{t=0}^{T} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(t)) dt \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{N=T/\Delta t} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_i) \Delta t \quad \text{where } \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \Delta t.$$
(6.13)

The points \mathbf{x}_i sample the streamline starting from the seed point \mathbf{x}_0 . The Euler integration considers the vector field \mathbf{v} to be spatially constant and equal to $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ between every sample point \mathbf{x}_i and the next one \mathbf{x}_{i+1} . Hence, the streamline will be approximated by a piecewise-linear curve, or polyline $(\mathbf{x}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N)$.

Figure 6.14 shows a first example of streamlines. Here, we trace 18 * 9 = 162 streamlines in a 2D flow vector field. The seed points, indicated by gray ball



Figure 6.14. Streamlines in a 2D flow field. The small gray balls indicate the seed points.

glyphs, are equally spaced in the x and y directions and are obtained by regularly subsampling the dataset on a grid of 18 by 9 points. The streamlines are colored by the vector magnitude using a blue (low speed) to red (high speed) colormap. All streamlines are traced up to the same maximal time T, but have different lengths, since the vector field has large variations in speed, as indicated by the streamline colors.

The streamline tracing process is described by Listing 6.1 for a 2D vector dataset. The code in Listing 6.1 accepts a vector dataset g and traces a single streamline from a given location p0 using the integration step dt. The streamline stops when it either exits the dataset, exceeds a maximal length maxL, or exceeds a maximal time maxT. The streamline is saved as a polyline into an unstructured grid s. In this example, we use Euler integration and piecewise linear vector interpolation, but different strategies can be used if desired. In terms of cost, an important factor is the use of the Grid::findCell method, which returns the ID of the cell containing the given world point. As explained in Section 3.8, this operation can be quite costly on structured and unstructured grids, as it involves searching.

Within a cell, the vector field is interpolated using the Grid::getC1Vector method described in Section 3.8. To test whether the integration has exited the current cell, we transform the world coordinates p0 to parametric coordinates q using the Grid::world2cell method and check whether the parametric coordinates fall outside the [0, 1] range. In actual production code, the search of the cell containing a given location can be done more efficiently than using the

```
void streamline(Grid& g, float * p0, float dt, float maxT,
                 float maxL, UnstructuredGrid& s)
{
  float v[3],p[3],q[3];
  int
        c = g. findCell(p0);
                                               //find starting cell
  float t = 0, l=0;
        i = 0;
  int
  for (; t \neq dt, i \neq p[0] = p0[0], p[1] = p0[1])
                                               //trace streamline
  {
    if (c=-1 || t > maxT || l > maxL)
                                               //stop criteria reached?
       break;
    s.setPoint(i,p0);
                                               //add streamline point
    if (i)
    ł
                                               //add streamline cell
      s.setCell(i-1, i-1, i);
      l += length(p, p0);
                                               //update length
    }
    g.getClVector(c, p0, v);
    p0[0] += v[0] * dt;
                                               //Euler integration
    p0[1] += v[1] * dt;
                                               //exit current cell?
    g.world2cell(c,p0,q);
    if (q[0] < 0 || q[0] > 1 || q[1] < 0 || q[1] > 1)
                                               //find newly entered cell
      c = g.findCell(p0);
  }
}
```



generic findCell, based on the heuristic that small integration steps potentially move the current point out of the current cell into one of its (direct) neighbor cells. Hence, the search can start checking these neighbors first. The setPoint and setCell methods add a point and a cell, respectively, to the streamline dataset (see Section 3.8). Specifically, the *i*th cell of the streamline is formed by the points *i* and i + 1 of the dataset. Finally, the length function computes the length of the line segment with end points p and p0.

Parameter setting. Several technical considerations arise when computing streamlines.

Accuracy: A first concern regards the accuracy of the integration method used. Euler integration has an error of $O(\Delta t^2)$, which means that halving the integration step Δt reduces the integration error by a quarter. However, numerical integration has the unpleasant property that it accumulates errors as the integration time T increases, since positions along the streamline are computed incrementally. This means, in practice, that the "tails" of long streamlines tend to deviate from their actual correct locations. The accuracy of integration can be improved by using higher-order methods. A frequently used replacement for the Euler integration is the Runge-Kutta method. This method approximates the vector field **v** between two sample points \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_{i+1} along a stream object with the average value $\frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_i)+\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})}{2}$. This method produces more accurate streamlines than the Euler method for the same time step Δt . This allows us to increase the time step Δt and maintain similar accuracy, which in turn decreases computation time. Many other numerical methods exist for approximating Equation (6.10) with various trade-offs between accuracy and computational complexity. We refer for further details to the specialized literature [Press et al. 02].

In contrast, methods such as marching cubes, for example, bound the error by the size of a cell, since they do not propagate information from cell to cell. Setting the integration step Δt to small values reduces such errors, but the integration takes more time.

Finding optimal values for Δt is, in general, a difficult problem. These depend *locally* on the dataset cell sizes, vector field magnitude, vector field variation, desired streamline length, and desired computation speed. By "locally," we mean that it is often desirable to adapt Δt as the integration proceeds instead of using a constant Δt for the complete streamline. Although there is no silver bullet for setting Δt optimally, there are a few hints in this direction. Using a constant Δt is equivalent to a uniform sampling of the *integration time* dimension. For a vector field of varying magnitude, this obviously produces sample points \mathbf{x}_i that are spaced irregularly along the streamline, or a nonuniform sampling of the spatial dimension. This is often undesirable. Even when using a small Δt , large vector field values generate large streamline steps $\|\mathbf{v}\|\Delta t$ that can skip several dataset cells, hence undersample the vector field. For a rapidly varying vector field, this can change the streamline direction dramatically, yielding a misleading visualization. A simple way to alleviate this problem is to adapt Δt locally to the vector field magnitude so that the spatial integration step $\|\mathbf{v}\| \Delta t$ has constant length. Setting Δt so that this length is smaller than the current cell size ensures that no vector samples are skipped during the integration. In practice, spatial integration steps of around one-third of a cell size should yield good results for most vector fields.

Stop criterion: A second issue regards the integration stop criterion. A maximal time criterion, such as in Equation (6.10), is quite nonintuitive, as the total streamline length largely depends on the actual vector field values and size of the domain. A better, more intuitive stop criterion is to set a maximal length for the streamline, e.g., as a fraction of the domain size. Separately, the integration

process should be stopped when the vector field magnitude becomes zero, since the trajectory comes to an end there. In practice, one would stop integrating when the vector field magnitude $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ drops under some small value ϵ .

Geometry: A third issue regards the geometric construction of the streamline. The sample code in Listing 6.1 adds one streamline point after each integration time step Δt . However, when using small Δt values (for precision), this would generate too densely sampled streamlines which can take considerable storage space and rendering time. An optimal solution would use the distance travelled so far along the integration path (variable 1 in Listing 6.1) to determine the addition of new sample points: Given a user-supplied minimal distance Δl , we add a new streamline point whenever 1 has increased with Δl from the last added point. This way, the time sampling Δt and spatial sampling Δl can be controlled independently.

Streamline seeding. A separate crucial issue for the effectiveness of streamline visualizations is the choice of the location and number of seed points. A streamline conveys information visually for the points on, or close to, its trajectory. However, when we choose a seed point, we don't know in advance which points of a dataset the streamline will go through. Setting the seed point answers only the question "show all points that are on the trajectory starting here," or "show where this point would be advected by the field." A variant of this question is "show all points on the trajectory ending here." This can be easily achieved by using negative Δt values, i.e., tracing the streamline upstream. By tracing both upstream and downstream streamlines, we can answer yet another variant of our question, i.e., "show all points on the trajectory passing through here." One way to use streamlines is to densely sample some area of interest, or "seed area" in the dataset with seed points and next trace streamlines until some stop criterion is met. However, even if the seed area is densely sampled, this does not guarantee the complete dataset will be densely covered by streamlines. Depending on the actual field, areas in the dataset can even remain completely unintersected by streamlines, so the user cannot tell anything about the vector field there from the visualization. Conversely, other areas can be too densely covered by streamlines, leading to visual occlusion and clutter.

A different strategy for the seed point distribution is to densely sample the complete dataset instead of only some given area. The aim of this strategy is to produce visualizations that answer the question "show the complete dataset with streamlines." The resulting visualization should have several properties, as follows [Verma et al. 00]:

- Coverage: Every dataset point should be at a minimal (small) distance from some streamline, so that the user has some visual cue of what the field looks like close to that point. In a more relaxed setting, we can require that the streamlines should cover all important flow features. By important features, we mean all features of the vector field that are of interest for a given user in a given application context. Although task-specific, and thus hard to ensure in a unique manner, this is an important design principle. We can restate this also in terms of having streamlines sample the "feature space" densely enough, so that all items that are considered relevant features are reflected in the visualization.
- Uniformity: The density of the streamlines in the final image should be confined between some minimal and maximal values. This translates into having a bounded streamline sampling density of the image plane. Indeed, if we have too many streamlines over a given area, cluttering will occur. If we have too few then undersampling occurs, i.e., we are not able to tell what the field looks like between two streamlines. This is relatively simple to ensure for 2D datasets, where the image space coincides with the geometric (dataset) space. For 3D visualizations, this is harder to do, as it requires either a view-dependent evaluation of the streamline density in image space or a more restrictive bounding of the volumetric streamline density.
- Continuity: Longer streamlines are preferred over short ones, as they produce less discontinuous, easier to interpret, visualizations. On a more subtle level, visual continuity across a streamline is related to the uniformity criterion mentioned previously.

Just as for the vector glyphs, several sampling strategies are possible to create dense streamline visualizations. The simplest solution is to distribute the seed points regularly or randomly in the domain and trace streamlines of some minimal length. This solution gives good coverage but can easily lead to cluttering. A better solution is to trace a streamline until it gets closer to any of the already traced streamlines or itself than a user-specified small distance, thereby minimizing cluttering. We can combine this idea with an iterative insertion of seed points in the areas of the dataset that are undersampled, thereby maximizing coverage. Finally, we can discard streamlines that are too short and keep trying new seed points until the streamline length exceeds the desired threshold, thereby maximizing the streamline average length. A simple-to-implement and effective method for creating evenly distributed streamlines based on these ideas was proposed by Jobard and Lefer in [Jobard and Lefer 97]. This method produces all streamlines in a single pass, but has the tendency of favoring short streamlines instead of potentially longer ones, and also does not guarantee a uniform coverage of the computational domain with streamlines, or uniform streamline density. A related method based on optimizing a quality energy-like functional based on the positions of the seed points and streamline characteristics was proposed by Turk and Banks in [Turk and Banks 96]. This method has been extended to structured curvilinear grids [Mao et al. 98]. In contrast to the single-pass approach of Jobard and Lefer, the method of Turk and Banks starts with small streamline fragments, which are iteratively merged, shortened, lengthened, or new streamlines are created, until the desired uniform coverage is obtained. As such, this method generates on average longer streamlines than the approach of Jobard and Lefer, but is more complex to implement and computationally slower. Methods that sample the dataset with streamlines that attempt to cover all topological patterns of interest in the vector field are proposed in Verma et al. 00] for 2D fields and in [Ye et al. 05] for 3D fields.

A different method for creating evenly distributed streamlines for 2D vector fields related to the previous techniques is the "farthest point streamline seeding" (FPSS) [Mebarki et al. 05]. Similar to the method of Jobard and Lefer, FPSS adds streamlines iteratively until the desired dense coverage is obtained. However, in contrast to its counterpart, FPSS starts integrating new streamlines as far away as possible from the existing ones. The rationale behind this heuristic is that such streamlines have the greatest chance of achieving maximal length before getting too close to existing ones, since they start far away from the latter. The heuristic to find the next best seed is to look for the center of the largest cavity, or gap, between existing streamlines. For this, FPSS first computes a Delaunay triangulation of all sample points of already added streamlines.³ The key idea is that the center of the largest circumscribed circle of all these triangles is a good estimate for the center of the largest existing cavity, thus a good estimate for the next streamline seed point to place. FPSS starts with a fine discretization of the computational domain border, which generates the first Delaunay triangulation. After this, streamlines are iteratively seeded from using the cavity center heuristic described above, and traced upstream and downstream until they get closer to any existing streamline than a user-prescribed distance that controls the target density. After each new streamline is added, the Delaunay triangulation is recomputed. To speed up the seed finding, triangles are kept in a priority queue sorted on their circumscribed circle radius. The method is

³Delaunay triangulations are described in detail in Section 8.3.



Figure 6.15. Dense 2D streamline seeding using the farthest-seedpoint method.

simple to implement and generates densely seeded streamline images for large 2D vector fields in a matter of seconds on a modern computer.

Figure 6.15 illustrates the FPSS method.⁴ The first image (Figure 6.15(a)) shows a 2D vector field, visualized with arrow glyphs placed on an uniform grid. Figures 6.15(b,c) show two results of the dense seeding method, computed for two different density values. The first density value corresponds to the distance between neighbor arrow glyphs in Figure 6.15(a). The second density value is double the first value, thus generates roughly twice as more streamlines. As visible, the method effectively covers the data domain with long streamlines and also achieves a nearly uniform streamline density. As an additional display enhancement, streamlines are rendered using tapering, i.e., thicker close to their midpoints and thinner close to their start and end points. This achieves a visually more uniform coverage of the data domain with ink, since streamline midpoints are, by construction, farthest away from other streamlines.

Evenly-spaced streamline seeding is also applicable to 3D datasets. For 3D volumetric datasets, generating streamlines which are evenly spaced in the 3D world space can be done, e.g., by direct adaption of similar 2D methods. However, this does not guarantee that the rendered streamlines will be evenly spaced in *screen space*, leading to possible clutter, occlusion, and hard-to-interpret images. One approach to improve upon this is to generate seeds on a curved surface embedded in 3D, backproject these seeds into 3D, and trace streamlines from these 3D seed positions. Streamlines are next selected for rendering if their screen-space projections are evenly spaced [Li and Shen 07]. As seed surface, slice planes, isosurfaces of various flow quantities such as vector field magnitude,

 $^{^4\}mathrm{Images}$ created with the streamline-seeding implementation of [Mebarki et al. 05] publicly available at http://amebarki.visiondz.info/Streamlines.



Figure 6.16. Dense 3D streamline seeding on 3D surfaces. (Images courtesy of R. Laramee, University of Swansea, UK.)

or stream surfaces can be used. A simpler, and visually more effective, solution is to work entirely in image space [Spencer et al. 09]: Given a 3D seed surface S_{3D} , we project the vector field, restricted to S, to the 2D image plane. Next, we apply the 2D evenly-spaced stream generation of [Jobard and Lefer 97] to the projected vector field over the 2D projection S_{2D} of S_{3D} . Additional care, however, needs to be taken to prevent that the generated 2D streamlines stop at the points of S_{2D} where the depth to the image plane exhibits discontinuities, so that streamlines do not "jump" between parts of the surface which are close on S_{2D} but far away on S_{3D} .

Figure 6.16(a) shows the image-based streamline placement of [Spencer et al. 09] for a flow field atop of the surface of a cooling jacket in a car engine simulation. As visible, streamlines appear (nearly) evenly spaced from the considered viewpoint. Figure 6.16(b) refines the basic idea by adapting the interstreamline distance and the tapered streamlines' thickness to the distance to the image plane. As such, the image exhibits still an uniform ink density, similar to [Mebarki et al. 05], but the streamline density is an effective cue of the depth.

6.5.2 Stream Tubes

In addition to plain lines, we can use other graphical shapes to visualize the integral trajectories. A popular choice is *stream tubes*. These can be constructed by sweeping a circular cross section along the streamline curve computed as de-



Figure 6.17. Stream tubes with arrow heads. The construction can ensure that either (a) the seed points or (b) the arrow heads are arranged on a regular grid.

scribed previously. At every streamline point, the cross section is kept orthogonal to the streamline tangent vector. Additionally, we can use a vector glyph at the downstream end of the stream tube to indicate the vector field direction, which is not shown by the plain streamlines or stream tubes. Figure 6.17 demonstrates this technique on our familiar 2D MHD vector field. In both images, around 500 stream tubes capped with vector glyphs are drawn from a set of seed points obtained by regularly subsampling the domain in both directions. The difference between the two images relies in the way we cap the tubes.

In Figure 6.17(a), the stream tubes are integrated *forward* in the vector field and the caps are, hence, placed at the *downstream* end of the tubes. In this case, the tubes begin on a regular (uniform) grid and the arrow glyph ends exhibit an irregular behavior, subject to the vector field behavior. In Figure 6.17(b), the stream tubes are integrated *backward* in the vector field, so the caps are placed at the *upstream* end of the tube, i.e., the seed points themselves. The tubes appear to begin on an irregular grid (the set of end points of the backward integration trajectories) and they end, with arrow glyphs, on the regular seed point grid. Depending on the actual visualization task, as well as the aesthetic preference of the user, one image may be subtly better than the other.

The thickness, or radius, parameter of stream tubes can be also used to convey some extra information. For example, we can modulate the tube radius to map a scalar value along the stream tubes, such as temperature, density, viscosity, or



Figure 6.18. Stream tubes with radius and luminance modulated by normalized tube length.

pressure, but also flow-related quantities, such as vorticity. However, this degree of freedom has some limitations. The visual range of the radius is quite small. There is a lower bound imposed by the difficulty of distinguishing the actual radius of tubes that are too thin, and there is an upper bound beyond which stream tubes would take too much space and (self-)intersect, just as the vector glyphs. An interesting effect can be obtained by modulating both the tube radius and color as functions of the normalized tube length (see Figure 6.18). We use here the same 2D flow dataset as our first streamline example (see Figure 6.14). For every seed point, obtained by regular domain subsampling, we trace a stream tube whose radius varies linearly from some maximum value R_{max} to 0 and whose luminance varies from black to white. The obtained effect, similar to the streamline tapering illustrated earlier in Figure 6.15, resembles a set of curved arrow glyphs. The luminance and radius visual cues enhance each other to convey an arguably better insight than the plain streamlines of Figure 6.14.

6.5.3 Streamlines and Tubes in 3D Datasets

Choosing an appropriate sampling strategy that solves the coverage, density, and continuity issues well is more critical when tracing streamlines in 3D datasets as compared to 2D datasets. Figure 6.19 illustrates several sampling strategies and parameter settings for a 3D flow dataset. In all cases, we obtain the uniformly spaced seed points by undersampling the uniform dataset $(128 \times 85 \times 42 \text{ points})$ at some given rate in all three dimensions. The streamlines are colored by the velocity magnitude using a blue-to-red colormap. First, we undersample at a



Figure 6.19. Streamlines in a 3D flow dataset.

rate of $10 \times 10 \times 10$ (see Figure 6.19(a)) and use a maximal streamline length of 100, which is close to the size of the domain's length. This avoids cluttering but creates a sparse visualization that fails to convey insight in many areas. We can improve coverage by decreasing the undersampling to a rate of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ and use the same maximal length (see Figure 6.19(b)). Due to the increased streamline density, the flow structure becomes easier to follow, at least in the outer zones. The relative spatial continuity in velocity magnitude, mapped to color hue continuity, helps us distinguish coherent flow patterns, such as the high-speed flow inner core (green) and the maximal speed zone located at the outflow (red). However, occlusion becomes a problem. We can solve the occlusion problem as we did for the vector glyphs, i.e., by lowering the transparency of the streamlines to 0.1 (see Figure 6.19(c)). Finally, as a comparison, we use the same



Figure 6.20. Stream tubes traced from a seed area placed at the flow inlet.

 $3 \times 3 \times 3$ undersampling rate but now trace streamlines until a maximal time of 100 is reached (see Figure 6.19(d)). In this dataset, the velocity magnitude ranges between 0 and 2. However, as we see in Figure 6.19(d), we now obtain many very short streamlines in the low-speed flow areas. This lets us better visualize the flow's high-speed inner core. This image can be thought as generalizing the vector glyph visualization in Figure 6.8(c): The vector glyphs are actually very short streamlines computed with a single integration step.

Being 3D objects, stream tubes have the extra advantage of providing some shading and occlusion cues, which allow us to better determine their actual relative position and orientation in 3D vector visualizations, as compared to plain streamlines drawn as 1D curves. However, as it is already visible from Figure 6.19, stream tubes are thicker, so they take more screen space, which increases cluttering.

In case we are not interested in a dense coverage of the complete domain with stream objects, choosing the seed area must be done with the same level of care for 3D datasets as for 2D datasets. Figure 6.20 illustrates this for the already familiar 3D flow dataset. Here, we densely sample a circular area close to the flow inlet with 200 stream tubes. The visualization clearly shows how the flow bounces against the invisible obstacle close to the inlet, gets deflected in all directions, next bends to avoid a second obstacle, and finally exits the domain via the outlet. In the last portion, we also detect a separation of the flow into two symmetric twisting patterns that get both sucked by the outlet.

6.5.4 Stream Ribbons

In Figure 6.20, we saw how a dense bundle of stream tubes can be used to gain insight into how a 3D vector field twists around its direction of advection. The visual cues to look for are stream tubes that twist around each other, yet stay close to each other. We can get a similar type of insight using a different visualization technique called *stream ribbons*. A stream ribbon is created by launching two streamlines from two seed points close to each other. The surface created by the lines of minimal length with end points on the two streamlines is called a *stream ribbon*. If the two streamlines stay relatively close to each other then the stream ribbon's twisting around its center curve gives a measure of the twisting of the vector field around the direction of advection.

Figure 6.21 shows two examples of stream ribbons. Both examples trace the ribbons from the inlet region of our familiar 3D flow dataset. In the left image, two relatively thick ribbons are traced. The left ribbon quickly enters a region of high vorticity, as indicated by its twisting. In contrast, the right ribbon stays relatively untwisted until its last portion, where it shows evidence of some moderate vorticity. Both ribbons are colored with the streamwise vorticity using a classical blue-to-red colormap. The two streamlines that form the edge of each ribbon are visualized with stream tubes. As an extra element, vector glyphs



Figure 6.21. Stream ribbons in a 3D flow dataset. (a) Two thick ribbons. (b) 20 thin ribbons.

are added on the central symmetry curve of each ribbon, to show the advancing direction of the flow.

In the right image, 20 stream ribbons are traced from the same inlet region as in the previous case. In the first portion, the flow is laminar, so the stream ribbons stay connected to each other, forming a stream surface, as we shall see in the next section. This apparent surface is suddenly broken by the impact of the flow with the invisible obstacle situated in the domain. From this location, every stream ribbon evolves separately from the others, the complete set of ribbons being split into two main components. In the last portion, the ribbons exhibit the same general twist pattern that was shown by the stream tube visualization in Figure 6.20.

6.5.5 Stream Surfaces

We have seen in the previous section how stream ribbons, densely seeded on a given curve, can be used to visualize how that curve would be advected in the vector field. This technique can be generalized to compute so-called *stream* surfaces of the vector field. Given a seed curve Γ , a stream surface S_{Γ} is a surface that contains Γ and is everywhere tangent to the vector field. Given this definition, both stream ribbons and stream tubes can be seen as particular cases of stream surfaces. For stream tubes, the seed curve is a small closed curve such as a circle. For the stream ribbons, the seed curve is a short line segment. Stream surfaces have the intuitive property that the flow described by the vector field is always tangent to the surface, i.e., cannot cross it. Hence, stream surfaces whose seed curves intersect the flow domain boundary or are closed curves can be used to segment the flow domain into disjoint regions that exhibit noninterfering flow patterns. Also, when compared to streamlines, stream surfaces have the additional advantage of being two-dimensional objects, which are easier to follow visually.

Stream surfaces can be constructed in several ways. A simple approach starts by tracing densely seeded streamlines from the seed curve. Next, the traced streamlines are connected to generate the stream surface. This can be done, for example, by connecting each vertex of the actual polylines that represent the streamlines with the closest vertex of any other such polyline. A different approach is to parameterize the streamlines by traveled distance. Points on all streamlines that have the same traveled distance value are next connected. Both approaches essentially parameterize the stream surface along two directions, one tangent to the (advected) seed curve, and one normal to it, in the direction of the flow. Sampling the two directions allows us to construct a stream surface as a quad mesh.

When constructing stream surfaces, one must be careful to correctly treat regions of high divergence, whether positive or negative. In such regions, the streamlines do not run parallel to each other. Detecting such regions can be done, for example, by comparing the distances between the advected seed curve points that are to be connected with a reference value. If the actual distance becomes too small, the streamlines converge, so it may be desirable to remove some of them from the tracing process to prevent too small polygons from being created, reduce computational costs, and increase performance. If the actual distance becomes too large then the streamlines diverge. Such a situation is easily visible in Figure 6.21 at a short distance from the inlet (upper right), where the flow, and hence the ribbons too, abruptly get split into two separate sets. In such a case, we must decide whether we want to explicitly model this as a flow split event or not. If so, then the neighbor streamlines which diverge should not be further connected past the split event, in order to yield a "tear" in the stream surface. Note that such streamlines can converge further. In such a case, they will be reconnected, and this will lead to the appearance of holes in the stream surface. If we do not want to model such splits then extra seed points can be added along the advected seed curve segment whose length exceeds the reference value, in order to maintain a high streamline density, and the generation of the stream surface is continued as usual.

Figure 6.22 shows an example of stream surfaces, traced in a 3D dataset which contains a vector field describing the gas flow in an engine combustion chamber. Color shows vector field magnitude using a rainbow colormap. Figure 6.22(a) shows 100 stream tubes traced from a uniformly distributed set of seed points placed along a seed line, displayed in red. As visible, the streamlines have both convergent and divergent regions. Figure 6.22(b) shows the stream surface constructed from these streamlines. The stream surface starts relatively flat close to the seed line. After a while, however, creases appear in the surface, as streamlines become less parallel to each other, due to the nonuniformities of the vector field. Close to the stream surface as several neighbor streamlines become highly divergent. These splits, as well as the regular mesh structure of the stream surface imposed by its construction algorithm, are better visible in the detail image shown in Figure 6.22(c).

A different stream surface approach that avoids the mesh construction challenges outlined above is to define the stream surface *implicitly* [van Wijk 93]. Given a 3D vector field domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, we first define a scalar function $f_{\partial\Omega} : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω . f should be defined so that one or several isolines over $\partial\Omega$ correspond to our seed curve(s) of interest Γ . Next,



Figure 6.22. Stream tubes in a 3D vector field (a). Corresponding stream surface (b) and zoomed-in surface detail showing tears in the stream surface (c).

from each point x of the discretization of Ω , a streamline S is traced backwards in the vector field. If S hits $\partial\Omega$ at some point y after a given amount of time, the value f(y) is assigned to all points along it in Ω . After all points of Ω have been thus visited, we obtain a scalar field $f_{\Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. Next, the desired stream surface corresponding to Γ can be easily extracted as an isosurface of f_{Ω} corresponding to the value that Γ takes over $\partial\Omega$, using for example the marching cubes algorithm (Section 5.3.2). Although this method is simple to implement, and delivers at once a family of stream surfaces for different isovalues rather than a single such surface, it cannot extract stream surfaces which do not pass through the boundary of the dataset.

6.5.6 Streak Surfaces

For time-dependent vector fields, streak surfaces generalize the notion of streaklines in the same way that stream surfaces generalized the notion of streamlines. Given a seed curve Γ , a streak surface S_{Γ} is a surface that contains all massless particles that pass through Γ at different time moments, or, in other words, the (time-dependent) locus of dye advected in the flow that originates at Γ .

Conceptually, streak surfaces can be computed using a similar approach to stream surfaces: Streaklines are traced in the flow starting from a densely sam-



Figure 6.23. Streak surface in a 3D vector field describing fluid flow around an obstacle. (Image courtesy of R. Laramee, University of Swansea, UK.)

pled representation of the seed curve Γ . The streak surface is then constructed by connecting streaklines for adjacent seeds to yield a quad mesh. However, apart from the additional challenges posed by highly divergent and/or convergent flow regions already outlined for stream surfaces, streak surfaces add the complexity that each surface sampling point needs to be integrated, or advected, at each time step as the vector field changes. This is computationally much more demanding than tracing stream surfaces for time-independent vector fields. Additionally, due to the high dynamics of streak surfaces, the sampling problems due to vector field convergence or divergence can occur at any point on the surface, rather than around the advancing front represented by the end points of the traced streamlines, as is the case for stream surfaces. This requires additional care in the generation of the mesh representing the streak surface. These challenges make streak surface implementations to be less frequently found in flow visualization software.

A relatively simple-to-implement algorithm for constructing streak surfaces as quad meshes from a set of streaklines, including the robust handling of highly divergent and convergent flow regions to generate a good quality mesh, is presented in [McLoughlin et al. 10]. Figure 6.23 shows a streak surface computed with this method, drawn from a seed line Γ in a time-dependent flow. The surface is colored by the velocity field magnitude using a rainbow colormap. As visible, the method can accommodate the computation of the complex emerging surface that exhibits tearing as the flow moves around the obstacle.

6.6 Texture-Based Vector Visualization

So far, most of the presented vector visualizations used discrete objects, such as vector glyphs, streamlines, or stream surfaces. By their very nature, discrete visualizations cannot convey information about every point of a given dataset domain, as we have seen on several occasions. The interpolation of attributes between these discrete objects must be done visually by the user. In contrast, dense visualizations such as color plots present the user with a (piecewise) continuous signal that can be easier to interpret. The question arises how we can do this for vector fields.

Texture-based visualizations are an answer. The idea is to create a texture signal that encodes the direction and magnitude of a vector field in the various texture parameters such as luminance, graininess, color, and pattern structure. The main challenge here is how to encode the vector direction in the texture parameters. An intuitive and effective idea is to use the texture graininess for this. Since their appearance at the beginning of the 1990s [van Wijk 91, Cabral and Leedom 93], several methods have used this principle to produce visualizations of vector fields.

Line integral convolution. To understand the basic principle, consider an input texture N consisting of small-scale black-and-white noise defined over the domain of the 2D vector field we want to visualize (see Figure 6.24). For each pixel p of this domain, we trace a streamline S(p, s) upstream and downstream through p for some maximal distance L. Here, s parameterizes the streamline. Next, we set the value T(p) of the output texture T at the current location p to be the weighted sum of the values of the input noise texture N measured along the streamline S(p) that passes through p. As a weighting function $k(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, we can use a Gaussian $k(s) = e^{-s^2}$, or other functions that are 1 at the origin and decay smoothly and symmetrically from the origin until they reach near-zero values at the maximal distance L.

The obtained value T(p) is

$$T(p) = \frac{\int_{-L}^{L} N(S(p,s))k(s)ds}{\int_{-L}^{L} k(s)ds}.$$
(6.14)



Figure 6.24. Line integral convolution principle. The color T(p) of pixel p is given by integrating the colors of a noise texture N along the streamline S(p, s) passing through p. The red color intensity along S shows the magnitude of the weight function k(s).

The denominator in Equation (6.14) normalizes the weight factors for an arbitrary value of L.

Intuitively, we can think of this process as blurring, or filtering, the noise image along the streamlines with a set of filters k(s) that are aligned with the streamlines. As we shall see in Chapter 9, the filtering operation described by Equation (6.14) can be seen as a convolution of the noise and filter functions N and k. Hence, this process is also known in the visualization field as *line integral convolution (LIC)* [Cabral and Leedom 93, Rezk-Salama et al. 99].

If we apply Equation (6.14) for all pixels using streamline lengths L of several tens of pixels, we obtain a texture T whose pixel colors exhibit little variation along a streamline, due to the strong blurring, but show strong variation between neighboring streamlines, due to the similar strong variation of the initial noise. Although they can be used for any vector field, texture-based vector visualization methods have been mainly developed in the context of visualizing vector fields describing fluid flows.

Figure 6.25 shows the results of applying the line integral convolution algorithm described above to a simple synthetic vector field.⁵ The left image shows the noise texture N used. The right image shows the resulting LIC texture T for an integration length L equal to 5% of the domain size. Using smaller values for L creates textures increasingly similar to the input noise. Using larger values for L increases the length of the perceived snake-like texture patterns.

In addition to the LIC algorithm, many other algorithms use textures to visualize vector fields. Many of them generate similar textures to the LIC method,

⁵The C code for generating these images is freely available with the SIGGRAPH '99 Course Notes [McReynolds and Blythe 99].



Figure 6.25. Line integral convolution visualization. (a) Input noise. (b) The resulting LIC texture.

which are coherent along various types of flow lines, such as streamlines or streaklines, and show a high noise-like variation between neighboring flow lines. This basic principle set aside, the specific algorithms differ in many respects, such as the type of vector field they can depict (stationary or time-dependent), the dimension of the depicted domain (planar, curved surface embedded in 3D, or volumetric), whether they generate a still or an animated texture, and the actual implementation used to create the texture. We point the interested reader to a survey article on this topic [Laramee et al. 04].

6.6.1 IBFV Method

A particularly attractive algorithm for texture-based vector visualization is the Image Based Flow Visualization method, or IBFV [van Wijk 02a]. IBFV and its variants [van Wijk 03, Telea and van Wijk 03] produce not just static, but animated, flow textures in real time, can handle both stationary and instationary fields defined on domains ranging from planar 2D to volumetric ones, and are quite simple to implement.

We next detail the IBFV method that operates on 2D planar domains, which is also the simplest to implement in its class. The principle of the method is sketched in Figure 6.26. To understand IBFV, consider a time-dependent scalar property $I: D \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such as the image intensity, defined on a 2D domain



Figure 6.26. Principle of image-based flow visualization (IBFV).

D. The value $I(x,t) \to [0,1]$ describes our property at a given point $x \in D$ of the flow domain D at a time moment t. The advection in time of the property I in a vector field $\mathbf{v} : D \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$I(x + \mathbf{v}(x, t)\Delta t, t + \Delta t) = I(x, t).$$
(6.15)

This process is sometimes called forward advection, as it states that the property I at a location $x + \mathbf{v}(x,t)\Delta t$ downstream and at a future moment $t + \Delta t$ is equal to the current property I(x,t) at the current moment t. In contrast, the backward advection expresses the property I at a location x at the current moment t as a function of the property at an upstream location $x' = x - \mathbf{v}(x', t - \Delta t)\Delta t$ at a previous moment $t - \Delta t$. The time step Δt discretizes the time and allows us to solve Equation (6.15) iteratively. As stated earlier, we would like to advect a noise texture so that we obtain an image with low contrasts along a pathline and high contrasts across neighboring streamlines. However, if we simply advect an initial image I(x,0) = N(x), where $N : D \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a noise texture like the one shown in Figure 6.26, different points in the flow domain placed on the same pathline will "overwrite" each other's property values I in different ways, depending on the order in which we solve Equation (6.15) for the different points. Moreover, we would also like to solve the question of how to create an animated flow texture.

These goals can be met if we add a so-called *injection term* to Equation (6.15). Intuitively, this term can be seen as ink or dye that is injected into the flow domain at every point x in space and moment t in time. The combined advection and injection process is described by

$$I(x + \mathbf{v}(x, t)\Delta t, t + \Delta t) = (1 - \alpha)I(x, t) + \alpha N(x + \mathbf{v}(x, t)\Delta t, t + \Delta t).$$
(6.16)

Here, N(x,t) describes the injected property, which is also a function of space and time. The parameter $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ controls the ratio of advection to injection. A value of $\alpha = 1$ states that there is no advection, so our property I simply equals the injected signal N. A value of $\alpha = 0$ states that there is no injection, i.e., yields the pure advection Equation (6.15). Setting α to a value between 0 and 1 yields an image that exhibits both local variation (due to the injected noise) and also coherence along pathlines (due to the advection). Good values in practice are $\alpha \in [0, 0.2]$.

There remains the question of which noise texture N(x,t) to inject. Let us first consider a time-independent signal N(x). To achieve a high spatial contrast, neighboring pixels should have different colors. We can achieve this by setting Nto a random signal consisting of black and white dots, as shown in Figure 6.26. The size d of the dots should be correlated with the velocity magnitude. In practice, using a dot size $d \in [2, 10]$ pixels gives good results.

Applying Equation (6.16) for a few steps results in flow textures such as the one shown at the right in Figure 6.26. However, we can do better than producing static flow images. For this, let us take a time-dependent noise texture N'(x,t) that is obtained from our original stationary noise texture N(x) as

$$N'(x,t) = f((t+N(x)) \mod 1).$$
(6.17)

Here, $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0, 1]$ is a periodic function with period 1. Intuitively, Equation (6.17) says that the intensity of every pixel x of the time-dependent texture N(x,t) oscillates in time controlled by the periodic function f, but all pixels have different (random) initial phases controlled by the static noise N(x). This is illustrated in Figure 6.27 for a one-dimensional time-dependent noise signal N(x,t) for a sinusoidal function f. Using N' instead of N in Equation (6.16) produces an animated texture that seems to move with the flow, an effect that is especially suited for visualizing time-dependent vector fields $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$. The implementation of both the stationary and time-dependent IBFV methods is described later in this section.



Figure 6.27. Time-dependent noise signal design. The red curves show the periodic function f shifted by random phase values. A vertical cross section of the grayscale stripes gives the noise texture N(x,t) at a given moment t.

6.6.2 IBFV Implementation

We have described a method to produce dense flow textures by advecting and injecting noise textures. We shall now sketch a simple and effective way to implement this process using OpenGL. For a detailed discussion, we refer to the original IBFV publication [van Wijk 02a]. All notations here and in the implementation code (see Listing 6.2) refer to the advection-injection Equation (6.15), which is at the core of the IBFV method.

The implementation uses in total NOISE + 1 textures called tex[0], tex[1], ..., tex[NOISE]. The first NOISE textures encode the time sampling of one period of the noise signal N(x,t). We use here NOISE = 32 samples, which is typically enough to capture the periodic behavior of the function f in Equation (6.17). In the following example, we set f to a simple step function. Other functions can be used, such as an exponential decay, a sawtooth, or a sine wave. The last texture tex[NOISE] is a work buffer into which the image I(x) is constructed. The complete process consists of an initialization step (function init() in Listing 6.2) followed by an endless loop consisting of three steps: advection, noise injection, and construction of the work texture (function run()).

The advection itself (see Equation (6.15)) is implemented using an OpenGL polygon mesh (function advect()). We consider the 2D flow domain D to be covered by a set of polygons $\{P_i\}_{i=1..N}$ that have the 2D vertex coordinates $\{x_{ij}\}_{j=1..n(i)}$ and $\{y_{ij}\}_{j=1..n(i)}$, where n(i) is the number of vertices of P_i . If D has a rectangular shape, we can use a uniform grid of quadrilaterals P_i with equally spaced vertices, in which case $n(i) = 4, \forall i$. Generally, we can use an unstructured grid, as discussed in Section 3.5.4. The advection is done by drawing a polygon mesh whose vertices are slightly warped by the vector field \mathbf{v} , textured

with the work texture. This deforms, or warps, the work texture in the vector field direction and saves the result into the frame buffer.

After advection, noise injection is implemented by cyclically blending one of the textures tex[0],...,tex[NOISE-1], which encode the time-dependent noise signal N(x, y), on top of the warped texture (function inject()). This is done by drawing one large quad with corners (0, 0); (1, 1) that covers the complete frame buffer, textured with the selected noise frame. The convex combination controlled by the factor α in Equation (6.16) is implemented by enabling OpenGL's alpha-blending mechanism (line 28). The α value is encoded in the textures' alpha channel (line 63) alongside the luminance channel that encodes the noise signal N (line 62).

After noise injection, the frame buffer contains the image $I(x, t + \Delta t)$ for the next time step, i.e., the left side of Equation (6.16). Since we use this image in the right side of the equation in the next step, we copy it into the work texture tex[NOISE] (line 80). Next, the animation loop repeats.

Parameters. Finally, let us discuss the various parameters involved. The work texture and frame buffer are both of size $ISIZE \times ISIZE$ pixels. The noise textures are typically smaller, taking NSIZE \times NSIZE pixels where NSIZE < ISIZE, thereby saving considerable memory. Given this, OpenGL both stretches and replicates the noise texture to cover the entire frame buffer area. The stretch factor NSPOT controls the actual size of the noise spots in the visualization. As explained before, good values are in the range of a few pixels. Similarly, the warping $\mathbf{v}\Delta t$ of the grid vertices should be small enough so that the grid polygons are not too badly distorted. On the other hand, the warping should be large enough so that the advection is visible, i.e., it is at least a few pixels. If we are not interested in visualizing differences in velocity magnitude across the flow domain, the simplest solution to the warping issue is to normalize \mathbf{v} . If, however, we allow varying values for $|\mathbf{v}|$ on the flow domain, we can clamp $\mathbf{v}\Delta t$ for every warped point separately. Finally, if we are interested in still flow textures similar to the ones produced by the LIC method [Cabral and Leedom 93] rather than animations, we can execute a few tens of iterations of the main loop using a single noise texture (NOISE = 1) and obtain the desired image in the work texture.

Putting it all together. Listing 6.2 covers less than two pages or under 100 lines of code and provides an almost fully functional IBFV program. For brevity, we omit the actual OpenGL implementation of the polygonal mesh $\{P_i\}$ and various bits of data scaling and OpenGL initialization code. The implementation of the IBFV method is described in further detail in [van Wijk 02b].

```
1 const int
                ISIZE = 512:
                                             //image size
2 const int
                NSIZE = 64;
                                             //noise texture size
                NOISE = 32;
                                             //how many noise frames
3 const int
4 const float NSPOT = 4;
                                             //size of noise spots
5 const float T = ISIZE / (NSPOT * NSIZE);
                 tex[NOISE+1];
6 GLuint
                                             //noise and work textures
7 int
                 frame = 0;
                                             //frame counter
8
9 float f(int t)
                                             //Periodic function
  \{ \text{ return } (t > 127)? \ 1 : 0; \}
10
11
12 void advect()
                                             //Advect the work texture
  {
13
      for (int i=0; i < N; i++)
14
15
      ł
        glBegin (GL_POLYGON);
16
        for (int j=0; j<n(i); j++)
17
        ł
18
            glTexCoord2f(x_{ij}, y_{ij});
19
            glVertex2f (x_{ij} + \mathbf{v}(x_{ij})\Delta t, y_{ij} + \mathbf{v}(y_{ij})\Delta t);
20
        }
21
        glEnd();
22
23
      }
  }
^{24}
25
26 void inject()
                              //Inject noise
  {
27
      glEnable (GL_BLEND);
28
      glBindTexture(tex[frame % NOISE]);
29
      glBegin (GL_QUAD);
30
        glTexCoord2f(0,0); glVertex2f(0,0);
31
        glTexCoord2f(T,0); glVertex2f(1,0);
32
        glTexCoord2f(T,T); glVertex2f(1,1);
33
        glTexCoord2f(0,T); glVertex2f(0,1);
34
      glEnd();
35
      glDisable(GL_BLEND);
36
37
  ł
38
  void init (float alpha)
39
40
  {
      glViewport(0,0,ISIZE,ISIZE); //Select a 1-to-1 mapping of
41
      glMatrixMode(GLPROJECTION); //the texture to the frame buffer
42
      glTranslatef(-1, -1, 0);
43
      glScalef(2, 2, 1);
44
      glEnable (GL_TEXTURE_2D);
45
      glBlendFunc (GL_SRC_ALPHA, GL_ONE_MINUS_SRC_ALPHA);
46
      glGenTextures(NOISE+1,tex);
47
48
             phase [NSIZE] [NSIZE];
49
      int
      float noise [NSIZE] [NSIZE] [2];
50
```

Listing 6.2. IBFV implementation in OpenGL.

```
38
39
      for (int i=0; i < NSIZE; i++)
                                      //Make spatial noise
        for (int j=0; j < NSIZE; j++)
40
            phase [i][j] = rand() \% 256;
41
42
      for (int k=0; k<NOISE; k++)
                                      //Make temporal noise
43
44
      ł
        int t = k * 256 / NOISE;
45
        for (int i=0; i<NSIZE; i++)
46
           for (int j=0; j < NSIZE; j++)
47
48
             noise [i][j][0] = f((t+phase[i][j]) \% 256);
49
             noise [i][j][1] = alpha;
50
51
        glBindTexture (GL_TEXTURE_2D, tex[k]);
52
        glTexImage2D (GL_TEXTURE_2D, 0, GL_LUMINANCE_ALPHA, NSIZE, NSIZE,
53
                       0,GL_LUMINANCE_ALPHA,GL_FLOAT, noise);
54
55
56
57
  void run()
58
  {
59
60
      init (0.10);
                             //Initialize textures
      for (;; frame++)
61
62
                             //Advect work texture to frame buffer
        advect ():
63
        inject();
                             //Inject noise
64
                             //Copy frame buffer to work texture
65
        glBindTexture (GL_TEXTURE_2D, tex[NOISE]);
66
        glCopyTexImage2D(GL_TEXTURE_2D,0,GL_RGB,0,0,SIZE,SIZE,0);
67
      }
68
  }
69
```

Listing 6.2. continued.

6.6.3 IBFV Examples

Figure 6.28 shows two examples of two 2D flow datasets visualized with the IBFV method. In the left image, the generated flow texture is encoded in the luminance channel, whereas the hue shows the vector magnitude via a blue-to-red colormap. In the right image, the luminance of the flow texture is directly modulated by the vector magnitude, so bright areas indicate high-velocity regions. As we can see, these static snapshots of the IBFV animation have a quite similar look to the results of the LIC method (see Figure 6.25(b)).

This example also illustrates more of the possibilities of texture-based visualizations. In addition to the gray noise texture itself, three red, green, and blue disc-shaped "ink sources" are advected in the flow field. If we implement the



Figure 6.28. (a) Texture-based visualization with color-coded velocity magnitude. (b) Texture-based visualization with luminance-coded velocity magnitude and three ink sources.

visualization using the IBFV method, the advection of the ink sources is done by simply drawing them on top of every frame after drawing the noise texture (step 77 in Listing 6.2). The position of the ink sources is shown in the image by white circles. The trace left by the advected colored ink is the texture-based equivalent of a dense set of streamlines seeded at the ink sources. However, there are two differences. Streamline tracing from a finite point seed set would produce a set of dense, yet distinct geometric primitives of constant opacity. In contrast, the texture-based ink advection produces a continuous, gradually fading image.

Both methods have their specific strengths and focuses. Streamlines are often used in exact engineering applications where one wants to accurately determine the trajectory of a point starting from a precisely specified seed *location*. Ink advection is useful in getting insight into how the flow from a given seed *area* spreads out over a larger domain. Placing differently colored ink sources at the sources of a flow field, i.e., the points of high positive divergence (see Section 6.1) and letting them get advected until the process visually converges will produce an image showing the flow domain decomposed into several spatial components colored differently as a function of their corresponding source. The curves that separate these areas are called *separatrices* and are important advanced concept in the study of vector fields.

IBFV on curved surfaces. Texture-based visualizations are not limited to 2D planar domains. Figure 6.29(a) shows a texture-based visualization on a 3D surface. The actual surface is an isosurface of the vector magnitude of the 3D flow dataset presented earlier in this section (see, e.g., Figure 6.19). On this isosurface, we



Figure 6.29. Image-based flow visualization for (a) 3D surface and (b) volumetric datasets.

first project the vector field and next use the same type of texture-based vector visualization as in the 2D case. Shading is added to the texture on the surface in order to covey spatial cues about the object geometry. Since this is an isosurface of the velocity magnitude, it would be useless to color-code the vector magnitude as we did in the 2D case (see Figure 6.28). However, in practice, other available scalar attributes can be shown via colors, such as pressure, temperature, vorticity, or divergence.

IBFV on 3D volumes. Finally, we note that texture-based vector field visualizations can be also applied to volumetric datasets. Figure 6.29(b) visualizes a simple 3D helicoidal flow with the 3D equivalent of the IBFV texture-based method discussed previously for 2D images [Telea and van Wijk 03]. Similar to the 2D visualization shown in Figure 6.28(b), several colored ink sources are used to complement the grayscale noise. The 3D IBFV method follows a similar advection-injection principle to the method for 2D flat and 3D curved surfaces, with a few notable differences. First, producing a dense texture-based visualization requires using either 3D textures, which are still less widely supported by graphics cards than their 2D counterparts, or stacks of 2D textures. Second, the advection step, which is directly supported in OpenGL by drawing warped and textured polygons for the 2D cases, has no 3D counterpart. Indeed, there is, at the current moment, no 3D (volumetric) drawing primitive in OpenGL, and this situation will probably persist for a while. Implementing the advection step in 3D can be done by reducing it to a stack of 2D primitive renderings [Telea and van Wijk 03]. Finally, to be able to see through a 3D dense flow texture, the injection step is modified in the 3D case by adding *alpha*, or *transparency*, noise to the grayscale noise. The alpha noise plays the role of "erasers" injected in the flow volume, yielding a sparsely filled visualization that lets one see through the flow domain (see Figure 6.29(b)).

To visualize the result of the 3D IBFV method, we have to render the resulting texture volume, which contains both color and alpha (transparency) values. This can be done using a visualization technique called *texture-based volume rendering*, which is described separately in Section 10.4.

6.7 Simplified Representation of Vector Fields

In the previous section, we saw a number of visualization techniques for vector fields, ranging from seed-based methods such as vector glyphs and streamlines to methods that produce dense representations, such as the texture-based techniques. The effectiveness of all these methods depends largely on their ability to convey the desired insight into a given dataset. In many applications, this does not mean visualizing all the data points in the same way. Regions that exhibit important characteristics for an application area, such as vortices, speed extrema, or separation lines between regions of laminar flow, should be visualized in different ways as compared to the less-important regions, in order to help users detect their presence in a dataset. Such regions are also called *features* of the vector field.

One of the main reasons for this selective visualization of vector fields is the sheer size of the data. As we have seen in the case of 3D fields, dense visualizations can be quite hard to interpret due to occlusion. Designing visualizations that use a *simplified* version of the vector field is beneficial for large datasets if the simplification keeps (and emphasizes) the features of interest and removes a large amount of uninteresting data. Also, if we know in advance where such features are in a dataset, we can place visualization primitives at those locations, such as vector glyphs or stream objects, instead of doing a dense sampling of the whole domain. Several visualization methods exist which take this approach, as described in the following sections.

6.7.1 Vector Field Topology

Consider the 2D vector field in Figure 6.30(a), displayed using the IBFV technique. This vector field is synthetically generated by combining the effects of two sources (shown in red) and two sinks (shown in blue). Similarly to the application illustrated in Figure 6.28(b), we ask ourselves: Which are the regions of the 2D flow domain from where the flow is gathered by a sink, respectively which



Figure 6.30. Image-based visualization of vector field topology.

are the regions reached by flow from a source? We could compute such regions by manually placing ink sources at the locations of the vector field sources, and using IBFV to display the regions reached by these ink sources. Figure 6.30(b) illustrates this. We see here how the purple ink, placed at source 1, and the yellow ink, placed at source 2, get sunk into both sinks. However, this method does not fully answer our question: First, there are field regions (displayed in white) which are not reached by any ink source. One of the reasons for this is that the IBFV computations are restricted to a finite image—that is, ink cannot exit the computational domain and re-enter it through a different area. Another reason is that regions can exist which are only sunk into a sink, but not flooded by any source. The second problem of our proposed solution is that we cannot differentiate between ink flowing from a given source to two or more *different* sinks. In other words, we can show the regions of influence of sources, but not of sinks. We can partially solve this problem by manually adding more ink sources over the entire flow domain, taking care to use the same ink color for all points which flow into the same sink and also for all points that emerge from the same source. Figure 6.30(c) shows the result of this process. In contrast to Figure 6.30(b), we can now better see the structure, or *topology*, of the flow. We distinguish four regions:

- purple points flow from source 1 into sink 1;
- red points flow from source 1 into sink 2;
- yellow points flow from source 2 into sink 1;
- green points flow from source 2 into sink 2.

Within each such region, the flow is *uniform*, in the sense that it has the same source and destination (sink). We also notice a particular flow structure around the point marked with an asterisk: Here, four different flow regions meet, but we do not have a source nor a sink.

Topology analysis. However, computing such a decomposition of the flow domain into separate regions by manual ink source placement is, at best, tedious, and is highly error-prone. Moreover, the *borders* between flow regions are not explicitly computed.

We can obtain a similar, but higher-quality, result by analyzing the mathematical topology of the vector flow, as follows. Consider the vector field defined by a function $\mathbf{v} : D \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$. First, we detect all so-called *critical points* of the field, i.e., points where the vector field magnitude $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ is zero. Assuming that the vector field is continuous, these will include all sources, sinks, and a number of additional point types, which we discuss next. To discriminate between the different types of critical points, we compute the Jacobian matrix of the field \mathbf{v} defined as

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_x}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_y}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6.18)

J is computed at each point $\mathbf{x} \in D$. Next, we evaluate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of J. For a detailed description of eigenvalues and eigenvectors and the way to compute them, we refer further to Section 7.1. It can be shown that, since J is not a symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 are not necessarily real numbers. Let us denote these as $\lambda_1 = (Re_1, Im_1)$ and $\lambda_2 = (Re_2, Im_2)$, where Re and Im stand for the real, respectively imaginary, components of the



Figure 6.31. Classification of critical points for a 2D vector field.

respective complex numbers. It then can be shown that a vector field has the six types of critical points illustrated in Figure 6.31, which can be discriminated from each other based on the signs of the real and imaginary parts of λ_1 and λ_2 [Helman and Hesselink 89, Helman and Hesselink 91]. Intuitively, we see that negative values of Re describe attraction, while positive values of Re describe repulsion, while nonzero values for Im describe rotation.

After having classified critical points, we consider now the small spatial region surrounding such a critical point **c**. It can be shown that such a region can be divided into several compact sectors S_i of three types, based on the behavior of streamlines which pass through that sector:

- Parabolic sectors: Streamlines in S_i have one end at **c**.
- Elliptic sectors: Streamlines in S_i have both ends at **c**.
- Hyperbolic sectors: Streamlines in S_i do not pass through c.

The streamlines which separate such sectors, or in other words constitute the sectors' borders, are called *separatrices*. These are precisely the (exact) borders of the colored regions shown in Figure 6.30(c).

The next question is how to actually compute these separatrices. To do this, we first observe that *saddle* points are a special case of all critical points shown in Figure 6.31: At a saddle point, exactly four separatrices meet. It can also be shown that, at a saddle point, separatrices are tangent to the two eigenvectors of J, and that the outgoing and incoming separatrices are tangent to the eigenvectors having positive, respectively negative, Re components [Helman and Hesselink 89].

The algorithm for computing separatrices from a given 2D field thus can proceed by linking saddle points to other streamline endpoints, as follows:

- 1. Compute and classify all critical points following Figure 6.31.
- 2. For all saddle points s, start tracing two streamlines in the directions of their eigenvectors having positive *Re* values.
- 3. Stop tracing when the streamline hits the boundary of D or another critical point where $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$.
- 4. Merge curves that start and end between the same endpoints.

The output of this algorithm is a *graph* whose nodes are critical points or points where streamlines leave the computational domain, and edges are the respective separatrices. Figure 6.30(d) shows the result for the vector field illustrated earlier.

Robust implementation of the above algorithm, however, requires several precautions, as follows.

Interpolation issues: Detecting critical points firstly needs finding locations where $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$. However, the vector field \mathbf{v} is usually point-sampled on a discrete grid. As such, we must use interpolation of cell vertex values to find the exact points where the magnitude of \mathbf{v} vanishes. To do this, we can use the interpolation mechanisms presented in Chapter 3. The same interpolation mechanisms must be used to estimate the components of the Jacobian matrix J at the detected critical points (see Section 3.7).

Excluding critical points: Several critical points are usually excluded from computing separatrices. First, center points are typically eliminated, since they do not contribute to *global* information on the topology of a vector field, but only describe small-scale local circular (vortex-like) areas. Second, since all our computations are done using interpolated data, the conditions on the real and imaginary components of eigenvalues of the Jacobian J (Figure 6.31) are naturally subject to numerical errors. In practice, these conditions are relaxed to compare Re and Im with finite-size, nonzero, threshold values. Finally, complex vector fields can generate hundreds of critical points. Including all of these into a topological visualization unnecessarily complicates the final result. A straightforward way to simplify such visualizations is to cluster, or merge, critical points which are close to each other within a small spatial distance.

Boundaries: Any sampled vector field will have a domain boundary. This imposes two refinements of the separatrix computation algorithm. First, we need to make sure that streamline tracing stops when hitting such boundaries, as in the standard streamline tracing algorithm (Section 6.5.1). Second, in certain datasets such as computational flow dynamics simulations of fluid flow in a vessel, vector field magnitude will be (close to) zero on boundaries (see example in Figure 6.8 and related figures). These points do not have to be considered critical points from the perspective of separatrix computation.

Topological visualizations of vector fields are very effective instruments for reducing the size and complexity of vector datasets to their "essence" expressed in terms of uniform flow regions bounded by separatrices. However, as we have seen, computing such simplifications is a delicate process involving several challenges related to numerical estimations of derivatives of sampled data. For 3D datasets, separatrices become collections of curves and surfaces which partition the flow domain, and which require even more involved computation methods. For non-trivial vector fields, the question whether such abstract representations, and the associated mathematical background required to understand and use them, are more effective than classical glyph-based, streamline, or image-based visualizations is still open. For more information on topological visualizations of vector fields, we refer the reader to several survey papers in the field [Laramee et al. 07, Pobitzer et al. 11].

6.7.2 Feature Detection Methods

Feature detection methods reduce the vector field to a set of features of interest, described as a set of parameters, such as feature type, position, extent, and strength. Features can be defined either analytically by a feature energy-like function, in which case the detection method tries to find parameter combinations that maximize this function, or as a set of examples or patterns, in which case the detection method searches for best matches in the dataset of these examples. One of the features of interest in flow visualization is *vortices*. Although for most of us what a vortex looks like is quite intuitive, robustly quantifying
and detecting one is surprisingly difficult in practice. Many methods for vortex detection have been designed over the last 15 years [Banks and Singer 95, Jankun-Kelly et al. 06]. For an overview of this topic, we point the reader to existing review papers [Post et al. 02, Post et al. 03b].

Although feature detection methods can give good results, they do not come without problems. First, it is hard to define precise numerical criteria to detect such features. For example, it is difficult to precisely quantify the presence and/or extent of a vortex. Second, features appear at different spatial scales in vector fields. In time-dependent fields, there exist also different temporal scales. Finally, there is often no clear spatial separation between a feature and a non-feature area or between several features of the same or different types.

6.7.3 Field Decomposition Methods

The third class of methods is formed by *field decomposition* methods. Such methods partition the vector dataset into regions of strong intraregion (internal) similarity and weak interregion similarity. At the core of such methods is a similarity metric f that defines how similar two regions are. Different similarity metrics lead to different decompositions that model different end goals or questions. A frequently used similarity metric compares the direction and magnitude of the vector data. Two regions are considered highly similar if the vector field has the same orientation and magnitude on both regions, and dissimilar otherwise. After choosing the metric, decomposition methods usually perform a top-down partitioning or bottom-up agglomerative clustering of the dataset. In the top-down case, the dataset is recursively split in regions that have the least similarity. In the bottom-up case, we start with each sample point (or equivalent small area) being a different region and iteratively cluster the mostsimilar regions. Both methods produce a multiscale representation of the vector dataset that can be seen as a tree with the smallest regions, or data samples, as leaves and the whole dataset as the root region. A desirable constraint for decomposition methods is to produce spatially compact regions, since these are easier to visualize and interpret, and also map well to the "natural" idea of what a vector field feature is. For top-down methods, this constraint can be added in the splitting step. For bottom-up methods, the constraint can be added either in the similarity metric (non-neighboring regions have minimal similarity) or the merging logic (non-neighboring regions are never merged).

Top-down decomposition. An example of a top-down decomposition method is the technique by Heckel et al. [Heckel et al. 99]. Initially, all data points bearing vectors are placed in a single cluster. Next, this cluster is repeatedly subdivided using a weighted best-fit plane so that the variance of an error metric over its two children is minimized. For each cluster, a representative vector is computed as the average of the vector samples in that cluster. The error of a given split is defined as the difference between streamlines traced in the original field and the simplified one given by the representative vectors. This method produces a vector field simplification that directly encodes elements of the visualization itself: a "good" simplification is that which produces streamlines close to the original field.

Bottom-up decomposition. Many bottom-up clustering methods exist, and many are based on work on discrete and continuous data clustering performed outside of the visualization domain [Jain and Murty 99]. A simple bottom-up strategy is to use a greedy approach. We define a region as the triplet R = $(P(R), \mathbf{v}(R), O(R))$. Here, $P(R) = \{p_i\}_i$ is the set of sample points, or support, of the region, which is compact from the perspective of the point neighbor relationship. The simplest way we can represent the vector field over P(R) is by a single vector $\mathbf{v}(R)$ located at an origin O(R) inside the area covered by P(R). We begin the clustering by defining, for every point p_j of the dataset, a region $R_j = (p_j, \mathbf{v}(p_j), p_j)$ containing the point and its vector attribute that has the origin at the point itself. The complete region set $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}$ is next simplified iteratively by clustering, at every step, the two most-similar regions $R_a \in \mathcal{R}, R_b \in \mathcal{R}$ to form a new region R_{ab} . This new region contains the union of all points in R_a and R_b and a vector $\mathbf{v}(R_{ab})$ that should best reflect the merging of R_a and R_b . A simple way to compute $\mathbf{v}(R_{ab})$ is as the average of $\mathbf{v}(R_a)$ and $\mathbf{v}(R_b)$ weighted by the number of points |P(a)| and |P(b)| in the merged regions. The origin $O(R_{ab})$ of $\mathbf{v}(R_{ab})$ can be computed similarly to the computation of $\mathbf{v}(R_{ab})$ by weighted averaging. This technique is also known as bottom-up agglomerative clustering with average linkage, since a cluster is represented by, and compared with other clusters with, a single average vector. Finally, we set R_a and R_b as children of R_{ab} , so that a binary region tree, or dendrogram, gets constructed during the clustering. The complete algorithm is described in Listing $6.3.^6$

From the initial \mathcal{R}' , the clustering creates region sets $\mathcal{R}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{R}^F$ until reaching a final region set \mathcal{R}^F that contains a user-specified number of N regions.

 $^{^{6}}$ A generic, easy to use, and efficient C implementation of bottom-up hierarchical agglomerative clustering, offering different linkage strategies and user-specified distance metrics, is provided by the *Cluster* library, publicly available at http://bonsai.hgc.jp/~mdehoon/software/cluster.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{initialize the starting region set } \mathcal{R}^{0}; \\ \text{for} (\text{int } i=0; |\mathcal{R}^{i}| > N; i++) \\ \{ \\ \text{find } R_{a} \in \mathcal{R}^{i}, \ R_{b} \in \mathcal{R}^{i} \text{ so that } f(R_{a}, R_{b}) = \min; \\ \mathbf{v}(R_{ab}) = (\mathbf{v}(R_{a})|P(R_{a})| + \mathbf{v}(R_{b})|P(R_{b})|)/(|P(R_{a})| + |P(R_{b})|); \\ O(R_{ab}) = (O(R_{a})|P(R_{a})| + O(R_{b})|P(R_{b})|)/(|P(R_{a})| + |P(R_{b})|); \\ R_{ab} = (P(R_{a}) \bigcup P(R_{b}), \mathbf{v}(R_{ab}), O(R_{ab})); \\ \mathcal{R}^{i+1} = \mathcal{R}^{i} - \{R_{a} \bigcup R_{b}\}; \ //\text{eliminate } R_{a} \text{ and } R_{b} \text{ from } \mathcal{R}^{i+1} \\ \mathcal{R}^{i+1} = \mathcal{R}^{i+1} \bigcup \{R_{ab}\}; \ //\text{add the new } R_{ab} \text{ to } \mathcal{R}^{i+1} \\ \text{set } R_{a} \text{ and } R_{b} \text{ as children of } R_{ab}; \\ \} \end{array}$

Listing 6.3. Bottom-up greedy clustering of vector data.

These regions are the roots of several region trees that encode the clustering, as described previously. We can use these trees to obtain several types of simplified representations of our vector field. A simplified representation, or s-rep, is a region set $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, \ldots, R_k\}$ of k disjoint regions where each R_i is a node in a different region tree described earlier and whose union $\bigcap_{i=1}^k R_i$ covers the complete dataset.

Having such a s-rep, a visualization of the vector field can be produced by displaying the representative vectors for all regions, streamlines seeded at the region origins and clipped by the region boundaries, or the regions themselves colored in different colors (the last option is effective mainly for 2D domains). By controlling N, one can answer the question "show a vector field with N curved arrows." Figure 6.32 shows such visualizations for 2D and 3D vector fields. The similarity metric used favors vectors with the same direction and magnitude, which explains the elongated shapes of the regions shown in Figure 6.32(a). In Figure 6.32(b), arrow-capped stream tubes are drawn for every region and an additional horizontal slice plane, textured with a spot noise visualization of the vector field, is used to provide an extra visual cue.

Several s-reps can be created from the region trees. The easiest is to use any of the region sets \mathcal{R}^i constructed during the clustering. However, choosing which level *i* to look at is not very intuitive, as we do not know how many regions \mathcal{R}^i has. Other options for constructing the s-rep are to take regions at a user-given depth from the root in the region trees or an s-rep containing a user-specified number of N regions. Since the decomposition is saved in the region trees, dynamically



Figure 6.32. Simplified vector field visualization via bottom-up clustering of (a) a 2D field and (b) a 3D field.

changing the decomposition level-of-detail and associated visualization can be done in real time, which encourages interactive exploration.

From a reconstruction perspective, the bottom-up clustering method using one representative vector per region is roughly equivalent to a piecewise constant interpolation. Every region support $P(R_i)$ can be thought as the support of a vector basis function $\phi_i = \mathbf{v}(R_i)$ equal to the region's representative vector over $P(R_i)$ and zero outside $P(R_i)$. The hierarchy of simplified representations \mathcal{R}^i , $1 \leq i \leq F$ can be thought as generating a hierarchy of constant bases $\Phi^i = \{\phi_j^i\}_j$ that approximate our vector dataset at various levels of detail. From this perspective, visualizing the regions with streamlines (instead of displaying the representative vector) is slightly misleading from the clustering's approximation perspective. However, our approach is still logical if we regard the clustering strictly as a smart technique for seeding streamlines as a function of the data variation.

Multiscale decomposition. The idea of producing a hierarchy of bases that approximates a given vector field at several levels of detail can be taken further by using more sophisticated clustering techniques. One such technique employs a state-of-the-art mathematical tool called the *algebraic multigrid (AMG)* [Griebel et al. 04]. In brief, this method works as follows. Given a vector dataset that has an underlying grid with n sample points p_1, \ldots, p_n , we define a so-called coupling matrix $M = \{m_{ij}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$. Given two points p_i and p_j , the entry m_{ij} essentially encodes the similarity metric f between the vector values $\mathbf{v}(p_i)$ and

 $\mathbf{v}(p_j)$ as follows:

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} f(p_i, p_j), & p_i, p_j \text{ are grid neighbors,} \\ 1 - \sum_{k \in \text{neighbors}(i)} m_{ik}, & i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(6.19)

Intuitively, the entries m_{ij} of the symmetric square matrix M can be thought of as couplings of the grid points in the vector field. Neighboring points that have similar vectors are strongly coupled; neighbors that have dissimilar vectors are weakly coupled. Points that are not neighbors are not coupled at all. The diagonal entries m_{ii} describe the so-called self-coupling, which is set so that the sum of couplings of a point with all other points is 1.

For the more formally and mathematically oriented readers, the preceding matrix M describes the finite element discretization of an anisotropic diffusion operator using piecewise linear basis functions. Let us detail this. Given some domain $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = 0 \tag{6.20}$$

describes the diffusion in time of a scalar function $u : \mathbb{D} \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ starting from an initial value u(t = 0). In Equation (6.20), div denotes the divergence operator defined by Equation (6.1). The speed of diffusion, or diffusivity, can have different values in different directions in the domain D, a property which is called *anisotropy*, and which is described by the tensor A. The discretization of the operator div $(A\nabla u)$ produces our matrix M. In finite element terminology, M is called a *stiffness matrix*, a term that suggests the metaphor of coupling of the grid points.

The matrix M encodes the vector field structure on the finest level given by the dataset grid. Our aim is to simplify this structure in order to visualize the field at various levels of detail. This can be done using the AMG technique, as detailed in [Griebel et al. 04]. Given a matrix M, AMG constructs a sequence of matrices $M^0 = M, M^1, \ldots, M^k$. The size (number of rows or columns) of each matrix M^i is a fraction s of the size of the previous matrix M^{i-1} , starting with the size S of the matrix M^0 until the final matrix M^k , which has size 1, i.e., is a scalar value. Hence, the sequence has $k = \log_s S$ levels. This reduction in size is done by eliminating matrix entries involved in weak couplings and merging neighboring entries involved in similar strong couplings. This process is similar to the bottom-up agglomerative clustering described in Listing 6.3. However, actual implementations of the AMG technique are relatively complex, as these are capable of producing high-quality clusterings of huge matrices of tens of millions of entries in minutes on a normal PC. Designing an effective and efficient



Figure 6.33. AMG flow-field decomposition. (a) Basis functions. (b) Regions and streamline-based visualization.

AMG algorithm is difficult, both from the mathematical and implementation points of view, and this topic is treated extensively by a separate field of research [Trottenberg et al. 01, Griebel and Schweitzer 06]. Luckily, for our vector field simplification perspective, we can use an existing AMG implementation as a black box.

Following the finite element paradigm, for every matrix M^i produced by the AMG we can construct a basis Φ^i containing as many basis functions ϕ^i_j as the matrix size. At the finest level, the basis functions ϕ^0_j are exactly the linear basis functions implied by the grid cell types (see Section 3.4). Since the simplified matrices contain couplings that follow the field structure, it can be shown that the shapes of the basis functions ϕ^i_j on the higher simplification levels follow the vector field, too. Figure 6.33(a) shows several basis functions on several levels of the AMG clustering. The function range of [0, 1] is mapped via a blue-to-red colormap. The vector field decomposition is shown in Figure 6.33(b) with regions and stream tubes.

We can use these basis functions to visualize the vector field in several ways, as described next. Given a simplification level 1 < l < k, we can use the basis $\Phi^l = \{\phi_i^l\}_i$ to construct several regions R_i^l . Every region R_i^l corresponds to the points of the grid G where its basis function ϕ_i^l is maximal over Φ^l :

$$R_i^l = \{ p \in G | \phi_i^l(p) > \phi_j^l, \forall j \neq i \}.$$

$$(6.21)$$

Since ϕ_i^l is maximal over R_i^l , we can say that R_i^l best approximates the extent of that vector field feature which is captured by ϕ_i^l . Next, we can visualize these regions either as colored areas or by using arrow-capped stream tubes, similar to the discrete clustering visualization in Figure 6.32. Figure 6.34 shows the regions on three different decomposition levels, ranging from coarse to fine from left to right, for the already-familiar MHD flow field used by other visualization



Figure 6.34. Vector field decomposition using the AMG technique. Three decomposition levels are visualized.

methods earlier in this chapter. Comparing these images to the vector glyph visualizations shown in Figures 6.5 and 6.7, we see that the regions clearly reflect the symmetric structure of the flow, the presence of two large drop-shaped vortices, and the existence of two thin layers surrounding and flowing in opposite direction to the vortices. The AMG decomposition technique works also for curved surfaces or volumetric domains. Figure 6.35(a) shows the region decomposition of a flow field encoding the wind direction and force over the surface of the Earth. Figure 6.35(b) depicts the same flow field visualized with arrow-capped stream tubes overlaid on top of an IBFV texture visualization. The



Figure 6.35. AMG-based simplified visualization of wind vector field on the surface of the Earth.

stream tubes, colored by the velocity magnitude, show a simplified view of the flow field while the texture shows a detailed, fine-scale visualization of the same field.

Multiscale IBFV. A second way to use the AMG simplification results for our aim is to visualize the basis ϕ_j^l functions themselves. Here, we turn again to the image-based flow visualization (IBFV) visualization technique presented in Section 6.6. As we recall, IBFV advects a spatial noise signal whose luminance changes periodically in time in a given vector field, creating the effect of thin, contrasting animated streamlines that move with the flow. A limitation of the original IBFV method was the size, or graininess, of the noise signal. Fine noise elements produce high-quality flow animations but are limited in conveying the coarse structure of the vector field. Increasing the size of the noise elements does not solve this issue, and actually creates strong visible artifacts, since the noise signal is sampled on a regular image-like grid. What we actually need is a noise signal on a coarse spatial scale that is coherent with the flow. This is the point where the AMG basis functions come in.

Consider again the original fine-scale IBFV noise N(x, y, t) that was sampled on a $n \times n$ image. Every pixel of this image can be seen as being represented by a constant basis function ψ_i which is 1 over that pixel and zero elsewhere. Hence, the noise term from Equation (6.16) can be rewritten as

$$N(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \psi_i(x, y) f((t+N_i) \mod 1).$$
 (6.22)

Here, f(t) is exactly the same periodical function as in Equation (6.17), whereas N_i is the noise phase of the *i*th region corresponding to ψ_i , which is equivalent to the per-pixel noise phase N(x) in Equation (6.17). An interesting observation is that now the basis functions ψ_i are similar to the AMG basis functions ϕ_i^0 on the first level that corresponds to the input coupling matrix M^0 . We can generalize this observation and define a multiscale noise signal:

$$N^{j}(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{s_{j}} \psi_{i}^{j}(x, y)p(t + r_{i}).$$
(6.23)

Here, we use the basis functions on any level 1 < j < k of the AMG decomposition. As we see in Figure 6.33(a), these functions have shapes that follow the vector field, so advecting them in the field, as done by the IBFV method, considerably reduces the appearance of noise-like visual artifacts. In terms of implementation, Equation (6.23) can be efficiently coded in terms of OpenGL

imaging operations. The basis functions ψ_i^j can be stored as luminance OpenGL textures, and the multiplication with $p(t+r_i)$ and summation are easily mapped to the various OpenGL blending modes. Since $N^j(x, y, t)$ is a periodic function, we can precompute several tens of samples of one period, save them into textures, and then use these during the IBFV animation to obtain maximum performance.

By replacing the initial fine-scale noise N(x, y, t) with any of the multiscale noise signals $N^{j}(x, y, t)$ defined by Equation (6.23), we obtain a multiscale imagebased flow visualization, or MIBFV method. MIBFV keeps all the strong points of its predecessor (dense field representation, real-time animation, intuitive representation, simple implementation) and adds a spatial multiscale aspect that emphasizes the vector field features at a user-chosen scale k. Figure 6.36 shows the difference between the fine-scale IBFV (Figure 6.36(a)) and MIBFV on three coarse scales (Figures 6.36(b-d)). MIBFV can be used also to combine several scales in a single visualization. The coarse-scale MIBFV images show less detail but exhibit a higher contrast than the IBFV visualization, an element which helps users discern the global coarse scale features of the depicted vector field. Figure 6.36(c) shows an MIBFV coarse-scale context visualization in the background blended with four IBFV fine-scale detail visualizations centered and covering the extents of the four main vortices of the considered flow field. The centers of the IBFV visualizations are marked by red dots, which indicate points of interest chosen by the user. This image is a typical example of the use of focus-and-context techniques in data visualization: high detail is shown over a focus region, typically specified by the user, surrounded by a context region showing a low amount of detail.

MIBFV is less suited to visualize time-dependent vector fields than IBFV. If the vector field changes, we must re-run the AMG decomposition and noise texture computation (see Equation (6.23)) after every time step. Even a very efficient AMG implementation still needs seconds to tens of seconds to produce the multiscale basis functions, so MIBFV cannot provide interactive frame rates on time-dependent vector fields.

Using the AMG technique to produce simplified representations and visualizations of vector fields is an advanced subject that requires an implementation effort way beyond that of computing streamlines or vector glyphs. However, this topic provides good insight into the recent possibilities of vector field visualization and illustrates once again the mix of different techniques such as mathematical analysis, imaging, and graphics that are needed to tackle this great challenge of getting insight in complex, time-dependent vector datasets.

The use of the AMG method for decomposing vector fields for visualization purposes is a typical example of a number of recent techniques that can be



Figure 6.36. (a) IBFV visualization and (b, c, d) multiscale IBFV visualization on three different scales of the same field.

used to simplify the massive amount of data present in large vector datasets in order to create visualizations that emphasize the most salient elements of a given vector field. Several other techniques take a similar path in clustering, or merging, similar sample points in a vector field in order to reduce the data complexity and simplify its visual interpretation. A set of techniques closely related to the AMG method presented here employs diffusion-based clustering of vector fields [Preusser and Rumpf 99, Bürkle et al. 01]. These methods solve the diffusion problem encoded by Equation (6.20) starting with an initial noise scalar value u(t = 0) similar to the advected noise N(x, t) of the IBFV method. After a certain time t, small-scale noise patterns get clustered in the direction of the vector field, while still exhibiting high noise-like contrast in the orthogonal direction. These methods create vector field visualizations that are very similar to the MIBFV method (Figure 6.36(b-d)).

6.8 Illustrative Vector Field Rendering

Simplified visualizations, such as those presented in Section 6.7, can reduce the amount and complexity of information displayed when visualizing a vector field. As shown, such techniques can be valuable especially for 3D datasets. However, as already noted, they can also lead to abstract images which can be hard to understand by end users. Simpler methods, such as the stream objects described in Section 6.5, generate images which are easier to recognize, but can be affected by clutter and overdraw for large datasets.

Illustrative vector field visualizations strike a balance between the two abovementioned directions. On the one hand, they use simple, well-understood, visual metaphors for depicting vector fields. On the other hand, they use various rendering effects to simplify the perceived complexity of the final image, thereby making it easier to grasp.

Depth-dependent halos One such method is depth-dependent halos [Everts et al 09].⁷ The key idea of this method is simple (see Figure 6.37): Given a set of streamlines, represented as curves, the method creates polygon strips of small constant width Δ , centered around the individual streamlines. These strips are similar to stream ribbons (Section 6.5.4). However, in contrast to ribbons, they are oriented parallel to the viewing plane, using a technique known as *billboard*ing. Also, their depth is modulated linearly from being equal to the depth coordinates of the visualized streamlines to a slightly lower value. The effect is similar to constructing a rooftop-like shape that follows the streamlines and is orthogonal to the viewing direction. Additionally, the strips are textured with a one-dimensional monochrome texture that assigns a fixed base color (black) to a narrow band δ around the center (streamline location) and white to the rest (see Figure 6.37 bottom). When rendering these strips with standard depth-buffering enabled, the overall effect is that strips which are close to each other than the value δ get visually merged and appear as a single compact shape rendered in the base color. Strips which are farther away from each other than δ in terms of depth, however, will show a distinct "halo," as strips closer to the viewer visibly obscure further strips and get delineated by a white border.

Figure 6.37 bottom illustrates the overall effect: Here, seven strips are rendered (A..G). The black rooftop shapes correspond to the base color areas on their textures, and the gray lines show the white texture areas. The strips A..Care both close to each other in screen space and close in terms of depth. As

 $^{^7\}mathrm{A}$ software implementation and example datasets of depth-dependent halos are available at http://tobias.isenberg.cc/VideosAndDemos/Everts2009DDH.



Figure 6.37. Depth-dependent halos for the visualization of 3D vector fields.

such, they appear on the screen as a single compact black fragment (F_1 in the figure). The strips D..G are also close to each other in both screen and depth space, so they also appear as a compact fragment (F_2 in the figure). However, the strip group A..C is, seen as a whole, in front of the strip group D..G, so it creates a white halo around it, which makes it possible to separate it from the latter group. Changing the viewpoint by standard user interaction considerably strengthens the separation effect, so that one effectively sees different layers of streamlines located at different depths.

Figure 6.37 (top) illustrates depth-dependent halos for two 3D vector field datasets. The left dataset shows the same vector field used in Figure 6.20. The right dataset shows a vector field describing the air flow in a room due to natural convection. If we compare this image with, for instance, Figure 6.20, we notice that depth-dependent halos can show a much larger amount of streamlines, with limited clutter and good depth perception, even if the rendering is monochrome. Also, we see in both images in Figure 6.20 how streamlines which are close to each other get locally merged into thick black "bundles," thereby creating an effective image-space simplification and clutter reduction of the rendering. As

this method only uses two colors, it can be used, in contrast to most methods described so far in this chapter, for the production of high-quality illustrations for monochrome print targets. A second application of depth-dependent halos for the visualization of tensor fields is discussed further in Section 7.7.

6.9 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have presented a number of visualization methods for vector fields. Given the large variety of techniques, but also the difference in focus and goal of the many application domains where vector field visualizations are used, it is hard to provide a simple and uniform classification of these visualization methods. In terms of both visual and implementation complexity, these methods range from simple visual representations supported by a straightforward implementation, such as the vector glyphs, up to multiscale textures animated in real time, supported by complex implementations that combine advanced mathematics and graphics, such as the MIBFV method. In recent years, the increase in computing and graphics-processing power have stimulated the creation of whole new families of vector field visualization techniques that exploit animation and dense visual representations, such as textures, as opposed to the "classical" vector field visualizations that use sparse geometric primitives, such as glyphs, streamlines, and stream surfaces.

Another classification of vector field visualization methods is based on the dimensionality of the data domain. Two-dimensional surfaces, whether planar or curved ones, permit a straightforward mapping to the 2D graphics viewport, which simplifies the visualization problem. Three-dimensional volumetric vector fields pose, in contrast, a much more challenging problem, due to the inherent occlusion of the visualization primitives, especially in the case of dense visualizations. When one adds the time dimension, the problem becomes even more challenging. Animation is an intuitive means of representing the time-dependent aspect.

Being able to visually follow complex 3D flow animations and discern all events of interest that take place in such processes is a difficult problem. Multiscale methods for simplified visual representations of vector fields, which have become increasingly interesting in the last years, are an effective answer to the problem of data size and complexity. However, the challenge of creating insightful visualizations of three-dimensional time-dependent vector fields describing complex phenomena, far from being exhausted, is still an active area of research.

Chapter 7

Tensor Visualization

A ^s explained in Section 3.6.4, tensor data encode some spatial property that varies as a function of position and direction, such as the curvature of a three-dimensional surface at a given point and direction. Most visualization applications deal with rank 2 symmetric tensors, so in this chapter we shall treat only the visualization of such tensors. When represented in a global coordinate system, such tensors are 3×3 matrices. Every point in a tensor dataset carries such a matrix as its attribute data.

Tensor datasets are common in different application domains. Properties of 3D surfaces, such as curvature, can be described by curvature tensors. Material properties in mechanical engineering, such as stress and strain in 3D volumes, are described by stress tensors [Reddy 93]. Diffusion of water in tissues can take place either isotropically, that is, with equal speed in every direction, or anisotropically, that is, with different speeds in different directions. For example, in human brain tissue, diffusion is stronger in the direction of the neural fibers and weaker across the fibers. These fibers, consisting of bundles of axons, are also known as *white matter*, given the characteristic color of the myelin layer covering them. At a given point in the tissue volume, diffusion can be described by a 3×3 diffusion tensor matrix. As diffusion is stronger in the direction of the fibers, by measuring the diffusion tensor and visualizing, for example, the direction of strongest diffusion, we can get insight into the complex structure of neural fibers in the human brain. The measurement of the diffusion of water in living tissues is done by a set of techniques known as *diffusion tensor magnetic* resonance imaging (DT-MRI). The overall process that constructs visualizations of the anatomical structures of interest starting from the measured diffusion data

is known as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and is an active area of research in scientific visualization and medical imaging. DTI techniques have been used in the diagnosis and analysis of various types of brain diseases, and in the study of the connection between the brain structure and functions (functional brain anatomy).

As we saw in the previous chapter, visualizing 3D vector fields is a difficult problem, since we have to map three independent values to a graphical representation for every data point. In the case of a tensor field, the problem only becomes more difficult. Now we have to visualize a complete 3×3 matrix for every data point. We could try to visualize the entries of these matrices as separate scalar fields using scalar visualization methods such as isosurfaces or color-coded slice planes. However, this would not help us much in understanding the way the data encoded by our tensor actually varies as a function of direction. Luckily, tensor data has an intrinsic structure that we can exploit to produce more useful visualizations. Computing this structure is done by a technique called *principal component analysis*, as explained in the next section.

The structure of this chapter is as follows. We begin by providing in Section 7.1 a short overview of principal component analysis. This technique is used to process a tensor matrix and extract from it information that can directly be used in its visualization, and forms a fundamental ingredient of many tensor data processing and visualization algorithms. In Section 7.4, we show how the results of the principal component analysis can be visualized using the simple color-mapping techniques introduced in Chapter 5. Next, we show in Section 7.5 how the same data can be visualized using tensor glyphs, following the vector visualization techniques introduced in Chapter 6. A further elaboration of the similarities between tensors and vectors is shown in Sections 7.6 and 7.8, which introduce streamline-like visualization techniques for tensor fields. Finally, Section 7.9 concludes this chapter.

7.1 Principal Component Analysis

Let us consider again, for illustration purposes, the curvature tensor of a 3D surface, which we introduced in Section 3.6.4. Consider a local coordinate system xyz centered at a point x_0 on our surface, where the z-axis coincides with \mathbf{n} , the surface normal at x_0 , and the x- and y-axes are tangent to the surface at x_0 (see Figure 3.15). Close to x_0 , our surface can be locally described as some function z = f(x, y), with $f(x_0) = 0$. We have shown that we can compute the normal curvature at some point x_0 in some direction \mathbf{s} in the tangent plane

as the second derivative $\partial^2 f/\partial \mathbf{s}^2$ of f using the two-by-two Hessian matrix of partial derivatives of f (see Equation (3.28)). For smooth surfaces, the normal curvature varies smoothly as the direction vector \mathbf{s} rotates around the current point in the tangent plane. In many applications, we are actually interested only in the extremal (minimal and maximal) values of the curvature as a function of the direction \mathbf{s} . Since these directions depend only on the surface shape at a given point, they are invariant to the choice of the local coordinate system.

How can we compute these directions? Since **s** is a unit direction vector, we can write it as $\mathbf{s}^T = (\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$, where α is the angle between **s** and the *x*-axis of our local coordinate system, and the superscript *T* denotes a transposed column vector. Plugging this into Equation (3.28), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s^2} = s^T H s = h_{11} \cos^2 \alpha + (h_{12} + h_{21}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + h_{22} \sin^2 \alpha, \tag{7.1}$$

where h_{ij} are the entries of the symmetric Hessian matrix H. This expression is maximal when its derivative with respect to α is zero. This means

$$-h_{11}\cos\alpha\sin\alpha - \frac{h_{12} + h_{21}}{2}(\sin^2\alpha - \cos^2\alpha) + h_{22}\sin\alpha\cos\alpha = 0.$$
(7.2)

It is easy to show that Equation (7.2) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} h_{11}\cos\alpha + h_{12}\sin\alpha &=\lambda\cos\alpha, \\ h_{21}\cos\alpha + h_{22}\sin\alpha &=\lambda\sin\alpha, \end{cases}$$
(7.3)

where λ is any real value. And Equation (7.3) can be rewritten as

$$H\mathbf{s} = \lambda \mathbf{s}.\tag{7.4}$$

Summarizing, the directions \mathbf{s} in the tangent plane for which the normal curvature has extremal values are the solutions of Equation (7.4). For 2 × 2 matrices, we can solve Equation (7.4) analytically, obtaining two solutions λ_1 and \mathbf{s}_1 and λ_2 and \mathbf{s}_2 , respectively. For this, we rewrite $H\mathbf{s} = \lambda \mathbf{s}$ as $(H - \lambda I)\mathbf{s} = 0$ where Iis the 2 × 2 identity matrix. From linear algebra, we know this is equivalent to the determinant of $H - \lambda I$ being equal to zero, as we are looking for nontrivial solutions $\mathbf{s} \neq 0$. Hence, we get

$$\det(H - \lambda I) = 0. \tag{7.5}$$

For a 2 × 2 matrix, Equation (7.5) can be solved analytically and yields our two solutions λ_1 and λ_2 , as follows. For any 2 × 2 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$
 (7.6)



Figure 7.1. Principal directions of curvature for a surface.

the determinant is $det(A) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$. Using this determinant definition, Equation (7.5) becomes

$$\det(H - \lambda I) = (h_{11} - \lambda)(h_{22} - \lambda) - h_{12}h_{21} = 0.$$
(7.7)

Equation (7.7) is nothing but a second-order equation in λ , whose solutions are our eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 . Plugging these into Equation (7.4) immediately yields the corresponding \mathbf{s}_1 and \mathbf{s}_2 .

Figure 7.1 shows the principal directions of the curvature tensor for a 3D surface. The surface (shown in green) has minimal curvature in the direction \mathbf{s}_1 (shown in yellow) and maximal curvature in the direction \mathbf{s}_2 (shown in red). A number of other directions in the tangent plane orthogonal to the surface normal \mathbf{n} at the considered point are shown in black. Along these directions, the surface curvature takes values between the minimal and maximal ones.

The solutions \mathbf{s}_i of Equation (7.4) are called the *principal directions*, or *eigenvectors*, of the tensor H. The quantity encoded in the tensor has extremal values in these directions, equal to λ_i . These values are also called *eigenvalues* of the tensor. Computing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a tensor by this technique is called *principal component analysis*. One can show that, for an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, the principal directions are perpendicular to each other. Hence, these directions form a local coordinate system whose axes are directions in which the quantity encoded by the tensor, such as curvature in our example, reaches extremal values.

This reasoning can also be applied identically for a 3×3 tensor. For example, in the case of a 3D surface given by an implicit function f(x, y, z) = 0 in global coordinates, we have a 3×3 Hessian matrix of partial derivatives, as explained in Section 3.6.4 (see Equation (3.30)). This matrix has three eigenvalues and three eigenvectors that we compute by solving Equation (7.4). These can be computed as follows. Given a 3×3 matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, it can be shown that the determinant det $(H - \lambda I)$ is given by

$$\det(H - \lambda I) = \lambda^3 - J_1 \lambda^2 + J_2 \lambda - J_3, \tag{7.8}$$

where the quantities J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 are given by

$$J_{1} = h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{33}, J_{2} = h_{11}h_{22} + h_{11}h_{33} + h_{22}h_{33} - h_{12}^{2} - h_{23}^{2} - h_{31}^{2}, J_{3} = 2h_{12}h_{23}h_{31} + h_{11}h_{22} + h_{33} - h_{11}h_{23}^{2} - h_{22}h_{31}^{2} - h_{33}h_{12}^{2}.$$
(7.9)

Equation (7.8) is a third-order equation in λ whose solutions are the three eigenvalues λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 of the tensor H. These can be computed using analytic formulas for solving Equation (7.8). A better method to use in practice is the Jacobi iteration method, which solves Equation (7.5) numerically for arbitrary-size $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices [Press et al. 02].

If we order the eigenvalues in decreasing order $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$, the corresponding eigenvectors \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 , and \mathbf{e}_3 , also called the *major*, *medium*, and *minor* eigenvectors, have geometric meaning: In the case of a curvature tensor, \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are tangent to the given surface and give the directions of maximal and minimal normal curvature on the surface, and \mathbf{e}_3 is equal to the surface normal.

What if, however, several eigenvalues are equal? For a 3×3 matrix, there are three cases, as follows:

- **1.** If $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, then we can only determine the *major* eigenvector \mathbf{e}_1 , and the eigenvectors \mathbf{e}_2 and \mathbf{e}_3 can be any two orthogonal vectors which are also orthogonal to \mathbf{e}_1 .
- 2. If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$, then we can only determine the *minor* eigenvector \mathbf{e}_3 , and the eigenvectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 can be any two orthogonal vectors which are also orthogonal to \mathbf{e}_3 .
- **3.** If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, the we cannot determine any eigenvector: Any three orthogonal vectors satisfy Equation (7.4).

Intuitively, when several eigenvalues are equal, one cannot determine preferential directions of maximal or minimal variations of the quantity encoded by



Figure 7.2. Principal directions of the curvature tensor for various shapes. Cross sections tangent to the eigenvectors are colored to denote the eigenvalue type. Red denotes the major eigenvector direction; yellow denotes the minor eigenvector direction. Blue denotes cases when the eigenvector directions are arbitrary, as eigenvalues are equal.

the tensor. Consider again a 2×2 matrix describing a curvature tensor for several surfaces (see Figure 7.2). The ellipsoid surface in Figure 7.2(a) clearly admits two orthogonal principal directions (eigenvectors) of maximal and minimal curvature, respectively. In both these directions, the curvature is nonzero. A cylinder surface also admits two such unique directions (see Figure 7.2(b)). However, the minimal curvature (eigenvalue) is, in this case, zero. Indeed, the cross section along this direction is a line. On a spherical surface, however, the curvature has equal (nonzero) values in all directions, so the eigenvectors can be any two orthogonal vectors that are tangent to the surface (Figure 7.2(c)). The same situation happens for a plane, where, in addition, the curvature (eigenvalues) is also zero in all directions (Figure 7.2(d)). The same type of situation is encountered for 3×3 tensors, too.

In the following sections, we describe several visualization methods for tensor datasets. We begin with the simplest method, which visualizes the individual components of the tensor matrix (Section 7.2) and next detail more advanced visualization techniques that use the results of principal component analysis.

7.2 Visualizing Components

The simplest way to visualize a tensor dataset is to treat it as a set of scalar datasets. Given a 3×3 tensor matrix H, we can consider each of its nine components h_{ij} as a separate scalar field. Figure 7.3 shows these components for a single 2D slice taken from a brain diffusion tensor volumetric dataset.¹

Each component of the tensor matrix is visualized using a grayscale colormap that maps scalar value to luminance. Note that, for the ease of interpretation of the images, the points that fall outside the actual tissue, i.e., correspond to air and therefore contain noisy tensor values, have been set to a neutral uniform gray background color. Also, note that due to the symmetry of the tensor matrix, there are only six different images in the visualization (i.e., $h_{12} = h_{21}$, $h_{13} = h_{31}$, and $h_{23} = h_{32}$).

In general, the tensor matrix components encode the second-order partial derivatives of our tensor-encoded quantity with respect to the *global* coordinate system. Often, the orientation of this system has absolutely no deep relation with the data variation, so these partial derivatives, taken *separately*, are quite meaningless. For example, in the case of a DT-MRI dataset, the measured tensor describes the diffusion strength of water in the brain tissue with respect to the coordinate frame that describes the position of the patient in the scanner device. Clearly, this coordinate frame has little to do with the actual orientation of the anatomical structures of interest that are to be visualized. In contrast, visualizing the eigenvectors and eigenvalues gives the directions and sizes of extremal variations of our tensor-encoded quantity, which are *independent* of any coordinate system. If extremal variations are meaningful for our problem then visualizing these eigen-quantities can help. Visualizing the results of the principal component analysis (PCA) analysis is discussed in the following section.

7.3 Visualizing Scalar PCA Information

A better alternative to visualizing the tensor matrix components is to focus on data derived from these components that has a more intuitive physical significance.

¹Brain dataset courtesy of Gordon Kindlmann, Scientific Computing and Imaging Institute, University of Utah, and Andrew Alexander, W. M. Keck Laboratory for Functional Brain Imaging and Behavior, University of Wisconsin-Madison.



Figure 7.3. Visualization of the nine scalar components h_{ij} of a 3 × 3 diffusion tensor from a DT-MRI scan.

Diffusivity. As a first example, we shall use the average of the diagonal entries $\frac{1}{3}(h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{33})$. It can be shown that this quantity is equal at each point to the mean diffusivity measured in the tissue, i.e., the average of the measured



Figure 7.4. Visualization of the mean diffusivity over sagittal, axial, and coronal slices. The small image in the lower-right corner displays the brain surface together with the three slices for orientation purposes.

diffusion over all directions at that point.² Figure 7.4 visualizes this scalar quantity over three axis-aligned slice planes using a grayscale colormap. Apart from the particular scalar value visualized here, using three orthogonal slice planes to get a quick insight in a volumetric dataset is a popular technique. In medical imaging, these planes bear special names, i.e., the sagittal, axial, and coronal sections, respectively.

Anisotropy. Further insight can be gained by visualizing the results of principal component analysis. Recall that the eigenvectors of a tensor give the directions of extremal variations of the quantity encoded by the tensor in a given point, and the corresponding eigenvalues given the values of those extremal variations. In case of diffusion data, the eigenvalues can be used to describe the degree of *anisotropy* of the tissue at a point. In an isotropic medium, all directions are identical. In our particular case, this means the diffusivity has the same value in all directions around a point. Anisotropic media exhibit different properties

²This quantity is also equal to the mean of the eigenvalues $1/3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)$.

in different directions. In our case, this means different diffusivities in different directions around a point. Visualizing the anisotropy of a tensor dataset can give valuable insight into separating the neural fibers, which are highly anisotropic, from the rest of the tissue.

Several techniques have been proposed to estimate the anisotropy of a diffusion tensor in medical imaging. All use, in one way or another, the results of PCA on the tensor data. We will now describe a few of the best-known and simplest-to-compute anisotropy measures.

A first set of metrics proposed by Westin [Westin et al. 97] estimates the certainties c_l , c_p , and c_s that a tensor has a linear, planar, or spherical shape, respectively. If the tensor's eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$, the respective certainties are

$$c_{l} = \frac{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}},$$

$$c_{p} = \frac{2(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3})}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}},$$

$$c_{s} = \frac{3\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}}.$$
(7.10)

The expressions of the tensor shape certainties in Equation (7.10) describe how "far off" one eigenvalue is from the smaller ones. The division by the mean diffusivity $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ is used to normalize the estimations and obtain dimensionless numbers. Intuitively, the confidence values suggest how diffusion acts in the tissue. Imagine a spherical drop of water placed at the current point and left to diffuse for a while. Its shape will grow faster in the directions of high anisotropy and slower in the other directions. A visualization method that directly uses such shapes to show the tensor eigenvalues and eigenvectors is described in Section 7.5. For the time being, a simple way to use the anisotropy metrics proposed previously is to directly visualize the linear certainty c_l scalar signal. High values of this metric indicate regions where the fibers are clearly delineated. Figure 7.5(a) shows the c_l certainty plotted on a 2D axial slice. The white area in the middle outlines a highly anisotropic region, anatomically known under the name of *corpus callosum*, which contains a high density of neural fibers connecting the right and left brain hemispheres. The gray values indicate regions of low anisotropy that correspond to the gray matter tissue in the brain.

Another frequently used measure for the anisotropy is the *fractional anisotropy* [Pierpaoli and Basser 96], which is defined as

$$FA = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\lambda_i - \mu)^2}}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2},$$
(7.11)

where $\mu = \frac{1}{3}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)$ is the mean diffusivity. Figure 7.5(b) shows the frac-



Figure 7.5. Different anisotropy measures for diffusion tensor data.

tional anisotropy for the same dataset and slice as for the c_l certainty discussed before. Again, the *corpus callosum* area is clearly visible in this image.

A related measure is the *relative anisotropy* [Pierpaoli and Basser 96], defined as

$$RA = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\lambda_i - \mu)^2}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}.$$
(7.12)

Figure 7.5(c) shows the relative anisotropy for the previous dataset.

Overall, the methods presented in this section reduce the visualization of a tensor field to that of one or more scalar quantities, such as the anisotropy, computed from the PCA analysis performed on the tensor data. These can be examined using any of the scalar visualization methods presented in Chapter 5, such as color plots, slice planes, and isosurfaces.

7.4 Visualizing Vector PCA Information

In the previous section, we saw how to visualize various anisotropy metrics computed from the PCA analysis of a tensor field using standard scalar visualization methods such as color mapping. However, in many cases, we are interested in visualizing not just the amount of anisotropy, but also the directions in which this anisotropy takes place.

Let us start with the simpler case when we are interested only in the direction of maximal variation of our tensor-encoded quantity. For this, we can



Figure 7.6. Major eigenvector visualized with line glyphs colored by direction.

visualize the major eigenvector field using any of the vector visualization methods presented in Chapter 6. Figure 7.6 illustrates an application of this idea. Here, we show a hedgehog plot of the major eigenvector over a coronal slice in the same DT-MRI dataset used in Figure 7.3. Vectors are uniformly seeded at all points where the accuracy of the diffusion measurements is above a certain confidence level (similar to Figure 7.3). The hue of the vector coloring indicates their direction. For this, we use the following simple color-mapping function:

$$R = |\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}|,$$

$$G = |\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}|,$$

$$B = |\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}|.$$
(7.13)

Using this function, eigenvectors along the x-axis are colored in red, vectors along the y-axis are colored green, and vectors aligned with the z-axis of the dataset coordinate frame are colored blue, respectively. The icon in the bottom-right corner of Figure 7.6 illustrates the direction of color mapping. This icon has to be interpreted as a shaded sphere, where the color of each point maps the direction of the radial vector at that point. The luminance indicates the measurement confidence level. Bright vectors indicate high confidence measurement areas, whereas dark vectors indicate low confidence (noisy) measurements.



Figure 7.7. Major eigenvector direction color-coded on a slice plane.

In addition to a hedgehog plot, other vector visualization techniques described in Chapter 6 can be used. A relatively popular technique in this class is to simply color map the major eigenvector direction. For this, we use Equation (7.13) to color a slice plane. Figure 7.7 shows the result on a coronal slice of the same brain dataset discussed previously. As discussed in Chapter 5, the advantage of this technique is that it produces a relatively more densely sampled visualization than when using hedgehogs. However, tensor datasets still have at the current moment a relatively low resolution, typically less than 512^3 voxels, which will be visible in the color-coded slice planes, too. For example, the slice plane in Figure 7.7 has only 148×160 distinct pixels.

However insightful, visualizing a *single* eigenvector or eigenvalue at a time may be not enough. In many cases, the ratios of eigenvalues, rather than their absolute values, are of interest. Consider the surface curvature example. For a plane, both major and medium eigenvalues are zero. For a cylinder, the major eigenvalue gives the cylinder curvature, as computed along one of the circular surface cross sections normal to its axis, whereas the medium eigenvalue is zero, denoting that the cylinder is flat in the direction of its axis. For a sphere, both major and medium eigenvalues are equal, but not zero, since the curvature of all normal cross sections passing through a point on a sphere is the same. How can we visualize all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of some tensor dataset together? Several techniques try to answer this question, by building upon various elements from the scalar and vector visualization techniques presented in the previous chapters. These techniques are presented next.

7.5 Tensor Glyphs

The method for visualizing tensor data presented next is a generalization of the glyph concept used for visualizing vectors (see Section 6.2). We sample the dataset domain with a number of representative sample points. For each sample point, we construct a *tensor glyph* that encodes the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the tensor at that point. For a 2×2 tensor dataset, this means encoding two eigenvalues and two eigenvectors per sample point. To do this, we construct a 2D ellipse whose half axes are oriented in the directions of the two eigenvectors and scaled by the absolute values of the eigenvalues. For a 3×3 tensor dataset, we construct a 3D ellipsoid that encodes the three eigenvectors and eigenvalues in a similar manner. In both cases, the overall tensor glyph visualization algorithm is quite simple. After performing the principal component analysis at a given sample point, we scale the ellipsoid glyph with the eigenvalues, rotate it using a matrix that has the eigenvectors as columns, and translate it at that point. We repeat the process for all sample points where we want to draw tensor ellipsoids.

Figure 7.8(a) illustrates the shapes that the ellipsoid glyph can assume.³ At the triangle corners, the extremal situations are shown, when each of the linear, planar, and spherical certainties c_l , c_p , and c_s (see Equation (7.10)) has maximal value of one, and the other two are zero. These situations correspond to line, disc, and sphere glyph shapes, respectively. The in-between glyph shapes correspond to different certainty values. Note that the triangular "glyph space" can be parameterized by c_l , c_p , and c_s , using the fact that $c_l + c_p + c_s = 1$, so we can see the different glyph shapes as reflecting different values of the certainties and the corresponding eigenvalue ratios.

Besides ellipsoids, several other shapes can be used to encode the tensor information, each offering a different trade-off between visual clarity and power of expressing information. For example, we can use *parallelepipeds* (also called sometimes *cuboids*) or *cylinders* instead of ellipsoids. Figures 7.8(b,c) show the shapes such glyphs can assume for different certainties or eigenvalue ratios.

 $^{^3\}mathrm{All}$ figures in this section are generated by the freely available Teem software by Gordon Kindlmann [Kindlmann 06].



Figure 7.8. Different types of tensor glyphs. (a) Ellipsoids. (b) Cuboids. (c) Cylinders. (d) Superquadrics.

Figure 7.9 demonstrates the use of various shapes to visualize a DT-MRI diffusion tensor dataset. The figure shows a zoomed-in detail of the *corpus callosum* structure (red glyphs). The glyphs are colored by direction, similar to the hedgehog visualization used in Figure 7.7. The color saturation is modulated using the fractional anisotropy. Saturated glyphs indicate regions of high anisotropy, whereas gray ones indicate low-anisotropy regions. In contrast to the hedgehog visualization in the previous section, where seeds were distributed over a 2D slice, the tensor glyphs are here seeded over a 3D region. As we can see from this figure, smooth glyph shapes like those provided by the ellipsoids provide a less-distracting picture than shapes with sharp edges, such as the cuboids and cylinders.

The cuboid, ellipsoid, and cylinder glyphs each have their own advantages and disadvantages. Cuboids are very good at clearly indicating the eigenvector directions with their facets, but thereby also fail to convey the directional ambiguity for eigenvectors corresponding to equal eigenvalues. Cylinders clearly convey the major eigenvector by their axis, but will brusquely rotate their shape



Figure 7.9. Zoomed-in view of a DT-MRI dataset visualized with (a) ellipsoid, (b) cuboid, (c) cylinder, and (d) superquadric glyphs.

by 90 degrees upon small eigenvalue changes (see Figure 7.8(c) middle). This causes confusing discontinuities in the visualization. Ellipsoids do not have any of these problems, but their two-dimensional projection does not always convey a non-ambiguous 3D orientation when viewed from certain angles. To solve this problem, superquadric glyphs have been introduced by Kindlmann [Kindlmann 04a]. These are defined as superquadric shapes parameterized as functions of the planar and linear certainty metrics c_l and c_p , respectively [Kindlmann 04b]. If we express the superquadric shape as an implicit function q(x, y, z) = 0, the actual superquadric glyph formulations become

$$q(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \left(y^{2/\alpha} + z^{2/\alpha}\right)^{\alpha/\beta} + x^{2/\beta} - 1 = 0, & \text{if } c_l \ge c_p, \quad \text{where} \\ \left(x^{2/\alpha} + y^{2/\alpha}\right)^{\alpha/\beta} + z^{2/\beta} - 1 = 0, & \text{if } c_l < c_p, \quad \text{where} \end{cases} \begin{cases} \alpha = (1 - c_p)^{\gamma}, \\ \beta = (1 - c_l)^{\gamma}, \\ \beta = (1 - c_l)^{\gamma}, \\ \beta = (1 - c_p)^{\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

$$(7.14)$$

Figure 7.8(d) shows the shapes that the superquadric glyphs can assume for different values of the certainties. Figure 7.9(d) shows the use of superquadric glyphs in visualizing the same tensor dataset that was targeted by cuboids, cylinders, and ellipsoids in the same image.

Yet another tensor glyph used in practice is an *axes system*, formed by three vector glyphs that separately encode the three eigenvectors scaled by their corresponding eigenvalues. This is essentially nothing but visualizing three superimposed vector fields with vector glyphs, as described in Chapter 6. This method may be easier to interpret for 2D datasets, where the glyph overlap is controllable by limiting the glyph size to the distance between sample points. However, for 3D datasets, ellipsoid glyphs tend to work better than axes glyphs. The latter simply create too much confusion due to the 3D spatial overlap, whereas the rounded, convex ellipsoid shapes tend to be more distinguishable even when a small amount of overlap is present. Just as for vector glyphs, scaling the tensor ellipsoids by their values can easily lead to overlapping and/or very thin or very flat glyphs. We can solve this problem as we did for the vector glyphs by imposing a minimal and maximal glyph size, either by clamping or by using a nonlinear value-to-size mapping function.

Overall, tensor glyphs are a probably one of the simplest ways to visualize tensor datasets. However, since they produce a sampled, discontinuous image, tensor glyph visualizations suffer from the same problems as vector glyphs. That is, they are prone to cluttering and have a limited spatial resolution. Moreover, in some datasets such as DT-MRI tensor fields, one is interested in specifically emphasizing certain structures, such as neural fibers, a task that glyphs cannot do. In the next section, we describe a method that is better suited for the visualization of such structures.

7.6 Fiber Tracking

The use of tensor glyphs for visualizing tensor fields is analogous to that of vector glyphs, presented in Section 6.2 for visualizing vector fields. It is therefore natural to wonder whether one can construct counterparts to other vector field visualization techniques for visualizing tensor data.

Streamlines are one of the most effective and popular techniques for visualizing vector fields (see Section 6.5). The question arises whether (and how) we can use streamlines to get insight into a tensor field. Let us consider, for illustration purposes, the particular case of a DT-MRI tensor dataset. As explained earlier in this chapter, regions of high anisotropy in general, and of high values of the c_l linear certainty metric in particular, correspond to neural fibers aligned with the major eigenvector \mathbf{e}_1 . If we want to visualize the location and direction of such fibers, it is natural to think of tracking the direction of this eigenvector over regions of high anisotropy. In order to do this, we can readily use the streamline technique previously introduced in the context of vector fields.

A typical method for tracking fibers proceeds as follows. First, a seed region is identified. This is a region where the fibers should intersect, so it can be detected, e.g., by thresholding one of the anisotropy metrics presented in Section 7.3. Second, streamlines are densely seeded in this region and traced (integrated) both forward and backward in the major eigenvector field \mathbf{e}_1 until a desired stop criterion is reached. The stop criterion is, in practice, a combination of various conditions, each of which describes one desired feature of the resulting visualization. These can contain, but are not limited to, a minimal value of the anisotropy metric considered (beyond which the fiber structure becomes less apparent), the maximal fiber length (just as for vector streamlines), exiting or entering a predefined region of interest specified by the user (which can describe a previously segmented anatomical structure), and a maximal distance from other tracked fibers (beyond which the current fiber "strays" from a potential bundle structure that is the target of the visualization).

After the fibers are tracked, they can be visualized using the stream tubes technique (see Section 6.5.2), to further emphasize their geometry. Just as with vector visualization, the constructed tubes can be colored to show the value of a relevant scalar field, e.g., the major eigenvalue, anisotropy metric, or some other quantity scanned along with the tensor data.

The process of tracking fibers in DT-MRI datasets is quite delicate and typically requires a fair amount of user intervention, mainly during the step of defining the seed region. In order to assist users in this process, various integrated tools have been designed that allow the interactive visualization of scalar quantities on slices in the tensor dataset, the computation of anisotropy metrics, and the definition of regions of interest to be used to seed the fiber tracking process. Figure 7.10 shows a snapshot from such a tool, called Slicer.⁴ In the lower part of the tool snapshot, we see three axial, sagittal, and coronal slices displaying the fractional anisotropy metric, similar to Figure 7.5(b). The middle slice shows, in light blue, a region of interest that has been selected by the user based on the high anisotropy values. This region corresponds to a sagittal cross

⁴The images in this section have been produced using the open source Slicer 2.6 visualization software available from National Alliance for Medical Image Computing (NA-MIC) [Slicer 13] and the sample datasets provided with the software itself.



Figure 7.10. Fiber tracking from a user-selected region in the *corpus callosum* constructed with the Slicer 3D medical visualization tool.

section through the *corpus callosum* structure in the brain. The top image in Figure 7.10 shows again the sagittal slice together with fibers tracked from seed points densely distributed in the region of interest. The fibers are colored by the fractional anisotropy metric, using a blue-to-red rainbow colormap, and visualized using stream tubes. The fibers end when the c_l linear certainty metric falls below a value of 0.15.

By removing the slice plane from the fiber visualization, we can analyze the resulting fiber structure in more detail (see Figure 7.11(a)). We notice here the symmetric fanning out of the fibers that emerge from the *corpus callosum* and "radiate" into the two hemispheres of the brain. Besides these fibers, we also notice a number of fibers whose directions are close to horizontal, which correspond to the structure of the *corpus callosum* itself.

Focus and context. Fiber tracks are most useful when shown in context of the anatomy of the brain structure being explored. Figure 7.12 illustrates this. The



Figure 7.11. (a) Fiber tracking detail of Figure 7.10. (b) Fiber clustering based on the mean closest-point distance.

two images show two different views of a set of fiber tracks for a DT-MRI scan of $81 \times 106 \times 76$ voxels. The fibers are seeded densely in the region of the *corpus callosum*. Fibers are colored in red and shaded using the Phong lighting model, in order to better understand their curved positions in space. To better understand the spatial embedding of the fibers, three elements are added: (a) a *slice plane* showing the tissue density, using grayscale color mapping; (b) an *isosurface* of the same scalar value, colored in yellow; and (c) ellipsoid *tensor glyphs* at all fiber points where the anisotropy exceeds a user-given minimum



Figure 7.12. Two views from a focus-and-context DTI visualization showing tensor ellipsoids, fiber tracks, and a slice plane and isosurface of the anisotropy measure. (Images courtesy of A. Vilanova, TU Delft, the Netherlands.)

threshold, colored by the direction of the major eigenvector, using a directional colormap, similar to the one shown in Figures 7.6 and 7.7. Finally, to diminish occlusion but still display the context information, the isosurface is shown only for the part of the dataset located behind the slice plane. The visualization focus stays on the tensor glyphs (showing the highest-anisotropy fiber points), in the context of the shaded fiber tracks, which themselves are shown in the more general context of the brain anatomy provided by the slice and isosurface. For more details on the implementation of this focus-and-context technique, we refer to [Peeters et al. 09].

Fiber clustering. However useful, this visualization shows a large number of disjoint fibers. In the actual anatomy, fibers are grouped into bundles containing quasiparallel structures. It can be interesting to construct a visualization that mimics this behavior, as described in [O'Donnel and Westin 05]. To do this, we first define the directional similarity of two fibers as follows. Given two fibers aand b that are described as two 3D parametric curves a = a(t) and b = b(t) with $t \in [0, 1]$, we define the distance

$$d(a,b) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\|a(i/N), b\| + \|b(i/N), a\|),$$
(7.15)

i.e., as the symmetric mean distance of N of sample points on a fiber to the (closest points on) other fiber. In Equation (7.15), the expression ||p(t), q|| denotes the smallest distance between a point p(t) on a fiber and all points on the fiber q, i.e., $\min_{\tau \in [0,1]} ||p(t) - q(\tau)||$. Fibers that are parallel and closely located will yield a low distance value. The similarity is defined as the inverse of the distance. Using this distance, the tracked fibers are next clustered in order of increasing distance, i.e., from the most to the least similar, until the desired number of clusters is reached. For this, the simple bottom-up hierarchical agglomerative technique introduced earlier in Section 6.7.3 for vector fields can be used. Figure 7.11(b) shows this technique of the same set of tracked fibers as in Figure 7.11(a). Here, five user-selected clusters are shown, using a different color for the fibers in each cluster. We notice several structures in this visualization that correspond to fibers emerging from distinct regions of the *corpus callosum*.

Tracking challenges. Although similar to streamline tracing, fiber tracking poses a number of specific problems. First, tensor data acquired via the current DT-MRI scanning technology contains in practice considerable *noise* and has a sampling frequency that misses several fine-scale details. Given their size, a nonnegligible number of fibers can fall in this category. In contrast, many vector fields in the visualization practice come from numerical simulations of physical processes, where there is no acquisition noise involved. Moreover, tensors are not directly produced by the scanning device, but obtained via several preprocessing steps, of which principal component analysis is the last one. All these steps introduce extra inaccuracies in the data, which have to be accounted for. To give just an example, the PCA estimation of eigenvectors can fail if the tensor matrices are not close to being symmetric. Even if the PCA works, fiber tracking needs a strong distinction between the largest eigenvalue and the other two ones, in order to robustly determine the fiber directions. Such a distinction is missing, for example, in areas where two or more fiber bundles cross.

Fiber tracking in DT-MRI datasets is an active area of research. New techniques are being designed for better and easier definition of the seed regions, as well as more robust criteria for stopping the streamlines. New rendering techniques, such as volume rendering with data-driven opacity transfer functions, are also being developed to better convey the complex structures emerging from the tracking process. Although fiber tracking, as a term, is mainly encountered in the medical visualization arena, the techniques presented here can be used for any tensor dataset, once suitable seeding and stopping criteria have been defined.

In terms of toolkits, beside Slicer [Slicer 13] mentioned earlier in this section, the Diffusion Toolkit [Wang et al. 13] offers a powerful suite of utilities for both tracing fibers and visualizing the trace results from a wide range of DT-MRI dataset types. In contrast to Slicer, which is a more general framework for analyzing and visualizing 3D slice-based data volumes, the Diffusion Toolkit focuses on DT-MRI datasets, and thus offers more extensive and easier to use options for fiber tracking.

7.7 Illustrative Fiber Rendering

Apart from tracking variations, all visualization methods described in Section 7.6 draw the actual fibers as streamlines or stream tubes. While this approach is simple to implement and gives a "raw" view on the fiber data, it has several problems:

• Region structure: Fibers, by definition, are one-dimensional objects. However, as indicated in Section 7.3, DTI datasets contain both regions of linear and planar anisotropy. To better understand the structure of the DTI tensor field, we would like to see the former regions rendered with fibers, and the latter regions rendered as surfaces.



Figure 7.13. Illustrative rendering of a fiber dataset (a) using alpha blending (b), anisotropy-based blending (c), sprite textures (d), and depth-dependent-halos (e).

- Simplification: Densely-seeded fiber datasets can become highly cluttered. This makes it hard to discern the global structure implied by the fibers. Much like for vector fields (Section 6.7), a simplified visualization of fibers can be useful. In particular, techniques that help understanding the relative depths of fibers in a rendering are useful.
- **Context:** Fibers exist in the context of a volumetric DTI scan. As such, showing combined visualizations of fibers and tissue density can provide more insight into the spatial distribution and connectivity patterns implied by fibers.

We next present a set of simple step-by-step techniques that address the above goals.

Fiber generation. We start with a DTI volume of 128³ voxels. We next densely seed this volume and trace 150K fibers, using the Diffusion Toolkit software [Wang et al. 13]. Each resulting fiber is represented as a polyline consisting of an ordered set of 3D vertex coordinates. Figure 7.13(a) shows the resulting fibers, colored with a directional colormap. Although the volume is densely covered by fiber tracks, we clearly cannot see much structure in this image, due to occlusion.
Alpha blending. One simple step to reduce occlusion and see "inside" the fiber volume is to use additive alpha blending (Section 2.5). However, to get the expected blending results, fibers need to be sorted back-to-front as seen from the viewing angle. One simple way to do this efficiently is to transform all fiber vertices in eye coordinates, i.e., in a coordinate frame where the x- and y-axes match the screen x- and y-axes, and the z-axis is parallel to the view vector, and next to sort them based on their z value. Although sorting has to be implemented on the CPU, e.g., using the standard C++ template library, and it needs to be executed every time we change the viewing direction, this solution can deliver interactive frame rates for a few million vertices on a modern PC computer. Figure 7.13(b) shows the result for an alpha value of 0.05. Compared to the opaque rendering in Figure 7.13(a), we now see the overall and inner fiber structure much better.

Anisotropy simplification. Although alpha blending reduces occlusion and better shows the fiber-set structure, it also acts in a *global* manner. We, however, are specifically interested in regions of high anisotropy. To emphasize such regions, we next modulate the colors of the drawn fiber points by the value of the combined linear-and-planar anisotropy

$$c_a = c_l + c_p = 1 - c_s = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}$$
(7.16)

where c_l , c_p , and c_s are the linear, planar, and spherical anisotropy metrics given by Equation 7.10. Figure 7.13(c) shows the result, where we render fiber points having $c_a > 0.2$ color-coded by direction, and all other fiber points in gray. This image shows thus well the fiber subset which passes through regions of linear and/or planar anisotropy, i.e., separates interesting from less interesting fibers. Note the difference of this technique of postprocessing fibers to eliminate uninteresting regions *after* tracing from the techniques presented in Section 7.6, where we used anisotropy to select the fiber seed points. The difference is subtle, but important: Using anisotropy to cull seed points will eliminate entire fibers seeded in these regions. Using anisotropy to cull fiber fragments after tracing will eliminate only fiber fragments passing through these regions. In general, the second option represents a less aggressive culling, thus offers more chances for meaningful fiber fragments to exist in the final visualization, without having to be very precise in the selection of the anisotropy threshold used.

Illustrative rendering. A next step towards simplifying the rendering of the resulting fiber dataset is to use various existing rendering techniques for streamlines. Figure 7.13(d) shows a first option. Here, we construct stream tube-like structures around the rendered fibers. However, instead of using the 3D space stream tube algorithm presented in Section 6.5.2, we proceed here differently: We densely sample all fiber polylines, and render each resulting vertex with an OpenGL sprite primitive [Shreiner 04] that uses a small 2D texture. The texture encodes the shading profile of a sphere, i.e., is bright at the middle and dark at the border (see Figure 7.13, top-right). The size of the texture, which is 10 by 10 pixels in Figure 7.13(d), gives the apparent thickness of the emerging tubes. Compared to stream tubes, the advantage of this technique is that it is much simpler to implement, and also much faster. Indeed, rather than having to construct the complex geometry of a 3D tube surrounding each streamline, and render the resulting set of polygons, we now only render one small 2D texture per fiber vertex. Compared to stream tubes, the only disadvantage is that this technique works in image space: If we zoom in, sample points will become more far apart in screen space, thus the discrete nature of the overlapping sphere textures will become apparent. However, this problem can be easily countered by ensuring a dense enough sampling for the visualized fibers, so that the screenspace distance between two consecutive vertices on a fiber never exceeds half of the texture size.

A second option for illustrative (simplified) rendering of fiber tracks entails using the depth-dependent halos method presented for vector field streamlines in Section 6.8. Since this method only requires a set of 3D polylines, it can be directly applied to our fiber tracks. Figure 7.13(e) shows the result on our fiber dataset. As for vector field streamlines, depth-dependent halos effectively merge dense fiber regions into compact black areas, but separate fibers having different depth by a thin white halo border. Together with interactive viewpoint manipulation, this helps users in perceiving the relative depths of different fiber sets. Depth-dependent halos have several subtle differences as compared to the sprite-based visualization in Figure 7.13(d). First, halos generate a monochrome image, while sprites generate a grayscale image, the latter showing the tubular fiber structure in a more intuitive manner. However, halos effectively merge close fibers into compact, same-color regions better than sprites, thereby generating an arguably simpler visualization.

Fiber bundling. Although the illustrative rendering techniques presented above can improve the perception of depth and apparent structure present in the fiber set, we still have two problem that we cannot easily visually distinguish regions of linear and planar anisotropy from each other. This issue is due to the fact, despite the dense seed sampling used, the *local* fiber density is not easily controlled by the user, but determined by the streamline tracing algorithm. A consequence hereof is that we cannot visually classify dense fiber regions as being (a) either thick tubular fiber bundles or (b) planar anisotropy regions covered by fibers.

A first step towards addressing this problem is to geometrically simplify the structure of the fiber set. For this, we apply a clustering algorithm, as follows: Given a set of fibers, we first estimate a 3D fiber density field $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^+$, by convolving the positions of all fiber vertices, or sample points, with a 3D monotonically decaying kernel, such a Gaussian or convex parabolic function. Next, we advect each sample point upstream in the normalized gradient $\nabla \rho / \| \nabla \rho \|$ of the density field, and recompute the density ρ of the new fiber sample points. Iterating this process 10..20 times effectively shifts the fibers towards their local density maxima. In other words, this creates compact "fiber bundles" that describe groups of fibers which are locally close to each other. The bundling technique used here is essentially identical to the kernel density estimation edgebundling (KDEEB) technique presented further in Section 11.4.2 for the simplified visualization of graph datasets. The only difference is that, for fibers, we apply the bundling process in 3D space. Figure 7.14(a) shows the bundled fibers, rendered with directional color-coding, superimposed over the original unbundled fibers, rendered gray. As visible, the bundled fibers occupy much less space, thus allow a better perception of the *structure* of the brain connectivity pattern they imply. We also see how the bundled fibers are positioned close to the local density maxima of the unbundled, gray, fibers. An implementation of fiber bundling is presented in [Böttger et al. 14].

Fiber bundling can be effectively combined with the rendering techniques presented earlier in this section. Figure 7.14(b) illustrates this by rendering the bundled fibers with a sprite texture to generate rube-like structures. Here, we use a kernel of smaller radius for estimating the fiber density ρ . As visible when compared to the colored fibers in Figure 7.14(a), the bundles exhibit now a more complex, branching, structure. Still, the amount of empty space between the bundled fibers and the unbundled ones is quite large, which allows a better view inside the dataset.

However effective in reducing spatial occlusion and thereby simplifying the resulting visualization, fiber bundling suffers from two problems. First, planar anisotropy regions, such as the *corpus callosum* region that connects the left and right brain hemispheres, is reduced to a few one-dimensional bundles. This conveys the wrong impression (when visualizing the bundles) that this region consists of a few tube-like structures, rather than a 2D surface. Second, and more importantly, bundling effectively changes the positions of fibers. As such, fiber bundles should be interpreted with great care, as they illustrate only connectivity patterns, but have limited geometrical meaning.

Figure 7.14. Bundled visualizations of the fiber dataset in Figure 7.13(a). (a) Isotropic fiber bundles rendered in the context of the original fiber dataset, drawn in gray. (b) Fiber bundles rendered as tubes. (c) Anisotropic fiber bundling. (d) Fiber bundles rendered in the context of a volume-rendered CT scan, drawn in gray. (Data courtesy of T. Isenberg, INRIA, France.)

To address the first problem mentioned above, we can modify the fiber bundling algorithm as follows. Instead of using an isotropic spherical kernel to estimate the fiber density, we can use an ellipsoidal kernel, whose axes are oriented along the directions of the eigenvectors of the DTI tensor field, and scaled by the reciprocals of the eigenvalues of the same field. The shapes of the kernels used at different spatial points will now coincide with the shapes of the ellipsoid glyphs used for visualizing DTI fields which were presented in Section 7.5, with the difference that our kernels are now narrow along large eigenvectors and narrow along large eigenvectors. Besides this change, the remainder of the bundling algorithm stays the same. The effect of this modification are as follows: In linear anisotropy regions, fibers will strongly bundle towards the local density center, but barely shift in their tangent directions. In planar anisotropy regions, fibers will strongly bundle towards the implicit fiber-plane, but barely shift across this plane. Additionally, we use the values of c_l and c_p (Equation 7.10) to render the above two fiber types differently. For fiber points located in linear anisotropy regions (c_l large), we render point sprites using spherical textures, as used earlier to render tubes. For fiber points located in planar anisotropy regions (c_p large), we render 2D quads oriented perpendicular to the direction of the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue, i.e., tangent to the two underlying fiber-plane. Figure 7.14(c) shows the result. In linear anisotropy regions, located close to the fiber terminations, we see tube-like structures. In planar anisotropy regions, such as the *corpus callosum*, we effectively see a planar-like structure. Overall, the effect is to simplify the fiber-set in tubular regions, but keep the planar regions intact.

Fiber bundling is a promising direction for the generation of simplified structural visualizations of fiber tracts for DTI fields. However, as mentioned, a major objection is that bundles do not show the actual fiber positions, and thereby potentially convey erroneous anatomical insights on the brain structure. As this family of techniques is quite new, further field evaluations are required to analyze the strengths and limitations of such visualizations.

Fibers in context. As already outlined, fibers do not exist in a void, but are structures that connect parts of an anatomic structure such as a brain. It thus is natural to augment fiber visualizations by showing the anatomical *context* in which fibers exist. Figure 7.14(d) illustrates this. Here, we show fiber bundles (shown as blue-white structures) with alpha blending together with a volume rendering of a CT dataset of the same brain (shown in gray). Bundles are now much easier to place in a spatial context, i.e., we can determine which are the actual brain regions that fibers connect by correlating the structures visible in the CT rendering with the displayed fiber bundles. One added value of this technique is that the geometric distortion caused by bundling is now partially compensated by showing the original, undistorted, CT scan data.

7.8 Hyperstreamlines

In the previous section, we saw how fiber tracking can be used to visualize tensor data. Essentially, the principle of fiber tracking is based on integrating streamlines along the major eigenvector component of the tensor field, using various stop criteria determined by other derived quantities from the tensor data such as, for example, the anisotropy measure in case of DT-MRI datasets. However, fibers do not visualize directional information from the tensor field beyond the major eigenvector. As discussed in Section 7.1, this information is important, as it gives directional insight in how the tensor anisotropy varies in space. In contrast, tensor glyphs did visualize this information, but lacked, just as their vector counterparts, the spatial continuity of streamlines. The question arises whether we can enhance the streamline metaphor to visualize this additional information, i.e., combine the advantages of streamlines and tensor glyphs.

Hyperstreamlines provide an answer to this question. Their principle is quite simple. First, we perform principal component analysis as explained in Section 7.1 to decompose the tensor field into three eigenvector fields \mathbf{e}_i and three corresponding scalar eigenvalue fields $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$. Next, we construct stream tubes in the major eigenvector field \mathbf{e}_1 , just as done for the fiber tracking method described in Section 7.6. At each point along such a stream tube, we now wish to visualize the medium and minor eigenvectors \mathbf{e}_2 and \mathbf{e}_3 . For this, instead of using a circular cross section of constant size and shape, as we did for the fiber tracking, we now use an elliptic cross section, whose axes are oriented along the directions of the medium and minor eigenvectors \mathbf{e}_2 and \mathbf{e}_3 and scaled by λ_2 and λ_3 , respectively.

Figure 7.15 illustrates this process for a hyperstreamline traced between two points A and B in a tensor field. The local thickness of the hyperstreamlines



Figure 7.15. Hyperstreamline construction. The major, medium, and minor eigenvectors at the hyperstreamline's start and end points A and B are depicted in blue, red, and green, respectively. The streamline of the major eigenvector field \mathbf{e}_1 is drawn dashed.



Figure 7.16. DT-MRI brain dataset visualized with hyperstreamlines colored by direction. (Image courtesy of A. Vilanova, TU Delft, the Netherlands.)

gives the absolute values of the tensor eigenvalues, whereas the ellipse shape indicates their relative values as well as the orientation of the eigenvector frame along a streamline. Circular cross sections indicate that the medium and minor eigenvalues are equal. If we want to show the value of the major eigenvalue, we can encode it as color.

Figure 7.16 shows the usage of hyperstreamlines in a diffusion tensor imaging (DT-MRI) brain dataset. Several hyperstreamlines are seeded at a number of locations in the dataset, following the techniques described for fiber tracking in Section 7.6. However, instead of tracing stream tubes of circular cross section, we now use hyperstreamlines. Color indicates the local direction of the hyperstreamlines, following the technique discussed in Section 7.4. Tracing the hyperstreamlines is stopped when the local anisotropy falls below a certain threshold. This is visible in the fact that some hyperstreamlines end in large, funnel-like, structures. At these points, the eigenvalues corresponding to the medium and minor eigenvectors are relatively large, so the anisotropy is low, denoting a less pronounced fiber structure.

Several variations of this construction are possible. Any of the three eigenvectors can be used for the hyperstreamline direction. Besides ellipses, other shapes can be used for the cross section. For example, we can use a cross whose

arms are scaled and rotated to represent the medium and minor eigenvectors. In general, hyperstreamlines provide better visualizations than tensor glyphs. However, just as for the standard streamlines, appropriate seed points and hyperstreamline lengths must be chosen to appropriately cover the domain, which can be a delicate process. Moreover, scaling the cross sections must be done with care, in order to avoid overly thick hyperstreamlines that cause occlusion or even self-intersection. For this, we can use the same size scaling techniques as for vector and tensor glyphs (see Section 6.2).

7.9 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have presented a number of methods for visualizing tensor data. Starting from a 2D or 3D dataset containing 2×2 or 3×3 tensor matrices at each sample point that typically contains second-order partial derivatives of some quantity, we use principal component analysis (PCA) to extract the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the tensor data. These describe the directions of extremal variation of the quantity encoded by the tensor. These directions are independent of the coordinate frame in which the partial derivatives contained in the tensor matrix have been computed. In many applications, these directions have a particular meaning, so they are a prime input for the visualization.

Tensor data van be visualized by reducing it to one scalar or vector field, which is then depicted by specific scalar or vector visualization techniques. These scalar or vector fields can be the direct outputs of the PCA analysis (eigenvalues and eigenvectors) or derived quantities, such as various anisotropy metrics. Alternatively, tensors can be visualized by displaying several of the PCA results combined in the same view, such as done by the tensor glyphs or hyperstreamlines.

Tensor visualization is an active, growing research area. Many visualization methods have emerged that target particular application areas that have specific questions, such as clinical investigations of DT-MRI medical datasets. These visualization methods often integrate more datasets in one single view, apart from the tensor information, and also provide sophisticated user interaction mechanisms for exploring the datasets, such as selecting regions of interest, adjusting color transfer functions, and controlling the various parameters of the visualization process. For more detailed information on these tools and techniques, we refer to the documentation of the tools themselves [Kindlmann 06, Slicer 13, Schroeder et al. 06, National Library of Medicine 14]. This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 8

Domain-Modeling Techniques

D^{OMAIN-MODELING} techniques form the last class of visualization techniques. By domain-modeling techniques, we mean those operations on datasets that modify the sampling domain representation (e.g., the grid) but not the sampled data. As we shall see, domain-modeling techniques *can* modify the actual values of the data attributes stored on a given grid, for example in the case of resampling the data on a different grid. However, this modification does not change the reconstructed function, so the *meaning* of the data attributes stays the same, even though their internal representation may change. In this chapter, we shall present a number of different modeling techniques: cutting (Section 8.1), selection (Section 8.2), constructing grids from scattered points (Section 8.3), and grid-processing techniques (Section 8.4).

8.1 Cutting

Cutting methods are domain-modeling techniques that map the data from a given source domain to a target subdomain. Consider some function f defined on a domain D. Given a domain $D' \in \mathbb{D}$, how can we compute the restriction of f to D'? Let us now consider that f on D is represented by a sampled "source" dataset $\mathcal{D}_s = (\{p_i\}, \{c_i\}, \{f_i\}, \{\Phi_i\})$, as described in Section 3.3. Cutting the domain D with the domain D' means, essentially, resampling f from D to D'. This implies creating a new "target" dataset $\mathcal{D}'_s = (\{p'_i\}, \{c'_i\}, \{\Phi'_i\})$, as

user to say where to resample the source dataset. The attribute values $\{f'_i\}$ are computed by sampling the reconstructed function \tilde{f} of the source dataset at the locations p'_i of the target dataset, using Equation (3.2). The cutting operation has several properties. First, the target domain is assumed to be a subset of the source domain. More exactly, we assume the points $\{p'_i\}$ of the target dataset to be contained in the cells $\{c_i\}$ of the source dataset. Since we use convex cells in our datasets (see Section 3.4), this means that all cells $\{c'_i\}$ in the target dataset are also contained in the cells $\{c_i\}$ of the source dataset. We never attempt to evaluate the source dataset outside its sampling domain, hence the name "cutting." A second property of cutting is that the dimensionality of the source and target datasets, and hence the interpolation functions Φ_i and Φ'_i of the two, need not be the same. The only restriction is that the target dataset is of equal or lower dimensionality than the source dataset, so that the latter can be a subset of the former. For example, this means that we can cut a 3D volume with another three-dimensional (3D) volume, a 2D curved surface, or a 1D curve.

The implementation complexity and efficiency of the cutting operation, however, depends strongly on the way we wish to define the cutting dataset. We will now present some of the most widely used variants of the cutting operation: extracting a brick, slicing, cutting with an implicit function, and generalized cutting.

8.1.1 Extracting a Brick

Extracting a brick, also called *bricking* or extracting a volume of interest (VOI), is a cutting operation that produces a target dataset with the same dimensionality as the source dataset. Moreover, the target grid points are a subset of the source grid points, $\{p'_i\} \in \{p_i\}$. Bricking takes advantage of the regular structure of sample points in uniform, structured, and rectilinear grids to efficiently implement the cutting operation. Recall from Section 3.5 that uniform, structured, and rectilinear grids arrange their sample points in a regular axis-aligned lattice. For a *d*-dimensional dataset, we can identify every sample point by *d* integers n_1, \ldots, n_d , called *structured coordinates*. Hence, we can easily specify the target domain as an axis-aligned "brick" contained in the source dataset, defined by its minimum and maximum integer coordinates $(m_1, M_1), \ldots, (m_d, M_d)$, where $1 < m_i < M_i < n_i$ for all $i \in [1, d]$. This set of structured coordinates is called the *brick extent*. Implementing bricking is now very simple: Given a dataset



Figure 8.1. (a) Brick extraction. (b) Selection of cells with scalar value above 50.

that has a uniform, structured, or rectilinear grid, we produce a new dataset that has the same grid type. In the target dataset, we copy all points, cells, and corresponding data attributes that fall within the specified brick extent. Note that when we use this definition of bricking, the extracted object extent is parallel with the dataset extent and not the coordinate axes, and the extracted brick contains only whole cells. Consider, for example, the case of the structured grids shown in Figure 3.10.

Figure 8.1(a) shows a brick extracted from a volumetric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of a human head. The dataset extent is shown by the wireframe and the brick surface is color-mapped with the scalar values at the respective points of the extracted brick.

8.1.2 Slicing in Structured Datasets

Slicing is a cutting operation that is very similar to bricking. Given a uniform, rectilinear, or structured grid, we define a *slice* as all grid points that have one of the structured integer coordinates n_1, \ldots, n_d equal. Extracting a slice can be seen as a bricking operation where the brick extent $(m_1, M_1), \ldots, (m_d, M_d)$ is equal to the grid extent for d-1 of the dimensions, except for the slicing axis s, where $m_s = M_s$. Slicing a d-dimensional dataset generates a d-1 dimensional dataset. As explained in Chapter 3 where we introduced the geometrical and topological dimensions of a dataset, this means that slicing creates cells of a lower dimension, but whose vertices are points in the same three-dimensional space as



Figure 8.2. Slicing with planes perpendicular to the *x*-axis (left), *y*-axis (middle), and z-axis (right).

the source dataset. The most common type of slicing is extracting a set of planar cells, or a slice, from a volumetric dataset, hence the name "slicing." Just as bricking, slicing is simple to implement: We iterate over all the sample points in the slice, in order of the structured coordinates $n_i, i \neq s$ that span the slice. Since our source dataset has a uniform, rectilinear, or structured grid, these integer coordinates directly correspond to d-1-dimensional cells on the slice itself. In the target dataset, we save the sample points and the d-1-dimensional cells on the slice plane, as well as their data attributes. In case of cell attributes, we must create these, since we also created the cells. We can do this easily by using the method of converting from point to cell attributes presented in Section 3.9.1.

The most common use of slicing is to extract 2D datasets from 3D volumes, and then visualize the extracted slices by one of the 2D visualization methods, such as color mapping or isolines for scalar data or streamlines for vector data. Figure 8.2 shows this technique applied to three slices perpendicular to the x-, y-, and z-axes of the same MRI scan uniform dataset as the one used in Figure 8.1. All three slices are taken at the middle of the respective axes of the dataset. In medical imaging, slices with these orientations are also called *sagittal*, *axial*, and *coronal* slices.

If desired, slicing can reduce the dimensionality of the dataset by more than one dimension at a time. For example, by extracting all points that share two integer coordinates from a structured dataset, we obtain a curve parallel to one of the integer coordinate axes. Slicing works also in higher dimensions. For example, extracting a time step from a 4D time-dependent volumetric dataset is equivalent to slicing the dataset with respect to the time axis.

8.1.3 Implicit Function Cutting

Slicing is a powerful and widely used visualization technique, especially when one wants to quickly browse through a large, high-dimensional dataset, without having to resort to slower, more complex visualization methods. However, slicing, as described previously, is limited to structured topology datasets. Moreover, even for such datasets, slicing limits the extraction to subsets spanned by the dataset's integer coordinate axes.

We can generalize the slicing concept that reduces the topological dimensionality of a dataset to different subspaces than those spanned by integer coordinates in structured datasets. One way to do this is to cut an arbitrary dataset with a given lower-dimensional domain. A simple, yet powerful way to specify the cutting domain is to use *implicit functions*. Given some function $\phi : D \to \mathbb{R}$, where D is the domain of the source dataset, we define the target, or cutting, domain as all points $p \in D$ for which $\phi(p) = 0$. To cut the source dataset, we now proceed as follows. First, we compute a scalar dataset \mathcal{D}_{cut} that has the same grid as the source dataset and that evaluates ϕ . Second, we compute a contour of \mathcal{D}_{cut} for the value zero. As explained in Section 5.3, this yields an unstructured grid. This grid is our sampled representation of the cutting domain. Finally, we resample the source dataset attributes on this unstructured grid, using one of the available forms of interpolation (e.g., constant or linear), and we obtain the desired result.

Cutting with implicit functions generalizes the axis-aligned slicing presented in Section 8.1.2. Indeed, if we consider the implicit equation of a plane Ax + By + Cz + D = 0 with appropriate coefficients A, B, C, and D, we immediately obtain axis-aligned slice planes, at least for uniform and rectilinear grids. By changing the coefficients, we can obtain slice planes oriented at arbitrary angles with no added difficulty. Cutting through 3D volumes using more complex surfaces, such as spheres, cylinders, quadrics, or ellipsoids, is also trivial. Finally, we can now cut through all types of datasets, including unstructured ones. The added cost of implicit function slicing resides in the computation of the implicit function isosurface, which we did not need for the simpler case of slicing uniform datasets.

8.1.4 Generalized Cutting

We can generalize the cutting technique presented in the previous sections by allowing a target dataset of arbitrary definition. Instead of using a target dataset defined by structured coordinates or an implicit function, we can use an arbitrary grid, as long as its cells are contained in the source dataset. In this case, the cutting operation is identical to the last part of the implicit function cutting procedure described previously. The source attributes are interpolated at the locations of the target grid vertices (if the target dataset uses linear interpolation) or target grid cell centers (if the target dataset uses constant interpolation).

8.2 Selection

In contrast to cutting, which projects the values of a source dataset to a target domain, *selection* methods extract the data from a source dataset based on data properties. Cutting enforces various geometrical and/or topological properties on the target domain, since the target grid is specified by the user, but cannot explicitly enforce any properties on the data values, as these are fully specified by the source dataset. In contrast, selection explicitly specifies which data values we are interested in, but cannot enforce, in general, a certain topology and/or geometry of the shape or connectivity of the extracted dataset domain.

In the most general case, selection produces just a set of sample points and/or cells from the source dataset for which the data-based selection criterion holds. The simplest variant of selection produces a domain D' that contains just the sample points whose data values meet the selection criterion

$$D' = \{ p \in D | s(p) = true \}.$$

$$(8.1)$$

Here, $s : D \to \mathbb{B}$ is a boolean function representing the user-specified selection operation based on the attributes of the point p.

Selecting cells. If we wish to extract cells, there are several ways to apply the selection criterion on a cell. A cell can meet the selection criterion if one of its vertices, all vertices, or its center point meet the selection criterion as defined for a point. The one-vertex criterion produces more cells, essentially selecting cells that are neighbors of the ones produced by the all-vertex selection criterion. The center point criterion is equivalent to applying the one-point selection criterion on a slightly different sampling grid. If cells are selected in the output dataset, we assume these to have the same interpolation functions as in the input dataset, since we just copied them from the input dataset. If only points are selected in the output dataset, we actually create a scattered dataset (see Section 3.9.2). Finally, the output dataset is assumed to have the same interpolation functions as the input dataset, since it is essentially just a subset of the input points and/or cells.

Since selection generally yields an arbitrary subset of points and cells from the input dataset, its output is an unstructured grid. Implementing selection is relatively simple: Depending on what we want to select (points or cells), we iterate over all the input dataset's points or cells, apply the selection criterion, and copy the elements that pass the criterion to the output dataset, including their data attributes as well.

Thresholding, segmentation, and contouring. Many types of selection criteria are used in visualization applications. Selection based on the scalar value matching a given target value s_0 produces results related to the contouring operation, as explained later. Selection based on the scalar value being larger or equal (or smaller or equal) than a given threshold s_0 produces one (or more, depending on the data monotonicity) compact subsets of the input dataset, also called *threshold sets*. Such an operation is also known as *thresholding* or *segmentation*. A variant of segmentation tests the scalar value against a given value range [s_{\min}, s_{\max}]. Segmentation is discussed in more detail in Chapter 9 in the context of imageprocessing algorithms. In addition to using the scalar values themselves, one can use their derivatives, too. In the case of scalar values that represent the luminance, or intensity, of an image, selecting data points based on the derivative values is related to edge-detection methods (see Section 9.3). Similar selection methods can be designed that use vector, color, and tensor data attributes, depending on the data at hand and application type.

Finally, let us mention that selection can also involve other properties than the data attributes of the current point. Selection methods that implement Equation (8.1) are essentially *local* methods, in the sense that they treat each point or cell of the dataset separately. On one hand, this is advantageous, as it lets us implement such methods simply by designing different types of local selection functions $s : D \to \mathbb{B}$. Moreover, such selection methods can be easily parallelized, as they treat all data points independently. However, in some cases, we are interested in selection criteria that have a quasiglobal or global nature. This means, on one hand, that the selection criterion needs to check more points together to determine whether they pass or fail the test. On the other hand, the selection will output all these points as a set instead of separately.

Such a nonlocal selection function can be described as a function $s : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, where $s(D) = D' \subset D$ is the result of the selection applied on the domain D. Nonlocal selection operations occur when we must enforce the connectivity of the resulting domain D'. For example, consider the operation "select all *connected components* $D'_i \subset D$ from an input domain D where the scalar values exceed some threshold s_{\min} and whose size $|D'_i|$ exceeds some minimal size τ_{\min} ." This operation essentially enhances basic thresholding with a connectivity and minimal size condition on the resulting subsets. Implementing the minimal-size connected components operation is described later in Section 9.4.

Figure 8.1(b) shows a selection of all cells from our sample MRI dataset whose scalar values are greater than or equal to 50. Data values in such an MRI scan correspond to different types of tissue. In our case, a value of 50 roughly corresponds to skin tissue, while greater values correspond to denser tissues, such as muscles or bone, hence the result of the selection shown in the image.

Selection is related to the contouring operation (see Section 5.3). Indeed, selecting all cells in a dataset whose data values are equal to a given target value τ is conceptually equivalent to producing a piecewise constant approximation of the contour at value τ . In other words, the contour is approximated by a set of cells, which gives it the blocky appearance visible in Figure 8.1(b). The marching squares and marching cubes algorithms discussed in Section 5.3 will compute the same isosurface, but use a piecewise linear approximation. In other words, the contour is approximated by a set of planes (in 3D) or lines (in 2D). Comparing Figures 8.1(b) and 5.17(d), the difference in quality of the two approximations is obvious.

8.3 Grid Construction from Scattered Points

In Section 3.9.2, we described the use of scattered point interpolation as an alternative to grids for reconstructing a piecewise continuous function from sampled data. Gridless methods are attractive when one has to manipulate datasets that contain very large numbers of unstructured point samples, which have a rather high point density. One of the uses of gridless interpolation is to render surfaces represented as 3D dense point clouds. However attractive, gridless methods have also several drawbacks: They trade the grid storage and management for storing and managing some type of spatial search structure for neighboring sample points, and they use radial basis functions that are computationally more expensive compared to piecewise linear basis functions. Moreover, most visualization software packages would require the data to be in a grid-based representation of one of the standard dataset types (see Section 3.3) before it can be processed by the available algorithms. Direct support for processing and visualizing data in gridless representations is not frequent. In such cases, constructing a grid from the scattered point set is a better alternative.

There are several methods that construct grids from scattered points. These differ in the assumptions they make about the original signal the sample points are coming from, the dimension they work in, and the type of cells they produce. We will now present several such methods.

8.3.1 Triangulation Methods

Triangulation methods are probably the most-used class of methods for constructing grids from scattered points. Given a set of points p_i (sometimes also called *sites*), a triangulation method produces a grid (p_i, c_i) by generating a set of cells c_i that have the sample points p_i as vertices. The cells c_i form a tiling of the *convex hull* of the point set $\{p_i\}$. In other words, triangulation methods produce a grid that samples a domain D identical to the convex hull of the triangulated point set.

Delaunay triangulations. The best-known triangulation method is the *Delaunay* algorithm [de Berg et al. 00]. This method generates triangular cells c_i for a set of 2D points $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and tetrahedra for a set of 3D points $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$. A Delaunay triangulation of a point set consists of a set of triangles that covers the convex hull of the point set. An important property of a Delaunay triangulation is that no point from the input point set $\{p_i\}$ lies in the circumscribed circle of any triangle in the triangulation. Triangulations that obey this property are called conforming Delaunay triangulations. Given a set of scattered points with data values recorded at the point locations, using the Delaunay triangulation is the most "natural" way to create a \mathcal{C}^1 , piecewise linear, interpolation of the data values over the convex hull of the points. To do this, we define piecewise linear basis functions over the triangles contained in the unstructured grid generated by the Delaunay triangulation, and use these functions to interpolate the vertex data values, as explained in Section 3.3. Figure 8.3(a) shows a Delaunay triangulation of a random point cloud containing 600 points. The point density is higher in the center, which causes the creation of smaller triangles in that area. Another example of Delauney triangulation is shown in Figure 3.12 (middle).

Voronoi diagrams. For every Delaunay triangulation, there exists an associated geometric structure called a *Voronoi diagram*. A Voronoi diagram consists of a set of convex polygonal cells in 2D and polyhedral cells in 3D, respectively. The vertices of the Voronoi cells are the centers of the circumscribed circles of the triangles present in the associated Delaunay triangulation. The edges of the Voronoi cells are line segments contained in the lines perpendicular to, and passing through, the midpoints of the edges of the triangles present in the associated Delaunay triangulation. The centers of the voronoi cells are the vertices of the Delaunay triangulation. The centers of the Voronoi cells are the vertices of the Delaunay triangulation, i.e., the given scattered points. Figure 8.3(b) shows the



Figure 8.3. (a) Delaunay triangulation and (b) Voronoi diagram of a random point cloud. (c) Angle-constrained and (d) area-constrained Delaunay triangulations.

Voronoi diagram of the same point set whose Delaunay triangulation is given in Figure 8.3(a).

Every location x in a Voronoi diagram is included in the Voronoi cell that has as center the closest point p in the input point set $\{p_i\}$. Hence, Voronoi diagrams can be used to quickly find the closest point p from a given scattered point set to a given test location x. Note that the Voronoi cells corresponding to vertices on the convex hull of the input point set are unbounded, as they contain all points in the 2D plane that are closest to every point on the input's convex hull. Voronoi diagrams are a natural way to create a C^0 , piecewise constant, interpolation of data values sampled at the scattered points, where the supports of the piecewise constant basis functions are the Voronoi cells themselves. However, Voronoi diagrams are not frequently used in practice to produce piecewise constant data approximations, since the Voronoi cells can be n-sided polygons in general, as compared to the simpler triangles of a Delaunay triangulation.

Variation of the basic techniques. Several variations of the basic Delaunay triangulation idea exist. Angle-constrained triangulations enforce the triangle angles to lie within a given range $[\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\max}]$. For many applications, the approximation quality of a triangle grid is directly related to the triangle shapes and, consequently, to their angles. Triangles with angles close to 60 degrees provide a higher approximation quality, hence the use of angle-constrained triangles. Figure 8.3(c) shows a triangulation of the same point set as in Figure 8.3(a), where all angles lie between 20 and 140 degrees. To satisfy this constraint, 361 extra points, the *Steiner points* (drawn in yellow), are added to the original 600 points (drawn in red).

Area-constrained triangulations enforce a maximum triangle area and are useful in creating a sampling of a given domain with a user-specified density, which is in turn useful for representing signals with nonuniform variation with a minimal number of sample points, as explained in Chapter 3. Figure 8.3(d) shows the area-constrained triangulation of the same point set as discussed previously. Similar to the angle constraint, the minimal area constraint forces the creation of 1272 extra (Steiner) points. The original point set is colored in red, while the extra points are colored in yellow. In addition to angle and area constraints, geometric constraints can be used too. For example, the triangulation can be forced to cover the inside area of a specified convex or concave polygon whose vertices are part of the input point set, instead of covering the entire convex hull of the input point set. This triangulation variant is useful in automatically creating unstructured grids for domains with complex shapes and boundaries.

In the previous examples, we have used only the Euclidean metric to define the closest-point notion that underlies the construction of Voronoi diagrams and corresponding Delaunay triangulations. Variants of these diagrams can be obtained if we use other metrics. The additively weighted Euclidean metric, where the distance to every site p_i is biased by some constant value w_i , yields the Johnson-Mehl diagrams whose cell edges are hyperbolic arcs, describing the growth of crystal cells from a set of given seed sites [Okabe et al. 92]. The multiplicatively weighted Euclidean metric, where the distance to every site p_i is multiplied by some constant value w_i , yields the Apollonius diagrams whose cell edges are circle arcs, which are used to model plant cell growth, the tree coverage of areas in forests, and areas of best reception for radio transmitters [Sakamoto and Takagi 88]. Voronoi diagrams based on the Manhattan distance $d(p,q) = ||p_x - q_x|| + ||p_y - q_y||$ are used to model coverage areas of sites such as fire or police stations in cities where the distances are measured on a Cartesian grid.

Implementation. Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagram generation are involved topics, whose details are beyond the scope of this book. For definitions of and results involving Delaunay triangulations, constrained and conforming versions thereof, and other aspects of triangular mesh generation, see the excellent survey by Bern and Eppstein [Bern and Eppstein 92].

Implementing robust, efficient, and scalable algorithms for these mesh generation methods is a complex task. Fortunately, several high-quality software implementations for Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagram computation are available in the open-source arena, such as the Triangle mesh generator [Shewchuk 06, Shewchuk 02]. Triangle provides a rich set of Delaunay triangulation algorithms, including the conforming and area, angle, and geometry constrained variants, as well as the computation of Voronoi diagrams, and is capable of triangulating hundreds of thousands of input points in a few seconds and with high precision on a modern PC. All examples presented in this section are computed with the Triangle software. Another high-quality open-source library providing Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagram operations is the Gnu Triangulated Surface Library (GTS) [GTS 13]. The interface of the GTS library is relatively more complex than that of the Triangle library. However, the GTS library offers many extra features, such as set operations on surfaces, multiresolution surface representation capabilities, and kd-trees for fast point location.

8.3.2 Surface Reconstruction and Rendering

A particular use of scattered-point interpolation is to render a 3D surface that is sampled by a point cloud. This task consists of two steps. First, we must specify which is the actual surface that the given point cloud approximates. Second, we must render this surface. We next discuss both steps briefly.

For every point in the point cloud, we assume we have three pieces of information: the point's location p_i , the surface normal \mathbf{n}_i at that location, and the average distance R_i to the neighboring points on the surface at that location. The question is: how to construct a surface \tilde{S} that sufficiently approximates the original surface S that the point cloud samples? In the following sections, we describe several approaches for this. Using radial basis functions. We approach this goal by first using 3D radial basis functions (RBFs) to construct a function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{i} \Phi(T_i^{-1}(x)), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$
(8.2)

where Φ is the reference RBF in 3D and T_i^{-1} is the world-to-reference system coordinate transform for sample point p_i . Both Φ and T^{-1} , as well as the concept of RBFs, are detailed in Section 3.9.2.

If our basis functions $\phi_i = \Phi(T_i^{-1}(x))$ satisfy the partition of unity property (see Equation (3.5)) over the entire domain defined by \mathcal{S} , but not outside this domain, then the actual surface \mathcal{S} is the isosurface $\tilde{f}(x) = 1$ of the function \tilde{f} .

We can reconstruct the surface \tilde{S} by first computing a 3D volumetric dataset that samples the function \tilde{f} and then extracting and rendering the isosurface $\tilde{f} = 1$, using the marching cubes algorithm (see Section 5.3). However, this has several disadvantages. First, we must explicitly compute, and possibly store, the 3D dataset that samples \tilde{f} . Second, we must extract the isosurface, using, e.g., the marching cubes algorithm (see Section 5.3), and store it as a separate 3D unstructured mesh. This is expensive from both computational and memory viewpoints. Third, the basis functions we use must satisfy the partition of unity property. As discussed in Section 3.9.2, this is not the case unless the sample points in the point set are equally spaced or special normalization measures are taken. The last problem can be alleviated by using different ways to define the function \tilde{f} whose isosurface we want. A final problem is that the extracted isosurface will actually give a *double* surface representation, since our function \tilde{f} in Equation (8.2) is symmetric, i.e., does not distinguish between the inside and outside of the surface.

Using signed distance functions. A refinement of the previous method is to use a signed distance function. One of the first methods to do this was proposed by Hoppe et al. [Hoppe et al. 92] and works as follows. For every point p_i in the point cloud, we compute a tangent plane \mathcal{T}_i that approximates our surface S in the neighborhood of p_i . The plane \mathcal{T}_i is defined by its center c_i and normal \mathbf{n}_i . Computing \mathcal{T}_i can be done as follows. For every point p_i in the point set, we determine a neighbor set $N_i = \{p_j | kR_i \ge \|p_j - p_i\|\}$ that contains all neighbors of p_i closer than a fraction k of the support radius R_i of p_i . Next, we compute \mathcal{T}_i as the plane that minimizes the sum of the squared distances $\sum_{p \in N_i} d(p, \mathcal{T}_i)^2$ to the points in N_i . It can be shown that the center c_i is the centroid of the points in N_i :

$$c_i = \frac{\sum_{p \in N_i} p}{|N_i|},\tag{8.3}$$



Figure 8.4. Scattered point cloud (left) and surface reconstruction with isosurface (right). (Data courtesy of H. Hoppe [Hoppe et al. 92].)

where $|N_i|$ denotes the number of points in the neighbor set N_i , and the normal \mathbf{n}_i of \mathcal{T}_i is the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue of the 3 × 3 covariance matrix of the points $p \in N_i$:

$$A = (a_{jk}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$
(8.4)

with the elements

$$a_{jk} = \frac{\sum_{p \in N_i} (p^j - c_i^j)(p^k - c_i^k)}{|N_i| - 1}.$$
(8.5)

Here, p^j denotes the *j*th component, or coordinate, of point *p*. Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices was discussed in Chapter 7.

Once we have the tangent planes \mathcal{T}_i , we define a function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\tilde{f}(x)$ is the signed distance between a given point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and the tangent plane \mathcal{T}_i at the point p_i closest to x:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = (x - c_i) \cdot \mathbf{n}_i. \tag{8.6}$$

Finally, our desired surface \tilde{S} is simply the isosurface $\tilde{f} = 0$ of the preceding function. Figure 8.4 illustrates this reconstruction process using signed distance functions for a point cloud of 12,772 points representing a mannequin head.



Figure 8.5. Mesh reconstruction from scattered points with local triangulations.

Local triangulations. Another class of methods constructs an unstructured triangle mesh from a scattered point set by performing local 2D Delaunay triangulations [Linsen and Prautzsch 01, Clarenz et al. 04]. These methods work as follows (see also Figure 8.5). First, we compute a tangent plane \mathcal{T}_i for every point p_i , using its neighbor set N_i , as described previously. Next, we project the points in N_i on \mathcal{T}_i and compute the 2D Delaunay triangulation $\mathcal{T}ri$ of these projections, as described earlier in this section. Next, we add to our mesh those triangles that have p_i as a vertex, i.e., the triangle fan around p_i . Figure 8.13 shows an application of this method for two different point clouds. Although this method is not guaranteed to produce a consistent triangle mesh, since it treats every point p_i separately, it usually produces meshes with no defects such as holes or intersecting triangles. Also, this method is more memory efficient and computationally faster than the isosurface-based method first described, as it does not need to compute a volumetric distance field first. For a point cloud of P points in total and N points in an average neighbor set N_i , we need to perform P 2D Delaunay triangulations of N points each. For most point clouds, values of Nranging between 10 and 50 points give a good compromise between tangent plane stability and geometric noise elimination (which requires larger neighborhoods) and surface feature preservation (which requires smaller neighborhoods).

Multiple local triangulations. The local triangulation method presented above assumes that the point cloud accurately approximates a single surface without self-intersections. However, this is not always the case. Depending on their creation process, point clouds may contain *outlier noise*, or points which do not actually belong to the sampling of an actual surface. Where present, outliers will corrupt the estimation of the tangent plane \mathcal{T}_i may be inaccurate. Additionally,

point clouds may sample a set of intersecting surfaces. In intersection regions, we need to construct several, rather than a single, tangent plane \mathcal{T}_i .

Both above problems can be handled if we allow our algorithm to accommodate several surfaces that pass through a point, and in the same time select the most likely such surfaces. For this, we can proceed as follows. Given a point p_i , we first compute its neighbor set N_i , as described previously. Next, we construct all triangles $T = \{t_i\}$ that joint points in N_i and have p_i as vertex. In contrast to the earlier triangulation method, this is not guaranteed to produce triangles that lie in the same plane. Next, we find the most likely orientations of surface fragments approximated by N_i , by grouping triangles in T that have similar normals. This can be done by a simple bottom-up hierarchical agglomerative clustering of the dataset formed by the triangle normal vectors. The emerging triangle clusters, or patches π_i , represent all surfaces that pass through N_i . If, in this process, a point p_i yields only small patches π_i containing just a few triangles, then p_i is classified as outlier and removed. The resulting large patches π_i are finally joined into whole triangulated surfaces S_i by using a flood-fill process that groups overlapping patches (which share common triangles) in order of their orientation similarity.

Figure 8.6 shows the results of this method. Black dots in Figure 8.6(a) show the input point cloud which contains many noisy outliers. The several surfaces reconstructed by the triangulation method are shown half-transparent.



Figure 8.6. Segmentation and reconstruction of intersecting surfaces from noisy point clouds.

As visible, the method can capture surfaces with complex topologies, such as the outer and inner (cavity) surfaces shown by our model. Figure 8.6(b) shows the reconstructed outer surface for the same input cloud. Each color indicates a different surface component found by the method. As visible, noise points are excluded from the reconstruction. Figure 8.6(c) shows the reconstruction of a medial point cloud, or surface skeleton, of a shoulder-blade bone, also called a *scapula* (see Section 9.4.8 for a detailed discussion of surface skeletons). As in the first example, noisy outliers are excluded from the reconstruction, and several smooth surface components are found. As such, this local triangulation method can serve not just for surface reconstruction, but also for point cloud segmentation and noisy outlier removal tasks.

Alpha shapes. Another surface reconstruction method for unoriented point sets is provided by *alpha shapes* [Edelsbrunner et al. 83]. The intuition behind alpha shapes can be best described by Edelsbrunner's carving analogy: Assume that the 2D or 3D space containing our point set P is filled up with ice cream, and we have a spherical spoon of radius α , we first carve out all ice cream we can without removing, or touching, any point. The resulting shape will have a boundary composed of spherical pieces (in 3D) or circle arcs (in 2D). The alpha shape corresponding to P is obtained by straightening out these curved parts into line segments (in 2D), respectively triangles (in 3D).

Alpha shapes offer a generalization of the notion of *hull*, or envelope, of a set of points representing a branching structure. Figure 8.7 illustrates this for a 2D point set P.¹ Here, points are rendered in yellow, and the circles corresponding



Figure 8.7. Alpha shapes of a 2D point cloud.

 $^{^1 {\}rm Images}$ generated with the publicly available alpha shape software at http://cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~godfried/teaching/projects97/belair/alpha.html.



Figure 8.8. Alpha shapes of a 3D point cloud.

to the closest locations of our "carving spoon" to the point set P are rendered in blue. For a value of $\alpha = \infty$, we obtain the result in Figure 8.7(a). The spoon is now a half-space, thus we cannot carve inside any concavity of P. Consequently, the obtained alpha shape equals the convex hull of P. As we reduce the circle radius α , increasingly less shallow concavities are captured by the alpha shape (Figure 8.7(b). However, as visible, we cannot carve between the closely-located branches in the top of the shape. Reducing α even further yields the alpha shape in Figure 8.7(c). The shape now captures most of the concavities visible in P. However, points on the perceived boundary of the shape implied by P which lie farther apart than α will also get disconnected, as the circle can pass through them to the interior. When $\alpha = 0$, all space between the points can be carved out, so the corresponding alpha shape is the point set P itself.

Figure 8.8 shows two alpha shapes computed for two different α values from a point cloud obtained from a 3D scanner. The left image, obtained for a low α value, gives a good surface reconstruction of the point set. However, as visible in the zoom-in detail, stitches appear close to concave edges, where the α sphere cannot penetrate. The right image, obtained for a higher α value, shows clearly how these stitches fill up a larger part of the point set's concavities.

Alpha shapes can be an attractive and simple-to-use surface reconstruction method for unoriented point clouds which have a regular sampling density. Robust and easy-to-use implementations of 2D and 3D alpha shapes is provided by the C++ Computational Geometry Algorithms Library (CGAL) [CGAL 13]. Note, however, that not all points in the cloud are guaranteed to be contained in the resulting surface (that is, the boundary of the alpha shape). This follows the hull metaphor provided by alpha shapes, which compute an envelope of the input points, and not a surface passing through all points. In particular, sharp convexities or concavities can get smoothed out. Also, for nonuniform point clouds, choosing a suitable α value that yields the desired surface, can be challenging. However, for situations where we are not interested to compute an *exact* surface approximating a point set, but an envelope thereof which captures concavities better than the convex hull, alpha shapes are a good solution. Such an example is discussed later in Section 11.4.2.

Ball pivoting. A different technique to reconstruct 3D surfaces from oriented point clouds is *ball pivoting* [Bernardini et al. 99]. The principle of the method is simple: Given an oriented cloud with points $X = \{x_i\}$ and normals $N = \{\mathbf{n}_i\}$, and a fixed radius value ρ , we first find a *seed* triangle T_{seed} having points in Xsuch that a 3D ball B_{ρ} of radius ρ touching these points contains no other data points. The edges of T_{seed} are added to a so-called expanding front F and T_{seed} is added to the reconstructed mesh M, respectively. Next, we iteratively pivot a ball B_{ρ} around each edge $e = (p_1, p_2) \in F$ so that B_{ρ} touches p_1 and p_2 . If the pivoting ball hits another point $q \in X$, we distinguish three cases, as follows.

- **1.** If $q \notin M$, we grow F and M to include $T' = (p_1, p_2, q)$, i.e., remove e from F and add (p_1, q) and (p_2, q) to F and T' to M, respectively.
- **2.** If $q \in M \setminus F$, i.e., q is an interior vertex of M, we skip adding T' to M (otherwise we would create a non-manifold reconstruction at q) and e becomes a boundary edge for M.
- **3.** If $q \in F$, we perform the operations from case (1), and also check F to remove identical edges with opposite orientation (if any).

If the pivoting ball does not find any point q as above, then e is marked as a boundary edge for M. The process is repeated until F is empty or contains only boundary edges. At this point, we have obtained a connected unstructured mesh M describing a compact surface component. After this, we repeat the process finding another seed triangle T_{seed} whose vertices are not part of M. This reconstructs subsequent connected mesh components. The entire reconstruction stops when we find no T_{seed} any longer.

Several technical details are worth mentioning. Key to the efficiency of ball pivoting is finding points within a given radius to a seed point. This can be efficiently done using spatial search structures such as those described in Section 3.8.1. With such techniques, the complexity of ball pivoting is O(N) for



Figure 8.9. Ball pivoting principle (sketched in 2D). Reconstruction is shown in red.

N input points, which makes it attractive for reconstructing large point clouds. During the reconstruction, the normal set N is used to orient adjacent triangles consistently in M. In particular, we reject, in case 1, adding triangles $T' = (p_1, p_2, q)$ whose normal forms an obtuse angle with the point normal at q. Also, we reject seed triangles T_{seed} whose normal forms obtuse angles with any of the vertex normals. These constraints help that the reconstructed surface Mmost likely approximates the smooth surface that (X, N) sample. The radius ρ is typically set to the average interpoint distance in X. However, for highly nonuniform point clouds, reconstruction artifacts can occur (see Figure 8.9): Sparsely sampled areas will create holes in the reconstruction M, whereas concave areas where the sampled surface's curvature is higher than $1/\rho$ will not be included in the reconstruction. Note that, apart from the above problems, the reconstruction has no problems in high-curvature convex areas or in nonuniformly sampled areas. These problems can be partially alleviated by running the ball reconstruction several times, at each edge, for increasing values of ρ , starting from a small ρ value.

Figure 8.10(a) shows the surface reconstruction for the point cloud discussed in Figure 8.8 using ball pivoting. Since the input cloud has a rather uniform point density, ball pivoting produces a high-quality surface with no holes or artificial stitches, and which captures the details present in the input cloud. Figure 8.10(b) shows a more challenging case. Here, the point cloud contains the sampling of several intersecting manifolds—specifically, the cloud samples a surface skeleton (Section 9.4.8) of a 3D box, which is shown half-transparent in the figure. The surface skeleton consists of 13 planar manifolds that intersect each



Figure 8.10. Ball pivoting reconstruction of a manifold (a) and non-manifold (b) point cloud.

other in groups of three along straight lines. Here, ball pivoting creates several "stitches" and small gaps between the mesh reconstructions of these manifolds. This is not unexpected, since ball pivoting is not designed to treat *intersecting* manifolds. Also, ball pivoting can have problems with the consistent orientation of some faces, in areas that contain noisy point normals. This creates apparent twists in the resulting mesh. Although ball pivoting has such limitations, it is still one of the simplest, fastest, and thus best-known surface reconstruction algorithms in data visualization. A C implementation of ball pivoting is available in the open source mesh processing tool MeshLab [MeshLab 14].

Poisson reconstruction. We can extend the basic idea of using distance functions to reconstruct surfaces from point clouds presented earlier in this section in several ways. One such way is to incorporate normal information, as follows. Given a point cloud $\{x_i, \mathbf{n}_i\}$ of point locations x_i and normals \mathbf{n}_i , the reconstructed surface S can be described implicitly by a function $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, so that f is one inside S and zero outside. It follows that the gradient ∇f of f over f equals the inward normal of f. Hence, reconstructing S amounts to finding a scalar function f whose gradient best approximates the point normals \mathbf{n}_i at the sample points x_i , i.e., finding f so that

$$\nabla f \simeq \mathbf{n}$$
 (8.7)

at x_i . If we apply the divergence operator to both sides of Equation 8.7, we obtain

$$\operatorname{div} \nabla f = \Delta f \simeq \operatorname{div} \mathbf{n} \tag{8.8}$$



Figure 8.11. Poisson reconstruction of a point cloud.

where Δf is the Laplacian of f (see Section 8.4.4). Solving Equation 8.8 for f and a given **n** is fortunately easy and computationally efficient. Given the solution f, we next extract our desired surface S as the isosurface $f = \tau$, where $\tau = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$ is the average value of f at all sample points x_i . For implementation details, including C source code, we refer to [Kazhdan et al. 06].

Figure 8.11 shows the surface reconstruction of an oriented point cloud containing 128,338 points. The left image shows the actual point cloud. The right image shows the reconstruction result. As visible in the reconstruction image, the Poisson method can faithfully handle highly nonuniform point samples, such as the areas around the model's eye and ear. However, regions where the cloud contains points sampling intersecting manifolds, such as the hind legs, can be altogether skipped in the reconstruction. Problems arise also in areas where the point cloud contains large holes, such as in the case of the sampling of a surface with boundaries. In such regions, the Poisson method will artificially close the surface with a smooth component. This cannot be avoided, since the reconstructed surfaces are computed via isosurfacing (see Section 5.3.2).

Surface splatting. The surface reconstruction methods presented so far produce a surface represented as a triangular mesh, whether via the marching cubes algorithm or directly by triangulating the point set. However, sometimes we need a simple-to-implement and fast, albeit possibly less accurate, method to directly render the surface S from the scattered points, without having to perform any ex-



Figure 8.12. Radial basis functions for surface reconstruction.

plicit surface reconstruction. To explain how to do this, imagine the restrictions $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ of the 3D radial functions ϕ_i on our surface S (see Figure 8.12). The 3D RBFs ϕ_i have compact supports on the spheres of radii R_i , so the restrictions ψ_i also have compact supports, which are the intersections of these spheres with the surface S. If we assume the surface to be almost flat in a neighborhood of radius R_i around every p_i then the restrictions ψ_i are actually 2D radial basis functions defined on the surface.

To display the surface \mathcal{S} described by our point set, we can render the 2D radial basis functions ψ_i . Just as for the 3D RBFs, the 2D RBFs ψ_i are transformed versions of a reference 2D radial basis function that we shall call Ψ . If Φ is a 3D Gaussian then Ψ is a 2D Gaussian, whose graph is the familiar shape shown in our elevation plot in Chapter 2 (see Figure 2.1, for example). If Φ is a 3D constant RBF, whose support² would be a sphere of radius R, then Ψ is a 2D constant RBF, whose support is a disc of radius R. To draw our surface S, we have to draw the radial domains of ψ_i , which are nothing but discs of radius R_i , centered at every sample point p_i and oriented in the local tangent plane to S, which is perpendicular to the surface normal \mathbf{n}_i at p_i . We can do this efficiently by taking advantage of the rendering primitives offered by modern graphics hardware. First, we regularly sample the 2D radial basis function Φ on a pixel grid and store it as a 2D transparency texture T, where the value $\Phi = 1$ maps to a totally opaque pixel T = 1 and a value $\Phi = 0$ maps to a totally transparent pixel T = 0. The size of the texture T is taken so that T encloses the compact support of radius R of Φ .

²The *support* of a function is the set of points where the function has a nonzero value.

Next, we implement the two-dimensional equivalent of Equation (8.2) by drawing the texture T mapped onto square polygonal supports centered at the sample points p_i , rotated to be orthogonal to the surface normals \mathbf{n}_i and scaled to the radius R_i . For constant RBFs, this actually means drawing an opaque disc of radius R_i at every point p_i . For Gaussian RBFs, this draws a set of textures of variable opacity. To sum these up as described in Equation (8.2), we turn on additive alpha blending before rendering the textures. In both cases, we can use any desired lighting model, such as the Phong model described in Chapter 2, to compute the actual color to be used on the rendered elements. We compute the surface lighting at the sample point locations p_i only, using the available surface normals \mathbf{n}_i at those locations. The texture T that encodes a 2D RBF is sometimes called a *splat* or *surfel*. Hence, the previous surface reconstruction is also called *splatting*. We shall encounter splatting in Section 11.4.2 in a different setting when visualizing graph data.

Splats can encode other surface-information data necessary for the rendering besides the normal, color, and radius. All in all, a splat is a rendering element for a 3D surface that is analogous to the pixel, which is the rendering element for a 2D image. If we use constant RBFs, the splats are fully opaque discs stored as 2D textures. The splatting process is simple to implement and actually requires no blending support, but can easily create rendering artifacts. If we use Gaussian RBFs, the splats are variable-transparency 2D textures. However, we must ensure that the sum of the splats' transparencies at every rendered pixel is exactly one. In case of nonuniform point distributions, this condition does not hold by default. If this condition is not enforced, this leads to pixels on the rendered surface that have transparencies below one, an artifact that is visible as half-transparent "spots" on the surface. More sophisticated definitions of RBFs and blending mechanisms that guarantee the partition of unity property are possible [Ohtake et al. 03].

Figure 8.13 shows two examples that illustrate point-based rendering and surface reconstruction from scattered points. The dragon 3D model, shown in the lower part of the image, is rendered using both disc splats (left) and a triangular mesh that has the same points as vertices (right) constructed by the tangent plane method [Clarenz et al. 04]. Clearly, the two images are very similar. The tangent plane method produces results similar in quality with ball pivoting (compare this image with Figure 8.10(a)). The dinosaur 3D model shown in Figure 8.13 (upper-left) is rendered using a reconstructed triangle mesh from a scattered point set. The quality of the triangle mesh, as well as the point set density, is visible in the detail image (Figure 8.13 (upper-right)).



Figure 8.13. Point-based rendering and surface reconstruction from scattered points.

Sphere splatting. As mentioned in the previous section, surface splatting requires careful tuning of the shapes of the 2D basis functions ϕ_i to ensure that they satisfy the partition of unity on the surface S. In areas where this condition does not hold, gaps will become visible in S.

An alternative reconstruction method that avoids such problems is *sphere* splatting. The key idea is to compute a function \tilde{f} using Equation 8.2, with 3D constant RBFs Φ whose graphs are spheres of radii R, i.e., are equal to 1 for ||x|| < R and 0 otherwise. The reconstructed surface S is then the boundary of the volume formed by all points where $\tilde{f} \geq 1$. To ensure that S passes through all points p_i of our point cloud, however, we need to center and scale our basis functions ϕ_i differently than in the surface splatting case, or in other words choose the transforms T_i differently.

To explain the solution to this problem, note that our goal is analogous with "filling" the space between the points p_i with spheres S_i so that these spheres are as large as possible. Each S_i is nothing but the set of points where $\phi_i = 1$. The centers q_i of these spheres and their radii R_i give then the translation,



Figure 8.14. Sphere splatting for surface reconstruction from oriented point clouds.

respectively the scaling components of our transforms T_i . Such spheres are also called *maximally inscribed*, since they are contained (inscribed) inside the point cloud but do not go outside the cloud points. Consequently, each S_i will be tangent to at least one point p_i . For the filling analogy to hold, we must first be able to define what is "inside," respectively "outside," a point cloud. For oriented point clouds where each p_i also has an associated normal \mathbf{n}_i , a maximally inscribed sphere S_i , which is by definition tangent to p_i , is said to be inside the cloud if the vector $p_i - q_i$ is parallel to the normal \mathbf{n}_i .

Luckily, such maximally inscribed spheres can be readily computed: Their centers q_i form the so-called medial surface, or surface skeleton, of the oriented point cloud (see Equation 9.41). Surface skeleton computation is discussed separately in Section 9.4.8. Together with the sphere centers q_i , the medial surface also delivers the radii $R_i = ||p_i - q_i||$ of these spheres.

Having the sphere centers q_i and radii R_i , we can now render a reconstruction of S by rendering triangulated representations of these spheres. However, this method is computationally quite expensive, since we need finely triangulated spheres to obtain a high-quality reconstruction. A more efficient method is to render screen-space representations of such spheres, as follows. For each skeleton point q_i with radius R_i , we build a viewplane-aligned quad Q_i , also called a *billboard*. We next texture Q_i scaled to $(R_i, R_i, 1)$ with a $D \times D$ texture whose



Figure 8.15. Sphere splatting for surface reconstruction from oriented point clouds.

texels T(u, v) encode both depth and shading of the sphere. Here, D is set to a large value to accurately capture the rendering of such spheres, e.g., a few hundred pixels. One way to encode depth and shading is to use RGBA textures: The RGB bytes of T(u, v) encode the height at (u/D, v/D) of a half-sphere of radius 1 centered in the texture (see insets in Figure 8.14). The alpha (A) texture byte encodes the sphere color computed, e.g., with Phong shading. Next, the quads Q_i are rendered centered at their corresponding skeleton points q_i , using hidden surface removal. During rendering, we use as depth for each pixel the RGB color value given by its texturing, to which the depth of q_i to the view plane is added. As shading for the current pixel, we use the A (alpha) texture value. The overall effect is as if we rendered half-sphere profiles, or splats, centered at the 3D locations q_i . For example, the rendering of the two splats corresponding to the points q_i and q_j in Figure 8.14 results in the green profile, as if we effectively rendered two spheres of radii R_i and R_j centered at those points.

Figure 8.15 illustrates this method. Figure 8.15(a) shows a polygonal rendering of the scapula bone presented earlier in Figure 8.6(c). For illustration, we consider the oriented point cloud $\{p_i, \mathbf{n}_i\}$ obtained from this polygonal mesh by removing all triangles, keeping their vertices p_i , and computing point normals **i** by averaging face normals. Figure 8.15(b) shows the medial point cloud $\{q_i\}$ computed from this oriented point cloud. The original polygonal mesh is shown half-transparent around the cloud for illustration purposes. Figure 8.15(c) shows the surface reconstruction using sphere splatting. The result is very similar to the original polygonal mesh (Figure 8.15(a)). Zooming in, however, we can see that the reconstructed surface is nothing but a union of rendered spheres—or, in other words, a piecewise-constant approximation using spherical RBFs.
The method produces results identical to those we would obtain by splatting volumetric spheres in 3D space. However, we work entirely in screen and zbuffer space, so the computational cost is significantly smaller—basically rendering N 2D textured screen-aligned quads for a cloud having N points. When the viewpoint is changed, the splatting is performed anew in real time, so we effectively obtain a view-dependent reconstruction of S. Another attractive aspect of sphere splatting is that it works in a fully unstructured way—the splats can be rendered in any desired order to yield the same reconstruction result. Further implementation details are given in [Jalba et al. 13].

Surface reconstruction from unorganized point clouds is an advanced field, where many new algorithms emerge each year. For both theoretical and practical information on algorithms in this field, including a comprehensive set of software references, we point the reader to the book of Dey [Dey 06]. A recent survey of state-of-the-art surface reconstruction methods for various types of point clouds is given in [Chang et al. 09].

8.4 Grid-Processing Techniques

Grid-processing techniques are methods that change both the grid geometry (locations of grid sample points) and its topology (grid cells). By "grid-processing techniques," we mean those techniques that manipulate the grid itself, and have no knowledge about data attributes sampled on that grid. Such grid-processing techniques are used in many application domains besides data visualization, such as numerical methods and simulations or computer-graphics applications. There exist a wealth of grid-processing methods. Studying all these methods in depth would be a standalone subject matter by itself. However, since grid processing is important for visualization applications, we shall present a selection of some of the most-used grid-processing methods in data visualization.

8.4.1 Geometric Transformations

Geometric transformations are domain-modeling techniques that change the position of the sample points, or grid points, but do not modify the underlying basis functions, cells, or data attributes. These are probably the simplest gridprocessing techniques. Transformations in this class include affine operations such as translation, rotation, and scaling, but also nonaffine operations, such as tapering, twisting, and bending. These transformations are relatively straightforward, so we are not going to detail them further. A second type of geometric transformation changes the position of the sample points based on the data attributes. We have encountered such techniques in the form of warping, height plots, and displacement plots, for the visualization of scalars (see Section 5.4) and vectors (see Section 6.4).

A third type of geometric transformation changes the position of the sample points based on the characteristics of the grid itself. Grid-smoothing techniques fall in this class. Given the relative importance and complexity of such techniques, we describe them separately in Section 8.4.4.

8.4.2 Grid Simplification

Many visualization applications produce large datasets that take considerable time to manipulate and store. Often, one wants to reduce the size of these datasets, yet keep the data features that are important for the task at hand. In this section, we discuss several methods that allow us to simplify the underlying grid of a dataset. By "grid simplification," we mean situations involving grids that describe two-dimensional surfaces embedded in 3D, such as isosurfaces or polygonal models. The more general case of simplifying *n*-dimensional datasets by means of reducing the number of sample points (resampling) was discussed in Section 3.9.1.

We make a second assumption. The simplification criteria we shall look at here are mainly geometric, i.e., based on the shape of the grid. However, such criteria can be adapted to include information about the data attributes stored on the grid itself. An example of this technique is the progressive meshes method [Hoppe 97, Hoppe 98], which is also described later in this section.

Let us first state the generic problem. Consider a surface S that is sampled on a geometric grid S. As we saw in Chapters 2 and 3, the quality of the approximation is dependent on the sampling rate, in case we use uniform sampling. However, uniform sampling uses too many grid points in areas of low surface curvature that can be approximated well by larger cells, i.e., fewer sample points. Given a densely sampled grid S, the aim of geometric grid simplification is to produce a surface S' that contains fewer points than S but still provides a good approximation of S. Usually, the points of S' are a subset of the points of S obtained by eliminating points from areas that are oversampled with respect to the desired approximation quality. However, depending on the simplification method, the points of the simplified model do not have to be a subset of the original points.

Many grid-simplification algorithms exist. The field is huge, given the applicability in computer graphics, data compression, mesh storage and transmission, shape matching, terrain visualization and rendering, and data visualization. It is, hence, impractical to aim for a comprehensive overview of grid-simplification methods in the current context. For the interested reader, we point to a number of survey papers [Luebke 01, Garland 99, Heckbert and Garland 97]. We next briefly describe a number of the main techniques used in this field, following the classification given by Luebke: triangle mesh decimation, vertex clustering, simplification envelopes, and progressive meshes [Luebke 01].

Triangle mesh decimation. Decimation algorithms [Schroeder et al. 92] were originally designed to reduce the huge number of triangles produced by the marching-cubes method (see Section 5.3). Given a triangle mesh, the algorithm does multiple passes over the mesh vertices, checking each vertex for removal. A vertex (and its triangle fan) are removed if the removal does not change the mesh topology and if the resulting surface lies within a user-specified distance from the unsimplified surface. The hole left in the mesh is then retriangulated. Decimation continues until a user-specified reduction factor and/or some maximal error criterion are met. The decimated model contains a subset of the original mesh vertices, which is convenient for reusing the vertex information (position, normals, color, and eventual data attributes). However, this constraint can limit the decimation accuracy. A variant of the decimation algorithm can also handle topological changes [Schroeder 97].

Figure 8.16 shows two grid decimation examples produced by the latter algorithm. The bunny geometric model (see Figure 8.16(a)) is simplified to under 10% of the initial 36,000 grid points, yielding the result in Figure 8.16(b). As visible from the grid rendering, the simplification works adaptively. More triangles are kept in the high-curvature area around the bunny's ear, marked red in Figure 8.16(b) in order to preserve the surface shape. In low-curvature areas, such as the bunny's back, the simplification reaches its maximum. Figure 8.16(c) shows an isosurface of the skeleton of a human hand from a CT scan. The input data is a 3D uniform grid, so the marching-cubes algorithm produces an unstructured triangle mesh with almost constant point density, since the isosurface points are always located on the edges of the input grid (see Section 5.3). The isosurface is simplified to 6536 points, i.e., to less than 2% of the original isosurface. After the simplification, surface vertex normals are computed by cell normal averaging (see Chapter 2), which lets us create a rendering of the isosurface (see Figure 8.16(d)) of comparable quality to the original.



Figure 8.16. Decimation of a surface grid. (a) Original grid with 36,000 points and (b) decimated grid with 3510 points. (c) Original isosurface with 373,000 points and (b) decimated version with 6536 points.

Vertex clustering. Several algorithms simplify meshes by clustering (collapsing) vertices. One such algorithm [Rossignac and Borrel 93] works as follows. Every vertex gets an importance value. Vertices attached to large polygons and vertices of high curvature are more important than vertices attached to small polygons and vertices of low curvature. Next, a 3D grid is overlaid onto the mesh to be simplified. All vertices within a grid cell are collapsed to a new aggregated vertex position within that cell. The polygons whose vertices are collapsed together become degenerate and are removed.

A different clustering algorithm, called *floating-cell clustering*, works as follows [Low and Tan 97]. Vertices are sorted in importance order, similar to Rossignac and Borrel [Rossignac and Borrel 93]. A cell of user-specified size is centered on the most-important vertex. All vertices within the cell are collapsed to the most-important vertex, which becomes the center of the next cell, and the process repeats. Different error metrics can be used to reposition this most-important vertex in order to ensure a simplified mesh close to the original [Garland and Heckbert 97].

Simplification envelopes. Given a surface, we construct its simplification envelopes [Cohen et al. 96]. These are two copies of the surface offset at some small distance ϵ from the original surface. Conceptually, the simplification envelopes are identical to the two components of the distance-function isosurface described in Section 8.3.2. However, we compute the envelopes here by displacing each surface vertex in the normal direction with a distance of 0.5ϵ for the outer envelope and -0.5ϵ for the inner envelope, respectively. After building the envelopes, vertices and triangles are iteratively removed from the original surface, and the holes are retriangulated, similar to the decimation method discussed previously. The simplification only occurs if the simplified surface stays between the envelopes, which guarantees that the result never deviates from the original by more than ϵ . Yet, these restrictions can sometimes limit the simplification. The implementation is quite involved, but is fortunately available to the public [Cohen et al. 07].

Progressive meshes. A progressive mesh consists of a base mesh, created by a sequence of edge collapse operations on a polygonal mesh, and a sequence of vertex split operations [Hoppe 97]. A split is the dual of a collapse, and it replaces a vertex with two edge-connected vertices, creating an extra vertex and two extra triangles. The base mesh can be exactly transformed into the original model via splits, and the model is transformed into the base mesh via collapses. Intermediate versions correspond to progressive simplifications.

The collapses (simplification) are driven by an energy function. Different types of energies can model simplifications driven by mesh geometry, normals, and color, but also additional data attributes [Hoppe 98]. Attributes are classified as discrete, e.g., material and texture IDs, and scalar, e.g., color, normal, and texture coordinates. All edges are put into a priority queue in decreasing order of effect on the energy. The mesh is simplified by collapsing edges in this order, until topological constraints prevent further simplification. The remaining edges and triangles form the base mesh, and the (reversed) sequence of collapse operations become the split operations.

As its name suggests, this method is able to produce a hierarchy of progressively simplified meshes of high quality. Also, from a data-visualization perspective, this method is additionally attractive, as it is able to drive the simplification effort as a function of scalar and vector data attributes, not just geometric grid characteristics [Hoppe 99].

8.4.3 Grid Refinement

Grid refinement is the opposite of grid simplification. Given a coarse grid Gthat approximates some dataset D, refinement produces a grid G' that also approximates D but has more sample points than the original grid G. In terms of sampling, the refined grid G' can be seen as a supersampling of D as compared to the original grid G. Grid refinement has several uses. First, rendering a refined grid can produce a higher-quality image than rendering a coarse grid, for example, by decreasing the banding artifacts caused by the bilinear Gouraud shading. A second use of refinement is as a preprocessing step before applying other grid manipulation operations. For example, smoothing, deforming, or free-editing a refined grid gives better results as compared to performing the same operations on a coarse grid, as the refined grid has more degrees of freedom to accommodate the changes. Also, deformations such as strong stretching change an uniformly sampled grid into a nonuniformly sampled one that exhibits coarsely sampled areas. Grid refinement can be used to bring such grids back to a densely sampled version. Finally, grid refinement can be used to (partially) reverse previous grid simplification operations.

Following this sampling perspective, several grid-refinement methods exist. In case the dataset D represents a surface, we can first reconstruct the surface from the original grid G, using radial basis functions, for example, as explained earlier in this chapter, and then sample the reconstructed surface to a denser level than G to obtain the refined grid G'. Another approach goes directly from G to the refined grid G', avoiding the cost of explicitly reconstructing the dataset D. In this latter class of methods, several variants exist. For one variant, the points of the original grid G can be a subset of the points of the refined grid G'. In the second variant, the points of G' are obtained from those of G but do not necessarily need to be a superset of them.

Loop subdivision. Refining a grid by adding extra sample points to the existing ones is a simple but effective strategy. A simple but effective method in this class is the Loop subdivision scheme [Loop 87, Stam 98]. For surfaces S approximated by unstructured triangular grids G, this procedure works as follows. Each triangle $T \in G$ is split into four other triangles, by adding extra vertices at the midpoints of the edges of T. This delivers the topology of the new grid G'. Further on, the coordinates of the initial grid's vertices, as well as the newly added vertices, are recomputed in order to create a grid G' that best keeps the features of the original surface S. Here, we distinguish four cases for a vertex v(see also Figure 8.17):



Figure 8.17. Loop subdivision cases.

1. New internal vertex: For a new internal vertex v' added half-way an edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$, where e is not on the boundary of G, v' is computed by linear interpolation of the coordinates of v_1 and v_2 and of the two vertices v_A and v_B of the triangles T_A and T_B from G which share e, as follows (see also Figure 8.17(a)):

$$v' = \frac{3}{8}v_1 + \frac{3}{8}v_2 + \frac{1}{8}v_A + \frac{1}{8}v_B.$$
(8.9)

2. Existing internal vertex: Existing vertices v which are not on G's boundary (Figure 8.17(b)) are moved to a new position v' by linearly interpolating the positions of v and of all n vertices v_i which are connected to v by triangle edges in G, as follows:

$$v' = (1 - n\beta)v + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta v_i.$$
 (8.10)

The interpolation factor β is set to $\frac{3}{8n}$ if n > 3, and to $\frac{3}{16}$, if n = 3.

3. New boundary vertex: New vertices v' added on boundary edges $e = (v_1, v_2)$ of G (Figure 8.17(c)) are computed simply by averaging the edge's endpoints:

$$v' = \frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2. \tag{8.11}$$

4. Existing boundary vertex: Finally, existing vertices v on boundary edges $e = (v_1, v_2)$ (Figure 8.17(d)) are moved to new locations v' via the following linear interpolation:

$$v' = \frac{1}{8}v_1 + \frac{1}{8}v_2 + \frac{6}{8}v.$$
(8.12)



Figure 8.18. Refining an isosurface. (a) Original grid. (b) Simplified grid. (c) Refined grid. The zoomed-in insets show the grid quality.

Figure 8.18 shows a grid-refinement scenario using Loop subdivision. We start with an isosurface of a human colon (Figure 8.18(a)) containing 315,600 points. As visible in the image, the isosurface exhibits strong staircasing artifacts due to some type of nearest-neighbor approximation used at some earlier point on the input data. We can render this isosurface using fewer data points and yet achieve a better visual quality. First, we simplify the surface using the decimation method discussed earlier in this chapter. The simplification yields about 13,000 points but also decreases the visual quality of the surface (see Figure 8.18(b)). If we refine the simplified surface by Loop subdivision, we obtain a surface with around 52,200 points and also a visibly better quality (see Figure 8.18(c)). The final result has a sixth of the points of the initial isosurface and also arguably a better quality and smoother appearance.

However, Loop subdivision can produce suboptimal results. Consider the triangular grid in Figure 8.19(a), which has 16,031 triangles. This mesh is highly nonuniform, that is, contains large triangles (where the surface curvature is low) and small triangles (where the surface is highly curved, thus has more details). The grid in Figure 8.19(b) shows the result of applying two steps of Loop subdivision. This mesh contains 16,031 * 4 * 4 = 256, 496 triangles. Subdivision nicely refines the coarse areas from the initial mesh, such as the rhinoceros' flanks. However, since every triangle is split, this creates many very small-size triangles in detail areas. These do not bring added value, but make the dataset larger, thus more costly to store and render. This problem did not occur for the colon dataset (Figure 8.18), since the initial mesh there was an isosurface extracted from a *uniform* grid, hence all its triangles were of relatively similar size.



Figure 8.19. (a) Nonuniform surface mesh and (b) result of two Loop subdivision steps.

Advanced subdivision tools. Just like grid simplification, refinement can also proceed adaptively by inserting more points where the surface varies more rapidly. As a measure of surface variation, we can use curvature or any scalar signal defined on the surface. Finally, if data attributes are defined on the original surface, these can be transferred to the refined surface by interpolation.

A very good tool for simplification and refinement of triangular and quad grids is the Yams library, implemented in C [Frey 01]. Yams can both simplify and refine surface meshes constrained by either a user-defined target size of the resulting cells, or adapting the size to a user-defined scalar signal defined on the surface. Additionally, Yams can optimize a given mesh, by moving its vertices so that the result stays close to the input mesh but the resulting triangles are close to equilateral triangles.

An alternative is the *MeshLab* open-source package [MeshLab 14]. *MeshLab* provides a wide range of surface processing techniques, including but not limited to grid simplification, refinement, remeshing, surface reconstruction from point clouds, and mesh cleaning, e.g., removing duplicate vertices, orienting normals consistently, non-manifold face removal). In contrast to *Yams*, *MeshLab* also provides visualization and interactive user interface options for editing meshes, and supports a wider range of mesh formats.

8.4.4 Grid Smoothing

Grid-smoothing methods are a separate class of geometric transformations. Gridsmoothing methods are most often used for grids that represent 2D curved surfaces embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , although they can be used also for 3D volumetric grids or 1D curve grids. Recall that, for such grids, we can reconstruct a piecewise continuous surface \tilde{f} from the grid sample points x_i by using the reconstruction formula (see Equation (3.2)) on the grid point coordinates themselves. The aim of a grid-smoothing algorithm is to modify the positions of the grid sample points such that the surface \tilde{f} reconstructed by the grid becomes smoother. Intuitively, a smooth surface is a surface that contains mostly blunt creases instead of sharp ones. Mathematically, this can be described by a surface with a low curvature (see Section 3.6.4 for a discussion on surface curvature). Grid-smoothing methods are useful in case the available grids contain *geometric noise*. This can be due either to the way the surface was acquired or to some other grid-processing operations that have been previously applied on the sampled surface.

A simple-to-implement grid smoothing method is the *Laplacian smoothing*. At the core of this technique is the *diffusion equation*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(k\nabla u) = 0.$$
(8.13)

If k is constant, this equation can be written as $\partial u/\partial t = k\Delta u$. Here, Δu is called the *Laplacian* of u, hence the name of the diffusion process. If u(x, y, z) is a 3D scalar field, the Laplacian of u is defined as the sum of the second partial derivatives of the field with respect to the variables x, y, and z, which is in turn equal to the divergence of the gradient of the field u:

$$\Delta u = \operatorname{div} \nabla u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}.$$
(8.14)

The simplest way to think about diffusion is as smoothing. Given some initial signal $u_0: D$ for t = 0, solving the diffusion equation (Equation (8.13)) describes the smoothing of our signal u_0 . The time t plays the role of smoothing strength: higher t values correspond to more smoothing. The constant k describes the diffusivity, or diffusion strength, of the process: higher k values yield faster smoothing. The smoothing properties of the diffusion equation are used in many filtering applications for scalar, vector, and tensor datasets. Putting it simply, smoothing removes the high-frequency, small-scale variations in the data, such as small sharp spikes in a mesh or noise grains in an image. Smoothing is useful as a preprocessing operation for data manipulations that are noise sensitive, such as computing derivatives (see Section 3.7).

In particular, we can apply smoothing to the signal that represents the geometric coordinates of the grid. The diffusion process moves the grid vertices in the direction that smooths out the sharp features of the grid. The diffusion time t controls the amount of smoothing: the initial value u(t = 0) is equal to



Figure 8.20. Laplacian smoothing principle for (a) 2D and (b) 3D geometries.

the input grid to be smoothed, whereas high t values produce strongly smoothed grids.

Using the definitions of the derivatives on a discrete grid presented in Chapter 3, it can be shown that the discrete form of Equation (8.13) on a grid containing the sample points $\{p_i\}$ is

$$p_i^{n+1} = p_i^n + k \sum_{j=1}^N (q_j^n - p_i^n).$$
(8.15)

Here, $(q_j)_{j=1..N}$ denote the neighbors of p_i , i.e., those grid points connected to p_i by edges. Equation (8.15) is solved iteratively, the superscript n denoting the iteration number. We start with the initial grid points p_i^0 , apply Equation (8.15) n times, and obtain the smoothed grid points p_i^n . Intuitively, this process shifts every grid point toward the barycenter $b = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_j^n$ of its neighboring point set. Figure 8.20(a) illustrates this for the simple case of 2D polyline geometry. The smoothing process has the effect of flattening both convex and concave point neighborhoods, as illustrated in Figure 8.20(b) for a 3D triangle mesh. Both the convex area (marked by red points) and the yellow area (marked by yellow points) get flattened out as the indicated points are moved toward the barycenter of their neighbors. This process has the effect of decreasing the object volume, so using too many smoothing steps must be avoided, as this can excessively shrink the object. Also, choosing a diffusivity k that is too high can lead to self-intersecting or other ill-conditioned meshes due to too large displacements of the grid points.

Figure 8.21 shows the Laplacian smoothing in action on an isosurface showing a femur bone. The number of iterations combined with the diffusivity k can be used to control the scale of details one wants to remove from a given dataset. In Figure 8.21(c), small-scale irregularities of the original surface (Figure 8.21(a))



Figure 8.21. Laplacian smoothing of an isosurface. (a) Original surface. (b) Surface curvature. (c) Smoothed surface. (d) Comparison of original and smoothed surfaces.

are smoothed out in approximately 100 iterations. If more iterations are applied, larger-scale details would disappear, which is undesirable in this case. Finally, Figure 8.21(d) compares the initial object (green, semitransparent) with the smoothed one (gray, opaque) after 10,000 iterations, by rendering both objects overlapped in the same image. The smoothed object is visibly smaller than the original in the green (convex) regions and larger in the gray (concave) ones.

Like other low-pass filtering methods, Laplacian smoothing removes both noise and small-scale surface details. An undesired effect is that sharp surface creases, such as the edge of the femur cross section in Figure 8.21, also get smoothed out. Several advanced smoothing methods exist that prevent this. For example, one can use weighted diffusion in Equation (8.13). The diffusion coefficient k is set to be inversely proportional to the surface curvature instead of a using constant value. Weighted diffusion has the effect of strongly flattening small-scale noise but maintaining sharp details such as edges and creases. For this to work, we must use a smoothed (e.g., low-pass filtered) version of the surface curvature so that small-scale noise details are not visible in this signal. Figure 8.21(b) shows the smoothed surface curvature for the bone model colorcoded from blue (flat) to red (maximally curved). The edge-preserving effect can be further improved by forcing the diffusion process to be strong in the direction of the surface edges and weak across the edges. Anisotropic techniques that perform this type of smoothing are an advanced subject and are described further in specialized literature [Perona and Malik 90, Weickert 98, Bajaj and Xu 03].

Grid-smoothing and grid-refinement methods can be effectively combined to produce high-quality grids. A simple way to do this is to first refine the grid, in order to create enough sample points so that the smoothing can act on a small spatial scale, and next perform a number of smoothing steps until the desired surface quality is reached. More efficient implementations can alternate smoothing and refinement steps and also introduce grid-decimation steps, all in order to redistribute the points to the optimal locations on the surface in order to achieve the desired quality.

Grid processing is an extensive topic of research. Polygon mesh processing, a key ingredient to all domain processing algorithms presented in this chapter, is covered in great detail by Botsch et al. in [Botsch et al. 10]. A more general resource for the fundamental computational geometry algorithms that underlie all the domain processing algorithms outlined above is the book of Overmars et al. [de Berg et al. 00].

8.5 Conclusion

Grids are a fundamental element of visualization datasets. They provide a discrete representation of a compact spatial domain on which the signals to be visualized are sampled. Grids can vary in several respects: the type of cells, the regularity of the discretization, and the types of basis functions used to perform interpolation on the grid.

In this chapter, we have presented a number of fundamental methods for grid manipulation and processing. Since grids are used in visualization as a representation of the underlying domain of a signal, these methods are referred to as domain-modeling techniques. Cutting techniques extract a lower-dimensional domain from a higher-dimensional one, such as when slicing a volume with a surface, or a subdomain with the same dimensionality, such as in the case of bricking. Selection techniques extract a set of cells or points from a dataset, based on data properties. These techniques are useful when we are interested in extracting a specific subset of interest from a larger dataset.

Grids can be constructed from scattered points using triangulation techniques. An alternative is to use gridless techniques, e.g., using radial basis functions. Triangulation has the advantage of producing a cell-based grid, which can be further used by all grid-based visualization methods. Gridless methods do not require the usually complex triangulation step, require less memory because they do not explicitly store cells, offer fast surface interpolation (rendering) using splatting, but bear additional computational costs. Either of these techniques can be used when we need a continuous domain representation, such as a surface, and all we have is a set of scattered points.

Grids can be processed by a variety of operations, such as simplification, refinement, and smoothing. These operations are especially useful when the grid itself is the visualization target, such as when it represents a surface of interest, e.g., produced by contouring techniques. All in all, domain modeling techniques are an indispensable element of the visualization process, as grids are an indispensable ingredient of datasets.

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 9

Image Visualization

IN this chapter, we give an overview of a number of image-processing techniques. The term *image processing* refers to methods that input and output an image. Image-processing methods belong to the earliest developments in computer graphics. In the last decade, a large number of such methods have been researched and put into practice. Such methods range from simple filtering operations that remove noise from digital photographs up to complex techniques that extract and manipulate two-dimensional shapes from video sequences. Given the sheer size of the field, we shall limit ourselves here to presenting only mainstream methods that are encountered in a large class of applications, and in particular those image-processing methods that are frequently encountered in visualization applications.

We start our overview of image processing by describing how images are represented in terms of datasets (Section 9.1). Next, we describe the place and role image processing has in the visualization pipeline (Section 9.2). The main part of this chapter details a number of image-processing algorithms that are frequently used in data visualization (Section 9.3). Next, we describe how higherlevel representations from it, such as shapes, can be extracted and analyzed from image data (Section 9.4). We conclude the chapter with a discussion in Section 9.5.

9.1 Image Data Representation

2D Images. What is an *image*? If we start from an implementation perspective, we shall quickly notice that virtually all computer graphics applications and

graphics toolkits offer one or several data representations of images under several names, such as bitmaps, pixmaps, textures, or RGB or grayscale images. If we factor out the various differences related to implementation constraints, we can say an image is a two-dimensional array, or matrix, of pixels. In the large majority of cases, pixels have square shapes, i.e., an aspect ratio of 1 to 1. Every image pixel contains one or several scalar values. Grayscale, or monochrome, images contain one scalar value per pixel, whereas color images usually contain three such scalar values, corresponding to a RGB or HSV (hue-saturation-value) encoding of the pixel color.

In terms of the data representation concepts described in Chapter 2, an image is thus nothing more than a uniform two-dimensional dataset (see Section 3.5.1). Monochrome images contain one scalar attribute per pixel, indicating the luminance, or intensity, of each pixel. Color images contain one color attribute per pixel. In practice, color is represented as a triplet of scalar attributes, which correspond to a RGB or HSV color encoding (see Section 3.6.3). Given that the value of a pixel is typically considered constant over the entire pixel surface, we can say, following Section 3.2, that images use a piecewise constant interpolation of luminance or color samples located at the pixel centers.

In practice, however, there are some important differences between representing an image by a uniform 2D dataset as described in Section 3.5.1 and the various image implementations provided by different software toolkits or image file formats. The main difference concerns the attribute *resolution*. The dataset attributes, as described in Section 3.6, are essentially floating-point values, which take four bytes on typical 32-bit computer architectures. Hence, a luminance or gray value would take four bytes, whereas a RGB color represented as three floats takes 12 bytes or 96 bits. Most imaging toolkits and image formats encode image attributes with less precision than a full float. Usually, image formats allocate one byte per luminance attribute, using fixed point-encoding, or three bytes (24) bits) for a color attribute in full-color images. The reason for allocating less than a full float per attribute is practical. Given a typical photograph, humans would not perceive the small luminance or color discretization errors caused by the limited attribute resolution. When the attribute resolution, or number of bits per pixel, decreases, however, color quantization errors become apparent to the human eye.

In addition to full-color images, there exists also a separate class of image formats called *indexed* or *palette-based* image formats. These formats do not encode the value of the color or luminance attribute of each pixel independently. Instead, each pixel contains an integer index into a fixed-size color palette. If we think of this index as a scalar data attribute of each pixel, this mechanism is identical to the scalar-to-color mapping technique detailed in Section 5.1, where the color palette plays the role of a colormap. Indexed image formats trade storage cost for accuracy. Typical implementations would store an eight-bit index per pixel into a 256-color palette. This requires three times less memory than when storing the same image in a full-color RGB format, but provides only 256 different colors instead of 2^{24} different colors.

From a data-visualization perspective, we would like to treat images as any other scientific dataset. This has two implications. First, we use a full float resolution to encode each image data attribute, instead of the less-precise 24-bit or palette-based formats. Having this precision is essential, as we would like to perform several operations on images without losing accuracy. Second, we allow images to store any number (and type) of data attributes. Besides luminance or color, image datasets can store vector or tensor data attributes. This flexibility is important in order to allow a wide range of processing operations to take place on image data (see Section 9.3). Finally, representing images as uniform datasets with floating-point attributes allows us to directly use many visualization algorithms on images without any modification.

Higher-dimension images. Image datasets and image-processing operations are not restricted to two dimensions. While two-dimensional (2D) images are still the most common, the vast majority of image data representations and imaging algorithms have been extended to three dimensions as well. Three-dimensional (3D) imaging has become an indispensable tool in medical sciences, especially in the visualization and analysis of CT and MRI datasets, so that 3D imaging and data visualization have become tightly interconnected disciplines. The visualization of 3D images, or volumes, is discussed separately in Chapter 10.

9.2 Image Processing and Visualization

Now that we have seen that images can be represented as 2D scalar-attributed datasets, a further question to answer is: What is the place of image processing in the visualization pipeline?

Recall the visualization pipeline, i.e., the set of operations performed on data in order to produce meaningful pictures (see Figure 4.1). The output of the complete pipeline is an image, which is typically displayed and analyzed to obtain the desired insight into the visualized data. However, in some cases, we would like to further enhance this image in order to better understand the encoded information. Examples of operations that are applied at this stage involve contrast enhancement and color adjustment, which are typical imageprocessing techniques. Such generic operations can be applied to *any* type of image, regardless of the visualization pipeline that has been executed to produce it. In this scenario, the imaging operations, or imaging pipeline, follow the visualization pipeline.

However, image-processing operations can be applied also at earlier stages of the visualization pipeline. Consider, for example, an application that produces a 2D uniform, scalar-attributed dataset at some stage of the filtering process (see Figure 4.1). This can be, for example, a slice extracted from a medical dataset (see Figure 5.2), a color-coded height field, or a two-dimensional flow texture representing a vector dataset (see Figures 6.28 and 6.36). The straightforward way to visualize such results is to map and render them using a suitable scalarto-color mapping. However, imaging operations can be applied at this stage to enhance the data, e.g., by performing image segmentation (see Section 9.4.1) followed by shape analysis (see Section 9.4). In this scenario, the imaging pipeline is integrated as part of the visualization pipeline.

Finally, consider the case when the input data of the visualization pipeline is an image, such as a 2D slice from a medical dataset. In this case, the visualization and imaging pipelines become virtually the same.

9.3 Basic Imaging Algorithms

In this section, we present a number of the basic algorithms for image processing. Although there are many other image-processing algorithms that are applied in several areas of data visualization, we have chosen the ones included here based on their widespread applicability and generality. As stated in the title, the focus of this section is on *basic* imaging algorithms. By this, we mean those algorithms which manipulate discretizations of two-dimensional scalar functions $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ that are sampled on images or, in visualization terminology, uniform scalarvalued, two-dimensional datasets. Consequently, the algorithms presented here will have a strong signal processing flavor. Data is manipulated at the level of its individual samples or *pixels*. In the next section, algorithms that manipulate image data at the higher level of *shapes* are presented.

We start by presenting the elementary operations that allow enhancing of the image contrast and brightness by applying simple transfer functions (Section 9.3.1). Histogram equalization, a simple but useful technique in the same class, follows (Section 9.3.2). Next, we present the technique of smoothing images by applying a Gaussian filtering operation (Section 9.3.3). We conclude this section with another basic image-processing operation, the detection of edges (Section 9.3.4).

9.3.1 Basic Image Processing

In Section 5.1, we presented the color-mapping technique. As illustrated by the example shown in Figure 5.2, color mapping creates gray values or colors from a set of scalar values. The design of the transfer function $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]^n$, which maps scalars to gray values (n = 1) or RGB colors (n = 3), is essential to producing a good visualization.

However, there are cases when we cannot perform all the desired information extraction and enhancing within such a transfer function. One such case is in situations when we do not have access to the visualization application that has produced the datasets to be examined, nor to the datasets themselves. The only data to work with is an image produced, e.g., by color mapping some scalar dataset. A second case is when the image itself is the dataset, e.g., in the case of landscape information acquired by aerial photography, video information acquired by measurement or surveillance cameras, or X-ray photography. In all these cases, the dataset to work with is the image itself. We shall present several basic image-processing operations that are widely used in the visualization practice. We confine ourselves here to operations that process each image pixel individually and only affect its gray value or color. Such operations can be described by functions $f: [0,1]^n \to [0,1]^n$ both for grayscale images (n=1) and colors (n = 3). These image-processing operations have similar expressions to the transfer functions. Hence, there exists an overlap between the purposes and effects that can be achieved with scalar-to-color transfer functions and color-tocolor image-processing functions. All operations presented in this section can be used either as standalone image-processing tools or as part of the color-mapping process.

Transfer functions. The simplest and probably also most used image-enhancement operation consists in applying a *transfer function* on the pixel luminance values. By using different function profiles, one can emphasize certain gray value ranges or value transitions. Figure 9.1 illustrates this. In the first image (Figure 9.1(a)), a slice from a CT scan is shown using a one-to-one mapping of the scanned value, which reflects tissue density, to a linear grayscale ranging from black to white. The graph under the image (Figure 9.1(a) middle) shows the transfer function applied to the image luminance. Together with the graph, the *image histogram* is shown in gray. The histogram of an image shows, for every luminance value, the number of image pixels that have that value. In this histogram, we see two



Figure 9.1. Image contrast enhancement. Images (top), linear histograms (middle), and logarithmic histograms (bottom). (a) Original image. (b) Contrast-enhanced image using nonlinear transfer function.

peaks. The leftmost one corresponds to the large number of near-black pixels showing low intensity. The right one corresponds to the large number of gray values showing soft tissue. The small-scale histogram variations are too small to be visible on this graph in comparison with its maxima. Using a logarithmic scaling of the histogram y-axis, the smaller histogram variations become more visible (see Figure 9.1(a) bottom).¹

For the original image, the transfer function is the identity function f(x) = x. In this image, bright pixels denote hard tissues, e.g., bone, whereas dark pixels

¹All image-processing examples shown in this chapter, except distance transforms and skeletons, are computed and visualized using the freely available GIMP image-processing tool [GIMP 14].

denote soft tissues, e.g., fat. The darkest pixels denote air, which has the lowest density. Suppose now that we are interested in emphasizing the hard tissues and we are not interested in seeing the differences between the various types of soft tissues. We can achieve this by using a transfer function as shown in the graph in Figure 9.1(b). This function has near-zero values for the soft tissue range, followed by a rapid transition to high values close to the maximal intensity of 1 for input pixel intensities corresponding to the hard tissues. The result (Figure 9.1(b) top) is an image with clearly increased contrast on which bone tissue are clearly visible.

Different types of transfer functions can be used to address different questions. High-slope functions enhance the image contrast, while low-slope functions attenuate it. Step functions essentially perform a selection of pixels with luminances in a given range, as detailed later in Section 9.4.1. For color images, transfer functions can be applied separately on each image component, both for the RGB or HSV systems (see Section 3.6.3).

9.3.2 Histogram Equalization

Images acquired by means of scanning or video technologies often exhibit low contrast, which makes distinguishing individual shapes and details difficult. Several methods allow us to enhance the contrast of an image while keeping the relative ordering of pixel luminances. The simplest way is to use a linear luminance normalization: Given an image with effective luminance range $[I_{\min}, I_{\max}]$, the transfer function $f(x) = (x - I_{\min})/(I_{\max} - I_{\min})$ normalizes the luminance in order to use the entire [0, 1] range. However, in many cases, there are just a few pixels in an image that have a given luminance value. If such pixels have minimal or maximal luminances, they will determine the outcome of the luminance normalization while they actually have an insignificant contribution to the image itself.

A better method to renormalize the image luminance is to use the image histogram, which was introduced in the previous section. The histogram equalization method computes a transfer function f such that the image I'(x, y) =f(I(x, y)) has a near-constant histogram. In other words, the histogram equalization produces an image in which all luminance values cover about the same number of pixels. This makes the image easier to visualize, as we now have an equal distribution of luminances to look at as compared, for example, to an image where just a few pixels would share certain luminance values, and thus be hardly visible.



Figure 9.2. Histogram equalization showing images (top) and their logarithmic histograms (bottom). (a) Original image. (b) Image after histogram equalization.

Figure 9.2 illustrates this process for an actual data imaging and visualization application. In this application, scientists are interested in studying the growth of the rice plant roots. The roots, grown in a half-transparent jelly, are photographed with a high-resolution digital camera. The results (see Figure 9.2(a)) need to be analyzed in order to assess various root characteristics, such as number of branches, length of the main branches, and average length of the small branches. In Section 9.4.7, we shall present a method that extracts a simplified representation of the plant's roots from the image. For this type of analysis, one often requires the input images to have a high contrast. Histogram equalization lets us perform this type of enhancement (see Figure 9.2). Comparing the logarithmic scale histograms of the two images (see Figure 9.2(a-b) bottom), we see that the image luminances, which were confined to a narrow area in the original image (see Figure 9.2(a)), get spread almost evenly over the complete luminance range [0,1] after the equalization (see Figure 9.2(b)). Looking carefully at the histogram, we notice that the result is not a perfect horizontal line. This is a hard-to-avoid effect that is due to the fact we are computing histograms of discrete image.

```
image [NX] [NY];
                          //The input image
int
void histogram (int image [NX] [NY], int h [SIZE])
ł
  for (int i=0; i < SIZE; i++) h[i]=0;
  for (int i=0; i \ll X; i++)
    for (int j=0; i \lt NY; j++)
      h[image[i]]++;
}
void equalize (int input [NX] [NY], int output [NX] [NY])
{
  int h[SIZE];
                              //The histogram
  int f[SIZE];
                              //The transfer function
  histogram (input, h);
                              //Compute the histogram
  for(int i=0;i<SIZE;i++)
                              //Compute transfer function
  {
    f[i] = 0;
    for (int j=0; j \le i; j++) f[i] += h[j];
    f[i] *= SIZE - 1;
  }
  for (int i=0; i < NX; i++)
                              //Apply transfer function
    for (int j=0; j < NY; j++)
      output[i][j] = f[input[i][j]];
}
```

Listing 9.1. Histogram computation and equalization.

Listing 9.1 sketches the code for computing an image histogram and using it for histogram equalization. Here, images are represented, for simplicity, as two-dimensional integer arrays of size $NX \times NY$ pixels, where each pixel can have 0...SIZE - 1 different gray values. The histogram of some input image is computed and stored in an integer array int h[SIZE]. Next, we use this histogram to construct the transfer function

$$f(x) = (SIZE - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{x} h[i]$$
(9.1)

that will perform the histogram equalization [Myler and Weeks 93]. Finally, we apply this function to all pixels of the input image.

Another use of histogram equalization is in applications where we need to compare similar images taken under slightly different illuminations or with different exposure settings. By equalizing the images, direct luminance comparison is much easier to do.

9.3.3 Gaussian Smoothing

Many applications use images that are acquired by scanning, photography, or video sources. In all these cases, the image data inevitably contains a small amount of *noise*. There are several ways to characterize noise. Consider, for example, a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Noise can be described as rapid variations of high amplitude, or regions where higher-order derivatives of f have large values. In signal theory, noise is characterized by its frequency. Every continuous periodic function f(x) with period T can be written as the sum or superposition of a potentially infinite set of sine and cosine waves of increasingly higher frequencies and decreasing amplitudes, or strengths:

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(\omega_n x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \cos(\omega_n x),$$
 (9.2)

where the coefficients a_n, b_n and the frequencies ω_n of the individual wave components $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are defined by

$$\omega_n = n \frac{2\pi}{T},\tag{9.3}$$

$$a_n = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T f(t) \sin(\omega_n t) dt, \qquad (9.4)$$

$$b_n = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T f(t) \cos(\omega_n t) dt.$$
(9.5)

Equation (9.2) is also called the *Fourier series* expansion of the function f. For smooth functions, it can be shown that, as n increases, the amplitudes a_n and b_n of the waves decrease. Moreover, the more terms (higher n) we use in the Fourier series, the better we approximate the original function f. This is illustrated in Figure 9.3. Here, we approximate a square wave f(x) consisting of increasing, followed by decreasing, blocks by a Fourier series with a finite number of terms. Clearly, the approximation with n - 24 terms (drawn in red) gets closer to the original signal (drawn in black) than an approximation using fewer (n = 10) terms. In both cases, however, the approximation cannot follow exactly the block-like shape of the original signals. The approximation error is apparent in the form of small-scale wavy patterns, which are known in signal processing as *ringing artifacts*.

Looking at the graphs at the right of Figure 9.3, we notice that the higher coefficients a_n and b_n have quite small values compared to the first coefficients.



Figure 9.3. Fourier approximation (drawn in red) of a square pulse signal (drawn in black). Approximation with n = 10 terms (top) and n = 24 terms (bottom). The values of the coefficients a_n and b_n are shown in the right images.

Fourier transform. What if the signal is not periodic? Such a signal can be thought of as having an infinite period $T \to \infty$. This will yield a Fourier representation with wave components of extremely densely spaced frequencies ω_n (see Equation (9.3)). In practice, this means that a_n and b_n are no longer discrete sequences of amplitudes, but will become continuous functions of ω , e.g., $A(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$. This representation, which associates two continuous realvalued functions $A(\omega), B(\omega)$ to a given spatial function f(x), is called the *Fourier* transform of f(x), and can be denoted compactly as a single two-valued function $F(\omega) = (A(\omega), B(\omega))$. In practice, we usually have nonperiodic signals, so the Fourier transform is used instead of the Fourier series. The Fourier transform is invertible, i.e., we can use $F(\omega)$ to compute f(x). Finally, discrete versions of both the direct and inverse Fourier transform exist for sampled signals [Ambardar 06]. For efficient, freely available software implementations of these, we refer the reader to the online resources in [Frigo 14].

The Fourier transform is an extremely useful instrument for understanding, as well as processing, signals. Generalizing from the previous example, it can be shown that small-scale, rapidly changing noise corresponds in the frequency space to nonzero coefficients a_n or b_n for high values of n (for periodic signals), or equivalently high $A(\omega)$ or $B(\omega)$ for high ω values (for nonperiodic signals). Intuitively, this can be explained as small-scale noise corresponding to signals that have short periods T, which means signals with high frequencies ω .

Now that we understand this, we get an idea of how we can filter, or remove, noise. Given a signal, removing noise of a frequency within a given frequency band $[\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max}]$ means removing, or reducing the amplitude of, the Fourier transform for $\omega \in [\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max}]$. This can be done by multiplying the Fourier transform components A, B with a suitable transfer function $\Phi(\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$, which keeps the values outside the frequency band to filter but damps those in the band. Given such a frequency transfer function Φ , filtering a function f can be done by the following algorithm:

- **1.** Compute the Fourier transform $F = (A(\omega), B(\omega))$ of f.
- **2.** Multiply F by the transfer function Φ to obtain a new $G = F \cdot \Phi$.
- 3. Compute the inverse Fourier transform G^{-1} to get the filtered version of f.

This method, called frequency filtering, is effective when we have a simple and compact representation of the desired filtering in the frequency domain in terms of our frequency transfer function $\Phi(\omega)$. However, in practice, it is quite expensive (and complex) to implement filtering in this way, as this involves one direct and one inverse Fourier transform, which each have a complexity of $N^2 \log N$ for a discrete dataset with N samples. In many cases, there is, luckily, a simpler way to perform filtering. This different method is based on a fundamental signal processing result called the *convolution theorem*. We define the convolution of two continuous signals f(x) and g(x) as a new function

$$(f*g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x-t)dt.$$
(9.6)

For discrete datasets $\{f_i\}$ and $\{g_i\}$, where $\leq i \leq N$, the discrete convolution is defined similarly as

$$(f * g)_i = \sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k g_{N+i-k}.$$
(9.7)

Convolution for filtering. If we denote the Fourier transforms of f and g by F and G, the convolution theorem says that the Fourier transform of the convolution f * g equals the product $F \cdot G$ of the corresponding Fourier transforms F and G. Having this result, we immediately see that the filtering operation can now be implemented much more easily by simply computing the convolution $f * \phi$ of the desired input signal f(x) with the filter function $\phi(x)$, which is the inverse Fourier transform of the frequency transfer function $\Phi(\omega)$. Using convolutions to filter signals has the main advantage of computing the filter function ϕ from the frequency transfer function Φ , we simply convolve the input signal f with ϕ to filter it.

In practice, one can classify such filter functions Φ by the type of frequency band they damp as

- low-pass filters: increasingly damp frequencies above some maximal ω_{max} ;
- high-pass filters: increasingly damp frequencies below some maximal ω_{\min} ;
- band-pass filters: damp frequencies within some band $[\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max}]$.

To remove noise, which is of high frequencies, we must use the first type of filter. One of the most-used low-pass filters has, as a frequency transfer function, a Gaussian function Φ centered at the origin. It can be shown that its inverse Fourier transform $\phi(x) = F^{-1}(\Phi(\omega))$ is also a Gaussian function, or

$$F(e^{-ax^2}) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{-\pi^2 \omega^2/a}.$$
(9.8)

Hence, we can implement low-pass filtering in order to remove high-frequency noise artifacts simply by convolving the input signal, e.g., the image, with a Gaussian function. The width a of the Gaussian filter, in function space, controls the amount of smoothing. Using a high a value yields a slowly decaying Gaussian $\Phi(\omega)$, i.e., performs little or no filtering. Using a low a value yields a sharply decaying Gaussian $\Phi(\omega)$, i.e., performs strong filtering. As a practical rule of thumb, the value 1/a is a good estimate of the size of the noise features that this filtering removes. In practice, the Gaussian $\phi(x)$ will reach very low values beyond a certain distance x. For efficiency, we simply threshold it to zero at that distance, hence we limit the number of samples N used to discretize it (see Equation (9.7)). The more smoothing we want, the slower ϕ will decay, hence the larger the filter size N will be. Figure 9.4 illustrates filtering an image using a Gaussian filter. The left image was corrupted artificially by adding random noise to it. The size of the noise features is around two pixels. The right image shows the result after smoothing the image with a Gaussian filter with a width 1/a equal to 10 pixels. Clearly, the added noise is removed successfully, as its size is smaller than the filter width. However, if we compare the filtered image with the original image before the addition of noise (see Figure 9.1 (left)), we notice that small-scale details, such as sharp image edges, have also been smoothed out together with the noise.

In order to preserve image details but still remove undesired noise, more advanced filters can be used. A first enhancement to the Gaussian filters described previously is to modulate the strength of the filtering, i.e., the width a of the Gaussian function in Equation (9.8), with an estimation of the number of image details at the current point. In this way, image areas containing significant



Figure 9.4. (a) Noisy image. (b) Result after filtering with a Gaussian filter.

details will be filtered less, while areas containing fewer details, thus potentially more noise, will be filtered more. Different ways to estimate the presence of details in the image exist. A widely used method defines details as the presence of luminance edges, which can be detected as described next in Section 9.3.4. A second enhancement of the preceding selective filtering is to bias not only the filter strength, but also its *shape*, such that the smoothing is stronger in the direction of the image edges, and weak across the edges. This technique strengthens the image edges while, in the same time, removing small-scale noise in relatively smooth parts of the image. These techniques are known as *anisotropic imagefiltering techniques* [Perona and Malik 90]. For details on their implementation, we refer to the specialized literature on image processing [Perona and Malik 90, Weickert 98].

9.3.4 Edge Detection

Edges are important visual elements in images. Informally speaking, edges in 2D images can be defined as 1D curves that separate image regions of different luminance, for grayscale images, or hues, for color images. Detecting edges in images is an important step in the process of extracting shapes from unstructured image data (see Section 9.4).

To better understand the issues involved in detecting edges, consider the one-dimensional equivalent of grayscale images (see Figure 9.5). Here, we have a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that has constant low and high values except for a narrow



Figure 9.5. Edge detection using image derivatives. Image (top), first derivative (middle), and second derivative (bottom). Edges correspond to maxima of the first derivative or, alternatively, zero-crossings of the second derivative.

band of width δ , which corresponds, in grayscale images, to the region where edges are localized. This region corresponds to an area where the first derivative f' of the function is maximal. This leads us to the first method of computing edges in images: Given an image I, compute the image gradient ∇I , which is equivalent to the first derivative, and select the points where the gradient magnitude is maximal. In practice, this is implemented by selecting the points where $\|\nabla I\|$ exceeds a certain lower threshold:

$$\|\nabla I(x,y)\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\right)^2}.$$
(9.9)

Gradient-based edge detection. Given a discrete image I_{ij} , where (i, j) denote the integer coordinates of a given pixel, we must be able to estimate the gradient magnitude. Naturally, this involves a discrete estimation of the image derivatives. Given that image datasets use piecewise constant interpolation, as explained earlier in this chapter, the partial derivatives at some pixel center (i, j) can be



Figure 9.6. Edge detection. (a) Original image and (b–f) several edge detectors. Edge strength is mapped to image luminance.

estimated as follows (see Section 3.7):

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(i,j) = I_{i+1j} - I_{ij},
\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(i,j) = I_{ij+1} - I_{ij}.$$
(9.10)

Using the expressions of the partial derivatives from Equation (9.10) and Equation (9.9), we can directly compute an estimation of the gradient magnitude to find edges. Figure 9.6(b) demonstrates the gradient-based edge detector for the rice roots image (Figure 9.6(a)) used to demonstrate the histogram equalization technique in Section 9.3.2. The strength of the edges is mapped to the image luminance: white denotes strong edges, while black denotes no edges.

In practice, many variations exist of edge detectors that use the first derivative of the image intensity. Several of the most popular first-order derivative image detectors, sometimes also called edge detection *operators*, are briefly outlined next.

Roberts operator. The *Roberts operator* computes edges by lower thresholding a variant of the gradient magnitude given by

$$R(i,j) = \sqrt{(I_{i+1j+1} - I_{ij})^2 + (I_{i+1j} - I_{ij+1})^2}.$$
(9.11)

Essentially, the Roberts operator computes the gradient magnitude estimated for a coordinate system rotated at 45 degrees with respect to the standard (i, j)system. Figure 9.6(c) illustrates the Roberts edge detector. Several variations of the Roberts operator consider the sums of the absolute values of the partial derivatives [Schalkoff 89] or the maximum of these absolute values.

However simple to implement and efficient to compute, the various versions of the Roberts operator can be quite sensitive to small-scale image noise, since they essentially consider only three or four pixels, i.e., two-by-two neighborhoods, when estimating the value of the edge detector for one given pixel. In practice, the effects of small-scale image noise manifest themselves in the edge detection process by having several disconnected, spurious false positives, i.e., pixels that are erroneously detected as being part of edges, or false negatives, i.e., pixels that are part of edges but are not found as such. A general-purpose method for improving the robustness of edge detection, or for that matter of any other image-processing operation involving derivatives, is to remove small-scale noise. This corresponds to removing sharp image variations, i.e., high-frequency image components. This can be done by filtering, or smoothing, the image with a Gaussian filter of small kernel, as explained in Section 9.3.3, prior to the derivative computation. However, as is usually the case, Gaussian smoothing also removes small-scale details, which means thin objects with spatially close edges, such as the thin roots in Figure 9.6, may be discarded.

Sobel operator. A complementary technique for stabilizing edge detection in the presence of noise is to use larger neighborhoods when estimating first-order derivatives. One such technique is known as the *Sobel operator* [Sobel and Feldman 73]. This involves estimating the image partial derivatives as

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(i,j) = I_{i+1j-1} + 2I_{i+1j} + I_{i+1j+1} - I_{i-1j-1} - 2I_{i-1j} - I_{i-1j+1},$$

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(i,j) = I_{i+1j+1} + 2I_{ij+1} + I_{i-1j+1} - I_{i+1j-1} - 2I_{ij-1} - I_{i-1j-1}.$$
(9.12)

Just as for the gradient magnitude detectors, the Sobel edge detector can use either the square root of the partial derivatives estimated using Equations (9.12) or the maximum of their absolute values. The Sobel operator is illustrated in Figure 9.6(d).

Prewitt operator. An alternative detector is the *Prewitt operator* [Prewitt and Mendelsohn 66], which estimates the image partial derivatives as

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(i,j) = I_{i+1j-1} + I_{i+1j} + I_{i+1j+1} - I_{i-1j-1} - I_{i-1j} - I_{i-1j+1},$$

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(i,j) = I_{i+1j+1} + I_{ij+1} + I_{i-1j+1} - I_{i+1j-1} - I_{ij-1} - I_{i-1j-1}.$$
(9.13)

The Prewitt operator is illustrated in Figure 9.6(e).

However, a problem with most of the edge detectors that use first-order derivatives is the setting of the threshold against which the image gradient magnitude is checked. In most images, edges do not separate regions of equally high luminance differences, or contrasts. Hence, choosing the threshold to use can be quite delicate. If we do set the threshold too high, lower maxima corresponding to weaker edges pass undetected. If we set it too low, we obtain "thick edges" instead of pixel-thin ones. To help choose such thresholds, histogram techniques can be used on the gradient magnitude. Another approach in computing image edges is using higher-order image derivatives, as presented next.

Laplacian-based edge detection. Using higher-order derivatives to detect edges in images has several advantages as compared to finding the maxima of the image gradient magnitude. To illustrate this, consider again Figure 9.5. The bottom image depicts the second derivative f'' of the given function. The point of highest variation speed of the function, i.e., maximum of its first derivative, coincides with the point where f'' = 0, or the zero crossing of the second derivative. Searching for these zero crossings corresponds to finding the center points of the original image edges. In the case of two-dimensional images I(x, y), this corresponds to finding the points where the absolute value of the image Laplacian $|\Delta I(x, y)| = \left|\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial y^2}\right|$ is equal to zero. Notice that, in contrast to the image gradient, which is a vector indicating the direction in which the image luminance has maximal change, the image Laplacian is a scalar value. In practice, finding edges using the image Laplacian is implemented by selecting the points where $|\Delta I(x, y)|$ exceeds a certain lower threshold.

Just as for the gradient estimation, we must compute the image Laplacian given a discrete image. For a one-dimensional signal f(x), the second-order

derivative can be discretely approximated by $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = f_{i+1} - 2f_i + f_{i-1}$. It can be shown that the Laplacian of a discrete image can be approximated by

$$\Delta I(i,j) = 4I_{ij} - I_{i+1j} - I_{i-1j} - I_{ij+1} - I_{ij-1}.$$
(9.14)

Estimating the image Laplacian involves computing second-order derivatives, which is a more sensitive operation with respect to image noise than computing first-order derivatives. Indeed, the higher the order of the derivative that we estimate, the stronger the exacerbation of high frequencies present in the image. However, if a robust Laplacian estimation is available, finding edges is easier, since we can now look for its zero crossings. While thresholding the gradient magnitude may skip edge pixels or deliver thick edges, looking for the zero crossings of the Laplacian yields pixel-thin edges. The Laplacian edge detection is illustrated in Figure 9.6(f). If we compare this image to the various first-order derivative edge detectors discussed so far (see Figures 9.6(b–e)), we see that the former yields thin edges.

A last remark concerns the size of the neighborhoods involved in the various edge estimators discussed so far. The Sobel and Prewitt operators use 3×3 pixel neighborhoods to estimate first-order image derivatives, which are more stable compared to the 2×2 neighborhoods used by the Roberts operator. In terms of signal processing, it can be shown that the Sobel and Prewitt operators correspond to estimating derivatives on smoothed versions of the original image [Jain et al. 95]. In contrast, the Laplace operator uses a 3×3 neighborhood too, but this does imply signal smoothing: Estimating second-order derivatives requires larger neighborhoods by definition. If we want to perform additional smoothing when using Laplacian edge estimators, this involves using neighborhoods of a size exceeding 3×3 . An example is the Marr-Hildreth operator, which is equivalent to computing the Laplacian of an image presmoothed with a Gaussian filter [Jain et al. 95], which uses a 5×5 pixel neighborhood. Since Gaussian smoothing eliminates high-frequency information, this type of operator yields more stable edge detection than directly applying the Laplacian.

9.4 Shape Representation and Analysis

So far, we have treated images as uniform 2D datasets with scalar, color, and vector data attributes. As we saw in the previous section, this representation suitably supports a wide range of image-processing operations, such as image denoising, filtering, edge detection, and contrast manipulation. However, in many applications, we want to manipulate the information present in images at a higher

level than pixels. We can explain this best by means of an example. Consider an image that contains a 2D slice from a 3D volumetric medical dataset, such as the one shown in Figure 5.2. On such images, we can describe and identify areas of interest in terms of their scalar value. For example, certain anatomical structures share the same scalar value, or values located within a specific scalar range. In the first instance, we can try to extract such structures or *shapes* from image data using algorithms such as contouring and thresholding (see Sections 5.3 and 8.2). However, this scenario is of limited flexibility in two respects. First, local algorithms such as contouring and thresholding cannot detect and extract shapes that are based on complex, nonlocal definitions. Second, some applications require shape descriptions that are richer than just the set of cells contained in an unstructured grid created by a selection operation.

Shape representation and analysis is a research field concerned with models and methods for representing, extracting, and analyzing shapes. This field is situated at the crossroads of digital imaging, perception, computer vision, and computer graphics. In our discussion, a *shape* is defined to be a usually compact subset of a given 2D image that globally exhibits some properties. Typical properties include the shape geometry (form, aspect ratio, roundness, or squareness), the shape topology (genus, number, and ramification of the boundary protrusions), and the shape texture (color, luminance, shading). Shapes are usually characterized by a boundary and an interior. If we consider all pixels located inside a shape as having a boolean attribute value of *true* and all pixels located outside as having a value of *false* respectively, then we can define *boundary* pixels as being those interior pixels that have at least one neighboring exterior pixel. Interior and exterior pixels are also called *foreground* and *background pixels*, respectively. Such images having a boolean attribute value per pixel are also called *binary images*.

Binary images can be created from other representation types, such as closed polygonal meshes or implicit functions, by a process known as *voxelization*. Given a uniform grid representing the image space, voxelization assigns a foreground or background value to each voxel depending on whether the corresponding point is located inside, respectively outside the given input surface. Since the above test can be done in parallel for all voxels, this process can be massively accelerated using graphics hardware. A well-known and easy-to-use open-source tool for producing voxel models of polygonal meshes using OpenGL acceleration is *binvox* [Nooruddin and Turk 03].

The pipeline of a typical application using shape analysis is shown in Figure 9.7. First, images are acquired, e.g., using scanning or photographic technology. Next, low-level imaging operations such as the ones described in Sec-



Figure 9.7. Imaging and shape analysis pipeline.

tion 9.3 are applied to remove noise and prepare the image for shape extraction. In the shape extraction step, various properties of the shape (geometry, topology, texture) are used to detect and separate one or several shapes from the raw 2D image. Finally, these shapes are further analyzed to extract high-level, application-specific information. Figure 9.7 illustrates this for a computer-vision application used to analyze human silhouettes. Images acquired from a video camera are processed with an edge-detector operation to separate sharp luminance transitions that correspond to silhouettes projected on a dull background. Next, a segmentation algorithm uses these edges to extract the largest region in the image that corresponds to these edges (see Section 9.4.1). Finally, the extracted region is reduced to a 1D structure called a *skeleton*, which can be further analyzed to detect whether the silhouette corresponds to a human figure (see Section 9.4.6).

Shape representation and analysis is an increasingly important research area of data visualization. Given the complexity of datasets and represented phenomena and the growing amounts of data, visualization applications are increasingly designed to be capable of filtering high-volume, low-level, unstructured datasets into low-volume datasets containing high amounts of information. In many cases, this filtering corresponds precisely to a process of detecting and analyzing patterns and shapes in the data. For example, in medical applications, vascular structures are segmented from MRI images, analyzed, and simplified to yield a tree-like representation. In other applications, anatomical shapes are automatically extracted from similar images. Further shape classification is used to detect, e.g., whether these shapes are healthy or not. In flow visualization, shape analysis can be used to detect and classify vortex structures.

In the following sections, we describe several frequently used techniques in the process of extracting and analyzing shapes from 2D images.
9.4.1 Basic Segmentation

As explained at the beginning of Section 9.4, one of the first operations in shape analysis is the extraction of shapes from a given input image. The first step in extracting such shapes is to *segment* or *classify* the image pixels into those belonging to the shapes of interest, also called *foreground pixels*, and the remainder, also called *background pixels*.

Segmentation is strongly related to the operation of selection, which selects certain dataset points or cells based on their properties (see Section 8.2).² Segmentation can be thought of as a dataset operation that creates a new boolean data attribute that has the value *true* for foreground pixels and *false* for background ones. The segmentation criterion has to encode domain-specific knowledge. For example, if we know that our foreground shapes have a certain luminance, we segment the image using a luminance threshold or, for better robustness, a luminance range. Hue can be used if we know the shapes we are looking for have specific hues. Many other information types can be used for segmentation. Edge detection (see Section 9.3.4) can be used to find the foreground-background boundaries, followed by a flood-fill operation to identify pixels enclosed by these boundaries. In addition to hue and luminance, other attributes can be used to discriminate foreground from background pixels, such as type of texture, shading, or the values of additional datasets defined on the same domain.

In this section, we shall detail *luminance-based segmentation*, which is a widely used segmentation method used in practice. An important question is how to set the threshold parameters in order to obtain an accurate segmentation. This is a very difficult problem in practice, since shapes in acquired images often have soft, fuzzy borders and a nonuniform luminance and hue. A useful tool in setting the thresholds is the image histogram, introduced in Section 9.3.2. For a grayscale image, for example, the histogram shows the number of image pixels that have a certain luminance. Histogram peaks indicate high numbers of pixels with similar luminances, which can in turn indicate the presence of particular structures in the image. Figure 9.8 demonstrates this. The top row shows three grayscale images of an MRI scan slice having values between 0 and 255. The middle row shows the luminance histogram. The bottom row shows the same luminance histogram, this time normalized on a logarithmic scale to emphasize small values. The left image (Figure 9.8(a) top) shows the original image. We see here a large number of gray pixels of average luminance that correspond to soft tissues such as skin and muscles. In the histogram (Figure 9.8(a) bottom), these correspond to the pronounced peak in the middle of

 $^{^{2}}$ In some sense, selection can be seen as a *tool* that helps in the segmentation *task*.



Figure 9.8. Histogram-based image thresholding. The red rectangles on the histograms indicate the selected value range.

the image. Selecting pixels with grayscale values in the range [70, 90] produces the image in Figure 9.8(b) top, which shows the soft tissues. Selecting pixels with grayscale values above some higher threshold, e.g., 180, produces the image in Figure 9.8(c) top, which corresponds to the hard (bone) tissue. In the previous example, we used the image histogram as a visual guide for setting the segmentation thresholds. This procedure still requires a fair amount of trialand-error, being far from automated. Several more advanced methods analyze the histogram in order to automatically detect segmentation thresholds that are optimal from several points of view, e.g., entropy minimization [Jansing et al. 99, Tobias and Seara 02]. For images which we assume that contain two classes of pixels, distinguished by luminance, or in other words whose luminance histogram has two well-separated modes or peaks, Otsu's thresholding method is frequently used to separate the two classes [Otsu 79, Gonzalez and Woods 02]. This method iterates through all allowed threshold values, and selects the one which separates pixels (above, respectively below, the threshold) into two groups having minimal intraclass variance. For more information on thresholding images, see Fisher et al. [Fisher et al. 96]. Finally, let us mention that similar segmentation methods are used for extracting volumetric structures from three-dimensional datasets. For example, anatomical structures consisting of mainly one type of tissue can be extracted by analyzing the density values obtained from a CT or MRI scan.

9.4.2 Advanced Segmentation

Apart from the simple threshold-based image segmentation presented in Section 9.4.1, many more advanced segmentation techniques have been developed, for both 2D and 3D images. In this section we give an overview of several types of such methods. Although limited in scope, this overview introduces several alternatives to threshold-based segmentation, and also outlines the relative strengths and limitations of these techniques.

To introduce these methods, let us consider the following practical problem grounded in the medical-imaging domain. Melanoma is one of the most lethal forms of skin cancer, with growing incidence throughout the world [Christensen et al. 10]. Malignant melanocytic lesions emerge from benign *naevi*, more popularly known as skin moles, due to a set of growth and environmental factors. Early detection of *naevi* which potentially grow into melanoma is key to an efficient and effective treatment of the disease [Devita et al. 01]. Typically, this procedure involves the acquisition of high-resolution dermatoscopic skin images of the lesions, and manual analysis and diagnosis of the structures present in the acquired images. This highly time-consuming process can be partially automated by computer-based tools that (a) accurately segment the skin lesion from surrounding skin tissue, and (b) analyze the segmented image to classify the structure into benign (thus not requiring further manual examination) or potentially malignant (thus requiring specialist attention).

For such an automated process to succeed, the accuracy and speed of the first segmentation step is of crucial importance. Figure 9.9(a) shows a typical skin image (1936 by 2592 pixels) acquired with a portable Handyscope dermatoscopic microscope during routine clinical screening. Figure 9.9(b) shows a manual segmentation which can be used as input for further semiautomatic diagnosis tools. Creating this segmentation took a few minutes to a trained medical specialist. Challenges for both manual and automatic segmentation reside in the fuzzy (imprecise) lesion contours, nonuniform image hue and luminance over the lesion, and the several occluding hairs present in the image. Figures 9.9(c-f) illustrate the results produced by seven different automatic image segmentation methods on the input image. These methods are described below.

Snakes. An intuitive way to delimit a shape from a surrounding image is to construct a closed contour that tightly wraps around the shape. Such contours, also called *snakes* or *active contours*, can be constructed as follows. First, we initialize a 1D curve C that certainly surrounds the target shape—for instance, by constructing the curve to follow the boundary of the input image. The curve



Figure 9.9. Examples (c–h) of advanced segmentation methods applied to a dermatoscopic skin image (a). Image (b) shows a manual segmentation. (Data and lesion analysis courtesy of D. Boda and A. Diaconeasa, University "Carol Davila," Bucharest, Romania.)

 \mathcal{C} , and its change in time, are represented parametrically, i.e., as a function $\mathcal{C}(s,t): [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^2$. The parameter s describes the position along the curve. The parameter t describes the curve evolution in time. This snake model is called *explicit* or *parametric*, in contrast to the implicit or geometric model described later in Section 9.4.2.

Next, we move \mathcal{C} to minimize an energy functional $E : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined over the spatial extent of the input image I, by solving the equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(s,t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla E \cdot \mathbf{n}(s,t). \tag{9.15}$$

Here, $\mathbf{n}(s, t)$ gives the inward normal of C at (s, t). Equation 9.15 is solved by discretizing C and next iteratively moving all resulting sample points as indicated. The motion stops when E reaches a minimum point, i.e., when the snake positions at two consecutive iterations are very close to each other. During advection, snakes need to be resampled to ensure a uniform fine-scale discretization of C that, in turn, is needed to capture small-scale details. By projecting the steepest descent ∇E along \mathbf{n} , we ensure that, as the curve shrinks, we have as little tangential motion as possible. This in turn decreases the need for resampling.

The energy E typically contains an internal component, which further can be split into several terms that ensure that the curve (a) is sufficiently smooth, (b) does not stretch too much, (c) does not have self-intersections, and (c) it encloses a surface whose area is within a given range [Kass et al. 88]. The second energy term is an external component that attracts the curve to edges, or similar features, that appear on the boundary of the target shape. For grayscale images, the external energy can be expressed as $-\|\nabla I\|^2$.

One challenge of snake models is the design of an external energy that attracts snakes being far away from the targeted edge features. To achieve this, the gradient field $-\|\nabla I\|^2$ can be diffused over the entire image, thus making each image points "see" its closest edge. This method, called the *gradient vector flow* (GVF) [Xu and Prince 97], ensures that snake initialization far away from the segmentation target is not critical, and also enables snakes to follow concavities of the edge map, which is required when we want to segment non-convex shapes, such as in our case in Figure 9.9. An additional useful step is to preprocess the input image by Gaussian filter, in order to remove small-scale image noise that creates local energy minima which, in turn, adversely affect the snake's convergence.

Figure 9.9(a) shows the result of the GVF method applied by using an edge map computed using the wavelet transform [Parolin et al. 10]. As visible, the red snake contour captures the lesion extent quite well. However, the method requires sensitive tuning for images that exhibit both fuzzy and sharp borders. Also, the Gaussian filtering done as preprocessing removes small-scale image details, making the resulting segment border potentially too smooth. Finally, if we wish to segment N > 1 shapes in an image, the method requires us to know N, and also to correctly initialize each snake to surround each of the N shapes before executing the segmentation.

Normalized cuts. Another approach to image segmentation that partitions the input image into N segments, where N is a known value, is provided by *normalized cuts* [Shi and Malik 00]. This method also works in a top-down fashion. The image I is reduced to a graph G = (V, E). For each image pixel, we construct a graph vertex $v \in V$. Edges $e = (u \in V, v \in V) \in E$ encode the likelihood that two pixels u, v are in the same edge segment, or the similarity of the two pixels. To model this, this, we set for each edge (u, v) a weight

$$w(u,v) = e^{K \|\mathbf{F}(u) - \mathbf{F}(v)\|^2} * \begin{cases} e^{K \|\mathbf{X}(u) - \mathbf{X}(v)\|^2} & \text{if } \|\mathbf{X}(u) - \mathbf{X}(v)\| < r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(9.16)

Here, **X** represent the 2D positions of the pixels corresponding to the graph nodes. **F** are the image components of the pixels, such as intensity (for grayscale images) or RGB or HSV color components for color images. The threshold r,

typically set to a few pixels, indicates that only pixels close to each other are linked by edges. As pixels get further apart from each other, either in 2D space or in feature (intensity or color) space, their similarity decreases.

After constructing the graph G, we aim to partition, or cut, it into parts which are highly similar internally but highly dissimilar with respect to each other. To measure the quality of a cut that partitions G into two parts A, B (so that $A \cup B = G, A \cap B = \emptyset$) that satisfy our similarity requirements outlined above, we use the *normalized cut* metric given by

$$Ncut(A,B) = \frac{\sum_{u \in A, v \in B} w(u,v)}{\sum_{u \in A, v \in V} w(u,v)} + \frac{\sum_{u \in A, v \in B} w(u,v)}{\sum_{u \in B, v \in V} w(u,v)}.$$
(9.17)

The numerator of the two terms expresses how similar are the two parts A and B. The denominators normalize the metric, thus preventing cuts which "chop off" small graph parts from larger parts to which they are highly similar. Finding the partition (A, B) that minimizes Ncut given by Equation 9.17 can be efficiently done by eigenanalysis methods, for which we refer to [Shi and Malik 00]. Once such a cut is found, the graph (and thus image) is split into two parts. Next, the algorithm can be recursively applied on each resulting part until the resulting parts are either too small, a maximum user-prescribed value of Ncut is reached, or a user-given number of segments N has been obtained.

Figure 9.9(d) shows the result of the normalized cut method on our skin image.³ To compare pixels, we used luminance similarity, as shown by the background image. We notice that the method does not constrain in any way the shapes (and thus noisiness) of the segment borders, unlike the snakes method. However, the fuzziness of the lesion-skin boundary poses visible challenges to this method. We also see that the method is quite sensitive to small-scale details such as the hairs present in the image—the segment borders snap to these details, rather than crossing them. Finally, tuning the method's parameters (number of segments N in our case) can separate the lesion as one block from the surrounding skin, but in the same time oversegments the skin area.

Normalized cuts is an attractive method when we have less constraints on the number, shapes, and boundary smoothness of the resulting segments, and when the segment borders are clearly reflected by the pixel-feature-wise similarity distance. Also, the method is effective when we want to compute a hierarchical top-down segmentation of the image into progressively smaller segments, rather than a single-level segmentation.

 $^{^3}Segmentation$ produced with the open-source normalized cut software implementation available at http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~jshi/software.

Mean shift. Yet another image representation is proposed by the *mean shift* segmentation method [Comaniciu and Meer 02]. Given an image I of n pixels having d color components c_1, \ldots, c_d per pixel (x, y), we regard the image as a d + 2dimensional point cloud $P = \{(x, y, c_1, \ldots, c_d)_i\}_i$. Next, we estimate the density $\rho : \mathbb{R}^{d+2} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ of P as

$$\rho(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k \left(\left\| \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h} \right\|^2 \right).$$
(9.18)

That is, we convolve the point positions \mathbf{x}_i with a parabolic radial kernel

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1\\ 0 & x > 1. \end{cases}$$
(9.19)

Having the density ρ , we next iteratively shift the points \mathbf{x}_i in the direction of the gradient $\nabla \rho$, and recompute the density ρ following Equation 9.18. Repeating the process for several tens of iterations effectively makes the points \mathbf{x}_i converge into their local density maxima. Finally, we cluster the final point set delivered by the mean shift procedure by grouping all points \mathbf{x}_i that are closer to each other than a user-prescribed small distance. Each point cluster will represent a segment of our input image I. Since the identities of the points do not change during the mean shift, we can trace back from points in a cluster to their original positions in 2D, and retrieve the spatial cluster extent. The cluster value in color space gives us the average segment color, if desired.

Figure 9.9(e) shows the mean shift segmentation applied to our skin image. Segment borders are shown in white. For each segment, we also show its average color. As visible, segment borders are highly detailed, similar to those produced by the normalized cuts method. However, just as for normalized cuts, borders that pass through low-contrast image areas tend to be quite arbitrary, so mean shift tends to work better for images where segments are separated by highcontrast edges. This is due to the fact that such points are half-way between several density maxima in the d + 2 dimensional density space. Thus, small color changes will perturb the density and thus pull points closely located in the density space into different clusters. Another challenge is controlling the number of clusters. While this can be done by tuning the kernel bandwidth h (larger kernels generate less clusters), the mean shift algorithm does not prescribe the segment count, in contrast to, e.g., normalized cuts.

Mean shift can be efficiently implemented for low dimensional spaces $(d + 2 \leq 3, \text{ e.g.})$, for segmenting grayscale 2D images), by evaluating both its most expensive component, the density estimation (Equation 9.18), and the relatively

cheaper mean shift itself, in parallel using GPU programming. Such an example is presented later in Section 11.4.2 for the task of simplified graph visualization by edge bundling.

Image foresting transform. Another segmentation method that treats the input image as a graph is the *image foresting transform* (IFT) method [Falcão et al. 04]. The method starts by constructing a graph G = (V, E) where each image pixel is a node, and pixel neighborhood relations create edges. The edges $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in E$ carry weights $w(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ which encode the Euclidean distance between their colors. Next, assume we can compute, for any path $\pi \subset G$, a positive cost $f(\pi)$. We define an optimal path ending at a node $v \in V$ as being the minimal-cost path from all possible paths over G that end at v. The IFT then computes an optimal path forest, or set of disjoint trees, that covers all nodes in G, so that each path in this forest is optimal in the above sense. Intuitively, the IFT partitions G into several disjoint subsets. Each subset has a root node (the root of each forest's trees) so that all nodes in that subset are closer to the root than to any other root.

The above general IFT framework can be effectively used for image segmentation as follows. First, we select a few pixels $\mathbf{x}_i \in I$ in the input image I, which we label by distinct-valued labels $L(\mathbf{i}) = i$. All other pixels are labeled with a value L = 0. Next, for all trivial paths consisting of a single pixel \mathbf{x} we use as cost function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\\ \infty & \text{if } L(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(9.20)

The cost of a path $\pi = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ to which we concatenate a node \mathbf{x} to yield the path $\pi' = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{x})$ is next defined as

$$f(\pi') = \max\left(f(\pi), w(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{x})\right). \tag{9.21}$$

Running the IFT on an image having a few labeled pixels will partition the image into disjoint segments whose pixels are similar with the labeled pixels. For details on the IFT implementation, which is nothing but a modified form of Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm, we refer to [Rauber et al. 13]. The labeled pixels are also the roots of the trees produced by the IFT. The segments can be found by assigning, to each unlabeled pixel, the label of the root of its optimal path delivered by the IFT. Intuitively, we can think of the IFT operation as finding minimal-cost paths, in terms of the image colors, that link all pixels with the labeled pixels. Segment borders occur naturally along curves along which the image color differences, encoded by the graph weights w, are highest. Given

that for P-labeled pixels we have exactly P trees in the IFT, we are guaranteed to obtain exactly P segments.

The IFT method can be further accelerated by using so-called *superpix-els* [Rauber et al. 13]. These are small-size image patches which contain similarcolor pixels, and which form a partition of the input image. Images can be reduced to superpixels by a variety of methods, such as applying mean-shift segmentation with a small kernel bandwidth or performing a bottom-up agglomerative clustering of similar-color neighbor pixels until small-size clusters are formed. The advantage of using superpixels is that the cost of the IFT decreases significantly, making interactive segmentations of images of a thousand pixel-squared sizes possible.

Figure 9.9(f) shows the result of the IFT segmentation on our skin image. To run this method, we need to label two pixels, one inside the skin tumor, and one outside it. The result shows a segmentation which is both very similar to the manually drawn one (like the GVF method's result) and also has a high level of local detail (like the mean shift result). By construction, since we have two labels, we always get exactly two segments. These are always compact since all pixels in a segment are connected to its root by contiguous paths. In contrast to the normalized cuts method, hair details do not create spurious segment borders, since the path cost function is cumulative, so it penalizes too long paths. The label assignment can be potentially automated. For instance, if we know that the tumor is always surrounded by normal skin, we can select one label as being close to the image boundary, and the second label as being a dark-colored pixel far away from the boundary.

Level sets. Level set methods pose an efficient and effective alternative to the parametric snake formulation described earlier in Section 9.4.2. The idea is to replace the explicit snake description as a function C(s,t) by the locus of zero values, or zero level set, of a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. In other words, $C(s,t) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | \phi(x,y,t) = 0\}$. If we choose ϕ so that it is always negative outside C and positive inside, the outward-pointing curve normal is thus $\mathbf{n} = -\nabla \phi / \|\nabla \phi\|$. Given this, the snake motion (Equation 9.15) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \|\nabla E\| \|\nabla \phi\|. \tag{9.22}$$

Equation 9.22 is also called the *level set evolution equation*, since it describes the evolution in time of the level sets of function ϕ . Solving this partial differential equation with initial conditions given by the function $\phi(x, y, t = 0)$ whose zero level-set describes the initial contour C(s, t = 0) yields the snake motion towards the minimization of the desired energy E.

Curves described by Equation 9.22 are also called *implicit*, or *geometric*, active contours—in contrast to the parametric, or explicit, active contours described earlier. Implicit active contours have several advantages: They can describe complex contour shapes, including contours consisting of multiple separate connected components. Topology changes during evolution, such as the appearance, disappearance, merging, or splitting or contours are captured transparently. There is no need for explicit contour resampling, as the contours are discretized implicitly by the spatial sampling of ϕ (usually, done on a uniform grid). However, solving Equation 9.22 robustly and efficiently poses several subtle challenges, such as numerical stability and ensuring that ϕ is always negative outside, respectively positive, inside its evolving contours. Solving such challenges is an advanced topic, for which we refer to [Li et al. 10].

Figure 9.9(g) shows the result of a level-set segmentation of our skin image, using the method described in [Li et al. 10].⁴ The resulting contour has a similar level-of-detail to the ones created by mean shift and the IFT. Similar to the GVF snakes, the method can be sensitive to local image edges such as those created by the occluding hairs.

Level set methods are very powerful image processing tools, used for more applications than segmentation. Later in this chapter we present additional level set applications for the efficient computation of distance transforms (Section 9.4.5) and shape skeletons (Section 9.4.6).

Threshold sets. The final segmentation method we present uses threshold sets. Given a grayscale image $I : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and a gray value $\tau > 0$, we define its threshold set

$$T_I(\tau) = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | I(x, y) \ge \tau \}.$$
(9.23)

That is, the threshold set $T_I(\tau)$ contains all image pixels of grayvalue equal or larger to τ . By construction, threshold sets for higher τ values thus include threshold sets for lower τ values. Given an 8-bit grayscale image I, the threshold sets $\{T_I(0), \ldots, T_I(255)\}$ represent a lossless encoding of the entire image. Indeed, we can reconstruct I by drawing all threshold sets $T_I(\tau)$, in increasing order of τ , and coloring pixels in each threshold set by its gray value τ .

We can now model segmentation as a lossy image encoding problem. Given a threshold set decomposition of an image I, we first define the relevance of a threshold set $T_I(\tau)$ as the difference $||I - \tilde{I}||$ between I and the image \tilde{I} reconstructed using all threshold sets except $T_I(\tau)$. By sorting threshold sets in decreasing relevance order, we next select the most relevant ones. These will

 $^{^4\}rm Segmentation$ produced with the open source implementation of [Li et al. 10] available at http://www.engr.uconn.edu/~cmli/DRLSE.

deliver an implicit segmentation of I into its most relevant, or visually salient, segments [van der Zwan et al. 13].

Figure 9.9(h) shows the threshold-set segmentation of our skin image. Here, we selected the three most relevant segments. The largest segment corresponds to the background, or normal skin, area. The remaining two segments give us two segmentations of the skin tumor. The light gray segment captures well the outer boundary of the tumor, or the brown region in Figure 9.9(a). The dark gray segment captures the inner low-luminance tumor area. In contrast to most methods presented earlier, the threshold-set segmentation is quite simple to implement, but is quite sensitive to small-scale image noise. For instance, we see in Figure 9.9(h) how both tumor segments are disconnected by the bright hair details located on a dark background.

9.4.3 Connected Components

In some applications, we must enforce several nonlocal properties on the pixels that constitute the result of a segmentation operation. If such pixels are to represent a shape, it is natural, in many applications, that they form a connected component. Moreover, it is desirable to enforce the additional constraint that the area of such a component be above a minimal threshold. This constraint eliminates small-scale spurious components that appear due to thresholding a noisy image.

Connected-component detection proceeds as follows. First, a segmentation operation separates the image into foreground and background pixels, as explained in Section 9.4.1. Let us, for simplicity, assume that the segmentation creates an integer attribute comp for the image dataset, where the background and foreground pixels are marked by the reserved values BACKGROUND and FOREGROUND, respectively. To hold the identifier of the next component to be found, we use an integer variable C, which we initialize to zero. Next, we scan the image, e.g., row by row. When we find the first FOREGROUND pixel, we set its comp value and that of all its direct and indirect FOREGROUND neighbors to C, increment C, and repeat the scanning until all FOREGROUND pixels are exhausted. At the core of the algorithm is the process of finding all FOREGROUND pixels that are direct or indirect neighbors to a given pixel. This operation, also known as *flood fill* in computer graphics, can be easily implemented using a stack data structure holding pixel coordinates. The code of the connected components algorithm is sketched in Listing 9.2. The floodFill function assumes pixels are connected, i.e., part of the same component, if they have the FOREGROUND value and are vertical or horizontal neighbors of a pixel already in the consid-

```
const int FOREGROUND = -1;
const int BACKGROUND = -2;
                             //image sizes
const int NX,NY;
void connectedComponents(int comp[NX][NY])
{
   int C=0;
                             //process all foreground pixels
   for (;;)
   ł
     bool cont = true;
     for(int i=0;i<NX && cont;i++)
       for (int j=0; j \leq NY \&\& cont; j++)
       if (comp[i][j]==FOREGROUND)
       ł
           floodFill(comp, i, j, C);
          C++; cont=false;
                             //all foreground pixels processed
     if (cont) break;
   }
}
void floodFill(int comp[NX][NY], int i, int j, int C)
{
   stack<pair<int, int>> s;
   s.push(make_pair(i,j));
                             //flood fill from (i,j)
   while (s. size ())
   ł
     int i = s.top().first;
     int j = s.top().second;
     s.pop();
     if (image [i] [j] = FOREGROUND) continue;
     image [ i ] [ j ]=C;
     s.push(make_pair(i-1,j));
     s.push(make_pair(i+1,j));
     s.push(make_pair(i, j-1));
     s.push(make_pair(i, j+1));
   }
}
```

Listing 9.2. Connected components detection.

ered component. This choice would not identify diagonally neighboring pixels as being connected, and would place them in different components. If we want components to be diagonally connected as well, we have to add four more **push** statements to the **floodFill** function, corresponding to the diagonal neighbors i - 1, j - 1; i - 1, j + 1; i + 1, j - 1; and i + 1, j + 1 of the current pixel i, j. It is also noteworthy to add that several speed and space optimizations can be applied to Listing 9.2 that have been omitted here for conciseness. For example,



Figure 9.10. Connected components.

the test image[i][j]!=FOREGROUND in floodFill can be more efficiently executed before pushing each new element on the stack than when popping it. This can significantly decrease the stack size.

After the function connectedComponents returns, the array comp contains every pixel marked by the nonnegative identifier of its connected component or the BACKGROUND value. Figure 9.10 shows the connected components for the segmented bone structures shown in Figure 9.8(c). Each component is color-coded by a different hue. We can now easily distinguish the largest component, marked in red, which corresponds to the cranial bone. If desired, we can now filter the components based on size. For this, we count the number of pixels in every connected component, e.g., using a scan-line traversal of the image or a flood fill from the first pixel belonging to that component, and next mark components whose size is below the desired threshold by the BACKGROUND value. Removing small connected components is also known as *island removal* [Schroeder et al. 06].

9.4.4 Morphological Operations

As we saw in the previous section, computing connected components and eventually removing the small ones that correspond to discretization noise is a powerful tool for extracting shape information from image data. However, noise can have another unpleasant effect that is not addressed by the small-size component removal. Figure 9.11 illustrates this. In this application, scientists are interested in studying the growth of the rice plant roots. The roots, grown in a half-transparent jelly, are photographed. The results (Figure 9.11(a)) needs to be analyzed in order to assess various root characteristics, such as number of branches, length of the main branches, and average length of the small branches.



Figure 9.11. Morphological operators. The image in (a) is segmented in (b). (c) Dilation and erosion are used to close holes. (d) The largest connected component is selected. (Data courtesy of R. Peikert, ETH Zürich.)

To accomplish this, we must extract the shape of the root using image-processing methods.

The first extraction step is a histogram-based segmentation using the image luminance (see Section 9.4.1). The result (see Figure 9.11(b)) shows many small disconnected components, corresponding to the fine branches, as well as two large round components in the upper part of the image, corresponding to impurities in the jelly. Directly applying connected-component analysis to extract the root structure is tempting, but problematic. The segmentation has created numerous small holes in the root structure that both separate the small branches from the stem but also fragment the main branches themselves. Extracting size-thresholded connected components will remove many important small components, yield a disconnected root structure, and also leave the two undesired large round structures.

We can solve these problems using a number of *morphological* image-processing operations. These operations involve a so-called *structuring element*, or foot-print. For 2D images, this is also a 2D image. Given a structuring element e and binary image I, a morphological operation produces a new image I' by translating the structuring element e over the pixels of I.⁵ The resulting image I' is generated as a function of the position of the structuring element with respect to the foreground pixels of I.

Dilation and erosion. Several types of morphological operations exist. We describe here two of the most important ones, called dilation and erosion. Dilation translates the structuring element over each pixel p of I. If the intersection between the element e translated at p, $T_p(e)$, and the foreground F(I) of I is not empty then p is marked as foreground; otherwise p remains background. The dilation of an image I using a structuring element e thus contains the foreground pixels given by

$$D_e(I) = \{ p \in I | T_p(e) \cap F(I) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

$$(9.24)$$

Of course, the result depends on the structuring element e. If we want to dilate an image isotropically, i.e., equally in all directions, we can use a disc with radius R as a structuring element. The dilation will then "inflate" the boundary of I's foreground at every point in a normal direction with a distance R. As a net effect, dilation thickens thin foreground regions, and also fills holes and closes background gaps that have a size smaller than the element size R.

Erosion is the opposite of dilation, and can also be thought of as translating a structuring element e over each pixel p of an image I. If the translated element at p, $T_p(e)$ is completely contained in the image foreground F(I) then p is marked as the foreground; otherwise p becomes the background. The erosion of an image I using a structuring element e yields thus the foreground pixels given by

$$E_e(I) = \{ p \in I | T_p(e) \subset F(I) \}.$$
(9.25)

Just as for dilation, a frequently used erosion element is a disc of radius R. The erosion of an image with such an element has the net effect of thinning the image

⁵This process is closely related to the convolution operation (see Section 9.3.3).

foreground, removing small connected components that have a diameter smaller than R, and also potentially breaking connected components into several parts at points where these are thinner than R.

Figure 9.11(c) shows the effect of dilation and erosion applied on the segmented binary image in Figure 9.11(b). First, a dilation with a disc structuring element of radius R = 15 pixels is done, followed by an erosion with a disc element of radius R = 5 pixels. Next, we remove all connected components except the largest one. The dilation has the desirable effect of inflating the thin root branches and also closing the small gaps between various branch components, yielding a far less-fragmented shape than after segmentation. The erosion has the effect of thinning branches that were excessively thickened by dilation. After these operations, the root structure is essentially a single connected component, which also has the largest area. Hence, the size-based connected component filtering removes all remaining spurious small-scale elements that have not been connected to the main structure by the inflation because of being too far away from it, and also removes the two large undesired round structures. At the end, we are left with a single connected component that captures well the shape of the plant roots (see Figure 9.11(d)). We shall discuss later in Section 9.4.7 how this shape can be processed further to obtain more information.

The combination of a dilation followed by an erosion operation is called morphological *closing*, and is used in practice to remove small background holes in binary images. The converse combination of an erosion followed by a dilation operation is called morphological *opening*, and is used in practice to remove small islands, or foreground components, from binary images. As in our example, both operations are usually part of more complex imaging pipelines in real-world applications.

9.4.5 Distance Transforms

As explained in the previous section, the dilation and erosion operations using a disc structuring element have the net effect of "shifting" the boundary of a binary image with a distance R outward or inward in a direction normal to the boundary itself. The concept of deforming a shape by shifting its boundary in a normal direction is closely related to another fundamental operation in image processing: distance transforms.

The distance transform DT of a binary image I is a scalar field that contains, at every pixel p of I, the minimal distance to the boundary of the foreground of I:

$$DT(p) = \min_{q \in F(I)} ||p - q||.$$
(9.26)

Different distance metrics can be used in Equation (9.26), such as the Euclidean or Manhattan, or farthest-point distance, leading to different types of distance transforms. The most-used metric, in practice, is the Euclidean distance.

The distance transform can be thought of in a broader context than discrete binary images. Given any shape $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that has a boundary $\partial\Omega$, the distance transform DT of Ω associates to every point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the minimal distance to $\partial\Omega$. Hence, DT of Ω is a scalar field defined over a domain embedded in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$DT(p) = \min_{q \in \partial \Omega} \|p - q\|.$$
(9.27)

Distance transforms can also be computed in the signed variant. The signed distance transform is identical to the unsigned one defined by Equation (9.27), but has positive values outside the object Ω and negative values inside. To compute the signed distance transform, the surface $\partial\Omega$ must be closed and orientable.

A related quantity is the *feature transform* [Cuisenaire and Macq 97]. Given a shape Ω , the feature transform FT associates to every point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the set of closest boundary points $q \in \partial \Omega$ to p:

$$FT(p) = \{q \in \partial\Omega | \|p - q\| = DT(p)\} = \underset{q \in \partial\Omega}{\arg\min} \|p - q\|.$$
(9.28)

Figure 9.12 illustrates the distance transform. Consider the binary image of a leaf. The shape Ω is shown in gray, its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is marked in black, and the background points are white (Figure 9.12(a)). Consider two points a and p, respectively outside and inside the leaf shape. The feature transform FT(a) of a is the point $b \in \partial\Omega$ that is the closest boundary point to a. The feature transform FT(p) is the set of two points $\{q_1, q_2\}$ that are the equally closest boundary points to p. The distance transforms of a and p are the lengths of the segments ab and pq_1 or pq_2 , respectively. The vectors connecting a point with all its feature points, e.g., $p - q_1$ and $p - q_2$ for p, are also called *feature vectors*. Feature vectors are always parallel with the gradient of the distance transform ∇DT , as both indicate shortest paths from the boundary $\partial\Omega$ to some given location inside Ω .

Figure 9.12(b) shows the (unsigned) distance transform of the leaf shape with a grayscale colormap, where black indicates the minimal (zero) distance and white the maximal distance to the leaf. Figure 9.12(c) shows the same distance transform, this time color-coded with a rainbow colormap, and only for the foreground image points. Figures 9.12(e–h) show the distance transform of the shape depicted in Figure 9.12(e), which represents a 3D scan of a shoulder blade bone (see also Section 8.3.2). Computing 3D distance transforms follows the



Figure 9.12. Distance and feature transforms of a 2D shape (a–c) and a 3D shape (d–h).

same definition given in Equation 9.27. However, visualizing them is more complicated, since we now have a 3D scalar field, or scalar volume. Figures 9.12(f–h) show three planar slices from our 3D distance transform, parallel to the axes xy, yz, and xz and located roughly in the middle of the object. Distance values are shown by a rainbow colormap, similarly to Figure 9.12(c). Object points located on the slice plane are indicated by white dots. As visible, especially in Figure 9.12(h), the values of the 3D distance transform along axis-aligned slices is *not* equal to the 2D distance transform of the shape points located in such slices. Figure 9.12(e) shows the entire 3D distance transform rendered as a half-transparent volume, using the same rainbow colormap, by the compositing volume rendering technique discussed later in Chapter 10, Section 10.2.6.

Distance transform properties. Distance and feature transforms have a multitude of applications in graphics and visualization. The feature transform can be used to find the closest points, or closest objects, to a given spatial point, which is useful when placing shapes in a space populated with several given objects, such as in path-planning applications. Distance transforms are closely related to the morphological operations of dilation and erosion. Consider the contour $C(\delta)$ of a signed distance transform for some value δ , i.e., the set of points

$$C(\delta) = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^n | DT(p) = \delta \}.$$
(9.29)

The contours C for positive values $\delta > 0$ correspond to inflations of the shape Ω with a disc structuring element of radius δ . Similarly, the contours C for negative values $\delta < 0$ correspond to erosions of the shape Ω with a disc structuring element of radius $-\delta$. These contours are also known in many applications as *level sets* [Sethian 96].

Figure 9.13 illustrates the concept of level sets. Given the binary shape in Figure 9.13(a), we compute its distance transform. Figure 9.13(b) shows several isolines $C_k = \{p | DT(p) = k\delta, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the distance transform for equally spaced values $0, \delta, k\delta, \ldots$. Figure 9.13(c) shows an elevation plot of the distance transform. Note that the isolines in Figure 9.13(b) are also equally spaced in the (x, y) plane. This is an important property that will be exploited later when designing efficient computation methods for distance transforms.



Figure 9.13. Level sets of the distance transform of a 2D shape. (a) Shape, (b) level sets, and (c) elevation plot of the distance transform.

Computing contours of the distance transform is useful when we want to inflate or shrink a geometric shape by a certain distance δ while keeping its overall appearance and aspect ratio (see for example Figure 9.13(b)). An important property of the level sets of distance transforms is that they naturally allow the inflated or shrunk shape to change topology without having to consider any special precautions. In contrast, if we were to perform the same inflation or shrinking operations, e.g., by translating the vertices of a sampled version of the shape boundary $\partial\Omega$ in normal direction, many problems appear. First, the surface can easily self-intersect for too large translations. Second, an evenly sampled surface quickly becomes nonuniformly sampled as points spread in convex surface areas and get closer in concave areas, an effect that adversely affects the representation quality. Finally, topological changes must be tracked and handled explicitly, which is a complex operation.

Distance and feature transforms can also be used to compare sets of shapes. Consider, for example, two shapes with boundaries $\partial \Omega_1$ and $\partial \Omega_2$ embedded in \mathbb{R}^n . To measure the difference between the two boundaries, we can use the metric

$$" diff(\partial\Omega_1, \partial\Omega_2) = \frac{\sum_{x \in \partial\Omega_2} DT_1(x)}{\|\partial\Omega_2\|} + \frac{\sum_{x \in \partial\Omega_1} DT_2(x)}{\|\partial\Omega_1\|} \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(9.30)

Here, DT_1 and DT_2 denote the distance transforms of the boundaries $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$, respectively. The function diff is zero only when the two boundaries are identical, i.e., $\partial\Omega_1 = \partial\Omega_2$, and takes progressively larger values for increasingly different boundaries. The normalization by the boundary lengths $\|\partial\Omega_1\|$ and $\|\partial\Omega_2\|$ ensures that the difference is scale-invariant, i.e., depends only on the relative positions and shapes of the two boundaries. Similarly, if we have two boundaries and we are interested to find the minimal distance (between their closest points), we can use

$$\min(\partial\Omega_1, \partial\Omega_2) = \min_{x \in \partial\Omega_1} DT_1(x) = \min_{x \in \partial\Omega_2} DT_2(x) \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(9.31)

Finding the closest points $x_1 \in \partial \Omega_1$ and $x_2 \in \partial \Omega_2$ which correspond to the minimal distance can be easily done using the features transforms of the two shapes. Similar designs can be used to find the furthest point in a shape from another given shape.

A related, and often-used metric, for comparing two shapes is the Hausdorff distance [de Berg et al. 00]. Given two shapes Ω_1 and Ω_2 , the Haudsorff distance $d_{\rm H}$ between them is defined as

$$d_{\rm H}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \max\left(\max_{x \in \Omega_1} \min_{y \in \Omega_2} \|x - y\|, \max_{y \in \Omega_2} \min_{x \in \Omega_1} \|x - y\|\right).$$
 (9.32)

Intuitively, $d_{\rm H}$ gives the maximum distance between the two shapes Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Using our distance transforms, we can easily compute $d_{\rm H}$ as

$$d_{\mathrm{H}}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \max\left(\max_{x \in \Omega_1} DT_2(x), \max_{y \in \Omega_2} DT_1(y)\right).$$
(9.33)

Intuitively, the first term in Equation 9.33 gives the furthest point of Ω_1 from Ω_2 , while the second term gives the furthest point of Ω_2 from Ω_1 . Compared to the metric given in Equation 9.30, the Hausdorff distance is more sensitive to extrema, i.e., yields higher values when two boundaries have even a few points which are far away from each other. In contrast, the *diff* metric given by Equation 9.30 delivers a smoother, more averaged, and less sensitive to outliers, distance between the two shapes.

Distance and feature transforms can be computed by many algorithms. In practice, however, there are several, often subtle trade-offs between the various algorithms. These trade-offs involve the type of computed information, grid type, result accuracy, computation speed, and memory consumption. We shall describe next three such algorithms. These algorithms take as input a binary image, obtained, e.g., by segmenting a grayscale or color image. As output, they produce a floating-point image containing the values of DT(q), such as those visualized in Figures 9.12(b) and (c).

Brute-force implementation. The simplest way to compute an exact distance transform is to iterate through all image pixels and compute, for each one, the minimal distance to all the boundary pixels. The code for this algorithm is shown in Listing 9.3. First, we identify all boundary pixels, as explained at the beginning of Section 9.4, and store them in a separate Boundary data structure for quick access. Next, we iterate over all image pixels and compute the minimal distance to the Boundary pixels.

For an image of N pixels having a foreground with B pixels on its boundary, the brute-force method takes O(NB) steps. In practice, this method is, however, too slow to be used in interactive applications that need to compute distance transforms of large images in subsecond time.

Distance transforms using OpenGL. An interesting approach is to use the high parallelism of graphics processing units (GPUs) to implement an efficient version of the simple distance-transform algorithm sketched previously. We shall next sketch an implementation of a GPU-based distance-transform algorithm using OpenGL. We proceed by constructing a distance *splat* or *footprint*. This is a 2D square luminance texture of size S^2 pixels, where S is an odd number. The

```
//image dimensions
const int NX,NY;
void dt(bool image[NX][NY], float dt[NX][NY])
{
  typedef set<pair<int, int>> Boundary;
  Boundary b;
                                //stores the image boundary
  const int MAX_DIST = NX+NY;
  for (int i=0; i < NX; i++)
                                //extract the image boundary
   for (int j=0; i < NY; j++)
   {
     if (boundary(image, i, j))
        b.insert(make_pair(i,j));
     dt[i][j] = MAX_DIST;
   }
  for (Boundary :: iterator i=b.begin (); i!=b.end (); i++)
                                //compute DT of boundary
   for (int i=0; i < NX; i++)
    for (int j=0; i < NY; j++)
      int bi = (*it).first;
      int bj = (*it).second;
      float dist=sqrt((bi-i)*(bi-i)+(bj-j)*(bj-j));
      if (dist < dt[i][j]) dt[i][j] = dist;
    }
}
bool boundary(int i, int j, bool image[NX][NY])
//Returns true if (i,j) is a boundary pixel
ł
  return image [i][j] && (!image [i-1][j] ||
  !image[i+1][j] || !image[i][j-1] || !image[i][j+1]);
```

Listing 9.3. Brute-force distance transform.

luminance value at pixel (i, j) in this texture is equal to the distance between that pixel and the texture's center (see Figure 9.14(a)).

Having this texture, we iterate in the outer loop in Listing 9.3 over all the boundary points. At every point, we draw the texture centered at that point, using a special OpenGL blending operation called GL_MIN. Blending in OpenGL was discussed in Section 2.5. A first application of blending in visualization was presented in Section 6.6 for the texture-based visualization of vector fields. In these previous examples, we used the standard OpenGL blending described by Equation (2.9), which adds the drawn primitive, or source, to the frame buffer, or destination, weighted by optional blending factors. To achieve our distance transform computation, we now use a different blending equation:

$$dst' = \min(dst, src). \tag{9.34}$$

We enable this blending equation using the OpenGL call

```
glBlendEquation(GL_MIN);
```

In contrast, the default OpenGL blending, as described by Equation (2.9), also called *additive blending*, is specified by

```
glBlendEquation (GL_ADD);
```

When blending is enabled using the GL_MIN operation, the graphics engine will retain the minimal value at every pixel between the drawn splat and the current frame-buffer value. This essentially implements the double for loop over the image pixels from Listing 9.3 with a single OpenGL function call that draws a texture. Figure 9.14(b) shows the result of splatting the shape contour shown in Figure 9.14(a) with a distance texture. We obtain the shape's distance transform encoded as luminance values in the frame buffer.

The code for the GPU-based distance-transform algorithm is sketched in Listing 9.4. The entry point is the function compute_dt, which receives the object boundary stored as an STL set of pixels. The algorithm proceeds as follows. First, we construct the splat in the make_splat function and store it as an OpenGL luminance texture called splat. Next, we enable the appropriate blending mode and draw the splat centered at every boundary pixel. The resulting distance transform is available in the frame buffer.



Figure 9.14. Computing distance transforms by texture splatting. (a) Contour and overlaid distance splat and (b) resulting distance transform.

```
struct Pixel { int x,y; };
const int S
              = 256:
                                   //Splat diameter
const int R
              = S/2;
                                   //Splat radius
GLuint splat;
                                   //Splat texture name
void make_splat()
                                   //Constructs splat texture
ł
  float dt[S][S];
                                   //Stores splat before passing
  for (int i=0; i<S; i++)
                                   //it to OpenGL
    for (int j=0; j < S; j++)
    {
      float dst = \operatorname{sqrt}((i-R)*(i-R)+(j-R)*(j-R));
      if (dst > R) dst = R;
      dt[i][j] = dst/R;
                                   //dt must be in range [0..1]
    }
  glEnable(GL_TEXTURE_2D);
                                   //We need one texture
  glGenTextures(1,&splat);
  glBindTexture (GL_TEXTURE_2D, splat);
  glTexEnvf(GL\_TEXTURE\_ENV,GL\_TEXTURE\_ENV\_MODE,GL\_REPLACE);
  glTexImage2D (GL_TEXTURE_2D, 0, GL_LUMINANCE, DIM, DIM, 0,
               GLLUMINANCE, GL_FLOAT, dt );
}
void draw_splat(const Point& p) //Draws splat centered at p
{
  glBegin (GL_QUADS);
  glTexCoord2f(0,0); glVertex2i(x-R,y-R);
  glTexCoord2f(0,1); glVertex2i(x-R,y+R);
  glTexCoord2f(1,1); glVertex2i(x+R,y+R);
  glTexCoord2f(1,0); glVertex2i(x+R,y-R);
  glEnd();
}
void compute_dt(set<Pixel>& boundary) //Computes DT of boundary
{
  make_splat();
                                  //First, make the splat texture
  glEnable(GL_BLEND);
                                  //Enable the desired blend mode
  glBlendEquation (GL_MIN);
  glBlendFunc (GL_ONE, GL_ONE);
  for(set<Pixel>::iterator i=boundary.begin();
      i!=boundary.end();i++)
  {
    const Pixel& p = *i;
                                  //Draw splat centered at every
    draw_splat(p);
                                  //boundary pixel p
  }
}
```

Listing 9.4. Computing distance transforms using hardware splatting.

Several observations are to be made for this technique. First, the size S of the splat texture must be large enough so that it reaches the "deepest" pixel inside the object. In the worst case, for an object boundary whose bounding-box has M by N pixels, this means setting S to $\frac{1}{2} \min(M, N)$. Second, the frame buffer used must have a bits-per-pixel resolution high enough to accommodate the complete distance range. Related to this, we must use a texture and frame-buffer pixel format that allows us to exactly encode all possible real-valued distances $0, 1, \sqrt{2}, 2, \sqrt{5}, \ldots, S$ that can occur on a pixel grid up to distance S. This can be done using fixed-point techniques and classic 32-bit RGBA textures. An easier and also more elegant way is to use the newer floating-point texture formats supported by OpenGL, combined with a minimization operation written as a fragment program or pixel shader [GPGPU 14]. For complete implementation details, we refer to Strzodka and Telea [Strzodka and Telea 04].

Fast Marching Method. As explained earlier in this section, the contours or level sets of the distance transform correspond to progressively inflated or shrunken versions of the shape boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider two consecutive contours C(d) and $C(d + \epsilon)$ of the DT for some small value $\epsilon > 0$. The gradient ∇DT at point p on contour C(d) is, by definition, normal to C(d), and has the length (DT(q)-DT(p))/||q-p||. However, the difference DT(q)-DT(p) is by definition equal to the distance ||q-p||, so the gradient ∇DT has unit magnitude. It can be shown that the distance transform DT of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is the solution of the equation

$$\|\nabla DT\| = 1 \tag{9.35}$$

with initial condition DT = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. Equation (9.35) is also known as the *Eikonal equation*.

An efficient method to solve Equation (9.35) is the Fast Marching Method introduced by Sethian [Sethian 96]. The Fast Marching Method is based on the following observation. Imagine that we have computed the distances of all points up to some value DT_0 . The distances $DT > DT_0$ of the remaining points can be computed considering only the points on the contour DT_0 . In more general terms, the DT value of a pixel can be affected only by pixels that have strictly lower DT values. The fast marching methods use this observation by maintaining a narrowband containing the pixels being updated, i.e., the direct neighbors of the known pixels. The method initializes the narrowband to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and next visits all image points and updates their DT values in strictly increasing distance order. During this process, pixels are in one of the following three states:

9.4. Shape Representation and Analysis



Figure 9.15. Fast Marching Method algorithm.

- Known: DT(p) is already computed (p is outside the narrowband).
- Band: The DT of p is being updated (p is in the narrowband).
- Unknown: *p* is inside the narrowband.

The algorithm maintains three data structures: the distance transform array DT; the narrowband containing pixels under update, sorted in increasing distance order; and an array state holding the state of all pixels. With these structures, the algorithm proceeds as sketched in Listing 9.5 (see also Figure 9.15). First, the narrowband, state, and DT data structures are initialized. The distance transform DT gets initialized to 0 on the boundary and background, and to some value MAX_VALUE larger than any possible distance on the considered grid on the foreground. After that, the DT of the narrowband pixel p that has the lowest distance gets fixed, and the pixel is marked as Known. Since this pixel has changed its state, we must update all its still updateable, i.e., non-Known, neighbors, which we gather in a set nbs. We now update each such neighbor n using the DT values of its own Known neighbors. This action is described by the function update and deserves special attention.

How do we update the distance of a pixel n to some boundary if we know the distances of (some) of its neighbors? First, note that n may have Known, Band, and Unknown neighbors. Due to the way the narrowband advances from the outside toward the interior of the foreground object and the fact that pixels are updated in strictly increasing distance-to-boundary order, the Unknown neighbors will always have a larger distance value than the point n itself, so they cannot influence the distance of n. The Band neighbors also have larger distances than the Known ones, so the distance of n is determined first by the Known neighbors. We use only these values in update. The point n can have between one and four Known neighbors. We split these into four quadrants, compute the distance of n



Figure 9.16. Distance computation in the Fast Marching Method.

determined by each quadrant, and retain the minimal value of these possibilities as the value of DT of n. By using a quadrant at a time, we in fact compute the distance of n to a *piecewise linear* interpolation of the boundary of the discrete foreground object, i.e., the distance to the line set that connects the centers of all consecutive, neighboring pixels on this boundary. This is, for many applications, a more accurate estimation of the distance transform of the original shape captured by the binary image than if we computed the distance to the set of boundary pixel centers.

The distance computation performed by the function update is illustrated in Figure 9.16. As explained previously, the red pixels p and q will get their distances updated from the Known pixels marked in blue, i.e., a and a, b, respectively (Figure 9.16(a)). The distance value of p is clearly nothing more than the distance value of a plus 1, since p is one pixel "deeper" inside the shape than a(Figure 9.16(b)), i.e.,

$$DT(p) = DT(a) + 1.$$
 (9.36)

Consider now the point q (see Figure 9.16(c)). Imagine two circles of radii DT(a) and DT(b) centered at the pixels a and b. A line tangent to these circles in points A and B, respectively, would be a good local approximation of the boundary. There are two such tangent lines corresponding to the configurations in Figures 9.16(c) and (d). Let us consider the configuration in Figure 9.16(c) first. The distance of q to the boundary is approximated by the distance of q to AB, realized in a point called Q. Now construct a rectangle R = ABq'a' so that $q \in q'a'$ and a segment bb' parallel to AB with $q \in R$. From the triangle bb'a', we get that $bb' = \sqrt{2 - (DT(a) - DT(b))^2} = AB$, since $ab = \sqrt{2}$. The area of R

is the sum of the area of the trapezoids bBAa and aa'q'b, i.e.,

$$AB * DT(q) = AB\left(\frac{DT(a) + DT(b)}{2}\right) + AB\left(\frac{aa' + bq'}{2}\right).$$
(9.37)

Since triangles qa'a and bq'q are equal, it follows that aa' + bq' = a'q + qq' = a'q' = AB. Replacing this in Equation (9.37), we get that

$$DT(q) = \frac{DT(a) + DT(b) + \sqrt{2 - (DT(a) - DT(b))^2}}{2}.$$
 (9.38)

If we consider the second possible tangent line to the two circles described earlier, we obtain the configuration in Figure 9.16(d). By following a similar reasoning to the one used earlier, we obtain

$$DT(q) = \frac{DT(a) + DT(b) - \sqrt{2 - (DT(a) - DT(b))^2}}{2}.$$
 (9.39)

In practice, we compute the distances given by both Equations (9.38) and (9.39) and use the smallest value of the two that exceeds DT(a) and DT(b) as result for DT(q). Combining all the preceding elements, we obtain the function update in Listing 9.5.

```
enum
        State
                        { Known, Band, Unknown};
                        { int i, j; };
struct Pixel
State
                        state [NX] [NY];
bool
                        image [NX] [NY];
                        DT[NX][NY];
float
Narrowband
                        narrowband;
const float
                        MAX_VALUE=NX+NY;
for (int i=0; i < NX; i++)
                               //1. Initialization
  for (int j=0; i \lt NY; j++)
    if (boundary(image, i, j))
    {
      state [i][j]=Band; DT[i][j]=0;
      narrowband.insert(DT[i][j], Pixel(i,j));
    }
    else if (image[i][j]==FOREGROUND)
      state[i][j]=Unknown; DT[i][j]=MAX_VALUE;
    }
```

Listing 9.5. Fast Marching Method algorithm.

```
else // (image[i][j]==BACKGROUND)
    {
      state [i][j]=Known; DT[i][j]=0;
    }
while (! narrowband.empty()) //2. March the narrowband
ł
  Pixel p = narrowband.getSmallest();
  state [p.i][p.j] = Known;
  set<Pixel> nbs;
                              //3. Get non-Known neighbors of p
  for (all Pixels n neighbors of p)
  {
    if (state [n.i][n.j]==Known) continue;
    nbs.insert(n);
    if (state[n.i][n.j]!=Band)
    {
      state [n.i][n.j] = Band;
      narrowband.insert (DT[n.i][n.j],n);
    }
  }
  for (all Pixels n in nbs)
                             //4. Compute DT of neighbors of p
  {
    float d=DT[n.i][n.j];
    d = \min(d, update(n.i-1, n.i, n.j, n.j-1));
    d = min(d, update(n.i+1, n.i, n.j, n.j-1));
    d = \min(d, update(n.i-1, n.i, n.j, n.j+1));
    d = \min(d, update(n.i+1, n.i, n.j, n.j+1));
    if (d!=DT[n.i][n.j])
    {
       reinsert n in narrowband in order of d;
       DT[n.i][n.j] = d;
    }
  }
}
float update(int lr, int i, int j, int tb)
//3. Find distance of (i,j) to its neighbors (lr,j) and (i,tb)
{
  \label{eq:pixel_a} {\rm Pixel \ a=(lr ,j), \ b=(i ,tb), \ q=(i ,j);}
  if (state [lr][j]==Known)
    if (state [i][tb]==Known)
    {
      float D1 = distance from Equation (9.38);
      float D2 = distance from Equation (9.39);
      if (D2>DT[lr][j] && D2>DT[i][tb]) return D2;
      if (D1>DT[lr][j] && D1>DT[i][tb]) return D1;
      return DT[i][j];
    }
```

Listing 9.5. continued.

```
else
    return 1+DT[lr][j]; //Equation (9.23)
else
    if (state[i][tb]==Known)
        return 1+DT[i][tb]; //Equation (9.23)
    return DT[i][j];
}
```

Listing 9.5. continued.

After computing the DT of a point n, the final step of the Fast Marching Method is to reinsert n in order of the new value DT(n) in the narrowband. Strictly speaking, this is not necessary if we design the narrowband's **getSmallest** method to search the point of minimal distance every time. However, this repeated search is costly, so it is more efficient to maintain the narrowband sorted ascendingly on distance, i.e., reinsert points in it whenever they get their distances updated.

We can implement the fast marching algorithm easily as follows. For the narrowband, we use a multimap<float,Pixel>STL container that keeps pixels sorted in increasing order of their DT. The method getSmallest will thus simply remove the first element, an operation of O(1) cost. Reinserting a point into the narrowband upon distance update is a bit more delicate. For this, we need to quickly locate the point's position in the narrowband. We can do this efficiently by maintaining an additional data structure multimap<float,Pixel>:: iterator pos[NX][NY], i.e., an array of iterators to the narrowband positions of every pixel. When pixels are inserted in the narrowband, we also insert the corresponding position iterator in the pos location of that pixel. To reinsert a pixel p in the narrowband, we simply erase the iterator pos[p.i][p.j] from the narrowband and next reinsert p with its new distance value.

The complexity of the preceding implementation is $O(N \log B)$ for an image of N foreground pixels having a boundary of B pixels. The log factor is the cost of (re)inserting an element in the sorted narrowband, which would typically be done internally using a hash or tree structure. Clearly, this cost can be more than one order of magnitude lower than for the brute-force method described in the previous section. Using this implementation, 2D distance transforms of large images can be computed in subsecond time on current PC computers [Telea and van Wijk 02, Telea 04, Telea and Vilanova 03]. To compute 3D distance transforms, a similar implementation can be designed. The main difference is the design of the update function, which will have to consider eight octants. 3D distance transforms are useful, among others, in computing simplified representations of 3D shapes, such as curve skeletons, a subject discussed later in this chapter in Section 9.4.6. Finally, let us mention that the Fast Marching Method presented here is not limited to computing distance transforms. In the general case, this method can solve in n dimensions equations of the form

$$\|\nabla T\| = f,\tag{9.40}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a speed function, with the boundary condition $T(\partial \Omega) = 0$. This equation describes the deformation, or evolution in time, of the shape boundary $\partial \Omega$ under normal speed. The *Eikonal equation*, whose solution is the distance transform of $\partial \Omega$, is a particular case of Equation (9.40) for unit speed. By setting the speed function f to depend on the position in space or other data attributes such as, e.g., the surface curvature, a large class of problems can be solved with applications in shape modeling, smoothing, filtering, and computer vision. For more insight into this topic as well as a more detailed mathematical treatment thereof, we point the reader to the reference book by Sethian [Sethian 96].

Other distance transform algorithms. The brute-force, GPU-based, and fast marching methods are not the only methods to compute distance transforms on binary images. Many other methods have been developed. The main differences between these methods concern the following aspects:

- Precision: Is the method exact? If not, what is its error?
- Metric: Are other distance metrics than Euclidean supported?
- Dimension: Does the method work in 2D, 3D, or nD?
- Speed: What is the complexity of the method?
- Simplicity: How easy is it to implement the method?

Chamfer-based methods were among the first methods used to compute distance transforms [Thiel and Montanevert 92]. They are quite easy to implement and relatively fast, but compute only approximative distance transforms. Given the existence of several modern methods that are both exact and efficient, this class of methods has become less interesting in recent years. *Graph-search-based* methods consider the pixel neighboring graph and implement distance computation as search operations on this graph [Lotufo et al. 00]. *Raster-scanning* methods traverse the image pixels in row and column order and compute the distance transform [Danielsson 80, Mullikin 92]. Efficient implementations decompose the distance computation in one component per spatial dimension and implement the distance transform as several passes, one per dimension [Meijster et al. 00]. Such methods are very efficient, being able to compute exact distance transforms in O(N) steps for an image with N pixels. Open-source GPU implementations of such methods can deliver distance transforms of images of thousands of pixels squared in tens of milliseconds on modern computers, and are as such the solution of choice for any application requiring 2D and 3D distance field computations [Cao et al. 10b].

Overall, all these methods are relatively simpler to implement than the Fast Marching Method presented in the previous section. However, as already explained, the Fast Marching Method has the important advantage that it allows one to specify the speed function, i.e., the gradient of the distance metric, differently at each point of the domain. This allows one to easily implement complex space-dependent and anisotropic distance metrics, a feature that is not supported in general by the other methods listed in this section. A second advantage of the Fast Marching Method is that it processes the image pixels in increasing distance order. This allows one to stop the distance computation when, e.g., a certain maximal distance has been reached. This feature is not supported by other methods such as the raster scanning class.

9.4.6 Skeletonization

As explained earlier in this chapter, many imaging applications have as a goal the analysis of the properties exhibited by certain shapes present in image data. We have seen that the first step in this process is segmentation, which separates the pixels contained by the shapes of interest from the remaining ones, called the background. However, the question remains: How should we analyze the shape formed by these pixels?

In the shape analysis field, there are many classes of methods used to characterize digital shapes. These methods address different goals:

- geometric analysis: the analysis of the geometric properties of the shape, such as aspect ratio, eccentricity, boundary curvature, thickness, and elongation;
- topological analysis: the analysis of the topological properties of the shape, such as genus and part-whole structure;
- retrieval: given a source shape and a set of target shapes, find the target shape most resembling to the source;

- classification: given several shapes and/or several shape classes, partition the shapes into self-similar classes or distribute the shapes in the given classes;
- matching: given two or more shapes, find geometrical and/or topological correspondences between the two.

To be able to process the shape data, most methods in the preceding classes first reduce a given shape to a set of (numerical) attributes, or a *shape vector*. This vector holds shape properties relevant for a given application area, which are extracted from the pixel representation. For example, among such simple properties are the shape area, aspect ratio, diameter, and average boundary curvature. However, such aggregated properties are clearly not enough to characterize a complex shape.

Skeletons, also known as medial axes, are a well-known, long-standing shaperepresentation instrument. In this section, we shall describe skeletons and their properties; present an efficient, robust, and simple-to-implement algorithm to compute skeletons; and give several examples of their usefulness in data visualization.

Skeletons were first introduced by Blum [Blum 67]. Given a 2D shape Ω , the original definition of the skeleton $S(\Omega)$ was the set of points $p \in \Omega$ that are centers of maximally inscribed discs in Ω , i.e., discs fully contained in Ω that are not included by larger discs in the same set. An equivalent definition defines skeletons as the sets of points situated at equal distance from at least two boundary points of the given shape, i.e.,

$$S(\Omega) = \{ p \in \Omega | \exists q, r \in \partial \Omega, q \neq r, \| p - q \| = \| p - r \| \}.$$

$$(9.41)$$

Note that this definition holds for shapes of $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ embedded in any spatial dimension.

Figure 9.17 shows examples of skeletons for several 2D shapes. These examples reveal several of the useful properties of skeletons. These properties are discussed next.

Centeredness. The main property of skeletons is that they are *centered* with respect to the shape boundary. In 2D, the skeleton consists of a set of curves, in the generic case. The nongeneric case is the skeleton of a circle, which consists of a single point, being its center. The centeredness of the skeleton is important. Several studies have shown that humans visually perceive symmetry as one of the most important shape attributes. Symmetry-related properties of a shape



Figure 9.17. Examples of skeletons of 2D shapes. The skeleton is the one-dimensional structure located inside the shape's closed boundary.

can be tested on the shape skeleton, which makes the latter a useful characterization instrument. For example, long, low-twisting, uninterrupted skeleton branches indicate symmetric shape components, e.g., the lobes of the leaf in Figure 9.17(b).

Structural and topological encoding. Skeletons have a strong ability to describe the *structure* of shapes. Intuitively, every skeleton branch corresponds to a shape component, and every skeleton junction, or intersection of several branches, corresponds to one part where several shape components are joined with each other. By analyzing the graph structure of a 2D skeleton, we can make several high-level statements about the shape's structure. For example, by analyzing a combination of elements including the number of branch end points, branch intersections, and disposition of branches in the skeletal graph, we can infer that the shape in Figure 9.17(b) has eight lobes distributed radially around a center, where they all meet. A similar analysis on the hand and horse shapes reveals information characterizing the number of fingers of the hand and limbs of the horse, respectively. The skeleton also encodes the topological genus of its shape. Indeed, every hole in the shape corresponds to a loop in the skeleton graph. A skeleton of a shape without holes, i.e., of genus 0, is a tree. The skeleton of the shape with five holes in Figure 9.17(h) is a graph with five loops. Similarly, the skeleton of the shape with two holes in Figure 9.17(i) is a graph with two loops. Note also that the skeleton of a compact shape is compact, i.e., connected.

Geometrical encoding. Together with structure and symmetry, skeletons also encode the shape geometry. Equation (9.41) states that for each skeleton point p, we have at least two different boundary points at equal distance from p. In the terminology introduced in Section 9.4.5, these are the feature points of p. The distance between any of these points and the skeleton point p is equal to the value of the distance transform DT of the shape Ω evaluated at p. This distance gives a good estimation of the "local thickness" of the shape at p. A small distance indicates a locally thin shape, such as if we consider any of the points on the twigs of the leafs in Figure 9.17(b-d). High values indicate points deep inside the object, such as any of the points on the central branch of the double-Y skeleton of the rectangles in Figure 9.17(f-g). A large number of feature points of a skeleton point p, or in the terminology introduced in Section 9.4.5 a high cardinality of the shape's feature transform FT evaluated at p, indicates the existence of circle arc segments on the boundary. For example, every branch end point for the five skeleton branches of the fingers of the hand shape in Figure 9.17(a) has a multitude of feature points located on the corresponding round fingertip. Note that this property usually holds for branch end points.

The combination $\{S(\Omega), DT_S(\Omega)\}$, that is, the skeleton of a shape plus the shape's distance transform restricted to the skeleton points, is called the *medial axis transform* or MAT. An MAT uniquely and fully encodes a shape. Indeed, given the MAT we can reconstruct the shape's boundary as the hull of the boundaries of discs with radii $DT_S(p)$ centered at all points p of the skeleton S. In a discrete setting, an easy-to-implement reconstruction method can be implemented by drawing full discs having the respective radii using a given color on a background of a different color.

Multiscale shape encoding. The skeleton provides a multiscale representation of the boundary of a shape. In essence, every skeleton branch containing a free end point corresponds to a convex "bump" on the boundary, or a positive local maximum of the boundary curvature. If we remove such a terminal skeleton branch, that is, a branch that would not disconnect the skeleton tree, and reconstruct the object using the MAT, we obtain a shape similar to the original one, but where the bump corresponding to the removed branch has been replaced with a circle arc. This is a very important property of skeletons, as it lets one simplify complex boundaries in a controlled way. This mechanism can be used in many applications, such as hierarchical shape matching [Cornea et al. 05], shape compression, and shape denoising. For example, consider the two identical leafs in Figure 9.17(c) and (d). The left image shows a simplified skeleton, whereas the right image shows the "full" skeleton, where every small boundary bump produces a separate skeleton branch. Similarly, Figure 9.17(f) and (g) show a noisy and exact rectangle, respectively. If we simplify the skeleton of the noisy rectangle, as shown in the left image, we obtain a smooth structure that is very similar to the skeleton of the "clean" rectangle. Hence, we can use such simplified skeletons to describe the essence of a shape ignoring its small-scale details, or to compare or match shapes modulo small-scale noise.

This multiscale representation power of skeletons is related to one of their much-discussed weaknesses. Namely, consider a perfect rectangle that includes a very small bump or dent on the boundary. This deformation causes the apparition of a full skeleton branch connecting it with the main skeleton structure. No matter how small the bump size ϵ is, the length of this branch will never drop under a sizeable value δ . Hence, the skeleton function $S(\Omega)$ is continuous in the Cauchy sense (see Section 3.1). Such long branches caused by relatively short boundary details are also called *ligature* branches [Costa and Cesar 01]. We shall present in Section 9.4.7 a simple and effective way to make skeletons robust and continuous under small boundary perturbations.

Applications. Apart from the shape characterization metrics outlined above, 2D skeletons can be used in several other ways in data visualization. In Chapter 11, we show how skeletons can be used to create pseudo-3D shaded cushions atop of various 2D shapes in a visualization (Sections 11.4.2, 11.4.3, and 11.5.7). In the same chapter, we also use skeletons to create simplified visualizations of large graphs (Section 11.4.2).

9.4.7 Skeleton Computation in 2D

Recall the definition of a skeleton as the set of shape points situated at equal distance from at least two boundary points (Equation (9.41)). If we have a feature transform of our shape (see Equation (9.28)), we can compute the skeleton by simply selecting those points whose feature transform contains more than two boundary points. However, there is a practical problem with this idea. The definitions of the feature transform and skeleton (Equations (9.28) and (9.41)) hold, strictly speaking, in the continuous space only. Simply using the same


Figure 9.18. Skeleton sampling issues. (a) Continuous skeleton and (b) its counterpart as computed on a discrete grid of even pixel width. The discrete skeleton misses the central branch (marked in gray in the right image).

definitions in the discrete space of image pixels does not lead to the expected results. The reason for this is that distances on a discrete grid do not take all possible values as they do in the continuous space, as explained earlier.

Figure 9.18 illustrates the problem. The left image shows the skeleton of a rectangular shape in the continuous \mathbb{R}^2 space. The right image shows a sampling of the rectangle on a discrete pixel grid of an even pixel width. Using the definitions from Equations (9.28) and (9.41) will miss the central skeleton axis, as there are no pixels in this area that are situated at *equal* distances from the vertical rectangle edges. Relaxing the distance equality criterion in the skeleton definition is also not a good solution. This makes the definition too permissive, which generates a large number of spurious, false skeleton points or thickens the correct skeleton branches unnecessarily.

We next present two classes of methods for computing skeletons of binary shapes.

Using distance field singularities. By definition, the skeleton points are situated at equal distance from several boundary points. Consider the distance transform of a binary shape, such as shown by the elevation plot in Figure 9.13. Points at equal distance from more than one boundary point are by definition *local maxima* of the distance transform. These correspond visually to the "ridges" of the distance transform graph. Detecting such ridges is equivalent to finding the skeleton of the shape. In a luminance plot of the distance transform, such as the one shown in Figure 9.14, these ridges correspond to local luminance maxima.



Figure 9.19. Computing the skeleton using image-processing operations.

One class of skeletonization methods uses image- or signal-processing methods to detect such points. Remember that the distance transform is the solution of the Eikonal equation $\|\nabla DT\| = 1$ (Equation (9.35)). Hence, the gradient of the luminance of all pixels in Figure 9.14(b) should be one, except for the skeleton ones. To find these, we can compute the gradient magnitude of the DT image and then threshold it to find low gradient magnitude points. Figure 9.19 shows the application of a Sobel filter on the DT image from Figure 9.14(b) (masked with the shape itself), which produces an approximation of the gradient magnitude in the input image. The interpretation of the result is simple: White pixels in Figure 9.19 correspond to nonskeleton areas, where $\|\nabla DT\|$ equals one. Black pixels inside the white area correspond to skeleton points, where $\|\nabla DT\|$ has low values.

Although simple to implement, skeleton detection based on the distance field singularities has several problems. First, it relies on computing derivatives of discrete signals, an operation that is inherently noise-sensitive. Stabilizing such computations by, e.g., filtering the distance transform signal with Gaussian filters can be done, but this implicitly removes skeleton details. Second, singularity detection is a typically local operation, which cannot guarantee by itself a connected skeleton. Consider, for example, Figure 9.19. Look at those parts of the skeleton branches corresponding to the horse legs that are close to the main rump branch. We notice that these branch parts are less pronounced than the remainder of the leg branches. In general, we notice that those skeleton points with nearly opposite feature vectors are well detected, whereas the skeleton points whose feature vectors make a small angle are weakly detected. Indeed, the first kind of points are situated on a *constant-height* ridge of the distance transform, corresponding to shape areas of local constant diameter, hence they have a low DT gradient value. The second kind of points are situated on an *ascending* or *descending* ridge of the distance transform, corresponding to shape areas of varying local diameter, hence they have a larger DT gradient value. Several methods alleviate these skeleton detection problems, for example performing an explicit reconnection of the detected DT local maxima or combining maxima detection with morphological erosion algorithms. For a detailed review of skeleton detection methods using the distance transform singularities, see, for example, Ge and Fitzpatrick [Ge and Fitzpatrick 96].

Using boundary collapse metric. We present next a simple method that circumvents the preceding problems and is able to deliver connected and robust skeletons for any 2D binary shapes. The new method has three steps, as follows. In the first step, we augment the Fast Marching Method for computing distance transforms presented in Section 9.4.5 with the capability of computing a *one-point* feature transform. This is a feature transform FT following the definition in Equation (9.27) with the simplification that it computes a single closest point, i.e., $|FT(p)| = 1, \forall p \in \Omega$. The one-point feature transform is stored in an additional array int FT[NX] [NY]. Assuming that every boundary pixel has an unique integer ID, the value FT[i][j] gives the ID of the closest boundary pixel to a given pixel (i, j) in the shape. We make the convention that point IDs are consecutive positive integers starting from zero. Next to the one-point feature transform FT, we store also an array Pixel from[B] of B elements, where B is the boundary length. For every boundary point ID, from gives the 2D location of that point.

We start computing the one-point FT by first initializing from and FT on the boundary. Next, we compute FT by adding the code in Listing 9.6 to the Fast Marching Method in Listing 9.5, when a new point is added to the narrowband, i.e., right after the call to narrowband.insert. Basically, this code keeps propagating the ID of the closest boundary point, found by minimizing the distance-to-boundary among the Known neighbors of the newly added point to the narrowband, while the narrowband advances inside the domain Ω .

Now that we have the one-point feature transform, in the second step we compute the skeleton by identifying points that have different features than their *neighbors*. Comparing the single feature of a point with its neighbors solves the pixel grid discretization problem explained previously (see Figure 9.18). Indeed,

```
...
narrowband.insert(DT[n.i][n.j],n);
//Compute one-point feature transform
float dmin = DIST_MAX*DIST_MAX;
for(all Points q neighbors of n)
{
    if (state[q.i][q.j]==Known) continue;
    Point c = from[FT[q.i][q.j]];
    int d = (c.i-n.i)*(c.i-n.i)+(c.j-n.j)*(c.j-n.j);
    if (d>=dmin) continue;
    dmin=d; FT[n.i][n.j]=FT[c.i][c.j];
}...
```



a pixel close to or on the skeleton will always have a different feature point than its neighbors. In order to prevent computing a two-pixel-thick skeleton, we only consider the right and lower neighbors n_R , n_L (or the upper and left ones) instead of all four.

The third and final step in the skeleton computation is to build in a multiscale representation of the shape. For a skeleton pixel p, we notice that the further apart two features $f_i, f_j \in \{FT(p), FT(n_R), FT(n_L)\}$ are, the more central to the skeleton the position of p is. Hence, we can define the importance $\rho(p)$ as

$$\rho(p) = \max \left| \operatorname{dist}(u(FT(n_R)), u(FT(p))), \operatorname{dist}(u(FT(n_L)), u(FT(p))) \right|, \quad (9.42)$$

where $u: \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is an arc-length boundary parameterization and dist $(a, b) = \min\left(|a-b|, \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}\right)$ is the shortest distance along the boundary between two points. Implementing the complete skeletonization method starting from the fast marching code (see Listing 9.5), the one-point feature transform addition (see Listing 9.6) and the skeleton detector and importance measure ρ (Equation (9.42)) are left as an exercise for the reader.

The measure ρ in Equation (9.42) defines the importance of a skeleton point as being the maximal shortest distance along the boundary $\partial\Omega$ between two feature points of p. Note that this importance measure only holds for shapes of genus zero; that is, shapes without holes. For example, the importance of the skeleton point p in Figure 9.12(a) equals the length of the upper leaf lobe delimited by the boundary points q_1 and q_2 . If we want to compare the importances of skeletons of different objects, we can normalize ρ in the range [0, 1] by dividing it by half the boundary length $|\partial\Omega|/2$. This measure also has an intuitive explanation. Imagine a level set evolution, such as the narrowband motion in the Fast Marching Method, that carries along the initial boundary $\partial\Omega$, collapsing it gradually as the narrowband shrinks. At each skeleton point, the importance ρ will equal the length of the collapsed boundary, i.e., the piece of the original $\partial\Omega$ that has been shrunk to that point during the evolution. An important fact is that Equation (9.42) does *not* actually distinguish between skeleton and nonskeleton points. Indeed, nonskeleton points will always get an importance $\rho = 1$. Implementing the importance measure from Equation (9.42) is the second step of the skeletonization algorithm, and can be easily done in a single pass over all image pixels.

The preceding importance measure is known to have several desirable properties [Ogniewicz and Kubler 95, Costa and Cesar 01, Telea and van Wijk 02]:

- Monotonicity: For genus 0 object whose skeleton is a tree, $\rho(p)$ increases as p moves from a branch end point to the skeleton root.
- Geometry encoding: Skeleton branches corresponding to short boundary pieces have a low importance, even if they are long (the ligatures).

All in all, the skeleton importance implemented via the Fast Marching Method provides a skeletonization tool that fully satisfies all our earlier requirements: robustness against shape noise and discretization resolution, connected pixel-thin exact skeletons, and a simple and intuitive geometric scale parameter. Once we have the importance, defining a simplified skeleton $S(\Omega, \tau)$ means just thresholding the importance τ :

$$S(\Omega, \tau) = \{ p \in \Omega | \rho(p) \ge \tau \}.$$
(9.43)

This thresholding is the third and last step of the skeletonization pipeline, and is also implemented as a pass over all image pixels. Further implementation details, e.g., for shapes with holes, are discussed in Costa and Cesar as well as Telea and van Wijk [Costa and Cesar 01, Telea and van Wijk 02].

Figure 9.20 illustrates the complete skeletonization pipeline for the leaf shape shown earlier. First, we compute the one-point feature transform of the boundary. Recall that this one-point feature transform assigns to the ID of any interior point the ID of the closest boundary point. Figure 9.20(a) shows this by colorcoding the boundary point IDs, which run clockwise and in increasing order along the boundary starting from the tip of the leaf twig (bottom-most shape point). Figure 9.20(b) shows the importance field ρ . We see now how the skeleton branches corresponding to small boundary details are dark blue, i.e., unimportant, and how the importance gradually increases as we approach the skeleton



Figure 9.20. Skeletonization algorithm. (a) One-point feature transform. (b) Importance given by collapsed arc length metric. (c) Simplified skeleton.

center, or root (colored in red). The final image, Figure 9.20(c), shows the same skeleton as in Figure 9.17(c), which is the simplified version $S(\Omega, \tau = 10)$ of the full skeleton shown in Figure 9.17(d). Note that, in general, the lowest value τ can practically have is 2 pixels. Lower values essentially produce touching skeleton branches in the image, which are not very useful. The highest value, $\tau = |\partial \Omega|/2$, simplifies the skeleton to a single point, the root. Remark that this is *not* the same as the deepest point inside the object, at least not for concave shapes.

Let us examine Figure 9.20(a) in a bit more detail. This image shows how the fast marching process essentially transports the boundary IDs inside the object in distance order. Indeed, the isolines of this field, i.e., lines of constant ID value (thus same color in the image) are identical to the streamlines of the distance transform's gradient ∇DT , or the lines along which the IDs are transported from the boundary inward. These lines, as discussed in the previous section, are normal to the boundary. Figure 9.21 demonstrates this, showing a set of such isolines, equally spaced at 5 units, overlaid on the color-coded boundary ID field. Hence, in this image there is a black isoline starting from every fifth boundary pixel. On top of this, we show also several isolines of the distance transform, equally spaced at 5 units in distance value. We see how the two sets of isolines are orthogonal to each other—except along the skeleton branches, shown as thick black lines. This is actually a problem of combining the assumptions of the marching squares algorithm used to extract the boundary ID isolines with the data representation. As explained earlier and visible from Figure 9.20(a),



Figure 9.21. Isolines for the boundary ID field (orthogonal to the boundary) and the distance transform field (parallel to the boundary), equally spaced at 5 units. Note how the two isoline sets are orthogonal to each other, except along the skeleton.

the boundary ID field has strong zero-order discontinuities along the skeleton, where IDs from different parts of the boundary meet. This is not a problem when we represent this data as a pixel image, which is identical to a piecewise constant interpolation based on samples taken at the pixel centers (see Section 3.5.1). However, we cannot actually contour such a dataset. As explained in Section 5.3, the marching square algorithm assumes by definition that the input field to contour is at least \mathcal{C}^0 continuous over all its data cells. To contour the image, we must first convert it from the cell-based (\mathcal{C}^0) to a vertex-based (\mathcal{C}^1) representation, as explained in Section 3.9.1. However, besides changing the grid type, data resampling has the silent effect of also changing the interpolation type and continuity assumptions on the data! In our case, the boundary ID field is not assumed to be piecewise linear. The net effect is that discontinuities are assimilated with rapid data variations. Hence, the result is that the boundary ID isolines get gathered along the discontinuity (skeleton) lines, which is actually wrong. In practice, one should be aware of such aspects which, if ignored, can easily lead to misinterpreting the visualization. Besides this technical aspect, however, this image is a typical example of how classical visualization methods, such as colormaps and isolines, can help us understand mathematical datasets such as the gradient and distance fields computed by the Fast Marching Method.



Figure 9.22. Skeletonization examples. (a,b) Rice plant roots. (c,d) Neural cell.

Applications. Two-dimensional skeletons have many applications in imaging and data visualization. Figure 9.22(a–b) shows an application of 2D skeletons for the problem of visualization of rice roots introduced earlier in Section 9.4.4. From the segmented root image (Figure 9.22(a)), we obtain the skeleton shown in Figure 9.22(b). Since from this structure it is quite easy to extract a 1D graph of pixel lines, we can directly perform topological and geometrical measurements and analyses on this dataset. A similar example is shown in Figure 9.22(c–d). Here, we visualize the skeleton of a neural cell, which has been segmented in a similar manner to the rice root from a grayscale photograph. Analysis of the cell skeleton graph is useful to reveal several properties of the cell, such as number and length of dendrites, or to compare this cell with a given reference cell stored in a database. Both skeletons in this image have been produced with the algorithm described in this section. Further on, Section 11.4.2 shows a different

application of 2D skeletons for the task of creating simplified visualizations of large graphs by edge bundling.

9.4.8 Skeleton Computation in 3D

As explained earlier, skeletons are not restricted to two dimensions. Threedimensional skeletons are also a useful instrument for shape representation in data visualization.

Surface skeletons. Whereas the skeleton of a 2D shape Ω is a set of 1D curves, or a point in the degenerate case of a disc, the so-called *surface skeleton* of a 3D shape following the definition given by Equation (9.41) is a set of intersecting manifolds, or surface sheets. Figure 9.23 shows the surface skeletons of several 3D shapes. We easily see that the skeletal structure of these shapes is much more complex than in the case of two-dimensional objects of relatively comparable complexity. When the 3D shape has local circular symmetry, such as a tubular structure, for example, the 3D skeleton is the one-dimensional curve locally centered in the middle of the shape. Finally, there is also the degenerate case of a ball having a point as a skeleton. In general, the dimension of the skeleton is one lower than the space in which the original shape is embedded.

Computing surface skeletons for large and complex 3D shapes is quite challenging. One approach to do this is to extend the boundary collapse metric introduced in Section 9.4.7 for 2D images to 3D voxel volumes [Reniers et al. 08]. Figures 9.23(a,b) show two surface skeletons computed by this method. Although this method can compute accurate, connected, and noise-free surface skeletons, it requires a fine volumetric discretization of the input 3D shape, which is expensive computationally and memory-wise. A more efficient method is to compute the surface skeleton directly from a mesh discretization of the shape boundary $\partial \Omega$. For this, we first consider the mesh vertices p_i together with their vertex normals \mathbf{n}_i as an oriented point cloud. We next create a 3D sphere S_i tangent at each p_i and with the center $q_i = p_i - R_i \mathbf{n}_i$ located on the line passing through p_i and having the direction \mathbf{n}_i , at a positive distance R_i from p_i . We shrink S_i , by iteratively decreasing R_i , until S_i is tangent to exactly another cloud point p'_i besides p_i , and does not contain any other cloud points. At that moment, S_i is maximally inscribed in the cloud point, so its center q_i is the location of a surface skeleton point by definition (Equation 9.41). The two contact points p_i and p'_i of S_i with the point cloud are the feature points of the skeleton point q_i . Since all cloud points p_i can be treated independently, this method is highly parallelizable, and can be efficiently implemented in GPUs to yield surface skeletons



Figure 9.23. Skeletons of three-dimensional shapes. The shape is rendered transparent.

of mesh shapes of millions of vertices in subsecond times on modern graphics cards [Ma et al. 12, Jalba et al. 13].

Figures 9.23(c,d) show two surface skeleton point clouds computed by the sphere shrinking method described above. Skeleton points are colored by boundary-collapse importance using a rainbow colormap. We see here how peripheral skeleton areas (dark blue points) have a lower importance. Upper thresholding such points delivers, just as in the 2D case (Section 9.4.7), a clean noise-free skeleton. However efficient to compute for high-resolution shapes, point-cloud skeleton representations do not fully model the 3D skeleton which, as stated earlier, consists of a set of manifolds, rather than unorganized points. To construct such manifolds, we could use the general-purpose surface reconstruction methods presented in Section 8.3.2. However, a much faster, and also more accurate approach is to use the knowledge that these clouds encode skeletal manifolds. Specifically, we know, for each skeleton point q_i , its closest feature points p_i and p'_i on the input surface $\partial\Omega$. The feature vectors $q_i - p_i$ and $q_i - p'_i$ are parallel to the gradient of the distance transform of $\partial\Omega$ which can be shown to be divergence-free outside the surface skeleton. Hence, small areas on $\partial\Omega$ project, via the feature vectors, to distinct areas on the surface skeleton. Given a mesh discretization of $\partial\Omega$, we can thus construct a meshed representation of the surface skeleton simply by connecting all skeleton points whose corresponding surface points (via the feature vectors) fall in the same mesh cells. This effectively "projects" the mesh of $\partial\Omega$ to the skeleton cloud via the feature vector field [Telea and Jalba 12].

Figures 9.23(e,f) show the mesh reconstructions of our two surface skeletons computed by the above method. As visible, the surface skeletons are accurately computed, even in their fine details. Compared to the voxel-based method shown in Figures 9.23(a,b), the mesh-based surface skeletons are of a much higher resolution, and, as outlined above, require much less computational effort.

Curve skeletons. Computing robust surface skeletons for volumetric shapes is, however, much more complicated than in 2D. Moreover, although surface skeletons can be used to perform various operations on 3D shapes, such as compression, matching, and analysis, they may not reduce the complexity of the original shape. For example, if we look at the skeletons of the shapes shown in Figure 9.23, we can even argue that they have a more complex structure than the shape itself. A more interesting route to take is to reduce 3D shapes to one-dimensional skeletal structures. This direction turns out to be very useful in practice. One-dimensional skeletons of 3D shapes, also called *curve skeletons*, can be directly computed from a volumetric, voxel-based, shape description, directly bypassing the more complex surface skeleton. Intuitively, curve skeletons of 3D shapes can be described as one-dimensional sets of curves that are locally centered with respect to the shape surface. Figure 9.24 shows the curve skeletons of the three-dimensional shapes presented earlier in Figure 9.23. Clearly, the structure of the curve skeletons is much simpler than that of the corresponding surface skeletons. Curve skeletons have a topological structure similar to the skeletons of two-dimensional shapes, so they can be easily used to perform various shape analysis operations, e.g., identify the shape parts, compare and



Figure 9.24. Curve skeletons of the 3D shapes shown in Figure 9.23.

match different shapes, or study the topology of the shape. Just as surface skeletons, curve skeletons should obey a set of quality requirements to be useful and effective in practice [Cornea et al. 07].

Curve skeletons are especially useful in the visualization of shapes that have an elongated, tubular structure. Such shapes are frequently encountered in medical datasets such as CT and MRI scans. An application of curve skeletons in visualization is the extraction and analysis of the coronary arterial tree from multiple two-dimensional images of arteries [Chen and Carroll 00]. Curve skeletons have also been used in *virtual colonoscopy* [Wan et al. 01]. Colonoscopy is used in medical practice to examine the interior of the human colon using a miniature video camera, in search of potential lesions or polyps that have the potential to develop into malignant structures leading to colon cancer. Detecting and diagnosing such structures in an early stage can lead to surgical interventions in an early phase, which is beneficial for the patients. However effective, in-vivo colonoscopy is an invasive intervention that can be quite unpleasant for the patients. Virtual colonoscopy is a noninvasive alternative. Here, the colon structure is extracted from a CT scan of the patient, e.g., using an isosurface technique (see Section 5.3). After extraction, the curve skeleton of this isosurface is computed. Given the tubular structure of the colon, its curve skeleton is essentially a single curve. Such curve skeletons, which do not have branching points, are also called *centerlines*. This curve can be used as the path of a virtual camera to help the specialist navigate inside the isosurface in order to visualize the colon walls and detect potential lesions, polyps, or other structures.⁶ Figure 9.25 shows the centerlines of two such isosurfaces. The left image

 $^{^{6}}$ We must note for completeness that the visual examination of the colon cannot be used, only by itself, to diagnose the presence or absence of malignant structures.



Figure 9.25. Centerlines of a human colon isosurface (a) in the original position and (b) in an unfolded position.

(Figure 9.25(a)) shows the centerline of the colon isosurface corresponding to the original folded geometry of this organ in the human body. Given the relatively complex folding and twisting of the colon shape, the centerline is a helpful aid in guiding a virtual navigation by, e.g., constraining the 3D position of the camera close to the centerline and the camera orientation to the tangent direction to this centerline [Wan et al. 01]. The right image (Figure 9.25(b)) shows a similar colon isosurface and the corresponding centerline, this time computed from an unfolded colon after a dissection. Although the colon surface has a complex structure with many small-scale creases and folds, the centerline captures the main organ structure.

Besides providing simplified representations of anatomical structures, curve skeletons can be used to encode also other types of visualization datasets. For example, in flow visualization, regions of high vorticity correspond often to features of interest that need detailed study. Such regions can be segmented from the rest of the flow volume, e.g., using threshold-based criteria. In many cases, these regions consist of several elongated, tubular structures that split and/or merge at various points. This type of structure can be visualized using an isosurface, but also lends itself well to a curve skeleton description. By reducing the segmented structures to their curve skeletons, one can perform several analyses, e.g., in order to identify the type of flow and the number and kind of split and merge points. A second use of curve skeletons is to construct a simplified visualization of the flow. Both types of applications are described in detail in Reinders et al. [Reinders et al. 99, Reinders et al. 00].

How can we compute one-dimensional curve skeletons from three-dimensional shapes? Similar to the 2D case, we would like to have a method that guarantees a number of requirements on the computed structure, such as connectivity, one-voxel thinness, and centeredness with respect to the original shape. A particular requirement is robustness to noise. We would like to obtain a simple one-dimensional curve skeleton consisting of several connected, possibly smooth, curves that captures the main structure of the considered shape and ignores small-scale surface details. For example, for the virtual colonoscopy application, we want to obtain a single curve that is relatively smooth and centered in the same time, so we can use it to control the path of the virtual camera.

Several methods exist for computing curve skeletons. The most used methods in practice can be classified into four categories: thinning methods, distance fieldbased methods, geodesic methods, and mesh contraction methods. We overview each class next.

Thinning methods. Thinning methods proceed as follows. First, a binary representation of the 3D shape is computed. Given a 3D volume, the shape is represented as a set of foreground voxels, whereas the voxels falling outside the shape are marked as background. This representation can be stored as a 3D uniform dataset having boolean attributes. Such representations can be computed from, e.g., CT or MRI scans using various value-based segmentation methods on the scanned density values, similar to the process described for gravscale images in Section 9.4.1, or by voxelizing 3D surface mesh representations. After the binary volume has been obtained, voxels are iteratively removed, or marked as background, from the foreground boundary, in a process known as thinning. Intuitively, this process can be thought of as peeling off those voxels from the boundary whose removal does not alter the object topology. Such voxels are also called *simple points*. Discriminating the simple points that can be removed from the points that must be kept, i.e., the curve skeleton points, is done by checking against a set of precomputed *templates*.⁷ These are usually small, 3×3 neighborhoods that capture all possible configurations in which foreground and background voxels can occur.

By using different sets of templates in the iterative thinning, both surface and curve skeletons can be computed [Manzanera et al. 99, Palagyi and Kuba 99]. Moreover, voxels can be removed in increasing order of the distance-to-boundary, e.g., by first computing a distance transform of the foreground voxels, using

⁷Templates are similar to the structuring elements described in Section 9.4.4.

one of the methods presented earlier in Section 9.4.5. However, in order to achieve higher speeds, several thinning algorithms do not compute an accurate distance transform to drive the removal order. For example, the *parallel* thinning algorithms achieve high speeds by deleting a whole set of such points at a time, e.g., by iteratively scanning the 3D volume in a set of left-right, bottom-top, back-front passes [Manzanera et al. 99, Palagyi and Kuba 99, Vilanova et al. 99].

Thinning methods can produce one-dimensional curve skeletons of 3D shapes with guaranteed connectivity and one-voxel thickness, and can easily handle shapes of arbitrary genus, thereby delivering curve skeletons with correspondingly many loops and branching points. Also, parallel thinning methods trade off-centeredness for speed. More importantly, thinning noisy shapes typically leads to noisy curve skeletons. Although subsequent pruning of the curve skeleton voxel graph, e.g., by removing voxels close to branch end points, can deliver less-noisy structures, curve skeletons produced by thinning methods are overall characterized by a fair amount of noise. To remove such noise, thinning can be adapted to keep, in the final curve skeleton, only voxels which contribute to the description of a significant component of the input shape [Liu et al. 10]. For a state-of-the-art overview of thinning methods for curve skeleton computation, we refer to [Arcelli et al. 11]. A starting point for experimenting with thinning algorithms is *thinvox* [Nooruddin and Turk 03], an open-source implementation of the thinning algorithm described in [Palagyi and Kuba 99].

Distance field methods. In contrast to thinning methods, which take a local approach based on connectivity templates to define the curve skeletons, *distance* field methods take a more global approach. These methods compute the boundary's distance transform (DT) and define the curve skeleton based on the DT's local maxima or "ridges," similar to the computation of 2D skeletons from the DT singularities discussed earlier in Section 9.4.6. Alternatively, these ridges can be found by detecting the points where the gradient of the distance transform (∇DT) has high negative divergence values [Siddiqi et al. 02]. However, detecting such maxima using a discrete 3D distance transform usually yields a set of disconnected points, just as in the 2D case. This process is also quite sensitive to small-scale noise or details present on the shape boundary. In order to produce a connected, noise-free curve skeleton, several strategies can be further applied. First, the extracted (disconnected) DT maxima can be explicitly connected by one-dimensional curves that are forced by construction to locally follow the DTridges and also have the desired smoothness [Zhou et al. 98, Zhou and Toga 99]. Another approach is to thin the input shape until the curve-skeleton locations $(DT \text{ ridges or low divergence points of } \nabla DT)$ are reached. This ensures that the obtained curve skeleton has the same topology as the input shape.

Another class of distance-based methods extracts single-curve centerlines, i.e., curve skeletons without branches, by computing the distance transform gradient and finding voxels on and close to the centerline by analyzing the gradient's local variations, followed by reconnection of these voxels [Bitter et al. 00]. The final centerline is computed by applying various cost-based path-tracing methods on the connected set of extracted voxels. Essentially, this class of methods can be thought of as fitting a curve model of the centerline in the three-dimensional space such that various cost functions encoding the curve's centeredness with

space such that various cost functions encoding the curve's centeredness with respect of the shape boundary and local curve smoothness are globally minimized. Such centerlines have the desired properties for, e.g., virtual camera path planning in medical applications such as virtual colonoscopy. However, such algorithms cannot produce the correct curve skeletons with multiple branches that appear in case of objects with holes, i.e., with genus higher than zero.

Geodesic methods. The third class of curve skeleton methods attempts to give a more formal, geometrically based definition of the curve skeleton. Indeed, many thinning and distance field-based methods such as the ones outlined previously do not explicitly reflect, in their definition, the local circular symmetry that curve skeletons are supposed to capture. This type of symmetry can be captured as follows. Consider a curve skeleton point $p \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Since p is a curve skeleton point, it is also a surface skeleton point following the definition given by Equation (9.41). Hence, there exist at least two different feature points $f_1 \neq f_2 \in \partial \Omega$ that are at equal distance from p. We can use these points to express local symmetry by requiring that, if p is a curve skeleton point, there exist two different shortest-path, or geodesic, curves $\gamma_A \neq \gamma_B \subset \partial \Omega$ that connect f_1 and f_2 and stay on the surface of the considered shape, and have the same length, i.e., $\|\gamma_A\| = \|\gamma_B\|$. It can be shown that this condition is equivalent to requiring that p is locally centered inside the surface skeleton $S(\Omega)$ [Reniers et al. 08]. As sketched in Figure 9.26, the geodesic-based criterion captures the local centeredness of a point with respect to the shape surface.

Geodesic-based curve skeletons have several advantages. They can be easily computed on voxel surfaces, e.g., using Dijkstra's shortest-path algorithm to find the shortest geodesics [Cormen et al. 01]. If needed, however, they can be computed also for polygonal surfaces using a piecewise-linear approximation of geodesics [Dey and Sun 06]. Being defined based on the existence of two different feature points, these curve skeletons are naturally part of the surface skeleton. Yet, their computation involves tracing geodesics on the shape surface and comparing their lengths, an operation that is of integral nature, hence stable and robust with respect to the shape discretization, as compared, e.g., to finding local



Figure 9.26. Defining curve skeletons using geodesics between feature points. Point p has two equal-length shortest geodesics $\|\gamma_A\| = \|\gamma_B\|$ (the red and blue dotted curves) between its feature points f_1 and f_2 , so it is on the curve skeleton C.

maxima of the distance transform. Finally, we can use the geodesics defining the curve skeletons to compute an *importance* for the curve skeleton points. We proceed similarly to the collapsed boundary importance introduced in Section 9.4.7 for defining the importance of skeletal points of two-dimensional shapes. Specifically, if a point p belongs to the curve skeleton, as defined by the geodesic-based criterion explained previously, we notice that the two geodesics $\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B$ form a closed loop which, in case of genus zero shapes, divides the shape surface $\partial\Omega$ into two parts. We define the importance of p as the area of the smallest of these two parts. Note the analogy to the importance ρ used for two-dimensional skeletons given by Equation (9.42). Just as for 2D skeletons, we can now remove spurious curve skeleton branches corresponding to small surface bumps by lower thresholding the importance measure. This area-based importance measure for curve skeleton parts, while the red points indicate the most-important curve skeleton points corresponding to the shape "core."

Mesh contraction methods. A final class of curve skeleton computation methods is formed by *mesh contraction* methods. The main idea is to iteratively displace a mesh representation of the input surface $\partial\Omega$ inwards. This effectively shrinks, or contracts, the mesh towards its surface skeleton. However, if during this contraction we also constrain the maximal values of its positive curvature, or equivalently minimize the area of the meshed surface while shrinking it, then the mesh further contracts from the surface skeleton towards its curve skeleton.

Several implementation points are important for mesh contraction. First, the contraction direction should approximate as well as possible the shrinking of the input surface towards its surface skeleton. This direction can be estimated using the gradient ∇DT of the distance transform of the input surface $\partial \Omega$ or, equivalently, in the directions of the feature vectors of the points in Ω . However, this requires a volumetric computation of the distance transform field, which is relatively expensive in processing power and also memory-wise, even when using GPU algorithms (see Section 9.4.5). An alternative option is to contract the mesh inwards in the direction of its local surface normals. This delivers a good and fast-to-compute local approximation of the field ∇DT . Yet another alternative is to contract the surface skeleton towards its center, computed as the average of the feature vectors of its points [Telea and Jalba 12]. Second, we need to contract the mesh further from the surface skeleton towards its one-dimensional curve skeleton. This can be done by enforcing the area, or alternatively, the volume of the contracting surface to shrink to zero. One way to do this is to apply a Laplacian filter on the mesh, thereby removing its high-curvature regions [Au et al. 08]. This idea is extended to extract curve skeletons from point clouds, besides connected surface meshes [Cao et al. 10a]. Another alternative definition of the curve skeleton is the locus of points inside the shape Ω which minimize the variance between the planes normal and $\partial \Omega$ normals along the plane- $\partial \Omega$ intersection curve [Tagliasacchi et al. 09]. Finally, the mesh needs to be resampled as it shrinks. This serves the purposes of speeding up computations and making these computations more robust as the sizes of the mesh cells become increasingly smaller. Mesh-contraction methods can efficiently compute smooth, high-accuracy curve skeletons for large and complex shapes and are currently among the best methods to compute curve skeletons. A recent overview of mesh contraction methods is given in [Sobiecki et al. 13].

Curve skeleton comparison. Given that many different methods exist for computing curve skeletons, several questions arise: Which method computes the "true" curve skeleton of a given shape? Which method should one use, in practice, to guarantee several desirable curve skeleton properties such as connectivity, centeredness, smoothness, and limited noise?

Figure 9.27 addresses such questions by showing a qualitative comparison of eight such methods from three of the classes discussed above (thinning, distance field, and mesh contraction.⁸ The main observation to be made from this comparison is that, while all computed curve skeletons have the same general ap-

 $^{^{8}}$ Adding to this comparison the result in Figure 9.24, computed by the geodesic method in [Reniers et al. 08], covers all four method classes.



Figure 9.27. Curve skeletons computed by eight methods. Top row: mesh contraction methods. Bottom row: voxel-based methods.

pearance, many differences in centeredness, smoothness, captured level-of-detail, and presence of noise branches are clearly visible. As such, finding the "right" method to compute curve skeletons suitable for a given application context is highly dependent on how important each criterion is for the respective context, as no current method fully and uniformly satisfies all such criteria. For the first question, the answer is that no generally accepted unique formal definition exists so far. However, since most methods in existence deliver similar curve skeletons, it seems very plausible that such a unifying definition does exist. Formalizing this definition and showing how existing curve skeletonization methods approximate it is an interesting and challenging topic for future research.

9.5 Conclusion

Image-processing techniques are an important and indispensable part of the visualization pipeline. First, such techniques can be used in visualization applications that work on image data to preprocess the input images in order to improve their suitability for further filtering operations, for example by removing noise. Imaging techniques are also useful for postprocessing the images output by visualization applications to help their clarity, e.g., by adjusting contrast and luminance. Finally, image processing can be used as part of the data-enrichment component of the visualization pipeline in order to extract higher-level information content from basic image data. Connected component detection and skeletonization operations fall within this class.

Image processing is a vast field with a long history. For a better overview and understanding of this domain, the reader is advised to study the specialized literature in this domain. A good starting point is provided by the book by Anil Jain [Jain 89], which offers a survey of many imaging techniques used in practice. A comprehensive overview of digital image processing illustrated with examples in MATLAB is provided by the two-book series by Gonzalez, Woods, and Eddins [Gonzalez and Woods 02, Gonzalez et al. 04].

The book by Kenneth Castleman [Castleman 96] offers an easily accessible introduction to image processing that requires a less-extensive mathematical background. For an introduction to image processing illustrated with pseudocode examples and with a particular focus on shape representation and classification, we recommend the book by Costa and Cesar [Costa and Cesar 01].

Finally, let us mention just a few of the many image-processing tools available. For the end user, the freely available GIMP program offers a large number of imaging operations that can be extended by user-written plug-ins [GIMP 14]. More flexible and functionality-rich than GIMP, ImageJ software tool [ImageJ 13] offers a wide set of image processing operations, ranging from simple local filters to more advanced segmentation and shape analysis. Additional image processing techniques are provided to ImageJ as by several hundreds of third-party plug-ins. This offers an open-source alternative to MATLAB as an easy way to experiment with image processing. For the experienced programmer, the Visualization Toolkit (VTK) [Schroeder et al. 06] and the Insight Registration and Segmentation Toolkit (ITK) [National Library of Medicine 14] offer hundreds of imaging algorithms in terms of object-oriented libraries usable via several programming languages such as C++, Python, and Java. The OpenCV open-source library provides a rich set of both image-processing and low-level computational geometry algorithms geared toward computer-vision applications, but also easily usable in a general context [OpenCV 14]. In the commercial arena, MATLAB [Math-Works, Inc. 14] and Mathematica [Wolfram Research, Inc. 14] provide sophisticated environments for both interactive manipulation and visualization of images as well as programming imaging algorithms.

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 10

Volume Visualization

N Chapter 5, we presented a number of techniques for visualizing scalar fields. Three-dimensional (volumetric) scalar fields are a particular case that poses additional problems and difficulties for visualization. Such datasets are common in many application domains. In particular, in medical sciences, there are several types of data-acquisition processes that generate volumetric datasets, such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and ultrasound scans. Such techniques can be used to record anatomical information, such as the shape and composition of various tissues in the human body, but also functional information, such as the concentration of various substances in living tissues (functional MRI). Moreover, these techniques can be used to record still, time-independent scans as well as scans that reveal the dynamic processes in the human body, such as the flow of blood or motion of muscles. As we have seen, such three-dimensional scalar fields can be visualized using techniques such as slice planes and isosurfaces. However, such techniques are limited in showing only a subset of the entire scalar volume.

In this chapter, we shall present a separate class of visualization techniques for volumetric scalar fields. Known in practice under several names, such as volume rendering and volume visualization, these techniques attempt to produce images of an entire three-dimensional scalar volume, as opposed to techniques such as slice planes and isosurfaces, which visualize only a subset of the data. Visualizing an entire volume brings additional insight and lets users discover aspects of the data that are not easily possible with the techniques presented so far. However, volume visualization techniques are confronted with additional difficulties, such as how to show an entire three-dimensional dataset on a two-dimensional image plane, and how to perform this operation efficiently for datasets containing millions of samples.

We begin our exposition by examining the need for volume visualization techniques (Section 10.1). Next, we present the fundamentals of volume visualization techniques (Section 10.2). The next two sections detail the two main classes of volume visualization techniques, called image-order techniques (Section 10.3) and object-order techniques (Section 10.4). Section 10.5 discusses volume visualization techniques, as opposed to their counterparts, which use polygonal geometries. Finally, Section 10.6 concludes the chapter.

10.1 Motivation

Let us consider again the task of visualizing a three-dimensional scalar dataset. For illustration, we consider the same dataset as was used to create the visualizations in Figures 8.1 and 8.2. This is a CT scan of a human head stored as a uniform grid of resolution 128^3 voxels. Each voxel contains a scalar value in the range 0..255. Low values indicate soft tissues, such as skin, whereas high values indicate hard tissues, such as bone. Figure 10.1 illustrates three of the scalar visualization methods discussed in Chapter 5 on this dataset. First, we visualize the boundary of the dataset as a color-mapped surface (see Figure 10.1(a)). Clearly, this visualization is not very useful, as it does not reveal any of the structures contained within the volume. A second option is to reduce the dataset dimensionality from 3 to 2 by slicing the volume with a color-mapped slice plane (see Figure 10.1(b)). This image shows detailed information on the considered 2D slice plane, but ignores all other points of the volume. Finally, we can extract an isosurface for some scalar value of interest (see Figure 10.1(c)). This reveals an interesting structure, in this case the skin surface, which corresponds to the selected isovalue, but again ignores all volume points that have other values.

Essentially, all three methods illustrated in Figure 10.1 reduce the data dimensionality from 3D to 2D by displaying a particular 2D surface embedded in the 3D volume: the dataset boundary (Figure 10.1(a)), all points that have the same y-coordinate (Figure 10.1(b)), and all points that have the scalar value 65 (Figure 10.1(c)), respectively. Such visualizations are useful if we want to focus on a two-dimensional structure in the volume, we know in advance which that structure is, and we can map that structure to some particular condition on the sample point coordinates and/or values. However, in many cases we do not know which two-dimensional subset we would like to extract and examine, or the



Figure 10.1. Visualizing a 3D scalar dataset. (a) Surface plot. (b) Slice plane. (c) Isosurface.

structures we are interested in are simply not to be reduced to two-dimensional surfaces. In such cases, volume-visualization techniques are a good candidate.

To introduce the concept of volume visualization, let us consider two examples based on techniques we have presented so far: isosurfaces and slice planes. Figure 10.2 shows two isosurfaces for the head CT scan dataset corresponding to the skin (isovalue = 65) and bone (isovalue = 127) materials, respectively. The isosurfaces are rendered using different colors. Also, the skin isosurface has a high transparency, and the bone isosurface has a low transparency. Compared to Figure 10.1(c), this visualization shows more information, i.e., the location in space of two structures (skin and bone) compared to a single structure (skin). We could generalize this idea by adding more isosurfaces for other scalar values corresponding to other anatomical structures, each with a different color and transparency.

As a second example, we could generalize the slice plane technique by visualizing several half-transparent slice planes in the same image. Figure 10.3 illustrates this idea. In Figure 10.3(a), 30 color-mapped slices are rendered that are orthogonal to, and at equal distances along, the y-axis. The transparency of each slice is roughly 1/30, where 0 denotes full transparency and 1 full opacity. In the middle of the translucent structure created by the blending of the half-transparent slices, we see a structure emerging in the shape of the human head. Figure 10.3(b) illustrates a second variation on the half-transparent slices technique. Here, 30 slices are rendered, this time being orthogonal to, and at equal distances along, the viewing direction. This visualization uses a grayscale colormap. We see a similar structure emerging from the slice blending, where the amount of white reflects the amount of material density at each pixel along the viewing direction.



Figure 10.2. Visualization consisting of two isosurfaces.

The two examples shown in Figure 10.3 are coarse approximations of the volume-rendering technique. Although such visualizations are definitely of too low quality to be usable in practice, they illustrate the main ideas behind the volume-rendering technique. In the following section, we shall see how we can extend and perfect the idea of blending half-transparent colored structures to create insightful visualizations of 3D scalar datasets.



Figure 10.3. Visualization of scalar volume using (a) volume-aligned slices and (b) view direction-aligned slices.



Figure 10.4. Conceptual principle of volume visualization.

10.2 Volume Visualization Basics

The basic idea behind volume rendering is simple: create a two-dimensional image that reflects, at every pixel, the scalar data within a given 3D dataset along a ray parallel to the viewing direction passing through that pixel. The main power of volume visualization is in the choice of the function that maps an entire set of scalar values, corresponding to the voxels along such a ray, to a single pixel in the resulting 2D image. Appropriate choices for this function let us convey a wide range of insights into volumetric scalar datasets.

Figure 10.4 illustrates the conceptual idea. Consider a rectangular image area I in the viewing plane and a scalar signal $s: D \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on a volumetric domain D. For simplicity, let us first consider that D is a uniform dataset consisting of equally sized cubic voxels. For every pixel p of the image I, we trace a ray \mathbf{r} perpendicular to the image plane, which will intersect the volume D in the points q_0 and q_1 , respectively. The value I(p) of the pixel p is going to be a function of the data values along the ray \mathbf{r} between q_0 and q_1 . We can express this by parameterizing the position of the ray points q between q_0 and q_1 as $q(t) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)q_0 + \frac{t}{T}q_1$ with $t \in [0, T]$, where $T = ||q_0 - q_1||$ is the length of the ray segment contained in D. The parameter t thus indicates the distance along the ray to the "entry" point q_0 of the ray in D. We denote the scalar values s(q(t)) of these points by s(t). Putting it all together, we can express the value of the image pixel p as

$$I(p) = F(s,T), \quad s: [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}.$$
(10.1)

In Equation 10.1, $F : \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the so-called *ray function*. As input, F has a scalar-valued function $s \in \mathcal{F}$ defined on [0, T], where \mathcal{F} is the space of scalar functions $s : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, and the length T of the ray segment. As output, F produces a color. In other words, F synthesizes the RGB color of the pixel p from the scalar values along the ray \mathbf{r} corresponding to p. Different types of ray functions implement different visualization scenarios focusing on various aspects of a volume dataset. A number of ray functions frequently met in practice are discussed in the next sections.

10.2.1 Classification

At the core of every ray function, there is a common mechanism that maps the information from every point q(t) along the ray to a color and opacity (RGBA) value. This mechanism is called a *transfer function*, and is identical to the concept of the same name that we introduced in Chapter 5 when we discussed the scalar-to-color mapping technique. The most commonly used transfer functions map scalar values to colors and opacities. Hence, we can denote a transfer function by $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]^4$, or alternatively by its four components f_R , f_G , f_B , and f_A , each taking values in the [0, 1] interval.

The transfer functions f are used in volume rendering to associate a color and opacity with desired scalar values or value ranges. The ray function F is used to combine the scalar values along a ray, together with the application of the transfer function f, and produce a single RGBA value per ray. Since such values typically correspond to different materials in the dataset, choosing suitable transfer functions enables us to create visualizations in which different materials will look different. Note that the same ray function F can be (and typically is) used with different transfer functions f. Indeed, while the transfer function specifies how a single scalar value is mapped to a single color, the ray function specifies how all the information along a given ray is mapped to a single pixel color. The process of designing and applying transfer functions to visually separate different types of materials based on their scalar values is known as *classification*. Choosing the right transfer functions, ray function, and additional visualization parameters to create a good classification is a difficult process that is crucial to obtaining effective visualizations.

Although the most common volumetric transfer functions map material density, or scalar value, to color and opacity, many other possibilities for classifying volume data exist. For example, one can use the gradient of the recorded scalar field to distinguish between different types of tissues [Levoy 88]. Using the gradient is essentially equivalent to an edge-detection operation performed on the volume data. This is useful when we want to focus on the sharp transitions of the data, i.e., borders between different materials, and ignore (large) homogeneous regions of near-constant values. For datasets where several scalar fields have been recorded over the same volume, combinations of the values of different fields, or their gradients, can be used. For example, some applications use an opacity transfer function that is the product of a scalar opacity function and a scalar gradient opacity function.

Classification can also be done as a separate preprocessing step prior to volume visualization. In this case, the output of the classification stage is a scalar volume dataset $c: D \to \mathbb{R}$ that encodes the type of tissue at every voxel in a scalar value c. The selection technique discussed in Section 8.2 that produces a binary signal encoding selected voxels is a simple example of classification. Many additional sources of information, besides the scalar values, can be used to perform classification, such as distinguishing between tissues based on position information. When available, the transfer functions can use such a preclassified volume to map the classification information to visual appearance.

In the following sections, we shall present some of the most-used ray functions in volume visualization. At the same time, we shall detail the construction of transfer functions used for classification of materials based on their scalar values.

10.2.2 Maximum Intensity Projection Function

One of the simplest ray functions used in volume rendering is the maximum intensity projection (MIP). For a pixel p, the MIP function first computes the maximum scalar value along the ray \mathbf{r} of p, and then maps this value via the chosen transfer function f to the color of pixel p. Using the parameterized ray notation we can express the MIP ray function as

$$I(p) = f\left(\max_{t \in [0,T]} s(t)\right).$$
(10.2)

A second variant of the MIP function computes the maximum opacity along the ray instead of the maximum scalar value. For this, opacities for all points along the ray are computed using the opacity transfer function f_A . The ray pixel will finally take the color of the ray point that has the maximum opacity. In other words,

$$I(p) = f(s_m), \qquad f_A(s_m) = \max_{t \in [0,T]} f_A(s(t)).$$
 (10.3)

This variant of the MIP function is useful if we want to emphasize in the rendering the presence of a given material. To do this, we can design an opacity function f_A that is maximal for that material, together with corresponding color transfer



Figure 10.5. Maximum intensity projection rendering.

functions f_R , f_G , f_B . With this design, the material of interest will show up in the rendering with the chosen colors, even if its scalar value is not necessarily maximal. A third variant of the MIP function assigns to the current pixel the maximum of the intensities (luminances) of all pixels computed along the viewing ray. This function emphasizes the "brightest" pixels.

As we see in Equation (10.2), the MIP function first reduces the scalars along the entire ray to a single (maximum) value, and then applies the transfer function f to this value. The MIP ray function is useful to extract high-intensity structures from volumetric data. One typical usage of MIP is to extract vascular structures from medical MRI datasets, e.g., in angiography applications. However, MIP-rendered volumes fail to convey depth information. In other words, we see what the maximum intensity along a ray is, but not at what position (depth) along the ray that value occurs. Figure 10.5 shows two volume-rendered images of a human head CT dataset. Here, the transfer function used associates a gray value proportional to the scalar value, where white corresponds to the lowest scalar value (air) and black to the highest value (hard bone). The left image is easier to interpret than the right image, since it is taken from an angle where the lack of depth information is not so disturbing. One solution used in practice to compensate for the lack of depth information in MIP images is to animate the viewpoint and visualize the entire sequence of MIP renderings taken from several angles with close values.



Figure 10.6. Average intensity rendering.

10.2.3 Average Intensity Function

A second simple ray function is the *average intensity*. Similar to the maximal intensity projection, we compute the average intensity, or scalar value, along a given ray, and then map this value to a color using the desired transfer function. We can express this function as

$$I(p) = f\left(\frac{\int_{t=0}^{T} s(t)dt}{T}\right).$$
(10.4)

In contrast to the MIP function, the average intensity function shows the average scalar value along a ray rather than the presence of a maximal value. Figure 10.6 shows a rendering of the dataset in Figure 10.5 using the average intensity ray function. If we further omit division by T in Equation 10.4, we obtain a ray function which shows the exact accumulation of scalar values along a ray. This produces volume renderings which are analogous to an X-ray image of the considered dataset.

10.2.4 Distance to Value Function

The third considered ray function is the *distance to value*. Given a fixed scalar value σ , this function computes for each pixel the distance along the viewing ray

to the first point where the scalar value is at least σ and maps this distance via the transfer function

$$I(p) = f\left(\min_{t \in [0,T], s(t) \ge \sigma} t\right).$$
(10.5)

This function is useful in revealing the minimal depth, within the volumetric dataset as seen from the current viewing direction, where a certain value σ is exceeded. Note that, in contrast to the previous ray functions, the focus is now on the *position* (depth) where a certain scalar value is met, not on the scalar value itself.

10.2.5 Isosurface Function

Ray functions can also be used to construct familiar isosurface structures. In order to construct the isosurface for a given scalar value σ , we must detect the presence, along a ray, of at least one point with the value σ . If such a point is found, the ray's pixel gets the color corresponding to the isovalue σ . If not, the pixel is assigned a "background" color I_0 . This is expressed by the ray function

$$I(p) = \begin{cases} f(\sigma), & \exists t \in [0, T], s(t) = \sigma, \\ I_0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(10.6)

If we directly apply this ray function, we only obtain a "binary" image consisting of two colors, i.e., $f(\sigma)$ and I_0 , which is identical to rendering the desired isosurface with ambient color $f(\sigma)$ but without any shading. Of course, such a visualization is not directly useful. In practice, the isosurface ray function becomes useful when combined with volumetric shading, a topic which is further described in Section 10.2.7.

Figure 10.7 shows an isosurface of the tooth volume dataset computed using several methods. In particular, we see that the isosurface computed with the marching cubes method (Figure 10.7(a)) and the isosurface ray function (Figure 10.7(b)) are very similar.

10.2.6 Compositing Function

The previous ray functions can be seen as particular instances of a more general ray function called the *compositing function*. To explain the compositing function, we shall consider a simple volumetric illumination model. In this model, we assume that each pixel q(t) along the ray $\mathbf{r}(p)$ emits light uniformly in all directions with an intensity equal to its color c(t).¹ Moreover, we assume that the

¹Colors can be represented here as RGB triplets.



Figure 10.7. Different isosurface techniques. (a) Marching cubes. (b) Isosurface ray function, software ray casting. (c) Graphics hardware ray casting. (d–f) Compositing with box opacity function, different integration step sizes.

emitted light gets attenuated by the material inside the data volume as it travels through it. Considering the view ray $\mathbf{r}(p)$, we denote by C(u,t) the amount of light emitted from location q(t) which has arrived at location q(u). In this notation, thus, c(t) = C(t,t). The amount of light emitted from t that reaches the view plane is thus C(0,t).

Hence, the color color H(p) of a given pixel p is a superposition of the contributions C(0,t) of all points q(t) along the ray $\mathbf{r}(p)$ corresponding to the pixel p:

$$H(p) = \int_{t=0}^{T} C(0,t)dt.$$
 (10.7)

To evaluate H(p) from Equation 10.7, we need to compute the contribution C(0,t) of q(t) to the pixel p. Let us consider how the light c(t) emitted at



Figure 10.8. Volumetric illumination model: color c(t) emitted at position t along a view ray gets attenuated by the values $\tau(u)$ of the points u situated between t and the view plane to yield the contribution C(0, t) of c(t) to the view plane.

location t travels to reach the view plane. Consider a point at location u along our view ray. We can then express the decrease of intensity from location u to the nearby location $u - \Delta u$ of the light emitted at t > u as

$$C(u - \Delta u, t) = C(u, t) - C(u, t)\tau(u)\Delta u.$$
(10.8)

Here, $\tau(u) \ge 0$ is the attenuation factor that describes how light reaching position u emerging from position t, or C(u, t), is absorbed at position u before reaching position $u - \Delta u$ (see also Figure 10.8). In this setup, the attenuation can also be thought of in terms of material opacity. We can rewrite the above equation as

$$\frac{dC(u,t)}{C(u,t)} = \tau(u)du. \tag{10.9}$$

By integrating Equation (10.9) along the viewing ray from u = 0 to the position of the current point u = t, we obtain the contribution C(0, t) of the point q(t) to the final pixel color H(p) as:

$$C(0,t) = c(t)e^{-\int_0^t \tau(u)du}.$$
(10.10)

Intuitively, Equation (10.10) states that a point's contribution on the view plane exponentially decreases with the integral (cumulative value) of the attenuations from the view plane until the respective point. If we now substitute C(0, t) from Equation (10.10) into the superposition of contributions (Equation (10.7)), we obtain an integral illumination model:

$$H(p) = \int_{t=0}^{T} c(t)e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \tau(u)du}dt.$$
 (10.11)

The illumination model implemented by Equation (10.11) neglects several effects such as light scattering or shadows. Nevertheless, this model is capable of producing high-quality images of volumetric datasets. The ray function implementing the previous illumination model is called a *compositing* function, as it superimposes, or composes, the intensities of all voxels along a given ray. Just as for the ray functions presented previously, the color c and attenuation τ for the composite ray function are computed using transfer functions based on the scalar value s(t) along the ray.

Transfer functions. Several choices are available when evaluating the composite ray function. Just as for the other ray functions, the color and opacity (attenuation) transfer functions control the material classification process. In contrast to the other ray functions, the composite ray function applies the transfer functions at every point along the ray and then combines their color and opacity results. Using appropriate transfer functions, this allows several materials along a ray to become visible in the final rendered image.

Figure 10.9(a) shows a first example of a composite ray function used to volume render the head dataset.² Here, we designed the transfer functions in such a way as to emphasize three types of tissues. In order of material density, or scalar value, these are skin and soft tissue, soft bone (present in the skull tissue), and hard bone (present in the teeth). We emphasize these three tissues using high-opacity values for their corresponding density ranges, as shown by the transfer function f_A (see Figure 10.9(b)). For the remaining density ranges, i.e., soft tissue such as muscles, and hard tissue ranging between the soft bone and hard bone values, we use low-opacity values. Next to this, we design the color transfer functions f_R , f_G , and f_B so that skin is rendered in dark brown, soft bone in light yellow, and hard bone in bright white, respectively, as shown by the color bar in Figure 10.9(b).

The design of appropriate color and opacity transfer functions is crucial for an effective material classification based on the scalar value. Several observations can be made here. First, in most cases, there is no hard border that separates different tissues in volumetric scanned datasets, but rather a (narrow) transition area where one type of tissue smoothly changes into another. Hence, the transfer and opacity functions used to visually separate different tissues should also have smooth variations across the transition area rather than abrupt, step-like jumps (see Figure 10.9(b)). This design also helps reduce the number of visual artifacts in case of noisy, coarsely sampled datasets.

 $^{^{2}}$ The images in Figures 10.9 and 10.11 are produced by the freely available volume rendering software of Klaus Engel [Engel 02].



Figure 10.9. (a) Volume rendering of head dataset. (b) The transfer function used emphasizes skin, soft bone, and hard bone.

Integration issues. A second choice regards the evaluation of the rendering integral given by Equation (10.11). An accurate, but slower, method is to evaluate the integral by taking samples along the ray in front-to-back order (see Section 10.3). A different approach approximates the rendering integral using backto-front blending of textured polygons in graphics hardware (see Section 10.4). In both cases, the choice of the volume-sampling strategy is essential to obtaining high-quality renderings. Finally, let us note that several of the previously described ray functions can be seen as particular cases of the composite ray function, although this does not mean they are implemented in practice using the composite function. For example, the isosurface ray function would use a zero attenuation $\tau = 0$ everywhere and a zero emission c(t) = 0 except for the isovalue t_0 . This is how the isosurface in Figures 10.7(d–f) are computed. The result is clearly very similar to the geometric isosurface computed by marching cubes (see Figure 10.7(a)) and the one computed using the isosurface ray function (see Figure 10.7(b)).



Figure 10.10. (a) Volume rendering of flow field velocity magnitude and (b) corresponding transfer functions.

Examples. Let us note that volume rendering can also be applied to other datasets than scanned datasets containing material density values. Figure 10.10 illustrates this. In Figure 10.10(a), we visualize the velocity magnitude scalar field of the 3D flow field used in several of the visualization examples from Chapter 6 (e.g., Figure 6.8). The transfer functions f_R , f_G , f_B , and f_A that are used to map the scalar range $[0, s_{max}]$ to color and opacity respectively are shown in Figure 10.10(b). The opacity function has quite low values, thereby creating a half-transparent visualization that allows us to distinguish the deeper-nested, high-velocity flow structures. Using these functions, transparent, red areas indicate low-velocity points, whereas opaque, cold-colored (green and blue) areas indicate the high-velocity flow core. Compare this result with the visualization shown in Figure 6.9, where the flow core is displayed using an isosurface.

Although volume renderings of any scalar fields are possible, such as shown in Figure 10.10, the results can sometimes be harder to interpret than when volume rendering anatomical structures encoded in density fields. There are two reasons for this. First, CT and MRI datasets show structures that often are, by their own nature, easier to interpret than arbitrary volumetric scalar fields, when using the appropriate color and/or opacity transfer functions. Second, some volume datasets, such as the velocity magnitude field in Figure 10.10, exhibit no natural boundaries between regions with different scalar values. In contrast, in MRI and CT datasets, different tissues having different densities create such separations.
10.2.7 Volumetric Shading

As mentioned previously, shading is an important additional cue that can significantly increase the quality of volume renderings. In the case of isosurfaces (see Section 10.2.5), for example, shading is an indispensable element. Shading can be easily combined with the volume illumination integral given by Equation (10.11). Instead of directly using the colors c(t) = f(s(t)) delivered by the application of the color transfer function f on the scalar values s(t) along the ray in the volume integral, we can use instead an illumination function

$$I(t) = c_{\text{amb}} + c_{\text{diff}}(t) \max(-\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}(t), 0) + c_{\text{spec}}(t) \max(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}, 0)^{\alpha}.$$
 (10.12)

This is nothing more than the application of the Phong lighting model (see Equation (2.1)) to an imaginary surface located at the current point t along the ray, having the normal **n**. Here, c_{amb} is the ambient lighting factor, which is constant for the entire volume. $c_{\text{diff}}(t)$ and $c_{\text{spec}}(t)$ are the diffuse and specular lighting factors, respectively, which are typically functions of position t. In a simple approximation, we can set $c_{\text{diff}}(t) = c_{\text{spec}}(t) = c(t)$. The vectors **L**, **r**, and **v** have the same meaning as in the original Phong lighting model (Equation (2.1)).

To apply the preceding lighting model, we need to estimate a surface normal $\mathbf{n}(t)$. For most locations in a volume dataset, we cannot actually speak about the existence of a physical surface. However, we can define such a surface as being the isosurface for the isovalue s(t), which exists for every point. As explained in Section 5.3, the gradient of a function is normal to the function's contours. Hence, we can estimate the normal $\mathbf{n}(t)$ by computing the gradient vector of the scalar signal s

$$\nabla s(t) = \left(\frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial s(t)}{\partial z}\right)$$
(10.13)

and normalizing the result to unit length. The partial derivatives of s with respect to the coordinate axes x, y, z can be computed as described in Section 3.7 by interpolating the discrete partial derivatives evaluated at the voxel corners.

Although this solution is possible, it can deliver noisy results in practice, as the gradient is quite sensitive to small-scale noise in the sampled dataset. This translates into small-scale wavy artifacts in the final shading, which are quite disturbing, especially if specular lighting is used. To enhance the robustness of the gradient estimation, we can apply several of the filtering techniques discussed for image processing in Chapter 9, such as the Sobel or Prewitt operators, or prefilter the scalar dataset prior to the gradient estimation.

Figure 10.11 illustrates the effects of volumetric lighting on a dataset rendered with a composite ray function. The transfer functions emphasize the hard



Figure 10.11. Volumetric lighting. (a) No lighting. (b) Diffuse lighting. (c) Specular lighting.

tooth enamel (white, opaque) and the softer dentine material (brown, semitransparent). The first image (Figure 10.11(a)) does not use volumetric lighting. Although we can observe the internal structure of the tooth, due to the low opacity, the actual material separation surfaces are hard to grasp from this static image. The middle image (Figure 10.11(b)), which uses volumetric diffuse lighting, is significantly easier to understand due to the shading cues. The right image (Figure 10.11(c)), which uses specular lighting, is similar to the middle image. The added value of volumetric shading is also visible if we compare Figure 10.9, produced without lighting, with Figure 10.13, which uses a combination of diffuse and specular lighting.

All in all, volume visualization techniques offer a rich set of possibilities in terms of the transfer functions, shading model, and material properties used. These allow us to create insightful, but also aesthetically pleasing renderings of volumetric datasets. Figure 10.12 shows a collection of visualizations of a variety of datasets created using different volume-rendering techniques and settings.³ In the first example (Figure 10.12(a)), the electron density distribution in a complex molecule is visualized. The second example (Figure 10.12(b)) shows an engine block. Using an appropriate opacity map exposes the inner details of the engine structure to the viewer. The third example (Figure 10.12(c)) shows a bonsai tree. The fourth example (Figure 10.12(d)) shows a carp fish with the opacity transfer function set to emphasize the skeletal structure.

³The datasets in Figure 10.12 are from the public Volume Library [Roettger 06]. The volume visualization software is the freely available Versatile Volume Viewer by Stefan Roettger [Roettger 08].



Figure 10.12. Examples of volume rendering. (a) Electron density. (b) Engine block. (c) Bonsai tree. (d) Carp fish.

10.3 Image Order Techniques

The most straightforward way to implement Equation (10.11) is to evaluate the rendering integral by taking samples along the viewing rays. Given the way it works, this algorithm is also known as *volumetric ray casting*. The entire volume-rendering algorithm reduces to a sequence of nested for loops as illustrated in the following pseudocode:

```
for (all pixels p in the image plane I) {

v = ray perpendicular to I passing through p;

q_0, q_1 = intersections of v with the volume;

H(p) = (0, 0, 0); //initialize color of pixel p to black

for (float t=0;t<T;t=\Delta t)

{
```

$$\begin{array}{l} q = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)q_0 + \frac{t}{T}q_1; \\ H(p) + = c(t)e^{-\int_0^t \tau(u)du}\Delta t; \\ \end{array}$$

Since the algorithm processes the image pixels one by one, it falls into a more general class of methods called *image-order* or *image-based* techniques. Another image-based technique discussed in Chapter 6 was the image-based flow visualization (IBFV) method, which generates textured views of vector fields (see Section 6.6).

Several acceleration strategies can be applied to the basic algorithm presented here. The inner integral $\int_0^t \tau(u) du$ can be evaluated incrementally during the evaluation of the outer integral, i.e., during the front-to-back ray traversal. The same can be done if we evaluate the ray integral back-to-front, i.e., summing up the voxels' contributions from the furthest to the closest one to the view plane. If we discretize the ray using uniform steps of length δ , the volume integral in Equation (10.11) can be written as

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(i\delta) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \tau(j\delta)\delta} \delta.$$
 (10.14)

By replacing the inner exponential term with a product of exponents of each term of the inner sum, we obtain

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(i\delta) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} e^{-\tau(j\delta)\delta} \right) \delta.$$
(10.15)

Here, $N = D/\delta$ is the number of sample points taken along the ray. Now, for small step sizes δ , we can approximate the exponential terms of the inner sum using the first term of a Taylor expansion, i.e., $e^{-t} \approx 1 - t$ for small values of t. Substituting this in Equation (10.15), we obtain

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(i\delta) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \left(1 - \tau(j\delta)\delta \right) \right) \delta.$$
(10.16)

Let us now denote $c_i = c(i\delta)\delta$ and $\tau_j = \tau(j\delta)\delta$. We obtain

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 - \tau_j) \right).$$
(10.17)

We can evaluate Equation (10.17) in back-to-front order, i.e., from higher to lower indices. If we denote by H_i the accumulated color at position *i* due to the contributions of the sample points *i*..*N*, we notice that

$$H_{N} = c_{N},$$

$$H_{N-1} = c_{N-1} + (1 - \tau_{N-1})H_{N},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$H(p) = H_{0} = c_{0} + (1 - \tau_{0})c_{1} + (1 - \tau_{0})(1 - \tau_{1})c_{2} + \dots.$$
(10.18)

These equations state that we can evaluate the composite ray function of a pixel by the back-to-front evaluation of a simple expression at every sample point ialong the ray

$$H_i = c_i + (1 - \tau_i)H_{i+1}.$$
(10.19)

This is nothing more than the back-to-front blending of the colors c_i multiplied by the opacities τ_i . Indeed, it states that the color accumulated at sample point *i* equals the emission c_i of sample point *i* itself plus the contribution H_{i+1} of the points *i*..N times the transparency $1 - \tau_i$ of point *i*. Hence, evaluating the composite ray function can be seen as a particular case of alpha blending. In Section 10.4, we shall show how Equation (10.19) can be efficiently evaluated using the alpha blending provided by graphics hardware.

A similar expression to Equation (10.19) can be deduced for the front-to-back ray traversal. In this case, together with the accumulated color H_i over the samples 0..i, we can also compute the accumulated opacity. Once the accumulated opacity reaches a value close to the maximum of 1, e.g., 0.95, we can stop tracing the ray further on the volume, as deeper points will have practically no influence on the final pixel color H(p). This strategy, called *early ray termination*, can save substantial computational time, e.g., for datasets containing numerous high-opacity voxels.

10.3.1 Sampling and Interpolation Issues

The quality of a volume-rendered image depends on the accuracy of evaluating the discretized integral in Equation (10.14). Two main issues are involved here:

- the choice of the step size δ ;
- the interpolation of color c and opacity τ along the ray.

As expected, smaller step sizes δ give better results, but increase the computation time. A better strategy is to correlate the step size with the data variation, i.e., take smaller steps where the data changes rapidly and larger steps over



Figure 10.13. Volume rendering of head dataset for different values of the integration step size (in voxels). Trilinear interpolation of scalar values is used. The color and opacity transfer functions used are shown at the bottom of the image.

near-constant data regions. Since both c and τ are dependent on the choice of the transfer functions used, the choice of the step size should involve the characteristics of these functions as well. In practice, a good starting estimate is to set δ to values in the range of the voxel size, to ensure at least that every voxel contributes to the result.

Since the sample points *i* along a ray will, in general, not coincide with voxel centers, interpolation must be performed to evaluate c_i and τ_i . The simplest choice here is to use nearest-neighbor interpolation, i.e., use the color and opacity values respectively of the nearest voxel centers to every sample point *i*. This is also the computationally most efficient solution. As explained in Chapter 3, nearest-neighbor interpolation yields discontinuous signals, which translates into low-quality images.

A better solution is to use trilinear interpolation. This method produces smoother images for the same sampling resolution, albeit at a slightly higher computational cost. Figure 10.13 shows a volume rendering of the head dataset



Figure 10.14. Volume rendering of head dataset for two step size values. Nearestneighbor interpolation is used.

using a composite transfer function for several values of the step size δ , using trilinear interpolation. Strong color-banding artifacts alternating between dark yellow and red appear for step sizes larger than $\delta = 1$ voxel. In contrast, the image quality for the $\delta = 0.5$ and $\delta = 0.1$ step sizes looks almost identical.

Figure 10.14 shows the head dataset rendered with the same transfer functions for the step sizes $\delta = 0.1$ and $\delta = 1.0$, this time using the nearest-neighbor interpolation. The individual voxels become more apparent in these renderings as compared with the equivalent ones using linear interpolation (Figure 10.13(a-b)).

The banding artifacts due to low sampling rates δ can be partially alleviated by using a small random jitter factor $\Delta \delta$ added to the step size δ while evaluating the ray integrals. Figure 10.15 illustrates this. Figure 10.13(a), created with trilinear interpolation, uses a fixed value $\delta = 1$ voxel, and exhibits similar color banding as shown in Figure 10.13(d). Figure 10.13(b) uses the same δ value, but with jittering. The color banding largely disappears. The large δ value manifests itself now by a fine-grained noise pattern. This pattern is especially visible in areas where thin distinct tissue layers exist, such as the red soft-tissue layer located between the skin and the thick white-colored skull bone area. Noise "blocks" in this pattern are typically of pixel size, in contrast to the thicker bands seen before, given that sample points along rays for neighbor screen pixels are now not placed in equal-depth "fronts" from the view plane. Decreasing δ to a value of 0.2 voxels, while keeping the jittering on, produces the high-quality image in Figure 10.13(c).



Figure 10.15. Sample step jittering for color banding artifacts removal. (a) Banding caused by $\delta = 1$. (b) Banding alleviated by using jittering, $\delta = 1$. (c) High-quality image, $\delta = 0.2$.

10.3.2 Classification and Interpolation Order

If trilinear interpolation along the ray is used, we have two choices with respect to the order of classification, i.e., evaluation of the color and opacity transfer functions, and interpolation. These are as follows:

- preclassification: first classify, then interpolate;
- postclassification: first interpolate, then classify.

In general, preclassification produces coarser-looking images, especially for color and opacity transfer functions that exhibit sharp variations. Moreover, color interpolation can sometimes produce wrong results. Interpolating between colors can create, for example, colors that never occur in the color transfer function codomain (colormap). In contrast, postclassification produces smoother images that only contain valid colors from the corresponding colormap. However, postclassification does interpolate the scalars, which uses the implicit assumption that the original signal is piecewise continuous between the voxel centers. Hence, scalar interpolation may yield values that correspond to nonexistent materials at points where the sampled dataset exhibits inherent discontinuities. A similar phenomenon was discussed for image data in Section 9.4.7 (see Figure 9.21). For smoothly varying datasets and transfer functions, the results of preclassification and postclassification look very similar.

Figure 10.16 demonstrates the differences between pre- and postclassification. The left image shows the postclassification order, which is also the usual one in most applications. The image looks quite crisp. The right image shows the same



Figure 10.16. Comparison of (a) postclassification and (b) preclassification techniques. The insets show a zoomed-in detail region from the large image.

dataset rendered with the preclassification strategy. The result looks significantly more blurred.

10.4 Object Order Techniques

A second class of volume rendering implementations is formed by *object-order* techniques. In contrast to image-order techniques, which traverse all pixels of the view plane once and evaluate the ray function for each pixel separately, object-order techniques traverse each object voxel once, and evaluate its contribution to the image pixel whose ray intersects that voxel. Hence, whereas image-order techniques visit every pixel once, object-order techniques visit the same image pixel multiple times, as a function of the number of voxels that contribute to its final color.

One of the most popular object-order methods is volume rendering using textures accelerated by graphics hardware. The main idea of this method is simple: evaluate the composite ray integral in back-to-front order, as described by Equations (10.18) and (10.19), using the high-speed texture-rendering capabilities of graphics hardware. Depending on the actual type of textures supported by the graphics hardware, two subclasses of methods exist, as follows. 2D texture methods. Two-dimensional texture methods assume only the support of 2D textures by the graphics hardware. To render a dataset, 2D texture methods slice the 3D volume with a set of planes orthogonal to the volume axis that is the most parallel to the viewing direction. This yields a set of parallel rectangles, typically equally spaced along the slicing axis. The rectangles are next textured with the corresponding voxels that they slice from the volume. Each rectangle gets an RGBA texture whose RGB and A values are given by the color and opacity transfer functions c and τ respectively, applied on the sliced voxel scalar values. Finally, the textured rectangles are rendered in back-to-front order with alpha blending enabled. To reproduce the compositing Equation (10.19), we would use in OpenGL additive blending with the blending function glBlendFunc(GL_ONE,GL_ONE_MINUS_SRC_ALPHA). OpenGL additive blending was discussed in more detail in Section 6.6 in the implementation of the IBFV method. Also, let us note that the volume-rendering technique using 2D textures sketched here was used by the 3D IBFV method to create the dense visualizations of 3D flow fields discussed in Section 6.6 (see, e.g., Figure 6.29).

Volume rendering using 2D textures is quite simple to implement, as illustrated by the preceding pseudocode; is considerably faster than the front-to-back software-based ray casting discussed in the previous section; and requires only the support of 2D textures in graphics hardware, which should be available on most current graphics card. However, this method also has several limitations. The most visible is that the image quality is influenced by the viewing angle. Indeed, as we change the viewpoint, the distance between the viewing plane changes, from a minimal value δ reached when we look parallel to one of the volume axes, to a maximum of $\delta\sqrt{3}$ when we look along the volume diagonal. This distance is equivalent to the sampling step size used by the software ray-casting method described in Section 10.3. To prevent this distance from increasing further, which would cause a decrease in quality of the visualization, the volume is resliced anew once the axis most parallel with the view direction changes. This limits the quality decrease, but also creates a visible performance drop when the reslicing occurs, as a new set of 2D textures has to be re-created from the volume data and loaded into the graphics memory.

3D texture methods. A better option for volume rendering is to use 3D textures, if these are supported by the available graphics hardware. In this case, the 3D texture is first initialized with the results of the color and opacity transfer functions applied on the entire dataset, and subsequently loaded onto the graphics card. Next, the 3D dataset is sliced with a set of planes perpendicular to the viewing direction. This delivers a set of polygons of various shapes, e.g., tri-

angles, quads, pentagons, and hexagons. Finally, the polygons are rendered in back-to-front order, textured with the 3D texture, and blended using the same equation as described earlier for the 2D texture method. The result is functionally the same as for the 2D texture method, but of a higher quality. Indeed, the slice planes are now always parallel to the view plane, and data interpolation both across the view plane as well as along the viewing (slicing) direction is done by the texturing hardware. A second advantage of the 3D texture method is that it does not require regeneration of the texture during the interactive viewpoint manipulation, which significantly increases interactivity.

However much faster than the software ray-casting method, the 2D and 3D texture methods described here also have some important limitations. First, the maximal dataset that can be rendered is limited by the texture memory available to the graphics card. For example, a graphics card with 256 MB of memory would be able to hold no more than a 3D RGBA texture of $512 \times 512 \times 256$ texels, or equivalently 256 2D textures of 512^2 texels, if we account for one byte per color or opacity component. Second, the straightforward implementation sketched here would only support preclassification. Postclassification can also be achieved, but this requires the use of more-sophisticated pixel-shader techniques and loading the scalar data volume into a floating-point texture on the graphics card. Finally, if we use the frame buffer to accumulate the alpha blending of the textured slices, the accuracy of the result is limited by the frame buffer precision, which is typically eight bits per pixel per color component. This limits both the number of slice planes that can be drawn as well as the resolution of the color and alpha values that can be stored on each slice. In practice, this means that subtle opacity and/or color variations may become hardly visible.

Higher precision can be achieved using modern techniques such as render-totexture extensions, pixel shaders, and parallel programming of the GPU. A good starting point to study such implementations is the open-source volume rendering sample code which is included as part of the CUDA software development kit offered by Nvidia.⁴ This code, roughly 1000 lines of C, provides a full imageorder volume renderer application. The actual volume renderer code is under 200 lines. The image shown in Figure 9.12(e), Chapter 9, was created by using this renderer.

10.5 Volume Rendering vs. Geometric Rendering

The volume-rendering techniques discussed in this chapter have many aspects in common with the geometric (polygonal) rendering techniques discussed in

⁴See http://docs.nvidia.com/cuda/cuda-samples, Volumetric rendering sample.

previous chapters. However, there are also a number of important differences between the two. In this section, we provide a brief comparison of the two types of techniques.

Aims. First, the *aim* of both types of techniques is often very similar: producing an image of a volumetric dataset that gives insight into the scalar values within. As we have seen, this leads in some cases to identical visualizations that are produced in different ways by volume rendering and geometric techniques. An example is isosurfaces, which can be computed using polygonal marching cubes or volumetric ray casting using an isosurface ray function (see Figure 10.7). Rendering several translucent isosurfaces also creates images that are similar to those produced by composite ray casting techniques using step-wise opacity transfer functions (compare, e.g., Figure 5.16 with Figure 10.11). However, as stated in Section 10.1, the main motivation and advantage of volumetric techniques is that they convey, at each pixel in the final image, insight into more than a few discrete data values. Moreover, whereas geometric rendering techniques always use sharp, clearly delineated primitives, volume rendering is capable of generating softer images, where the data values blend into each other without exhibiting sharp borders. This can better suit datasets where there is no clear-cut separation between different structures.

Complexity. A second observation concerns the *complexity* of the two types of techniques. For this, let us consider a given visualization method, e.g., computing isosurfaces, implemented using ray casting versus a marching cubes implementation. Both implementations, in general, scale linearly with the number of dataset cells. However, marching cubes first computes the isosurface as an unstructured polygon mesh, which is then rendered from the desired viewpoint. In contrast, ray-casting techniques would typically need to traverse the volume anew when the viewpoint gets changed. Moreover, geometric techniques such as marching cubes typically compute and render a single geometry regardless of the screen resolution or number of pixels in the rendering window. Their performance is thus not influenced by the window size. In contrast, the performance of raycasting techniques heavily depends on the number of rays, i.e., the rendering window size. The performance penalty incurred by ray casting tends to decrease in modern implementations that use pixel shaders or similar parallel GPU implementations to evaluate the ray function, yielding near-real-time performance even for large volumes and window sizes.

Mixed methods. Volume-rendering techniques using (3D) texture-mapping hardware fall somewhere between purely geometric and purely ray casting methods. They render a stack of texture-mapped polygons, and their performance is less dependent on the rendering window size than in the case of software ray casting, due to the parallel execution of the pixel-rendering operations on the texturemapped polygons in graphics hardware. Hence, 3D texture volume-rendering methods are in this respect similar to geometric methods. However, they must first compute a 3D texture volume, and also are viewpoint-dependent, as they must recompute the texture-mapped polygons whenever the viewpoint changes. These are typical attributes of ray-casting methods.

10.6 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have provided an introduction to the field of volume visualization. Volume visualization, also known as volume graphics and volumetric rendering, encompasses the set of techniques aimed at visualizing three-dimensional datasets stored as uniform (voxel) grids. Such techniques are mainly used to visualize scalar datasets. Such datasets occur frequently in medical practice and are acquired by various imaging technologies such as computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. However, volume visualization has been used also to depict other types of data attributes, such as vectors and tensors, and also on different grid types beyond the uniform ones.

Volume graphics, just as image processing, is a field that has its own evolution. As such, this chapter cannot and does not claim to provide more than a brief overview of the advances, results, and challenges encountered in the volumevisualization practice. From a data-visualization perspective, the key element of volume visualization, however, is simple: By rendering a three-dimensional dataset using appropriate per-voxel transfer functions that map data attributes to opacity and color, we can achieve a better, more global, and quicker, understanding of complex volumetric structures than when using other techniques such as slicing and isosurfaces. However, as is often the case, volume visualization does not work best only itself, but in combination with other techniques. In practice, volume rendering is typically combined in applications with slicing, probing, glyphs, and isosurfaces. Separately, the effectiveness of volume-rendered images is strongly dependent on the design and choice of the transfer functions used to map individual scalar values to color and opacity values.

Further information on volume visualization and its uses can be sought in the proceedings of the Volume Graphics workshop, published by IEEE Press. The book by Chen et al. on volume graphics provides a state-of-the-art overview of technological developments in the field [Chen et al. 00]. A different book,

authored by Lichtenbelt et al., provides a practical presentation of volume rendering, from the perspective of the practitioner, and also includes software to support the material and datasets to start experimenting with [Lichtenbelt et al. 98]. The book can be used as a hands-on guide to learn volume rendering by doing it, but provides also an extensive list of compiled references of this field. For an incursion into the field of medical visualization, with a focus on volumetric techniques, a highlight is the recent book of Preim and Bartz [Preim and Bartz 07]. This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 11

Information Visualization

In the previous chapters of this book, we have concentrated our attention on the visualization of datasets that essentially contain the sampling of continuous quantities over compact domains of \mathbb{R}^n . We have seen that such datasets occur in many fields, ranging from engineering and computational fluid mechanics and mathematics to medical and Earth sciences. The field that studies the visualization of these data types and targets the aforementioned application domains is known as *scientific visualization (scivis)*. In this chapter, we shall discuss the visualization of a different, more abstract type of data. Examples of such data range from generic graphs and trees to database tables, text, and computer software. The nature of such data, as well as that of the application fields where it is used, generate additional, but also different, requirements and constraints to the visualization process.

The field that studies the visual representation of such data is known as information visualization (infovis). This name is often used to distinguish it from the scivis field. In this chapter, we present a succinct overview of infovis methods and techniques. The ambition of this chapter is far from being a comprehensive presentation of the infovis field. For such a task, an entire book would be more appropriate. The aim of the current presentation is to make the reader aware of the existence of a wealth of visualization techniques and applications which do not directly fall within the focus of scientific visualization. On the other hand, many of the goals of infovis applications and its underlying techniques have interesting overlaps with the corresponding ones in the scivis field. Becoming aware of where the differences and similarities are is important for a deeper and more-nuanced understanding of the visualization discipline. In Section 11.1, we give a brief characterization of the infovis field from the point of view of its goals, which serves as a starting point in our discussion. In Section 11.2, we discuss the similarities and differences between the scivis and infovis fields from a technical perspective. The following sections detail several of the data types and visualization methods that commonly occur in infovis applications. As illustration, several applications are used, mainly from the field of software engineering. We start in Section 11.3 with the visualization of database tables. Section 11.4 continues with the visualization of relational data, among which we look at trees, graphs, and diagrams. Section 11.5 overviews the visualization of multivariate data. Section 11.6 discusses the visualization of text documents, including software source code. Finally, we conclude our incursion into the field of information visualization in Section 11.7.

11.1 What Is Infovis?

Information visualization is arguably the fastest-growing branch of the visualization discipline in the last decade. This rapid and sustained growth is visible both in the various industry branches that make use of infovis applications, ranging from banking, telecom, and the information technology (IT) field to the logistics and administrative departments of large companies. In all of these cases, the challenge is the same: The various activities performed by the respective industry generate a huge amount of data. The question that infovis applications attempt to answer is

How can we assist users in understanding all that abstract data?

The dictionary definition of visualization, as quoted from Robert Spence's book on information visualization [Spence 07], says that to *visualize* is to "form a mental model or mental image of something." A broad definition of infovis is "visualization applied to abstract quantities and relations in order to get insight in the data" [Chi 02]. We see, thus, that the goals of scivis and infovis are quite similar.

However, infovis applications attempt to visualize a wider (and different) spectrum of data types than scivis applications, as we shall see in more detail in the next section. Scivis applications mainly focus on so-called *physical* data, which has an inherent spatial placement, such as the flow of water in a 3D container (see Chapter 6) or a medical scan of a patient limb (see Chapter 10). In such cases, the user already has a mental image of what the flow container or the limb looks like. Most importantly, the mental and the physical images overlap

considerably—when we are asked to think of what the given limb or flow container looks like, our mental image will most probably bear a strong resemblance to an actual 3D rendering of the respective dataset. This considerably simplifies the visualization task.

In contrast, many infovis applications attempt to help users form a mental image about data that has no inherent physical, or spatial, placement. Information has no "innate shape and color" [Koike 93], so its visualization has a purely abstract character. Information visualization covers areas such as visual reasoning, visual data modeling, visual programming, visual information retrieval and browsing, visualization of program execution, visual languages, visual interface design, and spatial reasoning [Morse et al. 02].

Examples of infovis data, also called *abstract* data, are everywhere in the information society: computer file systems, databases, documents from archives, and stock exchange courses. Clearly, such data have no physical representation.¹ Hence, infovis must cope with the added challenge of finding appropriate visual *representations* for such data. After these are found, they must be adequately *presented* to the user, possibly in an *interactive* setup. These three elements—representation, presentation, and interaction—form the fundamental ingredients of an infovis application [Spence 07].

As we have seen in the previous chapters, many of the users of scivis applications have a scientific or engineering background. These users can easily work with concepts such as data fields, resampling, isosurfaces, and streamlines. Exposing such elements in the user interfaces of scivis applications, and using three-dimensional graphics for the visualization itself, are thus reasonable and natural options. In contrast, infovis applications target a larger audience, which often includes individuals who have limited mathematical or engineering training. As such, the design of the presentation and interaction components of many infovis applications should be tuned to make them usable for the targeted user group. This can have several consequences on the user-interaction design. For example, financial users are very familiar with data tables and bar and pie charts. Software developers often do most of their work within an Integrated Development Environment (IDE), where most graphics and interaction is two-dimensional. As a general rule, the design of infovis applications for any particular field should

- follow the conventions accepted by that field;
- integrate with other tools-of-the-trade of the field.

 $^{^{1}}$ One may argue that printed documents do have one. However, as we shall see in Section 11.2, it is the actual information in these documents that we want to understand.

Following these two design principles maximizes the chance for the infovis application to be accepted by its users, and also speeds up the learning process.

Just as for scivis, different types of taxonomies, or classifications, have emerged for infovis applications. In his book, Spence classifies visualization applications into scivis applications, which address physical data; infovis applications, which address abstract data which lacks a spatial placement; and geovisualization (geovis) applications, which address a field between the two, where potentially abstract data is depicted in a spatial setting. A different taxonomy based on how the user derives a mental model from the data was proposed by Tory and Möller [Tory and Möller 04]. Yet another taxonomy, proposed by Chi [Chi 00], groups infovis techniques based on the data types and operations that are inherent to each visualization technique.

11.2 Infovis vs. Scivis: A Technical Comparison

Since the material presented so far in this book was about scivis, it is useful to see how infovis is similar to, and also how it differs from, scivis. In this section, we compare scivis and infovis from the technical perspective of data representation and manipulation.

First, let us briefly recall our discussion on the dataset concept that underlies the structure of the visualization pipeline (see Chapter 3). Datasets are containers that store application information which is to be processed and ultimately mapped to visual representations by the various algorithmic steps in the visualization pipeline (see Chapter 4). The dataset should, thus, accurately model the properties of the actual application-specific information, and, at the same time, lend itself to an efficient and scalable software implementation.

11.2.1 Dataset

The dataset model described in Chapter 3 was based on a number of assumptions, as follows:

- The *domain* is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , discretized in a set of simple piecewise-linear primitives, such as lines in 1D, triangles in 2D, and tetrahedra in 3D. The discretization, consisting of the location of the primitives' vertices together with the connectivity information that describes the primitives themselves, is stored in a *grid*.
- The data consists of sample values of some numerical quantity of dimension m taken at the sample points. Scalar data has dimension m = 1, vector



Figure 11.1. Examples of (a) scivis and (b) infovis datasets.

data has m = 2 or m = 3, and tensor data has m = 4 or m = 9 (or m = 3, m = 6, respectively, for symmetric tensors). The sample points are typically either the primitive vertices or the primitive centers, depending on the type of interpolation used.

• The *interpolation functions* serve to reconstruct a piecewise continuous signal from the data samples, across the primitives' extents. Constant and linear interpolation are the most common forms in use.

The preceding is summarized in the sampling and reconstruction process sketched in Figure 3.4.

As explained in Chapter 3, the scivis dataset model is well suited to describe functions of the type $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Data coming from numerical simulations and measurements of physical quantities over spatial regions fit this model well. Figure 11.1(a) sketches a simple scivis dataset having an unstructured grid embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 that has a color and a vector attribute at each sample point p_i . The scientific visualization methods described in Chapters 5 up to Chapter 10 are designed to offer various ways to get insight in datasets that follow this model.

We can, however, find many examples of data that do not, nor cannot be easily brought to, fit this model. Let us give two examples. Consider first the information stored in this book. This consists of an amount of text, which can be described, at the lowest level, as a sequence of characters from the ASCII character set. At a higher level of organization, the text is structured into words, sentences, paragraphs, subsections, sections, and chapters. Besides the textual information, there are also images, which can be described using the dataset model in Chapter 9. Apart from the previous, there are also cross references in the text, such as the numbers that point to sections, figures, and bibliographic references.

The simplest form of visualizing text is by printing it in the actual form and layout this book has. Besides reading the physical book, the text can be visualized using interactive viewers for various electronic document formats such as Adobe's Portable Document Format (PDF) or PostScript. However effective for tasks such as reading the document and searching for the occurrence of certain text patterns, the visualization model provided by such viewers does not support the user in performing other tasks. Among these, the following are worth mentioning:

- Get an overview of the global document structure.
- Identify similar textual patterns at several levels of detail (word, sentence, paragraph, chapter).
- Compare two or several text documents and see the similarities and differences.
- Get insight into the global cross reference structure.
- Understand how the usage of images is spread throughout the document.
- Observe how the structure and contents of a document have changed in time.

As a second example, consider the information stored during the development of a software project. This consists of the actual source code files, which contain program text usually structured, from high to low level, as a set of classes, functions, and individual statements. Besides the source code, other data items can be present, such as requirements, architectural and design diagrams, development log files, and bug traces. Figure 11.1(b) sketches an example of a simple dataset containing information about a software project. This dataset contains four data elements, or tuples. Tuples p_1 and p_2 describe two classes A and B, the first written in Java and the second in C++, and having 300 and 500 lines of code, respectively. Tuples p_3 and p_4 describe two functions foo and bar, the first having 50 and the second 100 lines of code, respectively. The dataset additionally contains four relations, describing the fact that class A contains the function foo, class B contains the function bar, and that foo calls bar. These relations are indicated by the four arrows drawn in Figure 11.1(b).

Software developers and project managers want to accomplish a number of tasks on this kind of information, including the following:

- Get an overview of the structure of the software project.
- Understand the dependencies between the various software building blocks, such as functions, classes, and packages.
- Compare the structure of two software systems and/or two different releases of the same system.
- Get insight in the coding style of various developers.
- Get insight into how the bug reports and fixes correlate with the project structure.

The preceding tasks are targeted by a branch of information visualization called *software visualization*. A definition of software visualization is "the use of the crafts of typographic, graphic design, animation, and cinematography with modern human-computer interaction and computer graphics technology to facilitate both the human understanding and effective use of computer software" [Stasko et al. 98]. Depending on the type of targeted data, software visualization can be further subdivided into several domains: program structure visualization, behavior visualization, and program evolution visualization [Diehl 07]. We shall present several examples of software visualization methods and applications in the remainder of this chapter.

For the text and software data types given previously, two questions arise, just as for scivis datasets:

- How can we design a dataset model that stores this information?
- How can we design visualization methods that allow us to get insight in this data?

This information cannot be naturally mapped to the scivis dataset model consisting of a grid of sample points, numerical sample values, and interpolation functions. Let us detail each of these elements in turn.

11.2.2 Data Domain

The domain of a scivis dataset typically describes a compact region of \mathbb{R}^n sampled at several locations. The resulting grid structure consists of sample points and cells. Cells are used to reconstruct a piecewise continuous signal from its sampled values at the cell vertices using interpolation (see Section 3.2). In contrast, infovis datasets often do not contain spatial information (sample points), nor do they contain cells having the function of interpolation. Let us examine these two properties in turn.

A first observation we can make for the book contents and software project data examples is that these do not have an inherent spatial *location*. Of course, we could try to model a book as a set of 2D pages stacked on top of each other in 3D, i.e., regard it as a kind of tridimensional dataset. The sample points of such a grid would, probably, be taken at the locations of the graphical elements in the book such as text letters and illustrations. The sampled data could consist of the actual text characters and image pixels. Although it is technically possible to store a book in such a dataset format, this would create more problems than it solves. First and foremost, the spatial 3D layout of the actual book is, in many cases, of little relevance for the content and the questions targeting this content. Such a dataset would represent the *physical* book itself, and not the *information* that the book stores. In the case of the software project data, there is simply no "natural" spatial structure to describe the data over.

As we shall see in the next sections, some infovis attribute types do not support interpolation. Hence, we cannot use cells for signal reconstruction purposes such as in scivis. There is no direct equivalent in infovis to the notion and purpose of cells, such as defined in scivis datasets. As we shall see later in Section 11.4, there is a somewhat similar notion of cells in infovis datasets, which are relationships between sets of data tuples. For example, the call and containment relations shown in Figure 11.1(b) can be thought of as cells that connect the four data points p_1, \ldots, p_4 . Although superficially similar to the scivis cell concept, this cell notion serves a different purpose.

11.2.3 Data Attributes

The sample values, or attribute values, stored at the grid points describe the actual data stored by the dataset. One important difference between scivis and infovis data that is easy to spot is that infovis data values are of more types than numerical values. In the previous examples, we can note elements such as text, syntactic entities (classes and functions), cross references in a text and call relations in a software project, and bug information. All these data types go beyond the semantics of numerical values, and also exhibit different properties than numerical data.

As discussed in Chapter 3, scivis data types are classified by their dimension into scalar, vector, and tensor data. For infovis data, there exist several classifications. One way to classify attribute data types is based on the kind of scale within which the values of a parameter might be given [InfoWiki 14]. Following

Data type	Attribute domain	Operations	Examples
nominal	unordered set	comparison	text, references,
		$(=, \neq)$	syntax elements
ordinal	ordered set	ordering	ratings (e.g., bad,
		$(=,\neq,<,>)$	average, good)
discrete	integers (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N})	integer arithmetic	lines of code
continuous	reals (\mathbb{R})	real arithmetic	code metrics

 Table 11.1. Attribute data types in infovis.

this classification, attributes can be classified into *nominal* (also called *categorical*), *ordinal*, *discrete*, and *continuous*. These attribute types, together with the domains the respective attributes take value in and their supported operations, are summarized in Table 11.1.

Another classification of attribute data types groups these into qualitative, quantitative, and categorical types. Nominal and ordinal attributes are said to be *qualitative*, as they do not allow arithmetic operations such as addition and multiplication. As we shall see next in Section 11.2.4, these operations are mandatory for performing attribute interpolation. In contrast, discrete and continuous data types are said to be *quantitative*, as they allow arithmetic operations and, therefore, also interpolation. Finally, nominal, ordinal, and discrete types are said to be *categorical* when these attributes describe the fact that a data item belongs to a category rather than the value of a quantity.

Scivis attributes belong chiefly to the last category of continuous types. This is natural, when we recall that they describe physical measured or simulated quantities. Infovis attributes cover the whole spectrum of data types, and therefore cannot always support operations such as interpolation. A second important property of many infovis datasets is that they do not define attribute values for every data tuple. In the example in Figure 11.1, only the functions (p_3 and p_4) have the "calls" attribute, whereas the "contains method" attribute is present only in the class tuples (p_1 and p_2). Moreover, infovis attributes pose different requirements on dataset implementation. As described in Section 3.8.2, scivis attributes are implemented basically as several floating-point arrays with one value per grid point or cell. Infovis datasets may need to choose a different storage strategy, since the size of a single attribute is variable, e.g., in the case of text strings, and since one must cope with missing values.

Yet another classification of attribute data types proposes eight types: linear, planar, volumetric, temporal, multidimensional, tree, network, and workspace [Shneiderman 96, Card et al. 99]. This classification combines both scivis and infovis attribute types and features, in the sense that both the spatiality of the attributes (e.g., linear, planar, volumetric, temporal, and multidimensional) and the relational aspect thereof (e.g., tree, network, and workspace) are present. Together with these eight data types, seven interaction functions an infovis application may provide are named: overview, zoom, filter, details-on-demand, relate, history, and extract. These functions can be related to the main steps of the visualization pipeline: filtering, mapping, and rendering. These two dimensions (data types and interaction types) create a matrix of possibilities within which a visualization application can locate its functionality.

The last classification of infovis data types we discuss here is into *values* and *relations*. All examples of data types listed previously, with the exception of references, fall in the value category. Value types contain their information within the data type itself. Relation types contain the information in the association, or relation, between two or more data values. As we shall see later in this chapter, relational data pose particular challenges to visualization.

11.2.4 Interpolation

As stated several times so far, continuous attributes such as used in scivis datasets admit interpolation. For example, we can easily interpolate the color or normal attributes of the vertices p_1, \ldots, p_4 over the quad cell in Figure 11.1(a). However, it does not make sense to consider interpolating any of the attributes of the software dataset shown in Figure 11.1(b), i.e., the class and function names (text), programming language (nominal), call and containment relations (relational), and lines of code (discrete). For the first three attributes, this statement is obvious. For the integer-valued lines of code attribute, one could, technically speaking, perform interpolation. However, this does not make much sense, as function and class data tuples are not part of a continuous space. For this reason, we can call the infovis data *inherently discrete*, in contrast to scivis data, which consists of samples of originally continuous quantities.

This distinction is very important. Together with the lack of inherent spatial placement, this influences the way the visualization methods for infovis data are designed, as we shall see next.

Table 11.2 summarizes the differences between scivis and infovis datasets. These differences sketch an image of the "generic" infovis dataset that is quite similar to the data model used in relational databases or entity-relationship graphs. Data consists of several tuples of variable length, each having several data elements. These elements can be of virtually any type besides numeric ones. The tuples participate in various relations with each other. The "structure" of the dataset is precisely the graph-like network of tuples connected by relations.

	Scivis	Infovis
Data domain	spatial $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$	abstract, nonspatial
Attribute types	numeric $\subset \mathbb{R}^m$	any data types
Data points	samples of attributes	tuples of attributes
	over domain	without spatial location
Cells	support interpolation	describe relations
Interpolation	piecewise continuous	can be nonexistent

Table 11.2. Comparison of dataset notions in scivis and infovis.

In scivis datasets, this structure was relatively fixed, i.e., consisted of uniform, rectilinear, structured, and unstructured grids (Section 3.5), and encoded typically just spatial and sampling density information. In contrast, infovis datasets can have very complex network-like relational structures, which encode a wide range of domain-specific semantics. If we consider all the previous facts, we can conclude that infovis datasets pose considerable challenges to an effective and efficient implementation.

Simplifying the discourse, since an infovis "sample point" is basically a data tuple, it means that we can, technically, represent any infovis dataset as a table whose rows are the data tuples and columns are the data attributes of the respective tuples. This is, indeed possible. Any types of infovis datasets, including trees, graphs, and text can be represented in this way. This data model is used by several infovis applications, such as the well-known ManyEyes visualization portal [Viegas et al. 07], and several software visualization applications [Reniers et al. 14]. However simple and generic, this tabular model is not always the most effective way to represent certain datasets, such as relational data, as we shall see next.

In practice, there exist many applications where scivis and infovis datasets are tightly mixed. For example, inherently spatial domains can carry both quantitative (real-valued) and qualitative (nominal) attributes, such as a map with height information and names of localities, road signs, and other discrete annotations. Conversely, scivis attributes can be completely decoupled from their spatial context, such as in the case of a database containing tables with physical measurement values but no measurement locations. What is important to remember from the discussion in this section are which characteristics distinguish infovis from scivis datasets, as these influence the choice and design of visualization methods.

As discussed in Chapter 4, a visualization application is structured as a pipeline of data acquisition, data filtering and enrichment, mapping, and rendering algorithms that process datasets. This structure is common in infovis applications as well, as are the main goals of getting insight into data, fact discovery, and hypothesis confirmation are common to both scivis and infovis applications. However, the mapping stage of the infovis visualization pipeline has a relatively more difficult task to accomplish than the same step in scivis pipelines. As we recall, this step converts a generic dataset to a spatial, usually two- or three-dimensional, representation, which is next fed to the rendering step (Section 4.1.3). As discussed previously, infovis data often has no inherent spatial placement. Hence, the mapping step must cope with the added difficulty of creating a suitable spatial representation for abstract high dimensional data. The effectiveness of many infovis visualization methods is highly determined by the design choices made in the mapping step, as we shall see next.

In the following sections, we present a number of visualization methods for several types of datasets that fall into the generic infovis category described above: database tables, trees, graphs, and text.

11.3 Table Visualization

The first type of infovis data is the *table*. Most readers should be already familiar with data tables from different application areas. Consider, for example, a database. This consists usually of a set of tables, each being a two-dimensional array of rows and columns. Each column typically describes a separate attribute, which is instantiated on each row. The table cells can contain all the attribute types discussed in Section 11.2.3. Actual databases typically classify attributes into numerical, text, date and time, and references to other database cells. Databases are usually managed by means of interactive front-ends that allow users to perform query and editing operations on the tables as well as draw the contents of their tables in a two-dimensional grid of textual cell values. The actual data querying and modifications on the database contents are expressed in dedicated database languages. Of these, the Structured Query Language (SQL) is one of the most popular [Chamberlin and Boyce 74, SQL 14], and is implemented by a large number of database management systems (DBMSs) in use, both commercial, such as Oracle and Microsoft SQL Server, and open-source, such as PostgreSQL and MySQL.

Printing the contents. The simplest way to visualize a data table is to print its contents. While this allows detailed inspection of the actual table values, there are several limitations to this approach. First, printing cannot show more than a few tens of rows and columns simultaneously. Figure 11.2 shows a table

id	category	name	date	time	open	high	low	close
636	siF	SIF1	2004-11-29	13:00	0.800000	0.800000	0.800000	0.800000
635	sif	SIF1	2004-11-29	14:00	0.800000	0.800000	0.800000	0.800000
633	siF	SIF1	2004-11-29	16:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
630	sif	SIF1	2004-11-30	14:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
632	sif	SIF1	2004-11-30	12:00	0.800000	0.800000	0.795000	0.795000
631	sif	SIF1	2004-11-30	13:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
628	sif	SIF1	2004-11-30	16:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
629	sif	SIF1	2004-11-30	15:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
627	sif	SIF1	2005-00-02	12:00	0.785000	0.790000	0.785000	0.790000
626	sif	SIF1	2005-00-02	13:00	0.790000	0.795000	0.790000	0.795000
625	siF	SIF1	2005-00-02	14:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
624	sif	SIF1	2005-00-02	15:00	0.800000	0.800000	0.800000	0.800000
620	sif	SIF1	2005-00-03	15:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
623	sif	SIF1	2005-00-03	12:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
622	sif	SIF1	2005-00-03	13:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
621	sif	SIF1	2005-00-03	14:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
619	sif	SIF1	2005-00-03	16:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
618	sif	SIF1	2005-00-06	11:00	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000
614	sif	SIF1	2005-00-06	15:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
617	sif	SIF1	2005-00-06	12:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
616	sif	SIF1	2005-00-06	13:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
615	sif	SIF1	2005-00-06	14:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
613	sif	SIF1	2005-00-06	16:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
609	siF	SIF1	2005-00-07	14:00	0.790000	0.795000	0.790000	0.795000
612	sif	SIF1	2005-00-07	11:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
611	sif	SIF1	2005-00-07	12:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
610	sif	SIF1	2005-00-07	13:00	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000
608	sif	SIF1	2005-00-07	15:00	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000
606	sif	SIF1	2005-00-08	13:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000
607	sif	SIF1	2005-00-08	12:00	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000	0.790000
605	sif	SIF1	2005-00-08	14:00	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000	0.795000

Figure 11.2. Textual visualization of a database table containing stock exchange data.

containing stock exchange data.² Every table row contains information recorded about the trading of a share during a certain time interval. The information is saved for several time intervals during each trading day for a period of three years (2003 to 2005) for a few hundred traded stocks. Each table row contains, from left to right: the integer ID of the respective row in the database, the industry category the stock is in and name of the stock, the date and time when the stock's value was recorded, the value at the beginning and end of the recording interval (open and close respectively), and the high and low values the stock reached during the respective interval. The table visualization can be scrolled to examine all the data rows, in this case over 45,000.

Stock brokers are interested in analyzing this data in order to find trends, outliers, and correlations between the various recorded items, such as share prices, periods, and companies. It is easy to see that the preceding visualization cannot assist such tasks to a great extent. One of the problems is that the data is not presented in a way that supports the considered tasks. For example, the table rows are not listed in an order that supports any task, but in the order they appear in the database.

²Data from the Romanian Stock Exchange, courtesy of C. Micu, Intercapital Invest., Romania. The visualizations of this dataset are created with the TableVision tool, openly available at http://www.cs.rug.nl/svcg/SoftVis/TableVision (see also [Telea 06]).

Several simple improvements can be brought to this visualization to make it more effective. A first improvement is to sort the columns by their attribute value. This is useful to find out the range of the attribute, by examining the beginning and end of a sorted column. Simple queries can be answered in this way, such as "What is the monitored period?" or "What are the earliest and latest intraday trading moments monitored?" Sorting the names column also allows us to quickly locate the data rows corresponding to a given share of interest, by scrolling to that name. However useful, simple sorting does not directly support tasks such as "show the evolution in time of the price of a given share." We can accomplish this task using three sort operations. First, we sort the table rows by name, which groups together the records (rows) corresponding to the same share. Next, we sort the same-name row groups by date. Finally, we sort the same-date row groups created by the second sort operation by time. The three sort operations create a table visualization that lists the shares ordered by name and then by date and time. Following the price evolution in time of one share, e.g., *SNP*, is now much easier (see Figure 11.3).

Figure 11.3 shows three other enhancements besides the multiple sorting technique. The first enhancement helps us see how many intraday samples the dataset has. To do this, we draw the background of the table cells using alternating colors (light blue and white) that change between row groups belonging to different dates. We can now easily see that most trading days have four or five intraday samples. A second use of this visual cue is to facilitate focusing on the first and last intraday samples, which are important to assess a day's gains or losses, and also to compare the close of a day with the open of the next day.

Mapping values. The second enhancement helps us follow the evolutions of the cell values without having to read them. To do this, we blend each cell's background with a colored bar graph showing the actual cell value. The bar lengths are normalized over each entire column: Short bars indicate small values, whereas a bar of the entire cell's length indicates the maximum value over the respective column. The date, time of day, and high course columns are rendered using bar graphs in Figure 11.3. The bar graphs help us discover several interesting facts. First, we notice a jump in the bar graph of the date column. Upon closer inspection of the text in the cells, we discover that there is a gap in the recorded data from the 16th of January 2004 until the 29th of July in the same year. The time column shows a different, sawtooth-like, evolution pattern. This pattern says that the intraday samples are relatively uniformly spaced between the same start time (11:00) and end time (15:00) for the considered data. However, we also discover an outlier: on the 29th of July 2004, the first day after the seven-month

id category name 1.a date 2.a time 3.a open high low cdate 1611 oil SNP 2004-01-90 12:00 0.146200 0.146200 0.145200 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Table: sif:</th>										Table: sif:
1611 old SNP 2004-01-09 12:00 0.145:200	close	low	high	1	open	time 3	date 2 🖌	nam1 🍙	category	id
1610 ol. SNP 2004-01-09 13:00 0.145200 </td <td>0.145200</td> <td>0.145200</td> <td>0.146200</td> <td>٠</td> <td>0.146200</td> <td>12:00</td> <td>2004-01-09</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1611</td>	0.145200	0.145200	0.146200	٠	0.146200	12:00	2004-01-09	SNP	oil	1611
1609 old SNP 2004-01-09 14:00 0.145200 </td <td>0.145200</td> <td>0.145200</td> <td>0.146200</td> <td>=</td> <td>0.145200</td> <td>13:00</td> <td>2004-01-09</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1610</td>	0.145200	0.145200	0.146200	=	0.145200	13:00	2004-01-09	SNP	oil	1610
1608 old SNP 2004-01-09 15:00 0.145:200 0.144:200 0.140:300 0.140:300 0.140:300 0.140:300 0.140:300 0.140:300 0.140:300 0.141:300 0.140:300 0.141:300	0.145200	0.145200	0.145200	=	0.145200	14:00	2004-01-09	SNP	oil	1609
1607 cil SNP 2004-01-12 11:00 0.144200 0.141200 </td <td>0.144200</td> <td>0.144200</td> <td>0.145200</td> <td>٠</td> <td>0.145200</td> <td>15:00</td> <td>2004-01-09</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1608</td>	0.144200	0.144200	0.145200	٠	0.145200	15:00	2004-01-09	SNP	oil	1608
1606 ol SNP 2004-01-12 12:00 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.143200 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.1413000 0.1413000 0.141300<	0.143200	0.143200	0.144200	٠	0.144200	11:00	2004-01-12	SNP	oil	1607
1605 oil SNP 2004-01-12 13:00 0.142300 0.142300 0.149300 0.141300 </td <td>0.142300</td> <td>0.142300</td> <td>0.143200</td> <td></td> <td>0.143200</td> <td>12:00</td> <td>2004-01-12</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1606</td>	0.142300	0.142300	0.143200		0.143200	12:00	2004-01-12	SNP	oil	1606
1604 ol SNP 2004-01-12 14:00 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 <td>0.141300</td> <td>0.140300</td> <td>0.142300</td> <td></td> <td>0.142300</td> <td>13:00</td> <td>2004-01-12</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1605</td>	0.141300	0.140300	0.142300		0.142300	13:00	2004-01-12	SNP	oil	1605
1603 eli SNP 2004-01-12 1500 0.141300 <td>0.140300</td> <td>0.140300</td> <td>0.140300</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.140300</td> <td>14:00</td> <td>2004-01-12</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1604</td>	0.140300	0.140300	0.140300	-	0.140300	14:00	2004-01-12	SNP	oil	1604
1602 oil SNP 2004-01-13 11:00 0.1413000 0.141300 0.141300<	0.141300	0.140300	0.141300	٠	0.140300	15:00	2004-01-12	SNP	oil	1603
1601 0H SNP 2004-01-13 12:00 0.142300 0.142300 0.141300 <td>0.140300</td> <td>0.140300</td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>•</td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>11:00</td> <td>2004-01-13</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>01</td> <td>1602</td>	0.140300	0.140300	0.141300	•	0.141300	11:00	2004-01-13	SNP	01	1602
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.141300	0.140300	0.142300	•	0.140300	12:00	2004-01-13	SNP	01	1601
1599 0ii SNP 200+01-13 15100 0.141300 0.142300 0.141300 0.142300 0.141300 0.142300 0.141300 0.142300 0.141300 <td>0.141300</td> <td>0,141300</td> <td>0.142300</td> <td></td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>13:00</td> <td>2004-01-13</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>OI</td> <td>1600</td>	0.141300	0,141300	0.142300		0.141300	13:00	2004-01-13	SNP	OI	1600
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.142300	0,141300	0.142300	•	0.141300	14:00	2004-01-13	SNP	Oll	1599
1397 08 200* 200* 11:00 0.11:300 0.11:4300 0.11:4300 0.11:4300	0.141300	0.141300	0.142300		0.141300	15:00	2004-01-13	SNP	oil	1598
19:50 00 14:50 0.14:3000 0.14:300 0.14:3	0.140300	0.141300	0.141300		0.141300	12:00	2004-01-14	CNID	oil	1597
1354 01 200 01 1400 01 1200 01 <	0.141300	0.141300	0.142300		0.141300	12:00	2004-01-14	SND	oil	1090
1.5.3 01 SNP 2004-01-15 11.00 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.142300 0.1413000 0.141300 0.141300<	0.141200	0.141300	0.142200		0.142300	14:00	2004-01-14	SNP	oil	1504
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.141300	0.141300	0.142300		0.141200	11:00	2004-01-15	SND	oil	1594
1591 0il SNP 2004-01:5 13:00 0.141300 <td>0.141300</td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>0.141300</td> <td></td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>12:00</td> <td>2004-01-15</td> <td>SMP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1592</td>	0.141300	0.141300	0.141300		0.141300	12:00	2004-01-15	SMP	oil	1592
1590 oil NP 2004-01-15 14/00 0.14/300 <td>0 141300</td> <td>0 141300</td> <td>0 141300</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>13.00</td> <td>2004-01-15</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1591</td>	0 141300	0 141300	0 141300	-	0.141300	13.00	2004-01-15	SNP	oil	1591
1838 oil SNP 2004-01-5 15:00 0.141300 0.142300 0.1413000 0.1413000 0.1413000	0.141300	0.141300	0.142300	-	0.141300	14:00	2004-01-15	SNP	oil	1590
1588 oil SNP 2004-01-16 11:00 0.141300 </td <td>0.142300</td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>0.142300</td> <td></td> <td>0.141300</td> <td>15:00</td> <td>2004-01-15</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>1589</td>	0.142300	0.141300	0.142300		0.141300	15:00	2004-01-15	SNP	oil	1589
1587 ol SNP 2004-01-16 12:00 0.1413000 0.1413000 0.141300<	0.140300	0.140300	0.141300		0.141300	11:00	2004-01-16	SNP	oil	1588
1586. oil SNP 2004-01-16 13:00 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300<	0.141300	0.140300	0.141300	٠	0.140300	12:00	2004-01-16	SNP	oil	1587
1585 oil SNP 2004-01-16 14000 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 1584 oil SNP 2004-01-16 15:00 0.140300 0.141300 0.140300 0.141300 956 oil SNP 2004-07-29 14:00 0.860000 0.860000 0.855000 0.855000 0.855000 0.860000 0.865000 0.860000 0.865000 0.860000 0.8	0.140300	0.140300	0.140300	=	0.140300	13:00	2004-01-16	SNP	oil	1586
1584 oil SNP 2004-01-16 15:00 0.141300 0.141300 0.143000 0.143	0.140300	0.140300	0.141300	=	0.140300	14:00	2004-01-16	SNP	oil	1585
896 oil SNP 2004-07-29 14:00 0.860000 0.860000 0.8650000 0.8650000 0.875000<	0.141300	0,140300	0.141300		0.140300	15:00	2004-01-16	SNP	oil	1584
885 oil SNP 2004-07-29 15:00 0.855000 0.862000 0.855000 0.866 894 oil SNP 2004-07-30 12:00 0.865000 0.865000 0.865 893 oil SNP 2004-07-30 13:00 0.865000 0.865000 0.866 893 oil SNP 2004-07-30 13:00 0.865000 0.860000 0.866 891 oil SNP 2004-07-30 15:00 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.860000 0.8608 0.8668 0.8608 0.8608 0.8608 0.8608 0.8608 0.8608 0.8608 0.8678 0.86799	0.860000	0.860000	0.860000	=	0.860000	14:00	2004-07-29	SNP	oil	896
894 oil SNP 2004-07-30 12:00 0.860000 0.868000 0.860000 0.875000 0.860000 0.875000 0.860000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.8750000 0.875000 0.875000 </td <td>0.860000</td> <td>0.855000</td> <td>0.860000</td> <td>٠</td> <td>0.855000</td> <td>15:00</td> <td>2004-07-29</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>895</td>	0.860000	0.855000	0.860000	٠	0.855000	15:00	2004-07-29	SNP	oil	895
993 oil SNP 2004-07-30 13:00 0.860000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.870000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.8750000 0.875000 0.875000<	0.865000	0.860000	0.865000		0.860000	12:00	2004-07-30	SNP	oil	894
892 oil SNP 2004-07-30 14:00 0.86000 0.86000 0.875000 0.86000 0.875000 0.86000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000 0.875000<	0.860000	0.860000	0.860000	=	0.860000	13:00	2004-07-30	SNP	oil	893
H1 DI SNP 2004-07-30 15:00 0.860000 0.065000 0.865000 0.865000 0.865000 0.875000 </td <td>0.860000</td> <td>0.860000</td> <td>0.860000</td> <td>=</td> <td>0.860000</td> <td>14:00</td> <td>2004-07-30</td> <td>SNP</td> <td>oil</td> <td>892</td>	0.860000	0.860000	0.860000	=	0.860000	14:00	2004-07-30	SNP	oil	892
890 oil SNP 2004-08-02 12:00 0.865000 0.86500 0.86500 0.865800 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86588 0.875900 0.875900 0.865900 0.8658 0.875900 0.865900 0.865800 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0.86580 0	0.860000	0.860000	0.860000	=	0.860000	15:00	2004-07-30	SNP	oil	891
BHP CHU+02+02 13:00 0.370000 0.670000 0.675000 0.66 888 cil SNP 2004+08+02 13:00 0.370000 0.675000 0.67 887 cil SNP 2004+08+02 15:00 0.875000 0.687 887 cil SNP 2004+08+02 15:00 0.875000 0.687 886 cil SNP 2004+08+02 15:00 0.875000 0.6875000 0.875000	0.865000	0.865000	0.865000	-	0.865000	12:00	2004-08-02	SNP	01	890
887 01 SNP 2004-08-02 19:00 0.8750000 0	0.865000	0.865000	0.870000	-	0.870000	13:00	2004-08-02	SNP	OI	889
887 01 2004-08-02 15:00 0.875000 • 0.880 000 0.875000 0.880 886 0il SNP 2004-08-03 11:00 0.875000 = 0.875 000 0.875000 0.875	0.875000	0.870000	0.875000		0.870000	14:00	2004-08-02	SINP	OII	888
000 UII DINE 2004-00-03 11:00 U.875000 - 0.675000 U.875000 U.875	0.880000	0.875000	0.880000		0.875000	15:00	2004-08-02	SNP		887
	0.875000	0.875000	0.075000	-	0.075000	11:00	2004-08-03	SNP	- OI	000
885 00 9NP 2004-06-03 12100 0.875000 -0.875000 0.875000 0.87500	0.875000	0.875000	0.875000		0.875000	12:00	2004-08-03	SNP	oil	665 004
	0.075000	0.075000	0.075000	-	0.073000	13.00	2004-00-03	DIAM	101	004

Figure 11.3. Table visualization enhanced using multiple sorting, evolution icons, bar graphs, and same-value (date) row cues.

information gap, there are only two samples at 14:00 and 15:00. Finally, the high column bar graph shows two flat lines separated by an abrupt jump. This signals that the course of the respective share has not changed significantly in the monitored periods, but has exhibited a major increase during the gap period for which we lack information.

The third enhancement helps us follow the course variations during the monitored intervals. To do this, we display small icons, or glyphs, in the open column that indicate the difference between this column's value and the closed column. A green upward-pointing arrow indicates a course increase, a red downwardpointing arrow shows a course decrease, and a blue equal-sign icon shows an unchanged course. These glyphs are essentially an indicator of the sign of the course derivative. Sampling issues. A different problem of the text-based table visualization discussed so far is its limited *scalability*. Although we can scroll the table both vertically and horizontally, this does not offer an overview of the entire data. Also, scrolling a table that has tens of thousands of rows or more is quite cumbersome. To solve this problem, we reduce the level of detail at which the table is shown, by zooming out the table visualization. This technique was originally introduced by Rao et al. as the *table lens* [Rao and Card 94]. When the text size becomes too small to be readable, we drop displaying text and the samevalue row cues and evolution icons, and only show the bar graphs. This allows us to "zoom out" the table visualization until each row is rendered by a single horizontal pixel line.

Figure 11.4 shows the entire stock dataset of over 45,000 rows visualized using the table lens technique, sorted by industry category, share name, and sampling time. In order to display this many rows on a display window of under 1000 pixels in height, the bar graphs in each column need to be undersampled by a factor of roughly 45 to 1. Note that this is not the same as undersampling the actual cell values. As explained in Section 11.2.4, interpolation (and, thus, undersampling too) of qualitative and categorical attributes can be challenging. However, the bar graphs map these attributes to a numerical value, which can be easily resampled. Different colormaps are used for the bar graphs in this image. The category column (nominal type) uses different brown hues to show the four industry categories present in the dataset, which are shown by the four different bar sizes (the first one, banking, has a zero width). The vertical bar sizes indicate the relative sizes of the industries in terms of monitored shares. The date column (discrete type) uses a luminance colormap, where dark indicates early dates and bright late dates in the monitored period. The open course column (continuous type) uses the by-now-familiar blue-to-red rainbow colormap. From Figure 11.4, we immediately see that the *BRD* stock has the highest, and increasing, open courses. In the same industry category of banking, there is a second share TLV that has a much lower, and rather constant, open course. A comparable course level to BRD is reached only for a very short period of time by another company in another industry category, as outlined by the narrow warm-colored spike halfway down the open column.

The previous visualization maximizes the information density. All pixels are used to convey a separate piece of data, so that overviews of large datasets are possible on a single screen without using interactive navigation techniques such as zooming and panning. Such visualizations are known generically as *dense pixel* displays or *space filling* displays. We shall encounter dense pixel display techniques further in this chapter.



Figure 11.4. The table lens technique allows us to create overviews of large tables as well as show context information.

The table lens technique can combine the overview visualization with detailed textual context information similar to the one shown in Figure 11.3. For this, we display detailed information for a few rows centered at a given focus point placed somewhere in the row range. The effect is similar to placing a lens on top of the overview visualization. Moving the focus point interactively, e.g., with the mouse, allows us to get detailed information at any point of the overview picture. This is a good example of the widely used visualization principle of *focus and context*.

11.4 Visualization of Relations

As discussed in Section 11.2.1, relational data are an important type of infovis data. Loosely defined, a *relation* is an association between two or more items. Relational data is fundamentally different from value data, as the information is located not in a single data value, but in the fact that several such values are associated, or related, in some way.

Relational datasets are ubiquitous in many application domains. Examples are as diverse as computers communicating with each other in networks, web pages referring to each other in the World Wide Web, cities connected by roads on maps, suppliers and customers connected in a logistics network, and software components depending on each other in a software system architecture. Given this widespread presence of relation datasets, many methods have been designed for visualizing such datasets. In this section, we shall focus on the visualization of several types of relational datasets that are frequently encountered in practice: trees, graphs, and Venn-Euler diagrams.

11.4.1 Tree Visualization

Trees are a particular type of relational data. Formally, a tree T = (N, E) is defined as a set of nodes $N = \{n_i\}$ (also called vertices) and a set of edges $E = \{e_i\}$, where every edge $e_i = (n_j, n_k)$ is a pair of nodes $n_j \in N$ and $n_k \in N$. An essential property of a tree is that there is a unique path, defined as a set of nodes connected by edges, between any two nodes in the tree. Putting it simply, a tree is a network of connected nodes where there are no loops.

In practice, the node pair that defines an edge in a tree is ordered to encode application-specific semantics. In such cases, for an edge $e_i = (n_j, n_k)$, the first node (n_j) is called the *parent* of n_k and the second one (n_k) is called the *child* of n_j . Hence, a tree can be seen as a hierarchical structure of parent and child nodes. In this model, a parent node may have any number of children, but a child node can have only one parent. Given that all nodes in a tree are connected, we deduce that there is a single node in a tree that has no parents. This node is called the tree *root*, and represents the top level of the hierarchy encoded by the tree. Symmetrically, there are several nodes in a tree that have no children. These nodes are called *leaves*, and represent the bottom-most level of the hierarchy encoded by the tree. Finally, the depth of a tree is the length of the longest path (number of nodes) that connects a leaf to the root.

As already mentioned, trees encode different types of hierarchical relations. One such relation is *containment*, where parent nodes are seen as containers of child nodes. Examples of containment tree hierarchies are computer file systems (files in folders), the structure of software source code (statements in functions in classes in files), and the logical map of a store (products in boxes in shelves in storage rooms). Another hierarchical relation is *subordination*, where parent nodes are seen as controllers of their children. Examples of subordination tree hierarchies are the structures of organizations (employees, managers, executives), the control structure of mechanical assemblies (driven parts connected to controllers), or the electrical network in a house (devices, power sockets, central electricity meter).

How can we visualize tree datasets? Since a tree is a particular example of an entity-relationship structure (nodes connected by edges), the answer implies that we must visualize the nodes and the edges. Besides visualizing the structure, we should provide ways to visualize the additional data attributes that may be associated with both nodes and edges. In the following, we present two different methods for visualizing trees: node-link drawings and treemaps.

Node-link visualization. The *node-link* visualization, also known as *ball-and-stick* visualization, is probably the most widespread method of visualizing tree data structures. As its name suggests, this method maps the tree nodes to typ-ically round glyphs,³ and the edges to line or curve-like shapes that connect the related nodes. Node-link displays of trees are also known as node and link diagrams. This representation leaves two main degrees of freedom for both nodes and edges:

- the positions of the glyphs;
- the appearance (shape, color, texture, lighting, annotation) of the glyphs.

Many choices are possible for both degrees of freedom. However, a commonly used strategy is to assign position to the node glyphs only, draw edges as straight lines, and modulate the appearance of both nodes and edges to reflect the values of their various attributes. With this strategy, it is possible to decouple the treedrawing problem into a geometric placement step that assigns coordinates to the nodes, followed by a mapping step that assigns appearance to the already-placed node glyphs.

The geometric placement step is commonly referred to as *layout*. There are many requirements that make a tree layout effective. These include, but are not limited, to the following:

• Nodes and edges should ideally not overlap or the amount of overlap should be minimal.

³The concept of glyph was introduced for the visualization of vector data in Section 6.2.

- Edges should not be unnecessarily long or, when curved edges are used, unnecessarily bent.
- The layout aspect ratio should be balanced, i.e., not too far off unity.
- The hierarchical root-to-leaves tree structure should be easily discernable from the visualization.
- The relative structures, sizes, and depths of the various subtrees of a given tree (or of different but related trees) should be easy to compare.
- The layout should be scalable, both in terms of clarity of the produced visualization and in terms of computational resources needed to create it.

As there are many ways in which these and other related requirements can be satisfied, many tree-layout algorithms have been developed. For a comprehensive discussion of the problematics and implementation details of tree drawing, we refer to the book by Di Battista et al. [Di Battista et al. 99]. For a discussion on the aesthetics of tree layouts, see Bloesch [Bloesch 93].

To give a feeling of the different choices that can be made when computing the layout of a graph, we will illustrate these choices for the problem of understanding the structure of a file hierarchy. The example we consider is the distribution of the open-source FFmpeg software video codec [Bellard 06]. This distribution contains 785 files in 42 folders nested five levels deep. Several file types are included: source code, video, audio, binary (libraries and object code), images, hypertext, and plain-text documentation.

Rooted tree layout. Figure 11.5 shows one of the most-frequently-used tree layouts, called the *rooted-tree* layout.⁴ Here, all children nodes of the same parent have the same *y*-coordinate. Their position on the *x*-axis is used to reflect a certain ordering. Here, the order in which files appear in a listing of their containing folder is used. Moreover, the placement of the children on the *x*-axis is done so that the horizontal extent of the entire subtree of a given parent node is centered with respect to the parent's *x*-coordinate. The appearance of the nodes reflects several attributes. The node glyphs and color show the file level in the hierarchy: a green square for the root, blue rings for the first-level folders, yellow balls for the second-level folders, and red squares for the remaining folders and files. The node glyph sizes reflect the size of the corresponding files or folders. However, these sizes range from a few tens of bytes for the smallest ones to over

 $^{^{4}}$ The tree visualizations in Figures 11.5, 11.6, 11.7, and 11.8 are created using the Tulip open-source graph visualization software [Auber 07].



Figure 11.5. File hierarchy of the FFmpeg software distribution visualized using a rooted tree.

a hundred megabytes for the root folder. Making the glyph sizes directly proportional to the file sizes would render most files practically invisible when we scale the root glyph size to fit the image. To prevent this, we lower clamp the smallest glyph size to 1/20 of the largest (root) glyph size. This makes the smaller file glyphs visible, but their size does not exactly reflect their corresponding files' size any longer. Additionally, we display the folder names for some of the largest folders in the distribution. Finally, the edges are drawn as straight lines with a color that interpolates the colors of their two nodes, using the same technique described for Gouraud shading (see Chapter 2). This technique makes the color change smoothly from node to node, thereby creating a visualization with lesssharp transitions. Another advantage is that some very small nodes, which may not be visible at all, will convey their color to the relatively long edges, thereby making their presence visible in the final image.

The visualization in Figure 11.5 shows several aspects of the FFmpeg software distribution. We see that the FFmpeg root directory has seven subdirectories. Of these, tests has the largest size (largest glyph). One of the nicest features of this layout is that it maps the hierarchy levels to distinct parallel horizontal layers. This lets us easily see, for example, that the entire distribution is five levels deep. The horizontal width of the triangular "fans" consisting of a parent
and its children shows the size, in number of files, of each folder. For example, we see that libavcodec has the largest number of files within (largest subtree drawing). Another useful property of this layout is that it reflects the "balancing" of the hierarchy, i.e., the distribution of number of files and subtree depths across the entire structure. Looking at Figure 11.5, we assert that this file hierarchy is well balanced. Indeed, there are no extreme depth or file count variations across the displayed subtrees.

The preceding layout works well for relatively well-balanced trees. However, "fat" trees that have parents with many children and few levels or very deep trees with few children per parent can yield layouts with poor aspect ratios. Tuning the distance between consecutive layers and the distance between consecutive children in the same layer can partially alleviate this problem.

Radial tree layout. A similar assessment of the file hierarchy structure can be obtained using the so-called *radial* tree layout shown in Figure 11.6. Here, the root is placed at the center of the image and its children are distributed in



Figure 11.6. Radial-tree layout for the same file hierarchy as in Figure 11.5.

clockwise order along a circle centered at the root. Nodes on deeper levels are laid out on correspondingly wider circles. The (x, y) coordinate system used for the layout in Figure 11.5 is now replaced with a polar ρ , α coordinate system, hence the name *radial*. The advantage of the radial layout is that it always has a one-to-one aspect ratio, since the entire picture always fits in a circle. Moreover, more space is allocated, relatively speaking, to the deeper levels in the tree than in the previous layout. This is visible if we compare the space allocated to the leaves of the **tests** folder, drawn in red in Figures 11.5 and 11.6. In contrast, there is less space allocated to the upper levels of the tree. This can create problems when these nodes need more space to be drawn, as in the case of the first level of our example, whose nodes have large icons and also display textual annotations.

Bubble tree layout. Figure 11.7 shows a different layout that uses the idea of circular node placement. In contrast to the radial layout, where a subtree occupies a pie sector of the entire layout, in this new layout a subtree always occupies an entire circle centered at the subtree's root. The entire layout can be seen as a placement of circles inside other larger circles, hence this layout is known



Figure 11.7. Bubble-tree layout for the same file hierarchy as in Figure 11.5.

as *bubble*, or *balloon*, layout [Boardman 00, Grivet et al. 04]. In contrast to the rooted and radial layout, the bubble layout offers a better visual separation of the subtrees. Additionally, this layout also keeps the tight aspect ratio of the radial layout. A difference between the two previous layouts is that edges have now considerably different lengths—subtrees with fewer children will have shorter edges, since their bubbles will have smaller radii. This makes the visual size of the subtrees reflect their number of children more strongly than for the rooted and radial layouts.

Cone tree layout. A related tree layout to the bubble layout is the *cone* layout (see Figure 11.8). Here, the nodes are arranged in three dimensions, rather than two dimensions as in the three layouts discussed so far. Whereas a subtree was laid out in a circle in the bubble layout, it is now laid out as a cone with the subtree root placed at the apex [Robertson et al. 91]. The advantage of the cone layout is that its 2D rendering may be more compact than other layouts, when viewed from an optimal angle. Also, the visual separation of different subtrees may be easier when interactively rotating the viewpoint around the cone layout. Nevertheless, the cone layout inherits the typical problems of most



Figure 11.8. Cone-tree layout for the same file hierarchy as in Figure 11.5.

3D visualizations of discrete entities: occlusion, the chance of "getting lost" in the 3D space during interactive viewing, and the potential confusion created by the foreshortening of the perspective projection.

In conclusion, node-link visualizations of trees are a powerful instrument for getting insight into the structure and attributes of tree relational datasets. Their main attractions are being simple and efficient to compute and familiar and intuitive for the majority of users. The latter is an extremely important advantage that one should exploit, and that several other infovis techniques for visualizing abstract data do not have.

Treemaps. However intuitive, node-link visualizations of trees have a serious limitation: they take a considerable amount of space. This becomes critical when one wants to visualize trees that have tens of thousands of nodes or more. Although it is technically possible to compute and render such a layout, it simply takes too much screen or paper space to display it to be effective in practice. Visualization practitioners often say that such layouts "waste" the white space that resides between the node and edge glyphs. When the data amounts to be visualized increase and the screen space stays constant, one often hears of screen space as being a rendering "real estate," which has to be used sparingly.

Treemaps are a different layout for tree structures that use virtually every pixel of display space to convey information [Johnson and Shneiderman 91]. The basic idea behind the treemap layout is simple: Every subtree is represented by a rectangle that is partitioned into smaller rectangles which correspond to its children. The basic treemap layout algorithm is straightforward. Given a start rectangle that corresponds to the tree root, slice it into as many smaller rectangles as its number of children by drawing lines along the shortest rectangle edge (vertical or horizontal). The exact position of the slicing lines determines the relative sizes of the child rectangles, which can be used to convey a scalar node attribute. For every child, repeat the slicing recursively, swapping the slicing direction from vertical to horizontal or conversely as we go one level deeper. The complete result is a nested sequence of rectangles that depict the tree leaves. This algorithm is known as *slice-and-dice* treemap layout [Shneiderman 92].

Intermediate (non-leaf) nodes are not shown explicitly in this layout. If one wants to allocate screen space to these nodes, e.g., to show some attributes, this can be done by making every child slightly smaller. This will create a border between the child and its parent, which can be used to show parent information by means of color or annotations and/or to select such parent nodes by, e.g., mouse clicking. However, this solution can significantly decrease the area available to draw leaf nodes for deep trees.



Figure 11.9. Treemap layout for the same file hierarchy as in Figure 11.5. Colors indicate file types; rectangle areas indicate file sizes.

Figure 11.9 shows a treemap visualization for the same file hierarchy as discussed in the previous section.⁵ Here, rectangle sizes map to file sizes, and rectangle colors indicate file types. The rectangles have black borders in order to allow the visual separation of same-color neighbors. This visualization is more compact than the node-link visualizations shown in the previous section for the same data. Also, the nesting of the rectangles carries the strong suggestion of containment, which is exactly the type of relation we want to encode. However, this visualization has two problems. First, the aspect ratios of the rectangles can become quite far from unity. Thin, skinny rectangles are not good, as they are hard to separate visually. More seriously, given the finite resolution of a pixel display and the wide range of file sizes, some thin rectangles will have a subpixel width or height, which will make them show up only as borders, i.e., appear fully black. This phenomenon is clearly visible in the large black rectangle in the lower middle of Figure 11.9, which actually denotes a folder containing subpixel-thin rectangles. The second problem is that the actual distribution of

 $^{{}^{5}}$ Figures 11.9 and 11.10 have been produced using the freely available file system visualization tool SequoiaView [van Wijk 06]. Similar functionality is implemented by the open source WinDirStat visualization tool [Schneider and Seifert 06].

files, shown as rectangles in the visualization, within the folders is not easily visible. Although we can sometimes guess where a folder border occurs by looking at the vertical and horizontal black line pattern change, this is not easy. In some cases, such as a file hierarchy where all leaves (files) are of equal size, the treemap layout produces a uniform grid that makes detecting the actual folder structure impossible.

Squarified treemaps. Both the aspect ratio and the nonleaf node visibility problems are addressed by a variant of the treemap layout shown in Figure 11.10. Let us first consider the layout. The principle of partitioning the rectangle of a subtree root into smaller rectangles corresponding to its children, sized to reflect the children sizes, stays the same. However, instead of using the simple horizontal-vertical alternating slicing, we use now a more involved technique that tries to create cells of near-unity aspect ratio. The implementation of this technique, called squarified treemap layout, is described in detail by Bruls et al. [Bruls et al. 00], and can be easily reimplemented by the interested reader. In order to obtain good aspect ratios, the squarified layout trades the order of the children rectangles, which now do not reflect the actual order of the children in the tree. Moreover, if we change the aspect ratio of the rendering target, the order of the rectangles in the squarified layout can change significantly. Several other treemap layouts exist, such as ordered and quantum treemaps [Bederson et al. 02] and cluster treemaps [Wattenberg 99], which offer several trade-offs concerning the ordering of the leaf nodes, aspect ratios of the leaf rectangles, and layout stability upon changing the target rendering area. Implementations of these algorithms, as well as an educative history of the evolution and use of treemaps, are available online [Shneiderman 06]. Further generalizations of the treemap layout are presented in Vliegen et al. 06, Telea 06, Baudel and Broeksema 12].

Cushion treemaps. The second design element present in the tree visualization shown in Figure 11.10 is the use of shading to reflect the hierarchical structure. Since only the tree leaves are explicitly rendered, we shall use their surface to show structural information on their position in the tree. Figure 11.10 shows a set of convex "bumps." Given their appearance, these bumps are called *shaded cushions* in the literature [van Wijk and van de Wetering 99]. If we look carefully, we notice that these bumps occur at several levels: Each treemap rectangle, which corresponds to a tree leaf, displays a small-scale bump. However, larger bumps are also visible in the shading signal or, to be more precise, in the luminance discontinuities of the shading. For example, if we look at the upper-left



Figure 11.10. Improved treemap visualization using squarified layout and shaded cushion rendering.

corner of the image, we see that the purple small-scale cushions appear to be grouped into two large cushions of roughly the same size. These large cushions actually correspond to the nonleaf nodes of the tree. Using the shaded cushions, several levels of the tree hierarchy can be displayed with no additional screen space by borrowing from the screen space already allocated to show the leaf nodes. To explicitly visualize non-leaf nodes, we can use interaction: For the node n under the mouse cursor, we highlight the path from n to the tree root by drawing outlines around its nodes. Using different outline colors, such as red, orange, and yellow in Figure 11.10, allows easily assessing the depth of a leaf node into the tree. Using additional interaction, e.g., the mouse wheel, allows us to implement a simple mechanism to select non-leaf nodes along the highlighted path. In contrast to drawing borders for all nodes, this solution shows parent information only on demand, but is more space-efficient, especially for deep trees.

To understand the construction of the shaded cushions, consider the onedimensional treemap visualization in Figure 11.11(b), which displays the tree shown in Figure 11.11(a). The tree, as well as its corresponding treemap, has



Figure 11.11. (a) The tree structure is visualized with (b) a cushion treemap. The actual cushion surface is indicated by the bold black line in (b). The same color is used to indicate the same node in the node-link tree drawing, the treemap, and the cushion profiles.

three levels, whose nodes are colored in hues of blue, green, and red from top to bottom. For every treemap cell, whether it corresponds to a leaf or nonleaf node, we construct a profile whose shape is a convex parabolic function that passes through zero at the cell's borders and reaches maximum at the cell's center. Following the model proposed by van Wijk and van de Wetering [van Wijk and van de Wetering 99], the expression of the parabolic profile for a one-dimensional cell $C = [x_1, x_2]$ is given by

$$h(x) = \frac{4k}{x_2 - x_1}(x - x_1)(x_2 - x).$$
(11.1)

Here, the parameter k determines the steepness of the parabolic profile, i.e., the ratio between its height and its width $x_2 - x_1$. In Figure 11.11(b), the profiles for each node of the considered tree are drawn with dotted lines.

After we have defined a cushion profile for each treemap cell, we sum up all profiles and obtain a surface H(x), as indicated by the black bold profile in Figure 11.11(b), which is the sum of the dotted profiles. The one-dimensional equivalent of Figure 11.10 is obtained by rendering this surface illuminated from a direction as indicated in Figure 11.11(b). If we now use increasingly flatter cushions for deeper nodes in the tree, we obtain an image in which the magnitude of the illumination discontinuity, which is proportional to the depth of the "valleys" between neighbor bumps, reflects the depth of the corresponding node in the tree. To achieve this effect, we let the cushion shape parameter k decrease with the depth d in the tree of the considered node, e.g., by setting $k = f^d K$, where $f \in [0, 1]$ is a flattening factor and $K \in [0, 1]$ the steepness of the top-level, largest cushions.

The shaded cushion construction proceeds similarly for the two-dimensional case. The cushion profiles are now parabolic surfaces instead of curves. The complete, easy-to-replicate implementation of the shaded cushion treemap method is detailed by van Wijk and van de Wetering [van Wijk and van de Wetering 99]. The reader is encouraged to implement the algorithm following this reference.

Several considerations on the shaded cushion treemap visualization are of interest. First, we outline again the high scalability of the method. On a computer screen of n by n pixels, one can render a single-hue treemap with approximately $(\frac{n}{2})^2$ leaves. Here, we consider that a leaf cell needs at least 2×2 pixels to visibly display the parabolic shaded profile, which needs to show illumination variation in both directions. Rendering smaller treemap cells may be dangerous, as large clusters of adjacent minimal-size cells may appear as single large cells if the individual cell profiles are not visible. Yet, even with this restriction, the shaded cushion treemap is easily capable of displaying trees with over a hundred thousand leaves on a typical computer screen. Just as the table lens technique discussed in Section 11.3, this visualization is also an instance of the dense pixel display, or space-filling, method. An evaluation of several space-filling techniques for displaying hierarchical structures is provided by Stasko et al. [Stasko et al. 00].

A second observation relates to the angle of the directional light source used. If we set the light to be exactly shining from above, i.e., orthogonal to the treemap supporting plane, the cushion surface get maximal illumination, which helps us distinguish small-scale details. However, this also creates a symmetric and zeroorder continuous shading profile over all cells. This makes the identification of the importance of the cell-separating edges harder, as the luminance signal does not exhibit strong discontinuities. If the light vector is slightly tilted from the vertical direction, some parts of the cushion surface will be completely in the dark, whereas their neighboring cushions will have maximal illumination. Hence, the luminance signal will exhibit zero-order discontinuities at those cell borders, which makes them easier to grasp visually. However, a drawback is that detail on the surface parts left in the dark will not be visible.

As already explained, hue can be combined with shading to show tree node attributes together with the tree structure. However, care must be exercised when modulating the cushion shading with hues. Dark hues, such as the blue used in Figure 11.10 for the object files, decrease the luminance range that is used to show structure, which can make small-scale details hard to discern. This problem can be alleviated by using brighter hues. Specular lighting (see Section 2.2) can also add supplementary visual contrast, at the expense of using larger cushions in order to accommodate the size of the specular highlight.

From an implementation perspective, shaded cushions are similar to texturebased visualization methods (see Section 6.6) in the sense that they do not generate polygonal primitives that undergo a rendering step, but directly create



Figure 11.12. The Map of the Market [SmartMoney 13] rendered using a treemap.

the final 2D image. The original implementation of shaded cushions [van Wijk and van de Wetering 99] renders the cushion treemap in software by applying the Phong lighting (see Equation (2.1)) at every pixel of the treemap image, using an analytically computed normal from the summed profiles. This method works well for treemap images of moderate size, but cannot render full-screen images at interactive rates. When this is required, such as in applications where the user needs to change various visualization parameters and quickly regenerate the treemap rendering, pixel-shader techniques can be used to compute the shaded cushions in graphics hardware.

We conclude our discussion on treemaps with a different example. Figure 11.12 shows a snapshot from the very successful Map of the Market tool provided by SmartMoney [SmartMoney 13]. This image shows a treemap that describes the evolution of a stock exchange over a period of one year. The treemap has two levels: industry sectors and companies. The sizes of the leaf rectangles (companies) represent the market capitalization, i.e., the stock price times the number of outstanding shares. The colors show the stock price variation over a period of one year, as indicated by the colormap below the image. Gray rectangles indicate companies for which no data was available. Finally, an "N" glyph indicates companies for which news of financial interest are available. Strong market movers can be easily found by looking for large bright green or bright red rectangles.

In contrast to the file system visualization discussed previously, the Map of the Market treemap uses a layout with similar properties to the squarified layout [Wattenberg 99], but no shaded cushions to convey hierarchy. Instead, borders are drawn to visually segregate the nodes, where the border width conveys the node level. These design choices are backed up by the fact that there are only two levels in the hierarchy, the full set of leaf nodes (companies) does not exceed a few hundred, and the entire visualization is supposed to run as a lightweight Java plug-in in a web browser. Several interaction mechanisms are provided to allow users to search for companies of interest, display annotations, and control the color mapping and selection of displayed data, all of which deliver a simple but effective instrument for visually analyzing the stock market.

11.4.2 Graph Visualization

Graphs are the most general type of relational data. Formally, a graph G = (N, E) is defined as a set of nodes $N = \{n_i\}$ and a set of edges $E = \{e_i\}$, where every edge $e_i = (n_j, n_k)$ is a pair of nodes $n_j \in N$ and $n_k \in N$. In contrast to trees, graphs can contain loops. Similar to trees, the node pair of an edge in a graph can be ordered or not. Graphs that contain ordered edges are called *directed*, whereas graphs where the edge node pairs are unordered are called *undirected*. An important notion in graph theory is the number of connected components a graph has. Informally, a connected component is defined as a set of nodes and corresponding edges such that any two nodes in the set are connected by a path.

Depending on the type and size of graph, different visualization methods are most applicable. In the following, we overview some of the most popular methods for visualizing graphs.

Hierarchical graph visualization. The first class of methods for graph visualization is quite similar to the node-link visualizations of trees discussed in Section 11.4.1. The main structure of the algorithm is the same. First, a layout is computed for the given graph, subject to the same type of constraints described for trees. Second, the laid-out graph is drawn using appropriate glyphs for nodes and edges, which can optionally encode additional data attributes. The graph layout discussed in this section can be seen as a generalization of the rooted-tree layout illustrated in Figure 11.5. The similarity resides in the fact that both layouts exploit the notion of hierarchy. While for a tree this notion is inherent, the situation is different for graphs. However, there exist graphs that are naturally structured in a hierarchical manner by means of their actual semantics. For these graphs, the nodes are grouped into layers, identified by integers, such that the directed graph edges always point from a node in a lower layer to a node in a higher layer. Essentially, such graphs are identical to trees but allow nodes with several parents and several root nodes, which are the nodes in the lowest layer. An example of such a hierarchical graph is a class inheritance diagram in object-oriented software. Whereas some languages, such as Java, admit only a single inheritance, in which case the inheritance diagram

is a tree, there exist languages such as C++ that allow a class to have multiple parents, also called *base classes*. The inheritance diagram is, in this case, a hierarchical graph.

Such hierarchical graphs can be laid out by a two-step algorithm [Sugiyama et al. 81]. In a nutshell, the algorithm works as follows. First, the nodes are assigned *y*-coordinates that are proportional to their layer numbers. This places nodes in the same layer at the same height (*y*-coordinate). Next, the nodes in each layer, from the root layer onward, are permuted to minimize the number of edge intersections, also called *edge crossings*, between layers. Since the crossing minimization problem is computationally expensive, several heuristics are used in practice to obtain a good result with a limited number of permutations [Gansner et al. 93].

Clearly, not all graphs have an intrinsic hierarchical semantics as described here. Still, the hierarchical graph layout algorithm described here can be used with any graph, as long as we are able to assign meaningful hierarchy layers to its nodes. Different methods can be applied when assigning layers to nodes, which creates different hierarchies with corresponding layout properties. Examples are the maximal layer width method, which computes a hierarchy whose layers are guaranteed to have a maximal number of nodes [Coffman and Graham 72] and hence guarantees a bounded layout width; the longest path ranking, which uses a minimal number of layers and hence guarantees a minimal layout height [AGD 06]; and the depth-first search method, which computes a hierarchy from a user-supplied set of root nodes. Such methods are beyond the scope of this book, so we refer the interested reader to the specialized literature [Di Battista et al. 99, Sugiyama et al. 81, Gansner et al. 93, AGD 06]. However, we should stress that, even though we can associate layer information with any graph and thereby use a hierarchical layout to visualize it, the resulting images may not be insightful or may even be misleading. Indeed, a hierarchical layout strongly conveys the sense of layering, which should reflect some application semantics. For graphs where such a layering is not natural, other layout methods may perform better (see Section 11.4.2).

An important difference between trees and hierarchical graphs from the layout perspective is that, for the latter, edges can connect nodes situated on nonconsecutive layers. In this case, it is impractical to draw edges as straight lines, as we did for trees, since this would create too many edge crossings. A better solution is to draw edges using smooth curves such as splines. This can be done as follows. For each edge e = (m, n) that connects nodes situated on nonconsecutive layers L_i and L_j , one dummy node is inserted on each layer situated between L_i and L_j , and replaces the original edge with a set of edges (path) connecting the nodes m and n via the intermediate dummy nodes. Next, the edge crossings, between consecutive layers only, are minimized, as these are the only edges we have now. Finally, a spline or another smooth curve is constructed from node m to node n via the in-between dummy nodes. This curve is used as an edge glyph to map the original edge e. The dummy nodes are now discarded, and not visualized, as they were only used for construction of the splines.

Figure 11.13 shows a first example of the hierarchical graph layout. The depicted graph illustrates the evolution of the UNIX operating system.⁶ The vertical axis roughly indicates the timeline, with the early versions of UNIX depicted at the top and the most-recent versions at the bottom, respectively. The edge directions indicate the same evolution pattern. The twelve horizontal layers roughly correspond to the number of evolution steps, or phases, that the original "5th Edition" UNIX has evolved through. This picture, generated from the directed graph description using the dot tool from the open-source GraphViz graph-drawing software [GraphViz 14], gives intuitive insight into how the 47 versions of the UNIX system are related to each other from an evolution perspective. From a single initial version, no less than seven versions have emerged after two evolution steps. We see, however, that the version proliferation stays contained, as only four versions (FreeBSD, NetBSD, OpenBSD, and System V.4) are present on the latest evolution layer. We also notice how splines are used to map edges that connect nodes on nonconsecutive layers.

Figure 11.14 shows a more complex example. In the following, we describe this example and a possible investigation scenario based on this visualization. The nodes depict the functions of a C program that consists of two subsystems: a main program and an application library. The edge directions run from top to

 $^{^{6}\}mathrm{The}$ UNIX evolution data is part of the GraphViz graph visualization software [GraphViz 14].



Figure 11.13. The evolution of the UNIX operating system, displayed as a hierarchical graph.

bottom and indicate relations between a caller function (top) and called function (bottom). Such graphs are known as *call graphs* in software engineering and are a useful tool for understanding the structure of large software source code bases. Let us examine this picture in more detail. The program entry point, i.e., the main() function, is colored in cyan, and is placed at the top, since it is the unique root node for this call graph. One fact that we see right away is the two-layer structure of the program: The functions are clearly separated into those contained in the main program and those that are part of the application library. Note that this visual separation was not constructed on purpose by the hierarchical layout. The layout actually shows that there is, indeed, a clear separation of the software into a main subsystem and a library subsystem. This is a fact that we discover about our software using the call graph visualization. To gain further insight into which library functions the main program actually uses, and who calls them, we colored the call edges that run between nodes in the two subsystems in red. We see now that there are just six functions in the



Figure 11.14. The call graph of a program visualized using a hierarchical graph layout. Note the separation between the main program and library subsystem.

main subsystem that call the library. This is a second indication of good design. If we wanted to replace or drop the library, we would only need to modify these five functions.

We can learn more facts about the software by encoding additional attributes in the graph visualization. For instance, we color in blue the functions that perform text-based output operations, such as displaying text on the screen. We find two such functions in the main subsystem and five in the library (shown at the bottom of the graph). Three of these last five functions are heavily used by the library itself, as shown by the numerous black edges pointing to the respective nodes, and also by the main subsystem, as shown by the red edges running from the main subsystem to those nodes. Upon closer inspection of the source code, we find that these blue nodes correspond to text-printing functions, which are heavily used by the library for displaying warning and error messages. By following the edges back from the blue nodes to their callers, we can discover which parts of our library generate such messages, if desired. Finally, we color the nodes that contain edges pointing to themselves in purple. There is one single such node in the library subsystem, which indicates a recursive function.

However useful, Figure 11.14 also shows a limitation of the hierarchical graph layout. The edges, although carefully laid out using spline curves to minimize crossings, are still quite tangled and hard to tell apart from each other. In general, although the hierarchical graph layout described here is technically scalable to large graphs, the produced visualizations can easily become hard to read when they contain more than several hundreds of edges. Addressing this problem in general is quite difficult. In practice, two classes of approaches exist. First, one can modify the graph to eliminate edges that are of little interest for the problem at hand, or group related edges together, until a reduced edge count is reached that can be satisfactorily handled by the available layout. This solution implies, however, that we know beforehand how to simplify our graph without losing important information.

Orthogonal layouts. A different type of variation of the hierarchical graph layout is shown in Figure 11.15.⁷ The graph shown in this figure depicts the structure of a software system. Nodes represent software components, which are in this case modules (drawn in yellow) and functions (drawn in blue). The graph has two types of relations, or edges. Containment edges describe the nesting of subcomponents in parent components. Dependency edges describe functional dependencies between communicating components. Nodes are drawn as rectangular glyphs. The containment relation is shown by drawing the contained

⁷This figure has been generated using the aiSee software visualization tool [AbsInt 07].



Figure 11.15. Containment and dependency relations in a software system, visualized using a hierarchical graph layout with orthogonal edge routing.

subcomponent glyphs inside the container (parent) component glyph. The dependency relation is shown by drawing the graph edges connecting the related components explicitly. Small dots drawn at the end of edges indicate the edge direction (much like an arrow, but taking less space) from a dependent component to the component(s) this depends on. The main organization of the layout follows the hierarchical layered principle used in Figures 11.13 and 11.14. The layout strives to put dependent nodes at the top of the nodes they depend on. Yet, there are two main differences as compared to Figure 11.14. In contrast to the straight lines and splines used so far, this layout uses an orthogonal routing of the dependency edges. This creates patterns that are arguably easier to follow and visually more pleasant too. A second element is the use of different levels of detail throughout the layout. The middle row of modules has been expanded to show detail on the contained function nodes, drawn in blue. All other component nodes are drawn without showing containment details. In the actual aiSee visualization tool used to generate this image, users can interactively select which nodes they want to get details on by clicking their glyphs, whereby the layout is recomputed to expand the respective nodes.

Hierarchical edge bundling. A different method to reduce visual complexity when displaying large hierarchical graphs is to visually group, or bundle, edges that are visually close to each other, thereby reducing the visual clutter without throwing away information explicitly. Let us illustrate this method for the same



Figure 11.16. The call graphs of two programs visualized together with the programs' hierarchical layering. The layout used suggests that the left system is more modular than the right system.

problem of understanding complex call graphs. As shown in Figure 11.14, it is useful to visualize the relation in a software system of the function calls to the system layering. The layering describes how source code elements are contained within each other in a hierarchical fashion. A typical layering in object-oriented systems has three levels: methods (functions), classes, and files. We would like to extend the visualization from Figure 11.14 to show call relations between all layers.

We have now a graph with three types of nodes, i.e., methods, classes, and files, and two types of relations, i.e., call and containment. The proposed method, described by Holten [Holten 06], works as illustrated in Figure 11.16. First, we lay out all the graph nodes in three concentric rings. The inner ring shows the functions, the middle ring the classes, and the outer ring the files. Every node is shown as a ring sector. The relative positioning of the sectors along the three rings indicates containment. For example, in the lower-left area of Figure 11.16(a), the green-colored methods are all contained in a single light blue-colored class, which is in turn contained in the yellow-colored file. This node layout is called an icicle plot [Kruskal and Landwehr 83].

After the nodes are laid out, the layout of the call relations proceeds. Every call edge is laid out using a spline curve whose start and end points are the caller and called nodes on the inner ring. The spline's control points are constructed using a radial tree layout (not shown in Figure 11.16) of the method, class, and file nodes and the containment relations. The net effect of this method is that edges emerging from methods in the same class and which go to methods in another class are "bundled" together as they get farther from the circular sector corresponding to that class. The same bundling occurs at a higher level when call edges connect methods in two different files. Finally, the edges are drawn using a two-color scheme interpolated along the edge, where one color stands for caller and the other for called methods, respectively. For example, in Figure 11.16(a), blue indicates caller and red called methods. We encourage the reader to study the layout's design details from Holten [Holten 06] and implement this method as an exercise. A ready-to-use implementation of the hierarchical edge bundling (HEB) layout is also available in the Visualization Toolkit class library [Schroeder et al. 06].

The visualization shown in Figure 11.16 allows us to discover several aspects about the structure of a software system. The first system (Figure 11.16(a)) has five files, which roughly correspond to different subsystems. If we look at the central area, we can easily identify four main call bundles between these files. These correspond to "strong" interactions between the respective subsystems. Consider, for example, the tight bundle going from the yellow subsystem (lower left) to the orange one (upper right). This bundle indicates that the yellow subsystem calls the orange one. To be more precise, most methods in the yellow subsystem call the purple class in the orange subsystem. In contrast, the large, dark green subsystem (lower right) exhibits many calls to itself, as indicated by the localized curved edges. Finally, both the yellow file and the large (uncolored) file in the upper-left part of the image have mostly blue edges, which indicates that these are calling subsystems, which probably contain application logic rather than library code. The orange subsystem is connected with the rest via red edges only, which suggest this is called (library) code. If we examine its label, we find, indeed, the name "Libraries/Database."

The second system visualized with the HEB technique (Figure 11.16(b)) tells a quite different story. The system has a comparable hierarchy of methods, classes, and files, of roughly the same size as the first system. However, we see far less clearly delimited call bundles. This suggests that the software system has a considerably less modular structure, or what in software development parlance is called "spaghetti code."⁸ A different edge coloring scheme is used here: blue denotes virtual function calls and green normal (statically resolved) function

⁸The visual metaphor strongly suggests the spaghetti code denomination.

calls, respectively. The edge direction is encoded by luminance: bright denotes caller, and dark called. Although the call structure is quite complex, we see that there are considerably fewer virtual method calls (blue curves) than ordinary calls (green). Also, we notice a high concentration of virtual calls going to the orange-colored class. This suggests an interface class that is heavily used throughout the system.

Image-based edge bundling. However useful in showing relations between entire groups of nodes, hierarchical edge bundles can be challenging to interpret for large graphs. Consider the example in Figure 11.17(a). The hierarchy shows the structure of a software system written in the C# programming language (assemblies, classes, methods, and fields), using color mapping of the icicle plot nodes. The graph contains three types of edges: calls (method m_1 calls method m_2), field uses (method m uses data field f), and type uses (field f is of class type c). From Figure 11.17(a), we immediately see that subsystem A is connected to subsystem B by a thick bundle, indicated by the arrow in the image, that contains a mix of type-use and call relations. However, the tight edge bundling that makes this insight possible also prevents refining our finding to finer-grained levels. For instance, we cannot see which *parts* of A are related to which parts of B. In other words, we cannot explain the bundle A - B on a finer level. Interactive edge brushing and selection can solve this problem, but at the expense of additional user efforts.

To address this problem, simplified edge bundle visualizations can be used. Figure 11.17(b) shows such a visualization. In here, edges that are of the same type and are also close to each other are rendered as single thick, shaded, tubes. We now immediately see that the red (type uses) edges in the A - B bundle are made of one blue bundle connecting the left part A_1 of subsystem A to the left part B_1 of subsystem B, and one red bundle connecting the right parts A_2 and B_2 of the same subsystems. Thus, the "uses type" dependency A - B can be split into two relation groups that connect disjoint node groups. This insight is useful, for instance, if we want to refactor subsystems A and B by splitting them into smaller components.

The simplified bundle visualization in Figure 11.17(b) can be easily produced by using an image-based approach [Telea and Ersoy 10]. Given a graph G = (V, E), we first group, or cluster, its edges based on their so-called edge compatibility. Edge compatibility *comp* : $E \times E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ can be computed as a mix of several parameters, including positions of the edges' end nodes, similarity in direction, and similarity in attributes. In our case, thus, compatible edges reside in the same bundle and have the same edge type. Based on *comp*,



Figure 11.17. Call-and-dependency graph of a software system (a). Simplified imagebased edge bundle visualization of the same graph (b).

we next hierarchically cluster edges using the bottom-up agglomerative method presented earlier for vector field decomposition (Section 6.7.3). For each emerging cluster, we next compute the distance transform $DT : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ of its edges (Section 9.4.5). Thresholding DT at a small value $\epsilon > 0$ delivers hulls H that surround all edges in a cluster at a distance of ϵ pixels. Alternatively, H could be computed by using the alpha shapes method described in Section 8.3.2, with a dense point sampling of the edges as input. Finally, we shade each hull with a luminance profile that is dark at the border and bright in the center. To obtain such a profile, we compute the skeleton S of H and next set the luminance $I(\mathbf{x})$ of a pixel $\mathbf{x} \in H$ to

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\min\left(\frac{DT_{\partial H}(\mathbf{x})}{DT_S(\mathbf{x})}, 1\right) + \max\left(1 - \frac{DT_S(\mathbf{x})}{DT_{\partial H}(\mathbf{x})}, 0\right) \right) \right]^k$$
(11.2)

Here, $DT_{\partial H}$ is the distance transform of the boundary of the hull H, and DT_S is the distance transform of the hull skeleton S. Equation 11.2 has the particular property that it can generate a C^2 signal that is zero on a given curve (∂H) and one on another curve (S) contained inside the first curve, regardless of the shapes, relative positions, and sizes of the two curves. The value k controls the smoothness of the shading profile. Values $k \simeq 0.5$ create effects that resemble shaded tubes. As a side note, let us remark that Equation 11.2 is a generalization of the shaded cushions presented earlier in Section 11.4.1 from rectangular shapes to arbitrary (convex or concave) contours. As such, this technique can be directly used to create shaded cushions for any types of closed 2D contours. We will use such cushions later to visualize Venn-Euler diagrams in Section 11.4.3.

The simplified bundle visualization presented above works, just as the imagebased flow visualization (IBFV) method presented earlier in Section 6.6.1 and the graph splatting method presented later in this chapter (Section 11.4.2), purely in image space. As such, it can be efficiently and easily implemented using accelerated GPU techniques to deliver simplified bundle visualizations of large graphs at interactive frame rates. We also note that this technique can be used for any type of bundled graph (hierarchical or not), as it only requires as input a set of 2D curves representing the locations of the bundled graph edges. We shall next see, in Section 11.4.2, how the ingredients of this method can be used also for the efficient and simple construction of bundled graph layouts themselves.

Force-directed layouts. In the previous sections, we have shown how to construct layouts for relational data that form a hierarchical structure, such as trees and hierarchical graphs. However, as already noted in Section 11.4.2, in many applications one is confronted with the problem of visualizing a general graph that does not form a natural hierarchy. For such graphs, a different class of layouts may produce better results. These layouts, known as *force-directed layouts* or *spring embedders*, are described in this section.

The main idea behind force-directed layouts can be formulated in terms of an optimization problem, as follows. Given a graph G = (N, E), we define a so-called energy function \mathcal{E} that measures the quality of a layout of G in terms of a positive number. The lower the energy value is, the higher the quality of the layout. The energy function \mathcal{E} should be designed to measure the various quality parameters we are interested in. On the other hand, the energy function should depend on the controllable parameters of our layout, such as node and edge shapes and positions. Once we have an appropriate energy function, we minimize it using some optimization algorithm. The resulting parameters are our desired layout.

This generic framework can be particularized in several ways. Several decisions are to be made, including the following:

- **1.** how we measure the quality of a layout;
- 2. what elements of the layout we parameterize;
- 3. how we efficiently and effectively minimize the energy function.

These decisions are interrelated in several ways. We should only measure those layout quality attributes that can be influenced by the parameterized layout elements. As described in Section 11.4.2, a good layout has few edge crossings and edge bends, few node overlaps, favors short edges, and has a balanced aspect ratio. More subtle, and thus harder to measure and constrain, quality considerations are that the layout should reflect the graph symmetry and structure. Finally, although we may be able to design an energy function that measures all our quality attributes, finding the parameter values for which this function is minimal can be very costly and difficult.

To illustrate the preceding technique, we shall use a simple energy function that, nevertheless, is able in practice to produce good graph layouts [Fruchterman and Reingold 91]. This energy function attempts to enforce two quality criteria:

- connected graph nodes should be close;
- no two different nodes should be too close.

A simple way to describe an energy function that models these quality criteria is to use a physical analogy. Imagine that every node in the graph is a charged electric particle and every edge is an elastic spring. Hence, nodes connected by edges will exert an attraction force upon each other. This models the quality constraint that edges should be short. Additionally, all nodes will exert a repelling force on each other, regardless of whether they are connected or not. This models the second quality criterion that nodes should not overlap.

We now have to choose explicit expressions for the attractive and repulsive forces. Many choices are possible, some based on physical motivations, and some others following heuristics that yield good results in practice. A popular model for obtaining good quality layouts is to use the following forces:

$$\mathbf{F}_{a}(n_{i}, n_{j}) = \frac{\|p_{i} - p_{j}\|}{k} (p_{j} - p_{i}),
\mathbf{F}_{r}(n_{i}, n_{j}) = -\frac{k^{2}}{\|p_{i} - p_{j}\|^{2}} (p_{j} - p_{i}),$$
(11.3)

where \mathbf{F}_a is the attraction force and \mathbf{F}_r is the repulsion force between two graph nodes n_i and n_j , p_i and p_j are the positions of these nodes, and k is a constant. Following Fruchterman and Reingold, we set k to $\frac{\sqrt{A}}{N}$, where A is the area in which we want to do the layout, and N is the number of graph nodes [Fruchterman and Reingold 91].

Another model for the forces is as follows [Eades 84]:

$$\mathbf{F}_{a}(n_{i},n_{j}) = k \log \|p_{i} - p_{j}\| \frac{p_{j} - p_{i}}{\|p_{j} - p_{i}\|},
\mathbf{F}_{r}(n_{i},n_{j}) = -\frac{k}{\|p_{i} - p_{j}\|^{3}}(p_{j} - p_{i}).$$
(11.4)

Yet a different way to model the forces acting upon nodes is to first define an energy model [Kamada and Kawai 89]:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left(\frac{\|p_i - p_j\| - d_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \right)^2 \tag{11.5}$$

where d_{ij} is the length (measured as number of edges) of the shortest path in the graph connecting n_i and n_j .⁹ Computing all d_{ij} values can be done using the Floyd-Warshall algorithm [Cormen et al. 01]. Essentially, this energy model states that a good layout, corresponding to a minimal energy \mathcal{E} , has the geometric distances between connected nodes proportional to the distances in the graph. We know, from classical mechanics, that the force is equal to the gradient of the potential energy, $\mathbf{F} = -\nabla \mathcal{E}$. Forces equal to the energy gradient $-\nabla \mathcal{E}$ can be computed in order to minimize the energy \mathcal{E} , by iteratively moving the nodes in the force directions until \mathcal{E} does not decrease any longer. For details on this minimization, we refer to [Kamada and Kawai 89].

In all the previous models, the attraction forces (or corresponding energy terms) between node pairs can be multiplied with an edge weight factor, which models the strength of the respective relation. This is useful if some relations are to be visually emphasized more than others. In the elastic spring metaphor, this is equivalent to using springs of different stiffnesses.

Given a force model, we can minimize the energy by moving each node in the direction of the resultant force acting on it, i.e., in the direction that decreases the energy the most. This direction coincides with the sum of the attraction forces corresponding to all edges connected to that node and the repulsive force between that node and all other nodes in the graph. The pseudocode of the complete force-directed layout algorithm is given in Listing 11.1. The algorithm receives as input a graph with N nodes and E edges, each edge *edge* connecting two nodes denoted *edge.first* and *edge.second*. The output of the algorithm is the set of N node positions p_i .

The force-directed layout algorithm has three phases. First, we compute the repulsive force \mathbf{F}_r for every node, following either of the models given by Equations (11.3) or (11.4). Next, we add the attractive forces \mathbf{F}_a along each edge. Finally, we move the node positions p_i using the resulting forces \mathbf{f}_i . We limit the maximal move of any node to a given constant δ , set to a small fraction of the size of the drawing space the layout should fit in. This restricts extreme moves, caused, e.g., by high repulsive forces between nodes which are placed very close to each other, and thus makes the layout process more stable.

⁹We assume here that all nodes in the graph form a single connected component.

```
for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
                                                    //Initialize layout
  p_i = random position;
                                                    //Initial maximal allowed move
float t = t_0;
for (int i=1; i < ITER; i++)
                                                   //Do the layout
ł
   for(int i=0;i<N;i++)
                                                    //Compute repulsive forces \mathbf{F}_r
   {
     \mathbf{f}_i = 0;
      for (int j=0; j < N; j++)
         if (j!=i)
            \mathbf{f}_i += \mathbf{F}_r(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j});
   }
   for (int edge=0; edge<E; edge++) //Compute attractive forces F_a
      int i = edge.first;
                                                   //Get first node of edge
      int j = edge.second;
                                                   //Get second node of edge
      \mathbf{f}_f \mathrel{-=} \mathbf{F}_a(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j});
      \mathbf{f}_e \mathrel{+}= \mathbf{F}_a(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j});
   for (int i=0; i < N; i++)
                                                   //Move the nodes by applying forces
     p_i + = \frac{\mathbf{f}_i}{\|\mathbf{f}_i\|} \min\left(\delta, t \|\mathbf{f}_i\|\right);
   t -= t\Delta t;
                                                    //Reduce maximal allowed move t
```

Listing 11.1. Force-directed graph layout algorithm.

After each complete position-update iteration, we decrease the maximal amount t that any node is allowed to move by a factor Δt . The parameter t is sometimes referred to as the *temperature* of the layout algorithm, since its decrease determines a reduction of the nodes' motion, which is analogous to the temperature-controlled Brownian motion of small-scale particles in physics. A good starting value t_0 for t is a small fraction, e.g., one-tenth of the size of the drawing space the layout should fit in. A good decrease factor for t is a small fraction Δt of the current move, e.g., one-tenth of it. These heuristics gradually limit the motion of the nodes, which in turn favors the convergence of the layout. The entire node-moving process is repeated ITER iterations, after which the forces should be small and, hence, energy \mathcal{E} should be close to its minimum. In practice, tens up to hundreds of iterations should deliver good results for most graphs [GraphViz 14].



Figure 11.18. Call graph of a C++ program visualized using a force-directed layout. The node colors indicate the function types. The graph contains 314 nodes (functions) and 718 edges (calls).

Figure 11.18 shows a call graph of a C++ program of approximately 1000 lines of code, visualized using a force-directed layout.¹⁰ The graph nodes, depicting functions, are drawn using spherical glyphs colored to indicate the function type, in a manner similar to Figure 11.14. Warm colors show methods of the five core application classes; green indicates methods of string handling classes; blue indicates methods of Standard Template Library (STL) container classes, e.g., trees, maps, and sets [Plaugher et al. 00]; and white indicates other functions, such as those from the standard C library. The program entry point (main() function) is shown by a slightly larger cyan spherical glyph. The edges are drawn using Gouraud-shaded lines, similar to Figure 11.5, from dark (caller) to white (called).

Several aspects are visible in this image. Arguably the most salient elements we see are the three similar-color node clusters corresponding to the core functions (warm colors), containers (blue), and string functions (green). These indi-

¹⁰The layout is computed by the *neato* program, which is part of the freely available GraphViz software [GraphViz 14].

cate, in a rough way, a system decomposition into groups of functions strongly related to each other, by means of calls. A way to interpret the layout is that the system core uses string functions, which, in turn, use container functions. However, the core does not directly use container functions, as there is no edge between the warm-color cluster and the blue cluster. A fourth cluster containing gray nodes is visible in the left of the image. Since this cluster is connected with the rest of the system via a single green (string function) node, marked A in Figure 11.18, it is probable that functions in this cluster contain implementation features for the function A. The main function is, not surprisingly, laid out close to the center of the core subsystem. This indicates that this function calls, hence strongly depends on, many other core functions. Finally, edges that have the same node as end points, such as the one marked E in Figure 11.18, need to be drawn in a special way, since they do not connect two different spatial locations. This is typically done by drawing such edges as small loops starting and ending at their node.

Using this visualization, we can quickly isolate the system core from the remaining functions to analyze it in further detail, e.g., by selecting the respective nodes and zooming on them in a separate view.

However useful, this visualization also outlines some of the limitations of force-directed layouts. The force-directed layout attempts to realize several aesthetic criteria, such as a distance between nodes that reflects their connectivity, by numerically minimizing an energy function. The force-directed algorithm, based on the pseudocode in Listing 11.1, cannot guarantee that it always finds the global minimum of the energy function, since it works by seeking the steepest energy decrease at every step. The algorithm may stop at a local energy minimum that is far from the global one. Several heuristics are used in practice to solve this problem and also to accelerate the minimization process. A good example of such heuristics is given by the graph embedder algorithm (GEM) [Frick et al. 94]. GEM adds a supplementary force that pulls the nodes toward their barycenter, thereby accelerating the layout convergence. A second addition is a set of heuristics that detect whether the minimization oscillates or rotates parts of the graph, which indicates a potential blocking in a local minimum. To escape such situations, random shakes of the graph nodes are added. Such heuristics help the energy-minimization process, but have the drawback that they introduce nondeterminism in the layout: Introducing a small change in a graph, or even running the same layout algorithm on the same graph, may lead to different visualizations.

From a computational viewpoint, force-directed layouts are more computationally demanding than the hierarchical layouts discussed in Section 11.4.2. In practice, many force-directed algorithms will not produce good layouts for dense graphs with more than a few thousands edges, or will take minutes or even longer to compute such layouts. Multiscale layout methods address this problem up to a certain extent by first laying out a simplified graph and then using this layout as a skeleton to construct the layout of the entire graph [Harel and Koren 00, Koren et al. 03]. Such methods can deliver a tremendous speed-up of the layout, but their quality is highly dependent on the heuristics used to simplify the graph, as well as on how the actual graph structure fits those heuristics. As explained in Section 11.2.4, relational attributes do not allow interpolation. Hence, one must find a different way to simplify, or subsample, a graph than, for example, a signal sampled on a spatial grid.

Speaking of the layout quality, one must note that even if a global minimum of the used energy function is found, this does not guarantee an easy-to-understand visualization. A typical problem with force-directed layouts is clusters of highly interconnected nodes, such as the ones discussed for Figure 11.18. The forcedirected layout is able to separate the clusters themselves, but does not offer a clear picture of how the nodes within a cluster are connected. As a rule of thumb, a good layout algorithm will most often produce a visually structured layout if the concerned graph does indeed have a clear structure, but will have a limited effectiveness on laying out densely connected graphs.

How do force-directed layouts compare to hierarchical edge bundle layouts (Section 11.4.2), for the same graph? Figure 11.19 illustrates this by comparing an edge bundling layout, computed using the SolidSX visualization tool, with a force-directed layout for the same graph, computed using the Tulip visualization tool [Auber 07]. Images (a,b) show the call graph of the *libgklayout* plug-in of the well-known Mozilla Firefox browser (11817 function nodes, 21167 call edges), within static call edges colored red and virtual calls colored cyan re-



Figure 11.19. Call graphs of Firefox plug-ins: *libgklayout* (a,b) and *libembed* (c,d) visualized using edge bundling (a,c) and force-directed layouts (b,d).



Figure 11.20. Inheritance relations in the VTK class library visualized using the GEM force-directed layout. Specialization subtrees are indicated by blue outlines and labeled by the respective subtree root class.

spectively. For this graph size, the node-link layout (Figure 11.19(b)) is clearly not able to disentangle the calls and produce a readable image. In contrast, the edge bundling layout (Figure 11.19(a)) is reasonably easy to read, due to edge bundling and edge aggregation. For example, we see that almost all virtual calls are directed at a few functions in a single file, nsCOMPtr.h, outlined in black in the upper-left of the image. The virtual calls are only visible as a blue spot in the node-link layout. Images (c,d) compare the two layouts for the much smaller call graph of the *libembed* Mozilla plug-in (677 function nodes, 936 call edges). At this scale, both layouts perform comparatively well. For instance, we can see in both images that this plug-in contains only a single virtual function (marked by a circle and arrow in the images), called seven times (seven cyan edges connect to this node).

What if we use a force-directed algorithm to lay out a highly structured graph, such as a tree? Figure 11.20 shows the tree of inheritance relations in the Common subsystem of the popular Visualization Toolkit (VTK) library [Schroeder et al. 06]. VTK is a class library written in C++ using single inheritance al-

most exclusively, hence the inheritance relations between its classes form a tree structure. The force-directed layout shown in Figure 11.20, produced with the freely available GEM force-directed layout software [GEM 07], is strikingly similar to radial layouts such as the one in Figure 11.6. There is less regularity in the force-directed layout of a tree, e.g., nodes the same distance from the root are not exactly placed on a circle centered at the root, as in the case of the radial layout. Nevertheless, the resulting image is clear and easy to comprehend. We immediately locate, at the image center, the class vtkObject, which is the hierarchy root. Next, we discover that vtkObject is specialized into several subhierarchies, labeled in the figure by the names of the respective specialized classes (vtkDataSet, vtkCell, and so on). These classes are actually interfaces that get implemented deeper in their corresponding trees. We also see that the distance from the center to the layout periphery does not change significantly for the various leaves, which is a sign of a well-designed, mature class hierarchy. Finally, the fan-out, or size of each subtree, indicates the number of specialized classes. For instance, we see that the vtkCell class has a high number of specializations.

Multiple views. Let us reconsider the problem of visualizing the dependency relations in a hierarchical (software) system. We saw in Section 11.4.2 how to achieve this using a hierarchical graph layout with nested node glyphs for the containment relations and orthogonal edge routing for the dependency relations, as well as using a radial layout for the hierarchy and bundled splines for the dependency relations. We can achieve a similar insight using force-directed layouts, too. Figure 11.21 demonstrates the method. In contrast to the previous visualizations, we have now three different views, depicted by the three corresponding subwindows.

The bottom view shows the hierarchical structure of a software system using a rooted-tree layout. For this system, we want to visualize the call relations between several subsystems. In this example, the user has selected, by means of direct mouse interaction, two subtrees in the bottom view, which correspond to two subsystems. The selected subtrees are rendered in red. For this selection, the top-left view displays the call and hierarchy relations. For every node (system), its contents (subsystems) are laid out using a force-directed layout in a bottom-up manner, i.e., from the leaf nodes upward, considering the call relations between the respective subsystems. The size of a node is set to the bounding-box of its children's layout. Leaf nodes that have no dependency relations are laid out separately in a tightly packed grid-like pattern, to save space. The call relations are rendered as in Figure 11.18, i.e., using lines connecting the respective nodes.



Figure 11.21. Hierarchical and call relations in a software system visualized with a combination of tree and force-directed layouts. The bottom view shows the entire system hierarchy, where two subsystems of interest have been selected (rendered in red). The top-left view shows the call and hierarchy relations in the selected subsystems using a force-directed layout. The top-right view shows a simplified view of the latter, where several call relations have been filtered out. The arrows between the images show the order of creating and examining the visualizations.

Whereas Figure 11.16 showed containment by means of correlated concentric rings, we now show the same by means of the nesting of the nodes. This layout is quite similar to the one depicted in Figure 11.15, with two main differences. Whereas the layout in Figure 11.15 uses a hierarchical node arrangement on the vertical axis and orthogonal edge routing, we use here a spring embedder to arrange nodes and straight lines to draw the edges, respectively.

It is interesting to compare the top-right view in Figure 11.21 with Figure 11.15. Although Figure 11.15 shows a larger graph, we can argue that this visualization is easier to follow than the one in Figure 11.21. An important reason for this is the highly structured ordering of both nodes and edges in orthogonally-aligned patterns in Figure 11.15, as compared to the less structured placement provided by the force-directed layout in Figure 11.21 (top-right). Moreover, the layout in Figure 11.15 has no overlaps between edges and nodes, while the edge

crossings always occur at right angles. In contrast, the force-directed layout in Figure 11.21 (top-right) poses no constraints on the intersections of edges with nodes or with other edges.

Since there are numerous call relations, the top-left image in Figure 11.21 is quite hard to understand. A second step that helps is to filter the relations and preserve only those that connect *sibling* nodes, i.e., nodes that are direct children of the same parent. This produces the visualization in the top-right of Figure 11.21, which is easier to follow.

The preceding visualization illustrates several common design decisions used in practice in showing relational datasets. First, several views are used to show several aspects. The views are *correlated*, or linked, in the sense that a selection in a view (bottom one) determines a change in what is displayed in the other views (top ones). The correlation is strengthened by using the same colors for the same node types in all three views. The views work at different levels of detail. The bottom view serves as an *overview* of the entire dataset, whereas the top views are successively simplified *detail* views. Finally, the two types of relations in the dataset are mapped using simple techniques: Containment is shown as vertical tree layers (overview) or nested boxes (detail views), whereas association (calls) is shown as lines between nodes (detail views). This visualization is arguably less compact and requires extra interaction as compared to the one shown in Figure 11.16. On the other hand, the tree layout, box nesting, and lines-between-boxes (node-link metaphor) are more familiar and accepted visual representations to a larger class of users than the bundled splines and radial layout. As always in visualization design, there is no clear-cut answer, but the task of optimizing is a design problem.

Graph splatting. Although they use different layouts, the tree and graph visualizations described in the previous sections all share the same visual representation of node glyphs connected by one-dimensional curves that represent the edges. As we have seen, such visualizations often have a limited scalability. Indeed, it is hard for the eye to effectively interpret drawings with more than several hundreds of such elements, given a drawing surface of finite size.

Making a visualization scalable can be approached from two main directions. First, we can simplify the *data* to be visualized such that, given a certain mapping technique, it yields understandable images. Second, we can leave the input data unchanged, but design visual level-of-detail techniques such as zooming that simplify the *image* produced by the mapping process. In the first case, we need a way to simplify, or subsample, the application data itself. In the second case, we need a way to simplify, or subsample, the mapped image.

For many scivis applications, both data and the mapped images exhibit continuous properties, as they actually represent smooth signals defined over two- or three-dimensional spaces. This allows the use of a wide array of signal sampling strategies both before and after the mapping stage. For graph data displayed using node-link visualizations, the situation is different. First, the process of subsampling a relational dataset, such as a graph, is usually more complex and application-dependent than, for example, subsampling an image. Given this, we shall not discuss graph simplification methods here, but refer the reader to the specialized literature in this field [Tutte 01, Di Battista et al. 99]. Second, the node-link graph drawings discussed in the previous sections are inherently discrete. A point of the displayed image either belongs to a node or edge glyph or it does not. Simply zooming out the graph rendering works well only for relatively simple graphs and small zoom factors. When zooming out a large graph rendering, the clutter may actually increase rather than decrease, making the zoomed-out view harder to understand.

However, the *space* in which the layout takes place is one element of the graph-drawing process that does exhibit continuous properties. Since *distances* in such spaces are continuous quantities, zooming techniques should work well, provided that we are able to ensure the same continuity property for the mapped shapes for nodes and edges.

A solution to the problem of creating a visually continuous mapping of graph data is the graph-splatting technique [van Liere and de Leeuw 03]. The easiest way to describe this technique is by means of an analogy to image processing. Consider a discrete two-dimensional line drawing consisting of lines (foreground) and empty space (background). If we apply a Gaussian filter on this drawing, we obtain a continuous, smooth image where the sharp transitions between foreground and background are blurred (see Section 9.3.3). The blurred thick edges become now two-dimensional shapes. Given a sufficient amount of blurring, we can now gradually zoom out the image and see both nodes and edges vanish progressively.

If we assume that we use a graph layout that places strongly related nodes close to each other, we can refine this idea further. We first compute the desired layout and obtain a 2D position $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for each node $i \in [1..n]$. Next, let us assume that every point *i* has a scalar *importance* attribute-value $f_i \in \mathbb{R}$. We can now compute a continuous signal f(p) by summing up Gaussian radial basis functions (RBFs) $\Phi_i(p) = e^{-k||p-p_i||^2}$ centered at the node positions p_i and scaled by the node importances f_i :

$$f(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \Phi_i(p).$$
 (11.6)

This yields a continuous 2D scalar signal f(p) that reflects the density of graph nodes in the two-dimensional space, weighted by the node importances. The node importances can be chosen to reflect data of interest of the underlying graph, or set to 1, in case all nodes are equally important. Close to high densities of points or to highly important points, this signal will be high. The signal is low in areas far away from high point densities, or from important points. The graphsplatting technique is quite similar to the scattered point interpolation technique using Gaussian RBFs described in Section 3.9.2 and the reconstruction of surfaces from point clouds using radial basis functions of finite support (see Section 8.3.2). However, there are some differences. For large graphs, a good layout tries to pull clusters of highly interconnected nodes apart from each other, in order to emphasize the graph structure. Hence, the splatted signal f(p) exhibits strong variations which, when visualized, let users detect the location and compactness of such clusters. In contrast, a good point distribution for surface reconstruction is close to a uniform density value which, when isosurfaced, delivers the surface itself. Indeed, note that the RBFs in Equation (11.6) are not normalized to obey the partition of unity property, as we did for the scattered point interpolation (see Equation (3.47)).

After computing the density signal from the graph layout, we can display it using any of the scalar visualization techniques discussed in Chapter 5.

Figure 11.22 illustrates the use of graph splatting to visualize dependencies between modules of a software system. The first image (Figure 11.22(a)) shows a classical force-directed layout of the dependency graph produced using the GEM layout software [GEM 07]. Edge colors emphasize the dependency relation direction, which goes from a module (black) to the other modules this depends on (bright green). Node colors show the number of dependencies a module has using a rainbow colormap. Blue nodes have few dependencies, whereas red ones have the most dependencies in the system. This visualization is quite complex due to the high number of relations. Figure 11.22(b) shows the graph splatting applied to this dataset, visualized using a rainbow colormap. Here, the node importances $(f_i \text{ in Equation (11.6)})$ are equal to the number of dependencies of the respective nodes. Hence, red colors indicate either tight clusters of modules or modules with many dependencies. Three "hot spots" have been labeled with the names of the representative modules they contain: Main, GUI, and Reader. These are high-level components of the considered system that consist of strongly connected modules or modules with many dependencies. In a real application, such information could be displayed interactively as the user sweeps the rendered graph splatting with the mouse. This technique, called *brushing*, is a frequentlyused design element of both scivis and infovis applications.



Figure 11.22. Software dependency graph visualized with (a) force-directed layout and (b) graph splatting (b). The splatting density is scaled by the number of dependent modules. Warm colors in (b) emphasize high-level system modules. The nodes, positioned identically to the layout shown in (a), are depicted by white dots.

Several other exploration scenarios can be used in conjunction with graph splatting. Isolines can be displayed to explicitly outline the "hot spot" regions in the visualized graph. Different weights derived from the graph attributes can be used together with the Gaussian splats to emphasize different patterns in the data. Graph splatting can be used with different layouts besides force-directed ones. However, it is important to note that the usefulness of graph splatting is highly related to the capability of the underlying graph layout to group-related nodes in spatially separated clusters. If the layout cannot separate such groups, e.g., due to a highly interconnected graph, or if the resulting groups do not have a clear semantics, the emerging graph-splatting image will be of limited use.

General graph-edge bundling. Apart from graph splatting, we can also use edge bundling (introduced earlier in this section for hierarchical graphs) to produce simplified visualizations of general graphs. The overall idea for general graphs is similar to the one shown in Figure 11.16: Given an input node-link drawing $D_{inp} \in \mathcal{D}$ for a general graph G = (V, E), produce a bundled drawing $D_{bundled} \in \mathcal{D}$ of the same graph where node positions stay the same, but edges which are deemed to be compatible are drawn spatially close in $D_{bundled}$. Edge compatibility $comp : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ has been defined earlier for simplified bundle visualizations (Section 11.4.2). Edge distance $\delta : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is typically computed as the symmetric sum of the minimum distances of points of an edge e_i to the other edge e_i , normalized by the edge lengths

$$\delta(e_i, e_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\int_{x_i \in e_i} \min_{x_j \in e_j} \|x_i - x_j\| ds}{\int_{x_i \in e_i} ds} + \frac{\int_{x_j \in e_j} \min_{x_i \in e_i} \|x_j - x_i\| ds}{\int_{x_j \in e_j} ds} \right)$$
(11.7)

Since node positions are fixed, bundling will naturally have to curve the edge drawings in order to bring them close in $D_{bundled}$. At the same time, bundling should preserve a certain amount of edge smoothness, in order to produce drawings which are visually easy to follow. Overall, thus, bundling can be seen as a function $B: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ which operates on graph drawings $D_{inp} \in \mathcal{D}$, and tries to minimize δ under the constraints imposed by *comp* and the fixed node positions.

Several bundling algorithms for general graphs have been designed in the last decade. Below we describe a few of these. The algorithms are illustrated in Figure 11.23 for two graphs. The *migrations* graph contains 9780 edges and 1715 nodes. Nodes are cities in the United States, and edges encode migration flows between cities. Figure 11.23(d) shown an unbundled view of this graph. The *airlines* graph contains 17275 edges and 34550 nodes.¹¹ Figure 11.23(a) shows an unbundled view of this graph. Each edge is the actual flight path of an airplane over French air space as recorded by air traffic control authorities. Edges are sampled over a total of 330K sample points. We included this dataset to show that edge bundling can also be applied to path sets, in addition to straight-line graphs.

FDEB: Force-directed edge bundling (FDEB) was the first such method [Holten and van Wijk 09]. Figure 11.23(f) shows the bundling of our *migrations* graph using FDEB. FDEB uses a similar model to spring embedders (Section 11.4.2): First, edges e_i in D_{inp} are discretized into polylines $\{e_i^j\}$ by subsampling, using typically a few tens of sample points e_i^j per edge. Next, sample points are iteratively moved in a force field consisting of spring-like attracting forces between consecutive points on an edge and between points on different edges which are close to each other. To improve the visual quality of the result $D_{bundled}$, edge compatibility measures are used to limit the bundling of edges which have very different lengths and/or cross at large angles. FDEB is simple to implement,¹² but has a complexity of $O(KE^2)$, for E edges and K sample points per edge.

¹¹Data courtesy of Christophe Hurter, ENAC/University of Toulouse, France.

 $^{^{12}}$ An implementation is available in the Gephi open-source graph visualization toolkit [Gephi 14].


Figure 11.23. General graph bundling examples. Images (a) and (d) show the unbundled graphs.

This makes its application impractical for graphs of tens of thousands of edges and hundreds of thousands of sample points.

GBEB: In contrast to the self-organizing, bottom-up approach proposed by FDEB, geometry-based edge bundling (GBEB) takes a top-down approach [Cui et al. 08]. First, a direction field containing tangent vectors of all edges in D_{inp} is computed. Second, this field is clustered to yield a spatial decomposition of the space covered by D_{inp} into regions containing similar-direction edges. Next, a control graph is created, where edges are lines cutting the decomposition re-

gions into two roughly equal parts and being orthogonal to the main directions in each region. Third, intersection points between the control graph edges and original edges in D_{inp} are found. These points are next clustered using K-means and used as control points to route smooth curves that create the edge bundles. Figure 11.23(h) shows the bundling of our *migrations* graph using GBEB.

WR: Like GBEB, the winding roads (WR) method uses also a top-down, geometry-based, bundling strategy [Lambert et al. 10]. The main idea is as follows. First, a Voronoi diagram of the node positions is computed (see Section 8.3.1). Second, all shortest paths between nodes connected by edges in D_{inp} are computed, using Dijkstra's shortest-path algorithm on the above diagram [Cormen et al. 01]. To favor the creation of bundles, weights of the diagram's edges are next reduced to reflect the number of shortest paths passing through each edge. A second shortest path algorithm invocation uses these weights to route the edges of D_{inp} . Intuitively, the process can be thought as tracing paths along a map in a way that favors frequently-taken roads (highways) upon less frequently taken ones. Several GPU optimizations make WR run in near-interactive time for graphs of thousands of edges.¹³ Figure 11.23(i) shows the bundling of our *migrations* graph using WR.

SBEB: Unlike all previous methods, skeleton-based edge bundling (SBEB) constructs edge bundles by working fully in image space [Ersoy et al. 11]. SBEB starts by grouping edges in D_{inp} which are similar with respect to the desired compatibility *comp* into clusters and computing, for each edge cluster, its surrounding hull. For this, we can use the same method as for image-based edge bundles (Section 11.4.2). The key observation of SBEB follows next: The bundle of a compatible edge-set should be located close to the center of its visual hull. This center is, however, nothing than the skeleton, or medial axis, of the hull. As such, SBEB computes these skeletons using the method described in Section 9.4.7, and attracts edges along their shortest-path towards the skeleton. Iterating the density computation, thresholding, skeletonization, and edge attraction steps yields the desired edge bundles. Figures 11.23(b,e) show two examples of SBEB bundling, with edges colored differently per cluster.

KDEEB: The image-based approach of SBEB is further taken by the kernel density estimation edge bundling (KDEEB) method [Hurter et al. 12]. Figure 11.23(c) shows the bundling of our *airlines* graph using KDEEB. Like SBEB, a density map of the input edges is computed. Next, edge sample points are ad-

¹³An implementation of WR is available in the Tulip visualization framework [Auber 07].

vected upstream in the normalized gradient of this density map. The density computation and advection are iterated until edge bundles are formed. This process is nothing but the mean shift clustering algorithm introduced earlier for image segmentation (Section 9.4.2), but applied to the graph drawing D_{inp} . KDEEB's gradient of the edge density map is similar in direction and function to the resultant of attraction forces from neighbor points in FDEB. Also, this gradient is similar to the gradient of the distance transform of the edges' hull, which points towards the hull skeleton used by SBEB. The key advantage of KDEEB, however, is computational efficiency. The entire method works imagebased, does not require any preprocessing such as edge clustering, and thus can be very efficiently implemented on the GPUs. KDEEB's complexity is O(KE)for E edges and K sample points per edge. Currently, KDEEB is one of the fastest general-graph edge bundling methods, able to bundle graphs of tens of thousands of edges and hundreds of thousands of sample points in subsecond time on a modern GPU.

Comparing bundling algorithms: As visible from Figure 11.23, different bundling algorithms can yield quite different results on the same input dataset. In general, GBEB and SBEB create bundles with a strongly emphasized branching structure, but also which twist and turn more, thus are harder to visually follow. FDEB creates very smooth bundles; however, their branching structure may be hard to see. KDEEB creates bundles whose look-and-feel is somewhere in between the two above-mentioned extremes.

Comparing the quality of different bundling algorithms is hard. The main reason is that there are no application-independent criteria to objectively quantify this quality. At one extreme, we can measure values such as edge curvature (which should not be too high), the ratio of ink to whitespace in the bundled drawing (which should be low for a good bundling [Gansner and Koren 07]), or the sharpness of the edge density map [Hurter et al. 12]. However, such values measure only low-level, local, properties of the drawing. Classical straight-line quality criteria such as the minimization of edge crossings [Di Battista et al. 99] are also not applicable for bundled graphs, since a bundle favors such crossings, by excellence. At the other extreme, we can perform user evaluations to assess the effectiveness of a bundled drawing for solving a given task. However, such experiments are strongly dependent on the choice of task, dataset, and subjects, and are hard to generalize.

Visualizing dynamic graphs. The graph visualizations presented in Section 11.4.2 so far target a static graph G = (V, E) whose nodes V and edges E do not change

in time. However, many applications produce time-dependent graphs. Consider, for instance, the software hierarchy-and-dependency graphs discussed earlier, such as those shown in Figure 11.16. As a software project evolves in time, both its hierarchy and dependencies will likely change. Visualizing the changes of such graphs helps showing software maintainers, for instance, whether the modularity of their software system has improved or worsened, and which are the subsystems most and/or least affected by such changes. As a second example, consider the airline graphs shown in Figure 11.23. Airline flights dynamically change over time, so such graphs are not static. Visualizing such changes can help air traffic

controllers in seeing how the traffic load varies in time over different geographical

areas, which in turn can assist their flight planning procedures.

Types of dynamic graphs: Time-dependent, or dynamic, graphs G(t) = (V(t),E(t) can be further classified into streaming and sequence graphs [Nguyen et al. 12, Hurter et al. 13]. Streaming graphs are essentially graphs where both nodes $v \in V$ and edges $e \in E$ have a lifetime interval $[t_{start}, t_{end} > t_{start}]$ during which they exist. Time can be modeled along a continuous, real-valued, time axis, or in a weaker form, along any ordinal set. For any given moment t, the graph G(t) contains all nodes and edges which are alive at t. Intuitively, we can think of this model as having nodes and edges appearing and disappearing in a continuous, or streaming, fashion. In our earlier examples, timedependent airline graphs are streaming graphs. Sequence graphs are ordered sets of graphs $G = \{G^i = (V^i, E^i)\}, 1 \le i \le N$ which typically capture N snapshots, or keyframes, of the structure of a system. In our earlier examples, hierarchyand-dependency graphs of N distinct versions, or revisions, or a software system create a sequence graph. In contrast to streaming graphs, in sequence graphs we need to explicitly relate nodes and edges between keyframes. This is done by socalled node and edge correspondence functions $c_{node}: V \to V$ and $c_{edge}: E \to E$. Given two (typically consecutive) keyframes G^i and G^j , $c_{node}(v \in V^i)$ gives the node $v \in V^j$ which logically corresponds to the node $v \in V^i$, or the empty set, if no such node exists. Edge correspondences are modeled similarly by an edge correspondence function c_{edge} .

Online vs. offline drawing: Both streaming and sequence graphs can be seen as either fully available to the analysis or visualization or not. In the former case, the techniques working on the graphs can fully analyze the entire dataset before the actual visualization starts. This massively helps the optimization of various visual attributes, such as positions of nodes or edges, as we shall see. This class of techniques is also known as *offline* techniques. In the latter case, individual

graph nodes or edges (for streaming graphs) or keyframes (for sequence graphs) are provided to the visualization "on the fly," and have to be accommodated in the image drawn. This scenario is important when not all data is available at a given moment. This class of techniques is also known as *online* techniques. Online techniques are in general considerably more complicated, as they cannot make provisions for what will next change in the graph dataset.

Several methods exist for the visualization of both streaming and sequence graphs, as follows.

Visualizing small numbers of keyframes: Arguably the easiest task is to show changes between just two keyframes in a sequence graph. Given two such keyframes G^i and G^j , let us first assume that G is a tree rather than a general graph. In this case, we can start by showing the node correspondence relation $c_{node}(u \in V^i, v \in V^j)$, as follows (Figure 11.24(a)). First, we draw both hierarchies of the graphs G^i and G^j using two horizontal icicle plots,. These plots are identical to the ones used for the hierarchically bundled edges presented earlier in this section, with the difference that the plots are now linear rather than circular. Next, we connect nodes $u \in V^i$ and $v = c_{node}(u) \in V^j$ which are linked by correspondence relations. Next, we bundle the correspondence edges using the hierarchical edge bundle (HEB) technique. Compared to the application of



Figure 11.24. Visualizing two keyframes (a), respectively six keyframes (b) in sequence hierarchies.

HEB to the call graphs in Figure 11.16, we now however have edges between all hierarchy levels than only between leaf nodes. To visualize such edges better, we replace the simple curve drawing used in Figure 11.16 by drawing shaded tubes that encompass the extents of the two connected nodes u and v. This technique is similar to the one shown in Figure 11.17 for the simplified visualization of HEB graphs. Drawing the tubes in nesting order of their connected nodes ensures that thinner tubes are drawn atop of tubes for their parent nodes, and thus are better visible.

Figure 11.24(a) illustrates the node correspondence visualization for two graphs obtained from dynamic program analysis. The two hierarchies in this case describe two call stacks recorded by executing a given software system twice. Children of a node v are thus functions called by the function v. Sibling nodes in the two icicle plots are ordered from left to right as based on their call start t_{start} , and scaled based on their lifetime, or call duration $[t_{start}, t_{end}]$. Node correspondences c_{node} indicate function calls that are similar, given a user-supplied similarity criterion [Trümper et al. 13]. Besides the existence of node correspondences, we can use color to show an additional correspondence attribute. For instance, in this example color is used to show the correspondence strength, or amount of similarity between the corresponding nodes, using a rainbow colormap. The image can be interpreted as follows: Asymmetries in the tubes' layout indicate subsets of similar nodes which are located in different parts of the two compared graphs. Missing tubes indicate nodes in one graph which do not have a correspondence in the other graph. Finally, color indicates the correspondence strength. If we combine the inherent space-filling property of icicle plots with drawing only tubes which connect nodes whose spatial extent has a minimal visible size of a few pixels, this technique scales well for graphs of tens of thousands of nodes. The same technique can be also applied to the more general task of comparing two hierarchies [Holten and van Wijk 08].

The technique illustrated can be extended to compare a small number of trees G^i . Figure 11.24(b) illustrates this for the comparison of six revisions of a given source code file. For each keyframe G^i , the hierarchy consists of the syntax tree of the code in revision *i*. Nodes are thus code elements such as classes, functions, statements, and symbols. Trees are now drawn as vertical icicle plots, with nodes ordered based on their actual position in the source code. Node correspondences indicate highly similar code fragments across the analyzed revisions. Correspondence tubes are colored to indicate the similarity amount. In contrast to Figure 11.24(a), gray is used here to indicate identical code fragments are indicated by tubes colored to encode the type of syntactic construct. As such, in

contrast to our first example in Figure 11.24(a) where the visualization focuses on *similar* subtrees, we now highlight *different* subtrees, thus highlight changes in the dynamic tree: Horizontal gray tubes indicate code that has not changed. Diagonal colored tubes indicate code that has changed both in terms of contents and position in the file.

The techniques discussed above effectively "project" the time dimension of the input graph to one spatial dimension (the vertical, respectively horizontal screen axes in Figures 11.24(a,b)). As such, the entire graph dataset is visualized in a single image. This approach is named the *small multiples* technique. Small multiple displays can be effectively used to visualize other types of dynamic datasets and, more generally, for comparing several data keyframes in an ordered sequence [Tufte 01]. However, the scalability of small multiples is limited by the available screen space to about 10..20 keyframes, thereby their name.

Using animation: A different approach to showing dynamic graphs is to use *animation* to encode the time dimension. The general idea is simple: Given a dynamic streaming or sequence graph, produce a visualization where the amount of change (in terms of color, size, position, or similar visual elements) is proportional to the amount of change in the underlying graph data. As the eye is attracted by visual changes, this helps in discovering the data changes.

Figure 11.25 introduces a simple graph animation technique for the visualization of large dynamic hierarchical graphs. The underlying sequence graph captures the software hierarchy and call relations from 14 revisions of the Wicket open-source software system. Node correspondence relations c_{node} are based on the fully qualified name of a node. In other words, a node n^i is the same as a node n^{i+1} if the two nodes have the same name and same-name parents in the graphs G^i and G^{i+1} . Edge correspondence relations are based on correspondences of their end nodes. That is, a call edge e^{i+1} is the same as an edge e^i if it links the same nodes (in G^{i+1}) as e^i links in G^i .

Before constructing the actual animation, a so-called *union* graph G_U is built. This graph contains all nodes present in all keyframes G^i in our sequence, together with their hierarchy relations. G_U is next drawn using the by now familiar circular icicle plot technique. Next, call relations E^i in each keyframe G^i are bundled, using the HEB technique. We thus obtain a sequence of separate edge bundled images B^i , one for each keyframe. The animation is next constructed by a linear interpolation that links a bundle keyframe B^i with its previous and next keyframes B^{i-1} and B^{i+1} , respectively. For each bundled edge $e^i \in B^i$, and two such keyframes B^i and B^{i+1} , we distinguish three cases:



Figure 11.25. Eight frames from a graph animation for visualizing a dynamic hierarchical call graph.

- If $c_{edge}(e^i) = e^{i+1}$, i.e., e^i has a correspondence e^{i+1} in B^{i+1} , we linearly interpolate e^i towards e^{i+1} as a function of the animation time t, and color e^i blue.
- If $c_{edge}(e^i) = \emptyset$, i.e., e^i has no correspondence in B^{i+1} , we linearly interpolate the position of e^i towards a straight line linking its end points, color e^i green, and interpolate the opacity of e^i from 1 (fully opaque) towards 0 (fully transparent).
- If $c(e^{i-1}) \neq e^i, \forall e^{i-1} \in E^{i-1}$, i.e., e^i has no correspondence in B^{i-1} , we linearly interpolate from a straight line linking the end points of e^i towards the actual curve e^i , color e^i red, and interpolate the opacity of e^i from 0 to 1.

The above procedure effectively creates a continuously changing bundle image B(t) that interpolates between the separate keyframe bundles B^{i} . The final

animation is created by drawing B(t) for a dense sampling of the time parameter t. Optionally, users can interactively control t to go to a particular moment, or replay the animation. For full details, we refer to [Hurter et al. 12]. Figure 11.25 shows eight snapshots from the resulting animation, for eight time moments taken between revisions 1.4.17 and 1.4.19 of our considered software system. The current animation time t is marked in red on the revision timeline under the snapshots. In the first four images (Figure 11.25 top row), we see how a thick red bundle emerges between two subsystems marked A and B. This, and the thick blue bundle present in the fourth image, indicate that many call relations have been added in revision 1.4.18 as compared to revision 1.4.17. As we pass revision 1.4.18, we see in the bottom four images a thick green bundle that links the same subsystems A and B fading out. This shows that, in the same time with the addition of calls in 1.4.18, many existing calls linking A and B have also been removed in the same revision. Combining the above two insights we conclude that revision 1.4.18 is a major refactoring step in the software's evolution.

Several design points of the above graph animation technique are worth discussing next.

- Using a static union graph G_U to lay out the end points of the dynamic edges E^i guarantees that an edge that does not change in the graph data is drawn between the same end point locations. This means that, by construction, an unchanging bundle (in the visualization) represents a set of unchanging edges (in the graph data). If we did not use the union graph, we could have node or edge movements which would not encode actual data changes, but merely instabilities of the node layout algorithm being used.
- Just as static graph bundling simplifies the visualization of a static graph and emphasizes its main connectivity patterns, interpolating between bundled keyframes simplifies the structure of the visualized changes, and makes the visualization of large dynamic graphs possible. While bundling aggregates small-scale changes, significant changes of the graph structure are easy to spot and track.
- Bundling and unbundling edges which have no correspondences in the previous, respectively next, keyframe attracts the attention to events such as edge creation and removal in the dynamic graph. Color and transparency are used as additional visual cues to strengthen such events. In our case, blue indicates existing edges, while red and green indicate newly appearing, respectively disappearing edges.

- The animation is piecewise-linear in time by construction. There are no sudden jumps in the position or visibility of the animated objects. This eases the visual following and interpretation of the resulting animation.
- The edge interpolation technique requires only a pair of keyframes to work at a given moment, so it can be seen as an online visualization approach. However, computing the union graph G_U required for the icicle plot needs to have all keyframes in advance (in the case of a dynamic hierarchy), thus, is an offline technique.

The technique presented above can create effective animations of large sequence graphs, and it simple to implement, once we have a static graph bundling algorithm available for our keyframes. For streaming graphs, however, we cannot apply this approach. Indeed, for a streaming graph G(t), there are in theory as many separate keyframes as number of moments t_{start} and t_{end} when an edge is created, respectively removed. For large graphs, there can be millions of such moments. Technically, we could reduce G(t) to a sequence G^i by simply picking N time moments $t_i, 1 \leq i \leq N$ and setting $G^i = G(t_i)$. However, choosing the sampling moments t_i in the best way so that the keyframes G^i truly capture the dynamics of G(t) is in general a very complex problem.

A better approach for streaming graphs is to create the animation directly from G(t) To do this, consider any of the general graph-bundling algorithms discussed earlier in this section which works in an *iterative* manner, such as FDEB, SBEB, or KDEEB. The key idea next is to let the physical time t and the graph-bundling iterations run in parallel. In other words, the bundling process runs continuously as t advances, and acts at any moment t on all edges which are alive at that moment. Edges which are alive for long periods will thus bundle tighter, and thus be visualized in a simplified manner. Edges which are alive only for short time periods will bundle less, and thus appear as outliers atop of the persistent bundle structure.

Although, in principle, any iterative bundling algorithm can be used for streaming graphs, algorithms which have small costs to be paid each time when the graph changes are clearly more suitable. FDEB and KDEEB are good examples hereof. For FDEB, we essentially have no costs to pay when edges are added to, or removed from, the graph. A streaming graph visualization based on FDEB is presented in [Nguyen et al. 12]. However, as already explained, FDEB is quite slow for large graphs, and thus cannot create interactive animations on the fly. KDEEB is more suited for such as use-case. As edges are added or removed, we simply keep adding (or removing) their contribution from the density map it uses to bundle edges. The remainder of the process stays the same. Given this, the dynamic extension of KDEEB can be seen as a fully online visualization technique. As KDEEB can execute hundreds of bundling iterations per second, it means it can be used to generate real-time bundled animations of streaming graphs [Hurter et al. 13].

Visualizing large dynamic graphs is a challenging, and increasingly important, area in information visualization. However, even more so here than for static graphs, a gap exists between the effectiveness and efficiency of dynamic graph visualization methods and the size and complexity of the graph datasets. Several innovative visual metaphors to show change in hierarchies, association edges, and data attributes are presented by Burch et al. in a series of papers [Burch and Diehl 08, Burch et al. 10, Greilich et al. 09]. For a framework of dynamic layout techniques for graphs drawn using node-link metaphors, a good starting reference is [Frishman and Tal 08].

11.4.3 Diagram Visualization

Consider a set of elements $E = \{e_i\}$. Over this set, assume we have defined several subsets $S_j = \{e_i^j\} \subset E$. Furthermore, let us assume that the set Ealready admits a visualization which maps its elements e_i to particular spatial locations and also associate particular drawing metaphors, such as shapes, colors, and sizes. How can we intuitively show the sets S_j atop of a given visualization of E? More precisely, how can we construct a visualization of all S_j so that

- 1. given a set S_j , we can easily tell which are its elements e_i^j from all elements e_i of E;
- **2.** given an element e_i of E, we can easily tell which are the sets S_j which include e_i ;
- **3.** we achieve the above two tasks without modifying the underlying visualization proposed for *E*?

Essentially, the problem we face is that of drawing so-called Venn-Euler diagrams atop of a given visualization of the set E. A Venn-Euler diagram typically consists of a set of closed contours C_j so that all visual representations of elements in a subset S_j can be easily seen as being contained within C_j . Furthermore, the drawing of the contours C_j should help spotting all sets S_j into which a given element $e_i \in E$ falls.

Several solutions exist to this problem. First and easiest, of the number of subsets is small, we can color elements e_i in each subset by a distinct color. This addresses task (1) mentioned above—all elements having the same color belong to



Figure 11.26. Diagram visualizations atop of a relational dataset.

the same subset. However, telling all subsets S_j that a given element belongs to, or question (2) above, cannot be answered, since we cannot color an element with multiple colors at the same time. A second solution involves drawing contours C_j atop of our given visualization of E, so that (a) each contour C_j contains precisely the elements in S_j , and (b) we can easily separate contours from each other, and thus tell which are all contours that contain a given element e_i .

Several techniques exist for constructing such contours. The simplest of them involves computing a distance field DT_j per subset S_j for all elements $e_i^j \in S_j$. This can be directly done by computing the distance transform of the visual representations of the sites e_i^j (Section 9.4.5). If we next threshold DT_j at a small positive value, the resulting contours, are precisely our sought shapes C_j . Figure 11.26(d) illustrates this technique for five subsets of elements of a graph which is laid out using a 3D spring embedder [Sprenger et al. 00]. Each contour C_j is drawn as a half-transparent isosurface of its resulting field DT_j . By construction, distance transform contours are smooth and guaranteed to enclose all their sites. However, such contours are not guaranteed to be *compact*: Given a set S_j , we cannot enforce that the resulting distance transform contour C_j consists of a single connected component. If more components are drawn, the user can potentially get confused.

A different approach is taken by the technique presented in Byelas and Telea 06]. Similar to the parametric snake technique used for image segmentation (Section 9.4.2), we construct now a separate, explicit, connected contour C_i for each subset S_i . Each contour C_i is initialized to the convex hull of the elements in S_i , which guarantees that it surrounds all these elements. Next, each C_j is iteratively shrunk by moving its sample points inwards along the contour normal, until they get close to any element $e_i^j \in S_j$. To ensure a smooth contour, an additional Laplacian smoothing is applied on the contours after each shrinking iteration. Figures 11.26(a) and (b) show a set of such contours drawn atop of a Unified Modeling Language (UML) software architecture diagram. The first image (Figure 11.26(a)) is a hand-drawn diagram, where contours are manually drawn by a user following the specification of the subsets S_i . The second image (Figure 11.26(b)) shows the contours computed by the above method. As visible, the two contour sets are quite similar in terms of spatial location, size, and overall look and feel. Moreover, the computed contours are guaranteed to be compact by construction (unlike the implicit surface contours proposed in [Sprenger et al. 00]) and also to include all elements in their corresponding subsets S_i . However, when showing the two images to a group of 36 diagram users (software engineers, in our case), nearly all users preferred the hand-drawn diagram, explaining that it has a more natural "flow of hand," or smoothness, of contours. This stresses the fact that aesthetic aspects are an important component of visualization design.

Diagram visualizations can be further enhanced by showing attribute values. Consider that an element $e_i^j \in S_j$ has a data value v_i^j for each S_j it belongs to. As an example, if the elements e_i^j are software artifacts in a given system, and subsets S_j indicate elements that participate in one given aspect of the software system (e.g., S_1 being all elements that are tested, S_2 being all elements that are portable, and so on), then the values v_i^j indicate the extent to which e_i^j meets the criterion of subset S_j (e.g., v_i^1 being the amount of testing, and v_i^2 being the portability degree, in our example). We would like next to visualize the values v_i^j atop of our Venn-Euler diagram. The main goals of this visualization are to see how a given attribute $v_i^j, \forall i$ varies over a given subset S_j , and how the values $v_i^j, \forall j$ correlate for a given element e_i .

Figure 11.26(c) shows a solution to the above attribute visualization. Three graphical elements are used here, as follows. First, shaded cushions are drawn atop of the contours C_i , to further emphasize their spatial extent and overlap. For this, the generalized cushion technique presented for image-based edge bundles in Section 11.4.2 can be used. Second, the spatial extent of each contour C_i is textured by using a distinct transparency texture, such as stripes having various orientations, or dots. The texture pattern is roughly divided into 50% fully opaque, and 50% fully transparent pixels. All textures overlapping at a given spatial location, such as the location of an element e_i^j , are visible, due to the "weaving" effect caused by the transparency texture design. Seeing which patterns overlap over an element lets us tell which subsets this element belongs to, without having to visually search the contours which enclose that element. For example, in Figure 11.26(c), we see that the element e_A belongs to both S_3 and S_6 (as it is surrounded by two diagonally crossing hatch patterns), while e_B belongs to S_2 and S_3 (as it is surrounded by a diagonal pattern crossing a horizontal pattern). Finally, each textured contour C_i is color-coded using the values of the attributes v_i^j interpolated over the C_i 's extent. The texture color interpolation allows us to visually follow both how a given attribute v_i^j varies over a given subset S_j , and also how the values v_i^j differ at a given element e_i . For instance, in Figure 11.26(c) we see that e_A has harge attribute values v_A^3 and v_A^6 , since the crossing stripes surrounding it are both red. In contrast, e_B has a large value for e_B^3 (red diagonal stripes) but small values for e_B^2 (blue horizontal stripes).

11.5 Multivariate Data Visualization

Consider a set of N data points $D = \{p_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, where every point p_i has a K-dimensional vector of attributes $(a_i^1, \ldots, a_i^K) \in A^K$, each attribute being defined over some domain A. Such a dataset is called *multivariate*, as it has several variables, or attributes, per data point (K in our example). We want to visualize the dataset D such that correlations, outliers, clusters, and trends in the data become visible. Below we describe several classes of techniques that address this task.

11.5.1 Parallel Coordinate Plots

The general problem of analyzing the distribution and correlation of positions of a set of K-dimensional points can be, conceptually, split into K problems of analyzing the distributions and correlations of K sets of one-dimensional values.



Figure 11.27. Schematic description of (a) table visualization vs. (b) parallel coordinate plots. A K-dimensional point p_j is shown in blue in both plots.

Each such set $D^i = \{a_1^i, \ldots, a_N^i\}$ is formed by the i^{th} attribute $(1 \le i \le K)$ of all our N data points. One technique that allows us to perform such investigations is the *parallel coordinate* plot, or PCP [Inselberg and Dimsdale 90, Wegman 90]. This technique is described below.

To easier understand the way parallel coordinate plots work, let us consider an example. We have a dataset containing around N = 400 data points. Each data point describes a car via K = 7 attributes: miles per gallon (MPG), number of cylinders, horsepower, weight, acceleration, manufacturing year, and origin (Europe, United States, or Japan). All attributes are real numbers, except the origin attribute, which is nominal, and the number of cylinders, which is an integer.

These data tuples can be seen as data points in a K = 7-dimensional space. Since we cannot directly render into seven dimensions, we must find ways to map this space onto two or three dimensions. One way to do this is to consider the Ksets D^i , each containing N data values, as the K columns of a data table (Figure 11.27(a)). Each point p_j is now a table row. Such a visualization has already been described in Section 11.3. Similar to table visualizations, parallel coordinates also map each of the sets D^i to a separate vertical axis (Figure 11.27(b)). However, while tables draw a point p_j as a horizontal row, parallel coordinates map each point to a *polyline* that connects the points on the vertical axes whose ordinates (y values) equal the point attributes a_j^i . Intuitively, we can think of a parallel coordinate plot as being produced by warping the rows of a data table in a vertical direction, so as to reflect the data values.



Figure 11.28. Parallel coordinate plot showing six attributes (miles-per-gallon, cylinders, horsepower, weight, acceleration, and manufacturing year) for about 400 cars. A selected car is shown in the image as a red polyline with the individual attribute values displayed as labels.

Figure 11.28 illustrates the parallel plot technique for the car dataset.¹⁴ There are six axes, corresponding to the first six data attributes. Each axis is scaled individually to show the full range of its attribute value. Although the different axes have different ranges, this does not reduce the usefulness of the parallel coordinate plot, which shows correlations of attribute value distributions rather than the absolute values. Each polyline represents a different car of the several hundred in the dataset. The polylines are drawn with a certain amount of transparency using additive blending (see Section 2.5). In this way, areas covered by many lines, i.e., where the data are correlated, appear darker on the plot. The red line and associated labels show the details of the car record under the mouse pointer: a six-cylinder vehicle weighing 3410 lbs manufactured in 1978. The "origin" attribute is shown only for the selected data point, and not for all data points on a separate axis, as it is of the ordinal type. For the selected car in the image, its value is "Japan."

This visualization already shows a number of facts. Clusters of lines that run parallel indicate similar data points. Lines widely spread apart along an axis

¹⁴The visualizations in this section are created with the *parvis* open-source software. The software and example dataset are available from [Lederman 12].



Figure 11.29. Using brushing to select the low-acceleration cars. The selected cars are shown in red. An interesting outlier is highlighted further.

show a large variation of that data attribute. We quickly see, for example, that most cars have 4, 6, or 8 cylinders, and there are a very few with 3 or 5 cylinders. There is a strong inverse correlation between the number of cylinders and the MPG rating, which is easily understandable. The fan-out of the lines going from the "Cylinders" to the "Horsepower" axis (right next to it) is quite strong. This shows that the number of cylinders does not determine the horsepower value. The lines from "Horsepower" to "Weight" run mostly parallel, which indicates a strong proportionality of the two attributes. Finally, the similar bundles of lines emerging from the "Year" axis (rightmost) indicate that there are similar numbers of cars produced every year, and that they come in all acceleration categories.

Adding interaction to the preceding basic visualization further supports our queries. Using brushing (point-drag-click with the mouse on the axes), we can select ranges of attribute values. Figure 11.29 shows the visualization after selecting a "low acceleration" attribute range. The polylines corresponding to all data points falling within the selected range are drawn in red. This shows us that cars with a low acceleration are also the heaviest, have powerful engines, are quite fuel-inefficient (low MPG), originate mostly from the early years of the considered period (1970), and all have the maximum number of cylinders (8). These are probably trucks or vans. We also find an outlier, shown by the selected



Figure 11.30. Enhancing parallel coordinates. The orientation of the axes whose labels are marked in red has been swapped as compared to Figure 11.29. Histograms show the attribute value distribution over 10 equally sized ranges for each axis.

thick red line with labels. This is a low-acceleration car, produced later (1978), which is quite light and low-power, has six cylinders, and an average MPG.

Many additional interaction and visual enhancements can be built on top of the basic concept of parallel coordinates to further support investigation tasks. Figure 11.30 illustrates several possibilities. Here, the orientation of several axes ("Cylinders," "Horsepower," and "Weight") has been swapped as compared to the previous examples. This reduces the number of line crossings, since inversely correlated axes become, after the orientation swapping, directly correlated. A second addition is the overlay of axes with histograms that show the number of data points within a number of fixed value range intervals. This figure uses 10 intervals for each axis. The histograms show how all attribute values are distributed along each axis, while the lines connecting them show high correlations between different ranges. For example, we see that most cars have four cylinders, and of those most have a low horsepower, low weight, and high MPG. The histogram of the "Year" axis shows that this dataset contains roughly the same number of entries for each manufacturing year. Such findings were in principle possible also by looking at the number of lines connected to a certain range of an axis, but the histogram displays make them easier and more accurate.

Several extensions have been proposed to the basic design described above. Hierarchical clustering and display techniques help reduce clutter when visualizing large datasets with parallel coordinates [Fua et al. 99]. Various brushing mechanisms can be added to help selecting more complex patterns than possible by simple axis range selection [Hauser et al. 02]. Parallel coordinate plots can be integrated with other techniques, such as scatter plots and statistical analysis tools, to facilitate the combination of different exploration tasks [Ward 94]. An early survey on this topic is given in [Inselberg 98]. For more details, we refer the reader to a comprehensive recent book on parallel coordinate plot variations and their multiple applications [Inselberg 09].

However useful, parallel coordinate plots also have their limitations. For large, complex datasets with many attributes, they can produce cluttered images that are hard to understand. The ordering of axes is also very important. Correlations are easier to find between axes that are (direct) neighbors than between axes placed far away from each other. In practice, this means a fair amount of interaction such as brushing and axis swapping and reordering is needed to understand complex datasets. Finally, parallel coordinates are abstract and novel for many users and may require a certain amount of training.

11.5.2 Dimensionality Reduction

Consider again our multivariate dataset consisting of a set of data points $D = \{p_i\}_{1 \le i \le N}$, where every points $p_i = (a_i^1, \ldots, a_i^K)$ lives in some K-dimensional space A^K . One task that frequently occurs in practice is to visualize the *structure* of the dataset D. Subtasks thereof are finding points which have similar attribute values; finding groups, or clusters, of similar points; finding which points belong to which group; and assigning a meaning to a point group.

To do this, we proceed by construction a so-called *projection* function

$$P: A^K \to \mathbb{R}^k \tag{11.8}$$

Instead of visualizing the original dataset $D \subset A^K$, we now map each point $p_i \in D$ to its projection $P(p_i) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where we typically have $k \in \{2, 3\}$. In other words, we replace the (complex) problem of visualizing a K-dimensional point cloud by the (simpler) problem of visualizing a two- or three-dimensional point cloud. The latter can be achieved, for instance, by using a 2D or 3D scatter plot, which is straightforward to construct. For such a point cloud, graph splatting can be used (see Section 11.4.2). Graph splatting is especially good if we are interested to find compact groups of points.

However, to be able to use the resulting low-dimensional point cloud to complete the tasks listed earlier in this section, the relative positions and distances of the projected points should, in some way, reflect the corresponding distances and positions of the original K-dimensional points. For this, the projection function P should respect several constraints. The most common such constraints are

- Distance preservation: Given two points p_1 and p_2 in D, the distance between their projections $||P(p_1) - P(p_2)||$ in \mathbb{R}^k should, ideally, be proportional with the distance $||p_1 - p_2||$ between the original points in A^K . If this happens, then when we find visually *close* projections, we can infer that these correspond to *similar* points in A^K . This effectively supports the so-called *query by example* task [Faloutsos and Lin 95].
- Neighborhood preservation: Given a point p in D, the neighbors $P(p_i)$ of P(p) in \mathbb{R}^k should be, ideally, the same as the neighbors p_i of p in A^K . If this happens, then when we find a group of close projections, we can infer that they correspond to a group of similar points in A^K .

To better quantify the above preservation conditions, we define a so-called *stress* function

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i,j} \left(\|p_i - p_j\| - \|P(p_i) - P(p_j)\|\right)^2}{\sum_{i,j} \|p_i - p_j\|^2}}$$
(11.9)

over all point-pairs $1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq N$ in our dataset D. σ is a global value that measures how well the placement of the projections preserves distances on the average. Lower stress values indicate that P has successfully preserved the relative distances from the high-dimensional space. Higher stress values indicate that distances are preserved less well. However, note that σ is only a global indication of this preservation: Depending on the actual algorithm used, distances may be preserved better for certain point pairs than for others.

Techniques that compute a projection P in order to minimize the stress function σ are known under the global name of *dimensionality reduction* methods. Such methods differ in their implementation based on what type of information they use to compute P, as follows.

11.5.3 Multidimensional Scaling

A first type of dimensionality reduction method does not assume that we know the actual coordinates of the points p_i in A^K . Rather, we only know the $N \times N$ square matrix $M = \{d_{ij}\}_{1 \le i \le N, 1 \le j \le N}$ of distances between these points. Here, $d_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ gives the distance, or dissimilarity, between the K-dimensional points p_i and p_j . Of course, if we have access to the actual point coordinates, we can immediately compute such distances as

$$d_{ij} = \|p_i - p_j\| = \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{K} \delta(a_i^l, a_j^l)^2}.$$
(11.10)

Here, the function $\delta : A \times A \to \mathbb{R}_+$ gives the (one-dimensional) distance between two attribute values. For numerical attributes, δ is the difference and d becomes the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^K . For non-numerical attributes, we must design a distance δ that reflects the similarities of interest for the problem at hand. For instance, if the attributes are categorical, then we can use the distance

$$\delta(a \in A, a' \in A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = a' \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(11.11)

However, there are cases when we only know the interpoint distances d_{ij} but not the actual point coordinates. In the extreme case, we may not even know the space A^K or even its dimension K. In such cases, the stress σ (Equation 11.9) becomes

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i,j} (d_{ij} - \|P(p_i) - P(p_j)\|)^2}{\sum_{i,j} d_{ij}^2}}.$$
(11.12)

Methods that compute the projection P by directly minimizing σ given by Equation 11.12 are known under the name of multidimensional scaling (MDS) methods [Borg and Groenen 97, Cox and Cox 01, Mead 92]. The process of assigning k-dimensional coordinates to points in an unknown K-dimensional space, based only on the distances d_{ij} , is also known under the name of embedding, since we "embed" points from an unknown space A^K into a known k-dimensional space. The MDS name reflects an important property of the computation: Distances between data points in the low-dimension k should be "scaled" versions of the distances between the same points in the original (unknown) high dimension. In the ideal case, we would like the two distances to be proportional to each other. MDS methods are useful also if they do not enforce a strict proportionality relation between the distances in the two spaces, as long as the distances are highly correlated.

Multidimensional scaling can be computed in a variety of ways. A simple, though not very computationally efficient way, is to use force-directed layouts. Consider a graph in which every data point i is a node, and every distance relation d_{ij} is an edge between the corresponding nodes i and j. The edge stiffness is set to be inversely proportional to the distance d_{ij} between its nodes. Performing a force-directed layout on this graph (Section 11.4.2) will bring points



Figure 11.31. Computation of projection coordinates in FastMap using only point-wise distances.

that are highly similar in the high-dimensional space close to each other in the k-dimensional layout space. In practice, several refinements must be applied to this basic idea to make it usable. Edges corresponding to very high distance values are not created, as these have a negligible impact on the layout. Reducing the number of edges from the theoretical maximum of N^2 , or in other words approximating the distance matrix M by a sparse matrix, also considerably accelerates the layout process. Furthermore, more sophisticated layouts than the basic force-directed method and more complex distance functions can be used to obtain a better reflection of the high-dimensional distances in the computed k-dimensional layout [Williams and Munzner 04].

A different approach is taken by FastMap [Faloutsos and Lin 95]: This simple, but effective, algorithm constructs a k-dimensional point set using the $N \times N$ distance matrix M only. The main idea of FastMap is simple:

- **1.** Choose the points p_i and p_j which maximize d_{ij} .
- 2. Project all points p_l on the line $\mathbf{v} = p_j p_i$ to find one coordinate in the k-dimensional space.
- 3. Recursively apply *FastMap* on the projections of p_i on a plane orthogonal to \mathbf{v} , to find the remaining k 1 coordinates.

The key to FastMap is the observation that, to find the coordinate x_l of p_l along the line **v**, we only need to know the distances between the points p_i , p_j , and p_l (Figure 11.31), using the well-known 'cosine law' theorem

$$x_l = \frac{d_{il}^2 + d_{ij}^2 - d_{lj}^2}{2d_{ij}}.$$
(11.13)

FastMap is simple to implement and computationally efficient: For N Kdimensional points to be projected in k dimensions, its complexity is O(kN). However, compared to other more recent MDS methods mentioned at the end of this section, FastMap achieves a less well-minimized stress cost. For more details, we refer to the highly readable paper of Faloutsos and Lin [Faloutsos and Lin 95]. An implementation of FastMap is also readily available.¹⁵

Besides force-directed layouts and FastMap, many other MDS algorithms exist. Spectral decomposition techniques project points along the eigenvectors having the largest eigenvalues of the distance matrix [Torgeson 65]. Efficient methods such as LLE [Roweis and Saul 00] and Isomap [Tenenbaum et al. 00, de Silva and Tenenbaum 03] have been devised, using numerical methods tailored to solve sparse eigenproblems. Isomap is an interesting extension of the conventional MDS techniques that aims to capture nonlinear relationships in the dataset. For this, Isomap replaces the input point-to-point distances by an approximation of the geodesic distance between points given by the shortest path on a graph created connecting neighbor points in the K-dimensional space with the original distance as weight. The k-dimensional coordinates are computed via conventional MDS methods, with calculations of eigenvalues over the distance relations of the previous step. Landmark MDS [de Silva and Tenenbaum 03] and Pivot MDS [Brandes and Pich 07] book further speed-ups by applying classical MDS to a small subset of representative points and projecting the remaining ones by local interpolation. MetricMap improves upon FastMap by attempting to perform the entire projection in one step [Wang et al. 99]. We refer the more mathematically inclined reader to the paper of Platt which shows how FastMap, MetricMap, and Landmark MDS can be unified in a single mathematical framework [Platt 05].

11.5.4 Projection-Based Dimensionality Reduction

As discussed in the introduction of dimensionality reduction methods, apart from using the point-wise distance matrix, we can use the original high-dimensional point coordinates (if available). Compared to MDS methods, the key difference is that we now assume that we know all K-dimensional coordinates $p_i = (a_i^1, \ldots, a_i^K)$ of our points. We call the methods using this type of information projection-based dimensionality reduction methods.

One such method uses the so-called Karhunen-Loèwe transform [Fukunaga 90], which works as follows:

1. Compute the covariance matrix C of the N K-dimensional points p_i (for the definition thereof, see Section 8.3.2).

¹⁵http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~christos/software.html

- **2.** Compute the eigenvectors e_i of C corresponding to the first k largest eigenvalues λ_i of C.
- **3.** Compute the projections $P(p_i) = (q_i^1, \ldots, q_i^k)$ as

$$q_i^l = e_l \cdot p_i, \text{ for all } 1 < l < k.$$
 (11.14)

The intuition behind the above computation is simple to explain: Eigenvectors corresponding to the largest eigenvalues of C indicate the directions, in K dimensions, along which our points p_i spread the most. Hence, if we construct our low-dimensional projections $P(p_i)$ by projecting data along these directions, we preserve the most information encoded in interpoint distances. In other words, the first axis of our projection plot will show the direction (in A^K) along which our data has the largest variance; the second axis of the projection plot shows the direction (in A^K) along which the data has the second-largest variance, and so on.

This mechanism has several limitations. First, it is a global operation. The eigenvectors, or projection directions, are determined from and for the entire dataset, and thus cannot account subsets of points which have different directions along which they vary the most. Second, we lose all variation of the data points along the last K - k eigenvectors, which are not used in the projection. In practice, the above two aspects imply that eigenvector projection cannot accurately represent point sets which are spread along hypersurfaces of topological dimension larger than k (see Section 3.1.3 for the definition of topological dimension).

The dimensionality reduction technique outlined above is closely related to the well-known *singular value decomposition (SVD)* technique known in linear algebra [Golub and van Loan 89].

11.5.5 Advanced Dimensionality Reduction Techniques

Besides the methods mentioned above, many more sophisticated dimensionality reduction techniques exist. These methods try to optimize the balance between *scalability* (being able to project or embed large datasets consisting of millions of points) and *accuracy* (being able to preserve distances and/or neighborhoods). Below we briefly present several such methods. The aim is to give to the interested reader pointers to relevant literature as well as to implementations that can be readily used in practice.

1. Least Square Projection (LSP): The Least Squares Projection [Paulovich et al. 08] uses a force-based scheme to first position a subset of the input points,

called *control points*. The remaining points in the neighborhood of the control points are positioned using a local Laplace-like operator. Overall, LSP creates a large linear system that is strong in local feature definition. LSP is very precise in preserving neighborhoods from the K-dimensional space to the projection space.

2. Part-Linear Multidimensional Projection (PLMP): Both user-driven selection of the control points and computational performance are issues for LSP. As such, a series of successors of the general idea of LSP (control point selection followed by mapping of remaining points) followed to address these issues. Of those, the Part-Linear Multidimensional Projection (PLMP) [Paulovich et al. 10] addresses computational scalability for large datasets by first constructing a linear mapping of the control points employing the initially force-placed control points. Next, this linear mapping is used to position the remaining points, by a simple and fast matrix multiplication of the feature point matrix with the linear mapping matrix.

3. Local Affine Multidimensional Projection (LAMP): Aiming to allow more user control over the final layout, the Local Affine Multidimensional Projection (LAMP) [Joia et al. 11] provides a user-controlled redefinition of the mapping matrix over a first mapping of control points. LAMP also works by defining control points, which are used to build a family of orthogonal affine mappings, one for each point to be projected. LAMP has restrictions regarding the number of dimensions (K) against the number of points (N). Also, LAMP cannot directly work with distance relations, i.e., it needs to access the point coordinates. However, LAMP is a very fast projection method, without compromising the precision reached, for instance, by LSP.

Implementations: Implementing efficient and accurate dimensionality reduction techniques is very challenging. Fortunately, several open-source implementations exist. Among these, we mention the Java-based Projection Explorer (PEx) [Paulovich et al. 07], and VisPipeline [Paiva et al. 11] frameworks. Both systems offer a wide variety of optimized projection algorithms, working both with distance matrices and directly with high-dimensional point coordinates, complemented by several visualization options for interactive projection exploration. Equally importantly, end users can apply the offered projection tools easily and without having to dive into their mathematical or implementation details. Both these tools, and a variety of related software for computing and exploring high-dimensional data, are available online.¹⁶

 $^{^{16} \}rm http://infoserver.lcad.icmc.usp.br/infovis2/Tools$



Figure 11.32. Exploring the relationship of projections with high-dimensional attribute values. Different attributes are mapped to color in each image.

11.5.6 Explaining Projections

However useful to make high-dimensional data displayable in 2D or 3D, dimensionality reduction techniques are by themselves not the full solution to understanding a given dataset. In this section, we overview the various challenges related to understanding projection plots. The perspective taken is that of an end user who requires to know what it takes to *effectively* gain insight from such a dataset by using the dimensionality reduction methods presented earlier.

To illustrate this, consider the 2D scatter plot in Figure 11.32(a). The plot shows N = 2100 points from a K = 18-dimensional dataset which has been

projected in 2D using LAMP.¹⁷ Each point describes a small image block randomly chosen from seven natural outdoor images of different types—sky, foliage, brickwall, path, grass, cement, and windows. Each block has been reduced to 18 automatically computed attributes that describe statistical image properties, such as contrast, average hue, average saturation, average brightness, and amount of edges. Apart from these, one additional attribute (not used in the projection) describes the *class*, or type, of image that each block belongs to. This attribute, which was manually assigned by users, has seven values, since there are seven image types [Frank and Asuncion 13].

The basic scatter plot in Figure 11.32(a) shows three large point groups. To further interpret these groups, we need to be able to answer the following types of questions:

- 1. How to read the plot? What do the groups *mean*? What combination of attributes and attribute values is typical to points in a group (if any)?
- 2. Do the projections, and ensuing groups, have a *precise* meaning, or are they partially determines by limitations of the projection algorithm?

To answer the first type of questions, a first method uses standard red-to-blue (rainbow) color mapping to show the values of one given attribute at a time for all projections (Figures 11.32(b-d)). In Figure 11.32(b), we first map the *class* attribute. We see that the bottom-right group is nearly entirely orange, and the left group is nearly fully dark blue. This indicates that points in these groups are *coherent* from the perspective of the *class* attribute—in other words, points in one of these groups have the same image class. This allows users to easily explain these groups in terms of the type of data they contain (from a class perspective). In contrast, the large middle group shows a mixture of five different colors, showing that it contains points of five different classes, which could not be separated (into distinct groups) by the projection. Since the *class* attribute was *not* used in the projection, this is a valuable finding—it means that attributes 1..18, which were used to project data, do correlate very well with the class attribute. We next explore further to understand which of attributes 1..18 did indeed determine the formation of distinct groups. In Figure 11.32(c), we color points by the value of attribute 18. We see that the left group is the only one having high values for this attribute (red points). Hence, the left group, and

 $^{^{17}\}mathrm{The}$ dataset, known as Segmentation, is publicly available at http://www.ics.uci.edu/ $\sim\!\!\mathrm{mlearn.}$

its class value, can be explained in terms of high-values of attribute 18. Next, when coloring the projection by the values of attribute 0 (Figure 11.32(d)), we see that colors spread over all groups following a northeast (blue) to southwest (red) pattern. Hence, we infer that attribute 18, albeit reflected in the positions of the projected points, does not explain the perceived point groups.

The above method of explaining projections works reasonably well when there are not too many attributes (low values of K). We can also reduce the number of images required to show all attributes by mapping more attributes to a single image, e.g., by using point color, shape, and size. However, for large values of K, the method is tedious, and relies on the users' visual memory for correlating attribute values over the projection. However, the core problem to be solved is fundamentally hard in the general case, and no simple and scalable solution exists: We need to show the *identity* and *values* of a potentially large number of attributes over fuzzily defined spatial *regions* (the groups). Equally important, we do not know beforehand which attributes or attribute values are important, so in the worst case the user is required to cycle through all attributes.

A second problem of projections is that the spatial placement of points in \mathbb{R}^k does not have a straightforward meaning. Consider, in contrast, a scatter plot: Its axes are very easy to explain to any user, as they map one-to-one k dimensions of the original K-dimensional dataset. In our projections, however, the screen axes do not have such a clear meaning, but are in fact *mixtures* of the original K-dimensional attributes. Second, we cannot assign a uniform meaning to the *direction* of the axes, e.g., we cannot directly say that values increase from left to right and/or from bottom to top, like in a classical scatter plot or 2D function graph. Finally, we cannot easily interpret projection distances in terms of units of the original K-dimensional space—or, in other words, we cannot say what a screen-space unit means in terms of units of the mapped attributes. These factors make the interpretation of dimensionality reduction projections challenging beyond the task of telling relative point similarities.

To alleviate such problems, explanatory visualization mechanisms can be used. These mechanisms annotate a 2D or 3D projection plot with information that enables users to revert the mapping from $k \in \{2, 3\}$ dimensions to the original K dimensions [Broeksema et al. 13]. Figure 11.33 illustrates several such techniques. The displayed data consists of 20640 9-dimensional points. Each point represents a household recorded by the California census of 1990. Attributes describe various measured parameters of a household, as indicated by the labels drawn in Figure 11.33. For the projection, we used LAMP here. In contrast to Figure 11.32, the target space has k = 3 dimensions, so we obtain a 3D point cloud. The explanatory mechanisms are described next.



Figure 11.33. Explanatory visualization of high-dimensional to low-dimensional axis mapping. (Image courtesy of Danilo Coimbra, ICMC, University of São Paulo, Brazil.)

Attribute axes: The first explanatory mechanism consists of K so-called attribute axes. These are one-dimensional curves, drawn atop of the scatter plot. Each curve is constructed as follows:

- 1. We first compute the projection P from our input dataset D; here, we can choose any desired projection type of the ones we avail of.
- **2.** For each attribute a_i , $1 \le i \le K$, uniformly sample the line in A^K ranging from the minimal to the maximal value of a_i in D, using S = 100 points (or a similar large value); call the resulting point-set $\mathbf{a}_i = {\mathbf{a}_i^1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_i^S}$.
- 3. Project all points in the point-sets \mathbf{a}_i using P as computed in step 1; call the resulting k-dimensional point-sets $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i$.

- 4. Compute the angles α_i between the vectors linking the first and last point of each point-set $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i$, and the z-axis perpendicular to the screen surface. We can compute this using vector dot product, that is $\alpha_i = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_i^S \mathbf{a}_i^1) \cdot \mathbf{z}}{\|\mathbf{a}_i^S \mathbf{a}_i^1\|}$.
- 5. Draw the resulting point-sets $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i$ as standard polylines atop of our scatter plot, using alpha blending with a transparency equal to $1 |\alpha_i|$.
- 6. Color a user-chosen axis $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i$ with the values of its attribute at the sample points a_i^j , $1 \le j \le S$.

Figure 11.33 shows the result. The attribute axes convey six important insights that help us understand the meaning of the scatter plot, as follows:

- Orientation: The orientation of an axis indicates the direction along which the variation of its attribute is maximal in the point cloud. In our example, we see thus that the *longitude* and *latitude* attributes vary mainly in the horizontal plane, while the *median house value* varies along the vertical direction.
- Visibility: The opacity value of an axis indicates whether the respective axis in the 3D plot is parallel to the screen plane or not. Axes which are parallel to the screen plane, such as longitude, latitude, median income, and median house value, correspond to attributes whose variance can be read in our 3D plot from the given viewpoint. Axes which are transparent, thus not parallel to the screen plane, correspond to attributes whose variance cannot be seen in our plot from the given viewpoint. This helps users understand what the plot can, and cannot, show. For instance, users should not try reasoning about the variance of the *#rooms* attribute in the plot in Figure 11.33, since this axis is simply not visible from the chosen viewpoint.
- Length: The length value of an axis indicates how much variance of the respective attribute is visible in the plot. Long axes indicate thus attributes which have a large variance in the projection, while short axes indicate attributes having lesser variance. For instance, in our plot, we see that *median house value* has the largest variance. Note that length is a combination of both the actual data variance in A^K and the effectiveness of the chosen projection P. In other words, a short axis may mean either that the respective attribute has low variance, or that P has "compressed" the range of that attribute in the resulting projection.
- Angles: The angles between two axes indicate the correlation of their attributes. Large angles, such as between *latitude* and *longitude*, indicate

that the two attributes are not correlated in the dataset. Small angles, such as between *median income* and *median house value*, indicate that the two attributes are strongly correlated.

- Direction: For the color-mapped axis, the color gradient indicates how its attribute varies from low to high values. In Figure 11.33, we used a rainbow colormap for the axis showing the most variance, *median house value*. We now see that low house values correspond to points at the bottom of the plot, and high house values map to points at the top. Thus, we can explain the vertical plot axis as encoding mainly the median house value.
- Curvature: The curvature of an axis indicates the amount of linearity of the underlying projection P. In Figure 11.33, all axes are straight lines, which indicates that P is a linear projection. However, not all projections are linear. For nonlinear projections, the axes' curvature helps users in reading the plot in the sense of following the (curved) directions along which the attributes exhibit maximal variance.

Axis legends: Typical end users have difficulties in understanding dimensionality reduction plots because the x- and y-axes of such plots do not have an explicit, clear, meaning, as is the case for classical 2D scatter plots or function graphs. Axes legends alleviate this problem. Figure 11.33 shows three such legends: the x-axis legend, the y-axis legend, and the z-axis legend. The first two legends explain how we should read the x and y screen axes, as follows. Each legend consists of a bar chart, which has one bar for each of the nine attributes of our input dataset. The x legend bars for an axis i are scaled based on the absolute angle $|\alpha_i|$ between the projected axis $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i$ and the x screen axis. The y and z legend bars are computed similarly. For each legend, the bars are labeled by the names of their corresponding attributes, and sorted decreasingly on their lengths from left to right.

The axis legends effectively show us the mix of original attributes that each screen axis depicts. In our example, we see that the *y*-axis encodes mainly *median house value* and, up to a lesser extent, *median income*. This information was also visible in the fact that the attribute axes for these two attributes were parallel. However, the *x*-axis legend shows us more clearly that the remaining attributes do *not* significantly contribute to the screen *y*-axis. The *x*-axis, in contrast, encodes predominantly both the *latitude* and *longitude* attributes, and very little of the remaining ones. As we interactively change the viewpoint for the 3D scatter plot, the axis legends are also interactively updated. This effectively

helps users in understanding what the meaning of the x- and y-axes in a given view mean in terms of the original K-dimensional variables.

The small red-green icons under the bar charts indicate the *direction* of the attribute variation with respect to the screen axes. A green icon indicates that the respective attribute increases in the sense of the respective screen axis. A red icon indicates that the attribute decreases along that screen axis. Looking at these icons, we can see that *median house income* increases along the *y*-axis (which confirms the earlier finding obtained using color mapping along the same axis). However, we also see that *longitude* increases along the *x*-axis, while *latitude* decreases along the same axis—two findings which we could not have obtained so far.

The z-axis legend, shown top-right in Figure 11.33, shows the amount of information we do not see in the current view of our 3D scatter plot. Here, bar lengths show how much an attribute spreads along the z-axis. Since the z-axis is orthogonal to the screen plane, variations along it are never visible from the current viewpoint. In our example, we see that households, population, #rooms, and #bedrooms map well on the z-axis—and thus cannot be seen in the current 2D view.

Combining the above mechanisms, we now can read the plot in Figure 11.33 as follows:

- The studied dataset shows two large concentrations of housing records for two distinct geographical locations (the two peaks in the scatter plot).
- The spread on median house income is similar for both locations.
- For the entire dataset, median income and house values are well correlated.
- The largest variation in the data occurs for medial house income, followed by geographical position.

Explanatory visualizations significantly enrich bare scatter plots used to display projected datasets, by adding information that lets users map back from the reduced k-dimensional plot space to the original K-dimensional data space. Additional details on the construction of such visualizations are given in [Broeksema et al. 13, Oeltze et al. 07]. However, as the number and complexity of dimensionality reduction methods grows, the challenge of making dimensionality reduction plots easy to use and widely accepted by the grand public remains open.

11.5.7 Assessing Projection Quality

As already outlined at the beginning of the previous section, the effectiveness of a dimensionality reduction plot resides in two factors: The ease of interpreting the final low-dimensional plot, and the quality of the projection itself. In the previous section, we have show how explanatory visualizations can address the former aspect. In this section, we focus on how we can assess the quality of projections, with a focus on neighborhood preservation and group interpretation.

Given a general projection P defined as in Equation 11.8, the following questions are relevant:

- **1.** False neighbors: For a point $p_i \in D$ and its 2D projection $P(p_i) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, a necessary condition for neighborhood preservation is that all points $P(p_j) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ which are close to $P(p_i)$ should be projections of points p_j which are close to p_i in A^K . If not, i.e., we have a point $P(p_j)$ close to $P(p_i)$ for which p_j is not close to p_i , we will wrongly infer from the 2D projection that p_j is close to p_i . We call point j a *false neighbor* of point i. How can we visually find false neighbors?
- 2. Missing neighbors: The second necessary condition for neighborhood preservation is that *all* points p_j , which are close to p_i in A^K , project close to $P(p_i)$ in \mathbb{R}^k . If not, i.e., we have a point p_j close to p_i whose projection is not close to $P(p_i)$, then we will underestimate the set of points similar to point *i*. We call such a point *j* a missing neighbor of point *i*. How can we visually find missing neighbors?
- 3. False and missing group members: An important task in projection visualization is to help users find groups of similar points, such as in the example discussed in Figure 11.32. For groups, the point-wise problems of false and missing neighbors generalize to the problems of false and missing groupmembers, respectively. That is, given a *visual group* Γ , i.e., a set of closely projected points, we want to find if all points located in Γ truly belong to the group in terms of attribute similarity (no false members). Second, we want to find if all points that are similar to the group elements in terms of attributes do indeed project in Γ (no missing neighbors). How do we visually find false and missing members?
- 4. Comparing projections: Given two projections P_1 and P_2 of the same dataset D, which projection preserves distances and neighborhoods better?

The stress function σ (Equation 11.9) delivers only an aggregated, single scalar value, for an entire projection. The questions above, however, require more fine-grained answers. To address these, we can use several visualization methods, as follows.

Aggregate point-wise error: To begin with, we define the projection error of a point i with respect to a point $j \neq i$ as

$$e_{ij} = \frac{\|P(p_i) - P(p_j)\|}{\max_{i,j} \|P(p_i) - P(p_j)\|} - \frac{d_{ij}}{\max_{i,j} d_{ij}}.$$
(11.15)

Here, $d_{ij} = ||p_i - p_j||$ is the distance between points *i* and *j* in A^K (Equation 11.10). Clearly, e_{ij} can be estimated for both MDS methods that use only the distance matrix *M*, and also for projection-based methods that have access to the *K*-dimensional point coordinates. We see that $e_{ij} \in [-1, 1]$. Negative errors indicate points whose projections are too close (thus, false neighbors). Positive errors indicate points whose projections are too far apart (thus, missing neighbors). Zero values indicate projections which approximate optimally the distances in A^K .

We next define the *aggregate error* of a point i as

$$e_i^{aggr} = \sum_{j \neq i} |e_{ij}|.$$
 (11.16)

For a given point *i*, e_i^{aggr} gives the projection error of that point with respect to *all* other points. Hence, low values of e^{aggr} show points whose projections can be reliably compared with most other projections in terms of assessing similarity. These are also good candidates for the so-called representatives, or control points, for multilevel projection methods [Faloutsos and Lin 95, Pekalska et al. 99, Chalmers 96, Paulovich et al. 08]). Large values of e^{aggr} show points which are badly placed with respect to most other points. These are good candidates for manual projection optimization [Silva et al. 12, Paulovich et al. 11].

Figure 11.34(a) shows the aggregate error for the LAMP projection of the Segmentation dataset shown in Figure 11.32, using rainbow color mapping. Here, $e_{ij} \in [-0.67, 0.35]$. Rather than showing e_i only at point locations, we used here Shepard interpolation to construct a continuous signal between the points (Equation 3.47). The advantage is that the visualized image exhibits lower frequencies and is also space-filling, thus it allows us to visually locate outliers easier than when visualizing a color-coded point cloud. The image shows that the aggregate error is relatively smoothly distributed over the entire projection, and also that this error is not zero (dark blue) for almost any significant zone in the image.



Figure 11.34. Visualizing aggregate projection error (a), false neighbors (b), and missing neighbors shown with color mapping (c,d) and edge bundles (e,f). Markers in images (c-f) indicate selected points.

The blue areas close to the plot border show that points here are relatively better placed than points in the middle of the plot. We also locate a few small red spots (marked by circles in the image). These are high-error areas, which indicate that the respective few points are badly projected with respect to *most* other points. Summarizing, Figure 11.34(a) explains the distribution of the aggregated stress value σ at a higher level of detail.

False neighbors: However useful to assess the error distribution and find badly versus well-projected points, the aggregate error view does not tell us the error nature, i.e., if it is caused by false neighbors, missing neighbors, or both. Let us next consider false neighbors. To visualize these, we proceed as follows. First, we create a Delaunay triangulation of the projected points (for details on the algorithm, see Section 8.3.1). This gives us the closest neighbors of each projected point in all directions, i.e., the most important false-neighbor candidates for that point. Next, we color each triangle-edge linking points i and j with the value

$$e_{ij}^{false} = |\min(e_{ij}, 0)|. \tag{11.17}$$

That is, we only consider errors due to false neighbors. Finally, we render the resulting image, convolved with a Gaussian filter in order to make the colored pixel-thin triangle edges thicker, and to make the overall image smoother, thus easier to examine visually.

Figure 11.34(b) shows the resulting visualization. The triangulation edges show the immediate neighbors of a point, and their color shows if the point and its neighbors are in a false-neighbor relation (red edges) or not (blue edges). We notice an overall trend in the image—edges go from blue at the projection border to warm colors inside. This shows that the projection has more freedom to accurately place points in low-density regions (border), but "compresses" distances in high-density areas (interior) where there is little space to optimally place points. Intuitively, this phenomenon resembles a pressure that increasingly builds up from the projection border towards its interior. We also see a few red outliers. The zoomed detail in Figure 11.34(b) shows one of them. The red star-like pattern shows that the point at the center is too closely placed to all its neighbors. However, when looking at the neighbors themselves, we see that they are well placed with respect to all their subsequent neighbors *except* the center point. Hence, we conclude that the star center is the false neighbor.

Missing neighbors: Besides false neighbors, projection errors (and subsequent misinterpretations) can also be caused by missing neighbors. Visualizing this by a space-filling method similar to the aggregate error or false neighbors described above is, however, less straightforward. Indeed, the missing neighbors of a projected point can be anywhere in the projection, and are actually by definition far away from that point. Hence, to locate such neighbors, we need to visualize a many-to-many relation between far-away projected points.
A first approach to this task restrains the scope of the question: Given a single projected point $P(p_i)$, show which of all other projected points are missing neighbors for $P(p_i)$. For this, we can proceed as follows. First, we let the user select $P(p_i)$, by means of direct brushing in the visualization. Next, we compute the error

$$e_i^{missing} = \max_{j \neq i} (e_{ij}, 0).$$
 (11.18)

Next, we visualize the signal $e^{missing}$ using the same Shepard interpolation technique as for the aggregated error discussed above.

Figures 11.34(c,d) shows the resulting visualization, for two selected points, one close to the upper border of the central point group, and the second on the left border of the left point group. In both cases, the missing neighbors visualization shows an image where the color smoothly varies from dark blue around the selected point to a fringe of warm colors far away from that point. The blue color indicates that the largest majority of points are not projected too far from the selected point. The warm colors show that points on the border of the projection *and* far away from the selected point are placed too far from that point. The reason for this lies in the implicit constraint of projection methods which need to both pack points tightly (to avoid missing neighbors) but also need to leave a certain amount of space between neighbor points (to avoid false neighbors).

A second more explicit way to show missing neighbors is shown in Figures 11.34(e,f). For a selected point $P(p_{sel})$, we construct a graph G = (V, E)whose nodes are all projected points $P(p_i)$ and edges link $P(p_{sel})$ with all $P(p_i)$. The values e_{ij} are added as edge weights. Next, we draw G using a general-graph edge bundling algorithm, such as the ones presented in Section 11.4.2. Finally, we color edges based on their weights using a rainbow colormap, sort them based on the same weight, and draw them in this order with a transparency equal to the same weight. The background of the visualization shows the error $e^{missing}$, drawn using a grayscale colormap, so that it does not interfere with the edge bundle colors. The resulting image effectively "point" the user from the selected point towards its missing neighbors. The colors and transparencies of the bundles highlight the selected point's most important missing neighbors. We now see, more explicitly than in Figures 11.34(c,d), that the missing neighbors of the selected point in the central cluster "fan out" to the left border of the left cluster, while missing neighbors of the selected point in the left cluster fan out to the top of the central cluster.

Group members: To be able to reason about false or missing group members, we first have to define what a group is. Simply put, a group Γ is a set of



Figure 11.35. Visualizing missing group neighbors for two groups in a projection.

projections which a user perceives as "belonging together." Practically, this means that all points in Γ are significantly closer to each other than to points not in the group; that Γ has a minimal size (we do not want to consider very small groups containing just a few points); and that the group forms a compact visual shape which stands out in the visualization as being separate from the surrounding shapes. Clearly, detecting groups based on such conditions is a largely heuristic process. Such groups can be found by a mix of techniques such as upper thresholding of the projections' spatial density signal [Ester et al. 96], projection clustering [Comaniciu and Meer 02], or manual interactive selection of points.

Once a group has been selected, we can show the actual group as follows. First, we compute a density map of all points in the group, using, e.g., the kernel density estimation method explained in Section 11.4.2 for graph splatting. Next, we threshold this density to obtain the border of the group. Finally, we can render the image points located inside the group in a given color, or construct a luminance profile that emphasizes the group border by using the generalized shaded cushion technique described earlier for image-based edge bundles (Section 11.4.2). This technique is illustrated in Figure 11.35 for a selection of three groups. The added value of this technique is that we only modify the luminance channel of the final image, thus we can use hue to show additional data.

Next, to show missing group members, we compute a scalar signal $e_{\Gamma}^{missing}$ that gives, for all points in a group Γ , the amount of missing group members at each point

$$e_{\Gamma}^{missing}(P(p_i)) = \begin{cases} |\min_{P(p_j)\in\Gamma}(e_{ij})| & \text{if } P(p_i)\notin\Gamma\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(11.19)

Figures 11.35(a,b) shows the visualization of the scalar field $e_{\Gamma}^{missing}$ for the bottom-right, respectively left, groups. Points in each group are rendered as red circles. Atop of the scalar visualization, we also show the missing neighbors, using the edge bundling technique described earlier in this section—the only difference is that we now construct edges from *all* points in a group to all other points, rather than from a single selected point. The color image in Figure 11.35(a) is overall blue. This means that, from the perspective of the bottom group, seen as a whole, no points are projected too far away. In other words, the bottom group has no missing members. The edge bundles in the same image strengthen this view—they show only a very few connections from the bottom group to other groups. This matches the intuition conveyed by the projection which shows that the bottom group is quite well spatially separated from the other groups. For the left group, the situation is different. First, the color image in Figure 11.35(b)shows a few hot spots into the central group. These indicate points which are too far away placed from the left group, seen as a whole. The edge bundles shown in the same image strengthen this view. We see now quite a number of connections from the left group to the central group, which indicate that several points in the central group should have been placed closer to the left group.

Comparing projections: The techniques presented above allow us to assess the quality of a given projection P applied to a given multivariate dataset. However, in practice, users may have difficulty in choosing the optimal projection, from the wide palette of available projection techniques, to visualize a given dataset. Different projections, or even applying the same projection algorithm but using different parameters, may lead to significantly different results, and thus different insights in the data.

To explore this issue, we can use the introduced techniques to compare different projections P_i . Figure 11.36 illustrates this, by comparing four different projections for the *Segmentation* dataset. Several insights can be gained from this figure. First and foremost, we see that the exact embedding of the 2D projections is not identical for the four considered projections. Second, we see that the aggregate error (Figure 11.36, top row) is quite similar for all projections. Also, the increasing trend of the aggregate error from the projection boundary to its center is visible for all projections. Although the exact spatial distribution of aggregate error is not identical, there are no significant differences here that would let us state that one projection is superior to the others. The same observations can be made for the false neighbors visualizations (Figure 11.36, middle row). The missing neighbors plots (Figure 11.36, bottom row) is built slightly differently than the one shown in Figure 11.34(e,f). Here, rather than



Figure 11.36. Comparison of LAMP, LSP, PLMP, and [Pekalska et al. 99] projections for the *Segmentation* dataset. Color mapping is normalized so that the same color indicates the same error value for all projections. Images courtesy of Rafael Martins and Rosane Minghim, ICMC, University of São Paulo, Brazil.

showing all missing neighbors of a given user-selected point, we show the 5% most important missing neighbors for all points, in terms of the error $e^{missing}$ (Equation 11.18). The missing neighbors plots tell us a different story. By looking at the size and color of the depicted bundles, we see that LSP and Pekalska have many more important missing neighbors than PLMP, while LAMP has the fewest missing neighbors. In all cases, we see bundles that connect borders of the projected point-set. This confirms that all these projections focus on the accurate placement of close points and dedicate less attention to the placement of far-away points. We also see that the missing neighbors are spread differently over the data: For LAMP, there are no bundles connecting to the bottom-right point group. This shows that all points in this group are dissimilar from all other points. In contrast, LSP, PLMP, and Pekalska all have bundles connecting to the remaining points.

The visualizations presented in this section show us that dimensionality reduction techniques, although being a powerful instrument for showing similarities and correlations in large multivariate datasets, are complex tools whose use requires care and expertise: First, the abstract nature of projections requires additional interpretation efforts. Second, any projection will, in practice, generate approximation errors in the resulting visualization. Finally, different projection techniques or parameter settings will generate different visualizations for the same input dataset. Users should be aware of all these aspect before interpreting a dimensionality reduction visualization to draw conclusion about its input dataset.

11.6 Text Visualization

As explained in Section 11.2.3, text is an important attribute in infovis datasets. The question is: How can we visualize text? To answer this question in detail, let us first consider the types of information contained in a text document. This information can be structured into three categories: content, structure, and metadata. The *content* describes the information contained in the text itself. The typical way to comprehend this information is apparent to the reader who reads these very lines: Text content can be understood by reading it. On the next level, structure characterizes how the text is organized hierarchically into several levels of abstraction, such as paragraphs, sections, chapters, or elements of a document collection. The table of contents of a book can be seen as a basic form of visualization of the document structure. However, getting further insight into large document sets requires different visualization methods beyond plain reading, as the task now is to comprehend the document organization rather than its minute contents. Finally, *metadata* describes all types of information related to the text that are not contained in the text itself. Typically, metadata stores information about the document itself rather than information about the document content. Metadata includes cross references, keywords, and indexes, as well as information on the document author, publisher, and publication date. Visualization methods that target metadata should provide insight in the metadata itself, but also ways to correlate metadata with the document content and structure.

A different dimension of text visualization concerns the *origin* of the data. All types of text-related information (content, structure, and metadata) can be already present in the document to visualize, or can be computed using various text-analysis methods in order to support a certain task. For example, documentretrieval systems, such as the engines internally used by the Google search tool, analyze documents to generate information used for classification and indexing. This process falls within the data-enrichment step of the visualization pipeline (see Section 4.1.2). Text analysis is an extremely wide topic, including techniques that range from neural networks and statistical analysis to lexical, syntactic, and semantic analysis and natural-language processing.

Just as for other visualization applications, methods for visualizing text should be scalable. An efficient text-visualization technique should support the user in understanding the structure and contents of a text document, as well as in finding specific details, in a shorter time than one would need to read the entire document.

Several visualization methods have been devised to cope with the tasks of quickly, scalably, and flexibly getting insight into text documents. These visualizations differ as a function of both the type of document, e.g., narrative text or software source code, and the type of task, e.g., getting a structural overview, comparing several documents or several versions of the same document, or answering precise, application-specific questions. In the following sections, we shall illustrate a few examples of text visualization methods encountered in practice.

11.6.1 Content-Based Visualization

A natural way to visualize a text document is to display its content using the document's "natural" layout, which is used when reading and printing the document. In addition to the document content, its structure and metadata can be shown. Since the visualization's main target is the document content, we call these methods *content-based* visualizations.

Figure 11.37 illustrates how such techniques are used in the Adobe Acrobat document management system [Adobe Systems Incorporated 07] to view an electronic PDF version of this very book. Several design elements that are often used in text-visualization tools are visible in this image. The main view shows the document content (text and images) in full detail (a). The level of focus here is a single page. The document context of the page in focus, consisting of the neighboring pages, is shown by means of a set of thumbnails (b), which are essentially downscaled versions of the renderings of the actual pages. The thumbnail of the page in focus is shown outlined in red. This context-and-focus visualization lets the user see all the details on the page in focus and also examine the context of the information in focus via the thumbnails. Navigation in the document can be done both linearly, e.g., by scrolling the main or thumbnail views, but also in a random-access fashion, e.g., by clicking on the page of in-



Figure 11.37. Visualization of an electronic (PDF) version of this book in the Adobe Acrobat system. Four design elements are emphasized. (a) The document's detailed content. (b) A page-level overview. (c) The document structure. (d) Annotation metadata.

terest in an overview displaying all thumbnails together. The structure view (c) shows the hierarchical, tree-like structure of the document, consisting of several levels of containment: pages, paragraphs and images, embedded objects, lines of text, down to the individual characters. This view is basically a browser for all syntactic elements in a PDF document and helps answer several technical questions about these elements. Finally, annotation views (d) can be used to both create and display user annotations on the document. Two such annotations are shown in Figure 11.37: a graphical red mark drawn by a user and a deleted piece of text, marked in blue, both with side boxes displaying annotation comments, author, and date. The annotation view allows one to create and visualize metadata that supports tasks such as collaborative document creation and review.

The main power of the text visualization described here resides in its simplicity. All views use simple and familiar two-dimensional layout and mapping techniques, such as the direct rendering of the document pages, at full or diminished size, and the tree-browser metaphor. The semantics of colors are also very intuitive. These represent either actual data in the document or user choices in the annotation process. Combined with simple navigation and interaction, these techniques can be quickly learned and used by a wide range of users.

11.6.2 Visualizing Program Code

In addition to plain narrative text, many other types of text documents exist. An important example in infovis is *source code*, written by humans but essentially targeted to be read and interpreted by computer tools. Source code is the fundamental asset of the software industry. "Our civilization runs on software," said Bjarne Stroustrup, the creator of C++, the most widely used programming language nowadays [Stroustrup 04]. Efficiently understanding source code is, hence, an important problem in software engineering. Modern systems have increasingly large sizes as measured in lines of code (LoC). New software components and libraries are created every day and distributed for use, while old ones are continuously modified. New programming languages and methodologies emerge, so that software systems are often written using a combination of these. Developer team composition also changes dynamically, with new people joining existing projects and people familiar with the software leaving. Given this situation, it is not surprising that a major part of the effort invested in software development is dedicated to understanding source code. Studies over 20 years, from Standish to Corbi, show that understanding software code accounts for more than half the development effort [Standish 84, Corbi 99].

Given this high prominence and complexity of source code in the software industry, it is natural to consider how visualization can aid its comprehension. Source code has several particular properties, including the following:

- Exact: Source code is written in programming languages that have strictly defined grammars with nonambiguous semantics.
- Large-scale: The source code of modern software systems has tens or thousands up to millions of lines of code.
- Relational: Source code contains many kinds of relations, such as the types of variables, members and parents of classes, dependencies of packages, clients of services, and interfaces of modules.
- Hierarchical: Source code contains many types of hierarchies, such as the package-file-class-method-statement hierarchy or hierarchies of data structures.

• Heavily attributed: Source code entities have many attributes that express their semantics, such as access rights for interface members, comments that decorate specific statements, and signatures of functions.

Visualizing software source code is at the crossroads of software and document visualization. On one hand, the targets of such visualizations are defined by the software engineering context. On the other hand, source code is typically written and maintained by humans as text documents. Hence, it is reasonable to consider visualizing source code using the actual layout of the code text. However, displaying the text itself does not scale to more than a few hundred lines of code. If one is interested in discovering and correlating facts spread over a large code base of several tens of thousands of lines or more, we need a visualization capable of displaying all this code at the same time.

This can be achieved by using the same text-mapping method as in the table lens (see Section 11.3) and document thumbnails (see Section 11.6.1) visualizations. The principle is to "zoom out" and reduce each line of code to one pixel line, keeping the same line layout. This allows us to display several files containing tens of thousands of lines of code in total on a single screen. The zoom level is now too small to show actual program text. Instead, the pixel lines can be colored to depict various attributes of interest of the source code.

Figure 11.38 shows a C source code visualization based on the previous model. This visualization is constructed with SeeSoft, one of the first tools to use the technique of mapping text lines to pixel lines [Eick et al. 92]. The image shows several tens of files containing over five thousand lines of code in total. Color shows code age for each line: red shows recently modified lines, while blue shows lines unchanged for a long time. This type of visualization shows several facts about the code base. First, we get an overview of the relative sizes of all files in the project. Second, we quickly locate stable pieces of code that have not been changed for a long time, as well as recently changed code. Let us further make the plausible assumption that code fragments changed nearly simultaneously contain related functionality. By correlating such fragments, we can thus obtain insight in how cross-cutting concerns are distributed over the entire code base.

Figure 11.39 shows the use of the same technique to gain a different type of insight into C++ source code. Just like in the visualization shown in Figure 11.38, the layout of the original source code is used in a zoomed-out fashion. However, instead of mapping every code line to a pixel line, we now map syntactic structures of the target language (C++) to their geometric outlines. The process works as follows. The user selects a number of syntactic structures of interest, such as functions, methods, classes, macros, includes, and conditional



Figure 11.38. Visualization of C source code using the SeeSoft tool. Color shows the code age. Red depicts recently modified code, while blue shows code unchanged for a long time. The smaller window in front shows detail for a region in focus in the form of actual source code text.

and jump statements, and assigns custom colors to them. For every such structure present in the source code, its outline is computed. This is a shape identical to what one would see in a text editor when selecting the text contained in the respective structure. Every outline is next rendered using a generalization of the shaded cushions used for depicting treemaps (see Section 11.4.1), colored with the color of the respective syntactic construct.

The source code visualized in Figure 11.39 is part of the VTK class library [Schroeder et al. 06]. Each column depicts a separate file. In this example, the leftmost column shows one source file, whereas the other columns show all headers included by this file. Using this technique, we can discover several facts. The visual nesting of the shaded cushions, combined with the cushion colors, shows the nesting of the syntax structures. For example, in the lower part of the leftmost file, we detect a complex code fragment consisting of conditional (cyan) and iterative (light purple) structures nested several levels deep within a method (yellow). The headers (all columns except the leftmost one) have a different composition. In each header, we notice a similar comment block (green) at the beginning, followed by one large class declaration (orange). After this declaration, several short methods (yellow) are present. Closer inspection



Figure 11.39. Visualization of C++ source code using shaded cushions. Color shows the occurrence of selected construct types. The cushion luminance profiles emphasize the syntactic nesting of structures.

reveals that these are inline methods of the previous class. The large number of green lines contained in the class declaration indicate that the headers are generously commented. We see no tall shaded cushion contained within the class declarations, which indicates that there are no complex inline methods or nested classes declared within such a class scope. Finally, constructs that can indicate potential bad coding style, such as C macros, are colored red. This lets us see that there are few macros within the considered code, with the exception of a relatively large macro block concentrated in the class declaration contained in the third header, i.e., fourth column, from left.

The source-code visualizations discussed here are, together with the table lens and cushion treemap techniques, an instance of the dense pixel display method. The main advantages of showing source code in this way is the high scalability of the method and its intuitiveness given by using the original code layout. However, in contrast to the graph-based visualizations of source code discussed in Section 11.4.2, relations such as dependencies between code elements are not explicitly shown. In practice, a combination of dense pixel displays for showing code details and graph-based methods to show relational information can be a highly effective solution to the quest of understanding source code.

11.6.3 Visualizing Evolving Documents

Text documents are not immutable. Documents are continuously changed, either by individuals working in teams or by automated computer tools. Getting insight into the *evolution* of a text document can sometimes be as important, or even more important, than understanding the information contained in the latest version of that document. Consider again the example of the set of text documents represented by all source code files in a software project. These documents are typically organized in a hierarchical fashion as files in folders. For a medium or large project, there are thousands of files containing millions of lines of code, continuously modified by tens of programmers over periods of many years.

For such a code base, several questions arise in the software industry in practice:

- How can we get insight into the overall structure?
- How can we see changes undergone by a specific document?
- How can we see who changed what?
- How can we see how or whether changes to different documents relate with each other?
- How can we see whether there is a trend in the performed changes, and if this trend is positive or negative?

These questions are typical infovis questions. They cannot be answered by a single, precise query, and even if this were possible, the answer is so informationrich that it justifies the need for a visual presentation. Answering such questions efficiently and accurately is important for the software industry. Software is modified as part of four types of maintenance activities: perfective (improving the product), corrective (removing bugs), preventive (change to prevent foreseen problems), and adaptive (changing environments, e.g., porting to another platform). The costs of maintenance have steadily increased in the last three decades from a mere 30% to more than 80% of total software development costs [Pfleeger et al. 05]. Of the maintenance costs, at least half are dedicated to the process of understanding the software code base [Standish 84, Corbi 99].

Software evolution visualization methods are an increasingly popular answer to the questions and problems. The main goal of these methods is to capture, in a visual presentation, the dynamics of the changes undergone by software as it evolves in time. Given its dynamic aspects, it is tempting to consider visualizing software evolution in terms of an animation of the source code content, structure, and metadata. However, even small-scale projects contain such a large number of data elements and changes that an animation becomes too complex to follow. A more effective way to convey the evolution dynamics is to map the time axis to a spatial dimension, so that the evolution can be graphed using appropriate layouts.

How can we visualize the evolution of a software project? First, we must make several choices as to what the unit of evolution is, based on the task and goals to be achieved. For example, in a software project, these units can range from specific lines of code, functions, or files, to the complete set of files of the entire project. After the unit of evolution have been chosen, we must define what we consider to be a *change*. For example, if our unit of interest is a file then we can say it has changed whenever an editing operation modifies its contents. However, this can produce a large set of change events, of which not all have the same importance. If we are interested in high-level modifications, such as the removal of bugs from code or additions of new features, then only a subset of the file content modifications will be perceived as actual change events. Next, we must decide how to *measure* change. Several possibilities are available here, ranging from a boolean measure (the file has been changed or not) to a continuous change metric (a certain percentage value of the file has changed). Besides the line or file level, we can measure the change of different aspects of software, such as structure, object-oriented design quality, or maintainability. To do this, we must first map these aspects to measurable quantities called *software metrics* [Lanza and Marinescu 06], followed by a measurement of the metrics' changes. Again, the right choice here is determined by the task at hand.

After the unit of change, change definition, and change metric have been established, the actual visualization must be designed. The challenge here is to find the best visual mapping of change units and change values that effectively and scalably conveys insight into the software evolution questions. Just as for the other information visualization cases discussed in the earlier sections, a good



Figure 11.40. Visualization of the evolution of the VTK software project. Files are shown as horizontal pixel strips colored by file type. File strips are stacked on the vertical axis in the order they appear in the directories. Yellow dots indicate the file modification events.

answer is a combination of understanding of the task and user group, intuitive and scalable visual representations, and simple but effective user interaction.

One possibility to visualize software evolution is shown in Figure 11.40. This visualization depicts the evolution of the VTK code base [Schroeder et al. 06] during the period from 1994 to 2001. The code base contains more than 2700 files modified by 41 developers, also called *authors*. The raw data for visualization is acquired by analyzing the Concurrent Versions System (CVS) software repository containing the VTK code project using the freely available visualization tool CVSgrab [Voinea 12]. The visualization in Figure 11.40 has a simple structure. A two-dimensional layout is used, where the x-axis represents the time during which the code has changed, and the y-axis the files in the project. Every file in the code base is mapped to a horizontal pixel line, partitioned in several segments. These pixel stripes can be stacked in several orders along the y-axis. In Figure 11.40, the order follows a depth-first traversal of the code base. Hence, pixel strips close along the y-axis correspond to files situated at a small distance in terms of their directory paths.

Each segment of a given file strip represents the file between two consecutive modifications, or what in the terminology of software repositories is called a file *version*. The color of each file strip, or each segment, shows an attribute of the respective file or file version. In Figure 11.40, each file strip is colored to show its type, as indicated by the file extension in the color legend below the image. The pixels separating consecutive file segments are colored in yellow. These pixels indicate the location in time of the file modification events, or *commit* events in the terminology of software repositories. Along the left side of the main view, a metric bar shows the project activity, measured as number of modifications per file, encoded using a rainbow colormap. A different metric bar is shown atop of the main view, displaying the 1994–2001 timeline using a ruler metaphor and the so-called *release* dates when new software releases have been made available to the public. The releases are displayed by rendering shaded cushions with different hues over the intervals between consecutive releases.

Analyzing the project structure. This image tells us several facts about the evolution and composition of the VTK code base. Looking at the colors, we see that the VTK code base consists of roughly 40% Python files, 40% C and C++ source files, 15% C/C++ headers, and a small number of files of other types. We also see two large compact groups of Python files that have been committed at almost the same moments and are close along the y-axis. Closer inspection reveals that these are Python examples, which have been committed in bulk to the repository after being presumably developed offline. Looking at the horizontal release bar at the image top, we find that no system release was performed before 1996, even though the repository exhibits activity since 1994. The release frequency after 1996 shows at least one release per year with no clear increasing or decreasing trend, which suggests a stable project and development process. The 1996 release also coincides with the introduction of the first large amount of Python code in the repository. Looking at the vertical activity bar and the commit yellow dots, we notice that the Python files are among the least modified, even though they come in large numbers. In contrast, C and C++ sources show a high activity, which suggests intense maintenance activity.

Analyzing activity. Figure 11.41 shows a different visualization scenario. Here, the files have been sorted along the *y*-axis in decreasing order of activity, as outlined also by the colors in the vertical activity metric bar. Each file version is colored to show the ID of the author who committed that version to the repository, i.e., who was responsible for the respective file changes. This color mapping lets us quickly discover who were the most active authors. The respective authors



time line

Figure 11.41. Visualization of author contributions in the VTK software project. The file versions are colored by the author who modified them. File strips are stacked on the vertical axis in decreasing order of activity, with the most modified files shown at the top.

are listed in the color legend below the image. We discover a correlation between the authors and the project structure and evolution. During the first third of the monitored period, until roughly one year after the first release, *schroede* (purple) was clearly the main contributor. His activity gets gradually interleaved with that of *martink* (orange). Starting from the second half of the project, and especially during the last third of the time line, *will* and *lorensen* (green) also become important contributors.¹⁸ Vertical color patterns, such as the one ascribed to *hoffman* (blue), indicate project-wide changes executed by the same person at the same time. These are typical signs for important code refactoring or code beautification activities, such as a change in the copyright notice contained in all source files.

¹⁸It is interesting to consider these findings in the light of the names of the three main authors of the VTK toolkit: Will Schroeder, Ken Martin, and Bill Lorensen.

Analyzing growth. Figure 11.42 shows a different type of analysis. Here, the considered software repository contains an industrial project maintained by 32 developers over the period 2007–2013. The repository contains 27678 files of which 9925 files are written in C#, the main development language for this project. The files are now sorted vertically on creation date, with oldest files placed at the bottom of Figure 11.42(a) and newest files at top. The emerging envelope curve shows an exponential growth. Assuming this trend persists, this is a sign for possible maintenance challenges and need for additional maintenance workforce in the near future. File revisions are colored by author ID, similarly to Figure 11.41. Figure 11.42(b) shows an aggregated view of the changes. For each month during the considered time period, a histogram displays the relative numbers of file revisions committed by all authors to the repository. Histogram segments are colored by author ID. All histograms are scaled so that their respective heights allow comparing the total number of file commits for all months. The resulting visualization shows a number of variable-width bands evolving in time, one band per author. The total thickness of the band set at a point in time indicates the activity intensity. We see, for instance, two peaks in the end months of 2010 and 2012, which indicate high-activity periods. The relative thickness of an author's band indicates the evolving contribution amount of that author. We see that the "light blue" has significant contributions to the overall project for its entire duration. Since end 2010, a second important author emerges—shown by the thick pink band. The same insight can be obtained by looking at the colors in Figure 11.42(a). However, the aggregated visualization shows this information more compactly and effectively.

The aggregated display of histogram-based metrics over a set of time moments is a popular technique for showing the evolution of a distribution over time. The same technique, called *theme rivers*, is used in a different context to show the evolution of topics of interest in a set of documents over time [Havre et al. 02].

Visualizing quality metrics. An immediate question asked by stakeholders in software maintenance is whether there are more factors indicating potential maintenance problems besides the code size increase discovered using the visualization in Figure 11.42. One way to answer this is to study the dynamics additional metrics. Figure 11.43 shows two such metrics—average size of a source code file in lines-of-code (a) and average complexity of a C# method, measured by the well-known software engineering cyclomatic metric [Lanza and Marinescu 06] (b). Since these are simple scalar functions of time, we display them using classical bar charts, showing the evolution of these metrics in time. For each chart, we also display a histogram (in the insets) showing the number of file revisions,



Figure 11.42. Visualization of growth and author contributions in a software repository.

for the entire project duration, having specific values of code size and complexity. This is done by dividing the ranges of these metrics in equal-size intervals. Each interval is shown by a color-coded icon using a rainbow colormap. For each interval, we show a horizontal bar indicating he number of file revisions taking metric values in that interval.

Figure 11.43(a) shows that the average file size does not sharply increase over the project duration, but fluctuates around 100 lines of code per file. Similarly, Figure 11.43(b) shows that the average complexity for a method is stable around the value 2, and actually has a decreasing tendency. Both file size and code complexity histograms show a monotonic decrease of number of files and average method complexity with file size, respectively. Correlating these findings with those from Figure 11.42, we can conclude that, although the amount of code increases in time, the average difficulty of maintaining *individual* code components such as functions and files stays constant. As such, an increase in maintenance effort will most likely come from newly added files rather than from



Figure 11.43. Visualization of code quality metrics evolution over time.

existing files. This is a better situation than if both overall code size, and size and complexity of the average code fragments, would increase, in which case maintenance effort would increase in line with the worsening quality of the average code fragments.

Even though the previous findings are not exhaustive, they illustrate well the power of visualization. Obtaining the insight described previously about the evolution of the two presented repositories took less than ten minutes per repository, and involved studying two images and no complex user interaction or parameter setting. Obtaining a similar level of insight into the same repositories would have clearly taken considerably longer using classical methods, such as browsing the repository via text-based web clients such as ViewVC [ViewVC 07] or Tortoise [TortoiseSVN 07]. These observations about the effectiveness of visual analysis in terms of quickly discovering interesting facts in large datasets hold also for the table lens visualization (see Section 11.3), the map-of-the market and file system treemap visualizations (see Section 11.4.1), and the dense pixel source code visualizations (see Section 11.6.2). Visualizations that focus on relational data, such as the various graph and tree layouts described earlier, are arguably less effective. They take more time to configure and interpret the results, and are less scalable. All in all, this outlines once more the difficulty of efficiently and effectively visualizing relational data, which is one of the grand infovis challenges.

11.7 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have provided a succinct and inherently limited incursion in the field of information visualization, or infovis. Given the explosion of data sources in the modern society, infovis is a rapidly growing field where applications and techniques emerge literally on a daily basis. Infovis inherits the same main goal from scientific visualization of providing insight to users into complex data. Yet, the infovis field is confronted with a number of specific challenges: The task of making abstract data visible, the challenge of high dimensionality, a richer set of attributes including noninterpolable types such as text and relations, and the quest for effective interaction mechanisms with the information space. Last but not least, many end users of infovis applications are not engineers or scientists who have a formal mathematical training, but individuals who require insight in an intuitive, easy-to-digest manner, and want fast and clear answers to their domain-specific problems.

As already mentioned, infovis is an extremely large field, and this chapter can only give a narrow overview of its developments. There are several good books on information-visualization topics, ranging from more theoretical works on human perception and cognition to more practical ones that overview several types of information visualization applications.

For a good start in exploring the various facets and applications of information visualization, the book by Card, Shneiderman, and Mackinlay [Card et al. 99] is a must. This book presents a collection of articles that discuss many aspects of the concrete use of infovis in various application areas, and also an overview of the main design elements of the infovis exploration process and applications.

A different, but equally useful reference is the book by Colin Ware on perception for design of infovis applications [Ware 04]. In this book, many issues related to the way humans perceive information by means of structure, color, shape, and other visual attributes are discussed. This material can help considerably in the process of choosing the right type of visual encoding and interaction techniques when designing an infovis application.

A recent book in the field by Robert Spence also targets the task of teaching how to design effective information-visualization applications [Spence 07]. To help readers understand the various, often subtle, issues that influence the effectiveness of an infovis application, a large set of applications are discussed in the text, along with a number of videos that illustrate these applications in action. This book is especially well suited as a teaching material for undergraduate and master's level courses in a wide range of study directions, as it assumes only a minimal mathematical background.

For guidelines and examples on how to design a good graphical display for visualizing information, we refer to the classic books by Tufte [Tufte 90, Tufte 97, Tufte 01], Bertin [Bertin 83], and Cleveland [Cleveland 85]. These books contain a wealth of information, in particular elements of graphics design, that can be directly used by the practitioner in the fields of infovis and scivis in the design of effective visualizations. An interesting element related to this field is the work of Mackinlay on the automated design of visualizations [Mackinlay 86].

For the technical topics of graph layout and drawing, a comprehensive starting point is the classic book by Di Battista et al. [Di Battista et al. 99]. This book covers both the theory and implementation of a large number of graph-drawing techniques. From a more practical perspective, the book edited by Jünger and Mutzel [Jünger and Mutzel 03] provides a comprehensive review of graph layout and graph-drawing software tools, and is a good guide for the practitioner in the field who is interested in weighing the pros and cons of using one of the many graph-drawing software systems. A survey of graph-visualization techniques from an information visualization perspective is provided by Herman et al. [Herman et al. 00].

To get acquainted with the extensive research in the graph-drawing area, one of the best ways is to browse the proceedings of the International Symposium of Graph Drawing, which have been published in Springer's series of Lecture Notes in Computer Science since 1992.

Software visualization is one of the fastest growing and most diverse branches of information visualization. For the readers interested in exploring the field of software visualization, a good starting point is the book by Diehl [Diehl 04], which presents an overview of several established techniques in software visualization such as source code visualization, algorithm animation, and the use of graphs in software visualization. In a recently published second book [Diehl 07], Diehl further explores the field of software visualization by presenting newly emerging topics such as visualizing the behavior and evolution of software systems. This book provides a comprehensive overview of the state-of-the-art in software visualization at the current moment.

As a final word: Just as for scientific visualization, the best way to learn and understand the theory and techniques behind infovis is to apply them, first as a user of the many software tools available, and next as a developer of existing or new techniques. Only this path, where one combines the roles of critical end user and dedicated application developer, can make one fully understand the real problems, challenges, and the true meaning of the phrase "effective solution" in visualization.

Chapter 12

Conclusion

W^E have arrived at the end of our incursion into the field of data visualization. In the previous eleven chapters, we have presented a number of the most important theoretical and practical ingredients involved in the design of visualization methods and applications. As we have seen, designing an efficient and effective data visualization application is a complex process. This process involves representing the data of interest, processing the data to extract relevant information for the problem at hand, designing a mapping of this information to a visual representation, rendering this representation, and combining all this functionality in an easy-to-use application.

Visualization is a highly dynamic field. As the complexity, diversity, and size of datasets generated by current applications increase, new methods and tools emerge every year at a high pace in order to provide more effective and efficient ways to understand such datasets. As these methods and tools mature and become better known by the public, they are applied to an increasing range of application domains and eventually find their way into established commercial products. If we look at the different subfields of visualization, such as scientific visualization, medical visualization, information visualization, and software visualization, to name just those that have been discussed in this book, we see a number of evolution trends and patterns.

Scientific visualization. Scientific visualization (scivis) is arguably the oldest and most mature branch of data visualization. It is based on a firm mathematical foundation, has its roots in the experiments done in engineering and physics, and has taken advantage of extensive field testing in many types of applications.

The complex simulation applications, in conjunction with which scivis has been developed and has grown as a field, have triggered the development of highly scalable data manipulation and rendering techniques. New scivis methods and techniques keep being created in response to the generation of new types of data, such as produced by diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) scanning techniques. There exist also a number of old problems, such as the visualization of timedependent, three-dimensional flow fields, that are not yet considered to be fully solved. Although new scivis methods emerge on a constant basis, it is fair to say that a considerable number of techniques concerning visualizing scalar, vector, and tensor fields have been designed and tested to a level satisfactory for direct and efficient application in practice. This is reflected in the large number of stable and established scivis software systems, both commercial and open source (see the appendix). For further information on the latest advances in scientific visualization, we recommend the reader consult the several available books that provide more specialized overviews of the state-of-the-art of the field [Nielson et al. 06, Post et al. 03a, Hansen and Johnson 05], as well as the collection of proceedings of the IEEE Visualization conference.

Information visualization. Information visualization (infovis) shows a somewhat different picture. Infovis is a newer field, roughly half the age of scivis. Although the last 15 years have witnessed many developments in infovis, there are still several unsolved problems. It can be argued that infovis is, in some sense, a broader field than scivis, as it deals with data of more diverse structure and attribute types. Due to this diversity, infovis data cannot be easily fit into a uniform mathematical framework such as in the case of scivis. Infovis applications are also more diverse than scivis ones, and target a wider public that goes beyond the engineering and scientific audiences. But probably the most difficult and challenging aspect of infovis is the need to make inherently abstract, multidimensional data entities visible such that insight is effectively conveyed to the user. Many techniques for visual data representation and interaction are already widely accepted and used in infovis applications, such as tree and graph layouts, treemaps, scatter and parallel coordinate plots, and focus-and-context navigation techniques. Along with these, we witness a sustained stream of new techniques for visual data representation and interaction being created in this field. Given the growing interest and need for understanding multidimensional abstract datasets, we expect many additional techniques to be created and refined in the coming years. For additional information, we refer to the collection of proceedings of the IEEE Infovis conference.

Synergies and challenges. Scientific and information visualization are not two clearly separate branches of the same field that evolve in parallel. In recent years, an increasing number of researchers have shown interest in applying infovis techniques and principles to the design of applications targeting scivis data. Many infovis techniques become interesting in a scivis context in situations when one needs to visualize multidimensional datasets, or in cases when the actual spatial data distribution is less important than understanding the relations between the data values themselves. Moreover, studies performed originally in an infovis context on perceptual issues such as the usage of color, shape, and texture to convey data, or interaction techniques for large information spaces, are immediately relevant in the context of designing effective scivis applications. Conversely, scivis techniques have also been applied in the context of infovis applications. An example is a number of hierarchical layout methods for very large graphs based on numerical techniques such as algebraic multigrid [Harel and Koren 00, Koren et al. 03] that were originally developed in the context of accelerating numerical solvers for partial differential equations for typical scientific simulations [Trottenberg et al. 01, Griebel and Schweitzer 06]. We foresee an increasing number of cross fertilizations between the two fields of visualization, driven by the need to visually represent and navigate increasingly complex, abstract, and large datasets coming from multidisciplinary applications.

However, despite all developments in the field of visualization, or maybe just because of the rapid proliferation of a wide variety of visualization techniques and applications, there exist also signals that such developments need to be pursued with care and without forgetting the main goals and purpose of the visualization field. A strong message was sent in 2004 by one of the pioneers of the field in a paper entitled "The Death of Visualization—Can It Survive Without Customers?" [Lorensen 04]. The title conveys the main message quite clearly: The visualization discipline needs to focus on providing solutions to concrete problems raised by customers in the field. This requires detailed understanding of the various specifics of the customer, such as the problems to be solved, accepted ways of working, and the complementary techniques beyond the scope of visualization that are to be integrated to yield a complete, usable solution. Without this tight and dynamic feedback from the customers, there may be a risk for visualization to become an academic discipline with a diminished impact in the real world. Similar reflections appeared in the context of the actual effectiveness of visualization used in the context of software engineering [Reiss 05].

The way forward. Given the previous messages, what is a good path for visualization? A number of answers to this question are presented by another pioneer in the field in his recent paper, "The Value of Visualization" [van Wijk 05].¹ We attempt below to summarize the main points outlined in the above messages.

Efficiency and effectiveness: It is increasingly acknowledged that there is a growing gap between the providers (researchers) and the consumers (users) in the field. Following an economical model, a visualization method, technique, or tool is considered to be useful if it is *effective*, i.e., it answers a concrete question or helps solving a concrete problem of a given user, and *efficient*, i.e., it does so with a limited usage of resources, including but not limited to computational power, cost of equipment, and time spent by the user. Although not a direct answer, this provides a set of guidelines for assessing the value of a visualization technique or tool, whether existing or newly developed, as well as of an image produced by such a tool or technique. If using the technique or tool leads to taking decisions that *demonstrably* save costs and/or lead to increased profits, then the respective technique or tool is useful.

Measuring value: Since visualization is to be effective and efficient, we need ways to measure this. This implies that we must know a number of elements. First and foremost, we must be able to qualitatively, but also quantitatively, describe the problem to be solved or questions to be answered. This involves having a good amount of domain-specific knowledge, or working together with domain experts [Lorensen 04, van Wijk 05]. Second, we must be able to quantify the cost of using a certain visualization method. Measuring the actual computational resources involved is relatively easy and can be done by using various benchmarks involving processor speed, memory, and throughput. Measuring the user effort needed to gain a certain amount of insight is more difficult, and can be reliably done only by performing extensive user studies. However difficult and time-consuming, the need for such user studies at all points of the visualization process (requirement gathering, method design, testing, validation, and concrete usage) is increasingly viewed as a mandatory component of the design of a good visualization. However, performing user studies is not a guarantee for acknowledging success. Designing a truly significant user study is hard, time-consuming, and expensive. Second, typical user studies only measure certain facets of a visualization application, such as the relative efficiency or effectiveness of a given visualization technique. Measuring the end-to-end efficiency or effectiveness of embedding visualization solutions in a given workflow requires longitudinal studies that take years or more. To date, probably the best (indirect) way to measure

 $^{^{1}}$ An update of this message is given, by the same author, in the capstone presentation of the IEEE Visualization 2013 conference, available online at http://vimeo.com/80334651.

the success of a given visualization technique is by counting how many users, or application developers, have adopted the respective technique. Recent salient examples hereof, to mention just a few, are treemaps (Section 11.4.1) and bundled edge layouts (Section 11.4.2).

Integration: Visualization can only become accepted when developed and deployed for, and together with, its users. On one hand, this involves working together with domain experts and end users to design and validate the proposed techniques and tools. On the other hand, such visualization techniques and tools rarely work only by themselves. Integration of visualization methods, either for presentation or exploration needs, with complementary methods used for automated analysis such as data and pattern mining, can provide much stronger solutions than using either of these methods in isolation. This situation is reflected by the recent developments in the new field of *visual analytics*, which advocates the combination of visualization and data-mining techniques for integrated problem solving [Thomas and Cook 05]. Implicitly, visual analytics acknowledges the fact that a "pure" visualization application is, by itself, not a solution to a set of complex questions involving intricate processes and workflows, and large datasets. Rather, visualization can be seen as the binding agent that combines data analysis operations and techniques in an easy-to-use, scalable, and insightful end solution. Identical signals are voiced, for instance, by the program comprehension community [Koschke 03].

Explorers vs. practitioners: There is a subtle, but crucial, difference between applying proven visualization solutions and designing new solutions. This book mainly focuses on the former: Given a dataset whose structure captures a wellunderstood problems, we focus on how the data can be depicted in the best way so that end users grasp the phenomenon behind the actual measurement. However, the space of existing datasets (and associated problems and types of users) grows literally daily. As such, an open challenge is how to transfer the design and implementation knowledge acquired for *existing* problems and cases to newly emerging problems. This is a tricky and challenging path, as similarities between the new and the known many be sometimes genuine but other times superficial. Finding out where existing tools and techniques can apply directly, where they need adaptation, and where fundamentally new "custom point" solutions are required, is probably one of the greatest challenges in designing successful visualizations. To address this challenge, the four-layered approach of Munzner provides a starting point [Munzner 09]: (a) Characterize the task and data in the parlance of the problem domain; (b) abstract the previous in operations and

data types (much like when designing a software application); (c) design visual encoding and interaction techniques to map the data and tasks, respectively; and (d) design algorithms that implement the previous techniques efficiently and scalably.

Specialists vs. generalists: Who are the end users of visualization applications? Unfortunately, this question is rarely easy to answer, even for the same task, problem, and dataset. Users can range between savvy professionals who understand (the implications of), e.g., data interpolation, multiple dimensions, and data approximations, such as described in Chapter 3, and professionals who may have a deep understanding or their business domain but (very) limited mathematical or computer science backgrounds. Obviously, designing effective visualizations for these two user classes should take different paths. However, at some point, we (and the users too) should acknowledge that the current problems and datasets that we try to approach by visualization are inherently *complex*. As such, a certain amount of training to master the sophistication of visualization tools is simply unavoidable, if we truly want these tools to deliver us the insights captured by our datasets. Salient examples hereof are given by the multivariate visualizations discussed in Section 11.5. Deciding whether the price to pay for such training is worth it, is part of the first step in the assessing of value versus price outlined above.

As a last word, we conclude by repeating our statements from Section 1.3 of the introduction: Visualization is a dynamic, growing field located at the crossroads of design, technology, science, and art. We consider our goal to be accomplished if we have, with the material presented in this book, awakened the interest, taste, and ability of the reader to further explore this exciting domain by studying the existing literature, but, first and foremost, by trying to use and design visualization methods and tools to solve concrete existing and upcoming problems.

Appendix

Visualization Software

O^{NE} important element of the five-dimensional classification model for visualizations presented in Section 4.3 was the *medium*, or type of drawing canvas that the rendering takes place on. There are many examples of early visualizations that use paper as the medium [Spence 07]. Modern architectural blueprints can also be seen as visualizations that use a printed medium. Yet, by far the largest class of visualization applications described in this book have one thing in common: they use the computer screen as a medium.

Using the computer to do data visualization is a natural choice from several points of view. First, many visualization scenarios are, by their very nature, *explorative*. This makes interactive visualization tools the best instruments for such cases. Second, the datasets to visualize usually come in electronic form. Third, the large amounts of data, or dynamically changing datasets, make computer-based visualization tools again the natural choice.

In this appendix, we provide an overview of a number of issues concerning visualization software. First, we discuss how visualization software can be classified from an architectural perspective (Section A.1). Next, we provide a list of several representative visualization systems for scientific, imaging, and information visualization data, in order to illustrate the various flavors of systems available to practitioners.

A.1 Taxonomies of Visualization Systems

Visualization software tools are central to creating successful visualizations. Besides the provided functionality, such systems have also to cover several nonfunctional requirements in order to be effective. Relevant attributes in the latter group include the following:

- Efficiency: The software should produce visualizations quickly. This can mean minutes for some applications, but fractions of a second for others, such as interactive applications.
- Scalability: The software should be able to handle large datasets within given performance and resource bounds.
- Ease of use: The software should provide an easy-to-learn-and-use interface for its intended user group.
- Customizability: The software should allow a simple and effective way to customize it for specific tasks, scenarios, problems, or datasets.
- Availability: The software should be available to its intended user group under specific conditions (e.g., license and platform).

Modern visualization applications are complex software systems containing tens or hundreds of thousands of lines of code organized on several layers. With respect to this layered application architecture, the users can have different *roles*. One such classification identifies three roles: end users, application designers, and component developers [Ribarsky et al. 94]. End users are the final customers of a visualization application, and use it to obtain insight into a given dataset, typically by means of customized user interfaces that support domain-specific tasks. Such applications are also known as *turnkey systems*. Application designers construct turnkey systems for the end users, typically by assembling a set of premade software components and providing them with the needed configuration and user-interface elements. Finally, component developers program software components that implement visualization algorithms and datasets, and provide these to application designers as ready-made visualization software packages or libraries.

From this perspective, visualization software can be classified into three classes: libraries, application frameworks, and turnkey systems. Libraries provide application programmer interfaces (APIs) that contain the data types and operations that constitute the basic building blocks of a visualization application, such as the ones presented in Chapter 3. At the other end of the spectrum, turnkey systems provide custom user interfaces, presets, and configurations designed to support specific tasks. Application frameworks fall between these two extremes. They encode a set of fixed domain-specific rules, operations, and

functions as a backbone to which an open set of components can be added. The components use the backbone to interact and provide functionality at a higher level, and in a more compact way, than bare libraries. Several mechanisms exist for adding components to the framework and composing them in. A popular design metaphor presents the components to the application designer in a visual, iconic form. Applications are constructed by interactively assembling these iconic component representations. This allows nonprogrammers to quickly and easily prototype new applications without programming. The AVS, ParaView, and VISSION applications illustrated in Figures 4.6, 4.9, and 4.8 in Chapter 4 are examples of application frameworks.

In the next sections, we give several examples of visualization software systems used in practice. Instead of using an architectural taxonomy into libraries, frameworks, and turnkey systems, we have opted for a domain-centered taxonomy into three classes: general scientific visualization systems (Section A.2), medical and imaging systems (Section A.3), and information visualization systems (Section A.5). Given the size of the field and the rapid rate at which new software is produced, the list of systems presented here is definitely not exhaustive and limited in choice. However, we believe that this list can serve as a useful starting point for the interested reader in search for a given visualization software tool or component.

A.2 Scientific Visualization Software

The systems listed in this section fall in the category of general-purpose *scientific visualization* software. The target of such systems is primarily the visualization of datasets defined as two- and three-dimensional grids of various types with scalar and vector attributes, such as created by scientific simulations or data-acquisition processes. The main application domains targeted are engineering, mechanics (both in research and in the industry), and weather and geosciences. However, some of the systems provide also support for medical imaging, tensor visualization, and information visualization.

The Visualization Toolkit (VTK)

Type:	Class library (written in C++)
Availability:	Open source
Address:	http://www.kitware.com/vtk/

- Description: VTK is a set of class libraries written in C++. Classes come in two main flavors: datasets and algorithms. Dataset classes range from low-level containers, such as lists and arrays, to full-fledged uniform, rectilinear, structured, and unstructured grids. Several hundred algorithm classes provide grid manipulation, slicing, interpolation, contouring, streamlines, image processing, polygonal and volume rendering, and information visualization techniques for graphs and table datasets. VTK is arguably one of the leading data visualization libraries at the moment.
- Utilization: Applications are built by assembling dataset and algorithm class instances into a pipeline. This is done either via the native compiled C++ API or its wrappings in interpreted languages, such as Python, Java, Tcl, and recently also .NET. The basic VTK building blocks offer a wide functionality. Yet, constructing a complete visualization application, and even more so extending VTK with one's own algorithms, requires a fair amount of programming effort and knowledge of the VTK API and its programming paradigms.

MeVisLab

- Type: Turnkey system/application framework
- Availability: Restricted open source (written in C++)
- Address: www.mevislab.de/
- Description: The MeVisLab system can be used as an end-user tool for processing and visualizing scientific datasets. Its main operation mode is very similar to AVS/Express, IRIS Explorer, and SCIRun (described below). A visual editor allows assembling a dataflow network from existing components available in a number of application-specific libraries. Component parameters can be controlled by customized GUIs or by direct interaction in the available 2D and 3D viewers. One of the main strengths of MeVisLab is the huge number of available components, provided by the core software itself, third-party community developers, but also the integration of VTK, ITK, and Open Inventor visualization and graphics libraries within a single framework. As such, MeVisLab's functionality covers extensively scientific visualization, volume/medical visualization, and image processing. Several components, such as volume processing and volume rendering, benefit from optimized GPU implementations.
- Utilization: MeVisLab operates mainly as an end-user system. The application design freedom is slightly smaller than in systems such as AVS/Express or IRIS Explorer, but higher than in ParaView or MayaVi. Carefully designed user interfaces and a comprehensive documentation make the system easy to learn and use, and especially suited for educational, rapid data exploration, or demonstration scenarios. Modules can be added via

a plug-in mechanism, and are actively contributed by the open source community. MeVisLab comes with mainly two license types. The free license offers unrestricted use for research and academic purposes, but a restricted set of features. The paid license is further split into a fullfeature variant for nonprofit organizations, and a full-feature variant for commercial usage.

AVS/Express

- Type: Application framework
- Availability: Commercial
- Address: www.avs.com/solutions/express/
- Description: AVS/Express is an application framework for development of high-end scientific visualization solutions. AVS/Express provides more than 800 visualization building bricks (algorithms) that cover scalar, vector, and tensor visualization on several types of grids, much like VTK. Several extensions of AVS/Express provide support for parallel processing and high-end virtual-reality visualizations. Some extensions also target information visualization (OpenViz toolkit), operational industrial monitoring (the PowerViz toolkit), and scientific presentation graphics (the Gsharp toolkit). A snapshot of the AVS tool in action is shown in Figure 4.8.
- Utilization: Applications are built by assembling premade components into a pipeline, somewhat similar to the VTK paradigm. This can be done programmatically in C or C++, or interactively, via a point-and-click visual application designer. The visual editor allows rapid application prototyping, user interface construction, and application steering. The AVS/Express components are less fine-grained than VTK classes, for example.

IRIS Explorer

- Type: Application framework
- Availability: Commercial
- Address: http://www.nag.co.uk/welcome_iec.asp
- Description: IRIS Explorer is an application framework for development of high-end scientific visualization solutions. Its user group and philosophy is quite similar to AVS/Express. The provided visualization functionality covers application domains as varied as life sciences, chemistry, medical imaging, geology, financial modeling, and aerospace engineering. IRIS Explorer builds its versatility on top of several major software components, such as the well-known Open Inventor 3D and ImageVision

graphics libraries and the Numerical Algorithms Group (NAG) numerical libraries. IRIS Explorer is particularly attractive for users who wish to combine numerical simulation code with visualization facilities.

Utilization: IRIS Explorer provides a visual application builder based on a dataflow application architecture, much like AVS/Express. Modules can be developed in C, C++, and FORTRAN, but also in a proprietary scripted language called SHAPE, which offers an easier way to manipulate complex *n*-dimensional datasets. Modules are next visually assembled in so-called maps, which are essentially dataflow networks.

SCIRun

Type: Application framework

Availability: Open source

Address: http://software.sci.utah.edu/scirun.html

- Description: SCIRun is an application framework developed for the creation of scientific visualization applications. SCIRun is very similar in aim and scope to AVS/Express. It provides a set of modules for scientific data visualization that can be connected into so-called networks, following a dataflow application architecture. SCIRun has been used to construct visualization applications for several domains such as finite element numerical simulations, (bio)medical imaging, and computational steering.
- Utilization: Similar to AVS/Express, SCIRun allows applications to be constructed visually, by editing the dataflow network, or programmatically. Once constructed, applications can be packaged into so-called PowerApps. These are dataflow networks provided with custom user interfaces into turnkey applications that facilitate specific exploration scenarios. Several such PowerApps are available for different domains, such as segmentation (Seg3D), tensor visualization (BioTensor), volume visualization (BioImage), and finite element problems (BioFEM). All in all, SCIRun is a mature environment that covers most needs and requirements of the users of a scientific visualization framework.

ParaView

Type:	Turnkey system/application framework
Availability:	Open source
Address:	http://www.paraview.org/
Description:	The ParaView system can be used as an end-user tool for visualiz- ing scientific datasets. The main operations for data filtering, selec- tion, mapping, and rendering are provided, such as slicing, isosurfaces,

streamlines, and interactive viewing. ParaView provides an intuitive and simple graphical user interface that allows one to both prototype a visualization application and set its various parameters interactively. ParaView is built on top of the VTK class library. The user interface layer is written in the Tcl/Tk scripted languages. Most of the illustrations used in this book were created using ParaView, unless otherwise specified in the text.

Utilization: ParaView operates mainly as an end-user system. The application design freedom is considerably less involved, but also easier to learn, than AVS/Express, for example. ParaView makes an excellent system for learning the basics of scientific visualization without having to be a programmer. Only the core VTK functionality is exposed in the user interface. However, developers can add new modules to the ParaView user interface using a mix of Tcl, C++, and XML wrappers.

MayaVi

Type:	Turnkey system/application framework
Availability:	Open source
Address:	http://mayavi.sourceforge.net/
Description:	The MayaVi system is an end-user tool for visualizing scientific datasets. Its architecture, provided functionality, and intended user group are very similar to ParaView's. MayaVi is also built as an interactive front-end on top of the VTK class library. However, in contrast to ParaView, MayaVi uses Python as a scripted (interpreted) language to bind user interface functions to the compiled C++ libraries.
Utilization:	MayaVi operates mainly as an end-user system, similar to ParaView. The user interface is structured differently. A relatively greater emphasis is put on executing operations by writing Python commands at a user prompt than via the user interface itself. The user interface exposes more of the VTK implementation details and is relatively lower-level

more of the VTK implementation details and is relatively lower-level than the one of ParaView. Overall, although MayaVi and ParaView are quite similar in intention, we find ParaView easier to learn and use and more mature than MayaVi.

A.3 Imaging Software

In this section, we list a number of imaging software systems. By "imaging," we refer to several functionalities related to the manipulation and visualization of 2D and 3D image datasets. Such datasets occur frequently in medical practice

as the output of the various scanning technologies, such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Image datasets can contain scalar, vector, and tensor data. Imaging operations cover a wide range of tasks, such as basic data handling and manipulation, basic image processing, image segmentation and registration, shape recognition, image visualization, and volume rendering. Just as for the other types of software systems listed in this appendix, it is not possible to cover all aspects and variants of such systems, so we limit ourselves to a small selection of representative systems.

The Insight Toolkit (ITK)

Type: Class library (written in C++)

Availability: Open source

Address: http://www.itk.org/

- Description: ITK is a set of class libraries written in C++. The main functionality targeted by the ITK toolkit is divided into three categories: image processing, segmentation, and registration. As such, ITK does not provide visualization (rendering) and user interface facilities. However, ITK can be combined with other toolkits, such as VTK, in order to construct complete visualization applications.
- Utilization: The software structure of ITK bears a number of similarities to VTK, which is not surprising given the fact that a large number of common organizations and people have been jointly involved in the development of both toolkits. ITK comes with a considerable amount of documentation in the form of books, courses, online material, examples, and demos. Also, the source code of several medical imaging applications built on top of ITK is available for downloading from the ITK site. However, just as for its older cousin VTK, using ITK to construct an imaging application requires a nonnegligible amount of effort, given the sheer size and complexity of the toolkit APIs.

3D Slicer

Type:	Turnkey system/application framework
Availability:	Open source
Address:	http://www.slicer.org/
Description:	3D Slicer is a freely available, open-source application framework for visualization, registration, segmentation, and quantification of medical data. 3D Slicer supports a wide array of tasks, ranging from the in- vestigation and segmentation of volumetric CT and MRI datasets to

providing the basic mechanisms for more complex applications, such as guiding biopsies and craniotomies in the operating room and diagnostic visualizations. 3D Slicer can handle scalar, vector, and tensor data attributes. In particular, several functions for visualizing diffusion tensor images, such as principal component analysis, tensor color coding, tensor glyphs, and hyperstreamlines are supported. 3D Slicer is supported by a large number of organizations, and is used in a large number of research projects, as well as in clinical studies and actual applications in the medical practice. Several images created with the 3D Slicer tool are shown in Section 7.6.

Utilization: 3D Slicer has an architecture consisting of an end-user front end and a framework that manages a set of application libraries. The front end provides user interfaces and direct mouse-based manipulation of the data, such as picking, probing, and interactive streamline seed placement. The application framework allows one to add new plug-ins in order to provide custom-developed functionality. The 3D Slicer architecture was designed to facilitate adding a wide variety of plug-ins, ranging from standalone binaries (executables and shared libraries) to modules based on the VTK and ITK toolkits and even shell, Tcl, and Python scripts. Although this makes learning the software architecture of 3D Slicer more complex than that of other toolkits such as VTK or ITK, it also makes 3D Slicer more flexible in interfacing with a broad spectrum of third-party software components.

Teem

- Type: Turnkey/library
- Availability: Open source (written in C)
- Address: http://teem.sourceforge.net/
- Description: Teem is a set of coordinated libraries representing, processing, and visualizing scientific raster data. Teem provides functions that support a wide range of operations on *n*-dimensional raster data (uniform grids) of *m*-dimensional attributes. The functions of Teem are provided as a set of standalone executables designed much like UNIX filters, which are parameterized by command-line options. The generic data model of Teem, together with the modular decomposition of operations in terms of several filters, allows many complex operations on image volumes to be specified easily and compactly. The set of basic operations provided by Teem include convolution, slicing, resampling, interpolation, statistics, principal component analysis for tensors, color mapping, volume rendering, and tensor glyph visualizations. Several images created using the Teem software are shown in Section 7.5.
Utilization: Data-processing and visualization tasks are typically written as shell scripts that construct and execute a dataflow pipeline by cascading the Teem basic filters. This is the easiest and most rapid way to use Teem to produce visualizations. If desired, Teem can be also used in terms of libraries providing APIs. Teem is not end-user software. As such, it does not provide user interface or interaction functions present in complete visualization applications. However, its generic, modular, and coherent API design allow such applications to be built on top of it. For example, the SCIRun and 3D Slicer applications integrate the functionality of Teem to provide high-level imaging capabilities.

ImageJ

г	- T 1
Type:	Turnkey

Availability: Open source (written in Java)

Address: http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/

- Description: ImageJ is an application conceived for the easy processing of 2D images. Inspired by classical 2D image manipulation programs, ImageJ offers a wide set of basic image processing operations, such as contrast enhancement, smoothing, sharpening, denoising, edge detection; advanced image processing such as segmentation and manipulating stacks of 2D images, image sequences, or separate channels of the same image; and computing various image statistics and measurements, such as histograms and image calibration. Written in Java, ImageJ is optimized for the efficient processing of large images, using multithreading.
- Utilization: In typical end-user mode, ImageJ offers its processing capabilities via GUIs and a range of direct selection and manipulation tools. Basic functionality is extendable via a relatively easy-to-use plug-in Java interface. As such, hundreds of third-party plug-ins has been developed for ImageJ for both general-purpose image processing but also for specialized manipulation of various types of microscopy, biology, and medical images. Basic operations can be composed in so-called macros by using a built-in scripting language. Given the rich set of available plug-ins, ImageJ is a very competitive alternative to MATLAB for 2D image processing.

Binvox

Type:	Turnkey utility
Availability:	Open source (written in C/C++)
Address:	http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~min/binvox

- Description: Binvox is a set of command-line utilities for the conversion of 3D polygonal meshes to volumetric formats. The main utility of this toolset is *binvox*, a program for converting polygonal meshes in a variety of formats (such as Stereo Lithography (STL), Stanford Polygonal File Format (PLY), Object File Format (OFF), Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML), and Drawing Interchange Format (DXF)) to 3D binary uniform voxel volumes. Conversion works best for closed orientable meshes. The output volumes are available in several formats, such as raw binary, VTK, Heritable Image Processing Format (HIPS), and Mimicking Intelligent Read Assembly (MIRA). Apart from voxelization, the toolset also provides a tool for extracting curve skeletons from binary volumes (thinvox) and a tool for converting between a number of popular mesh formats (meshconv). While the mesh conversion features are less powerful than those provided in related software such as MeshLab, the voxelizer *binvox* offers a very easy to use, robust, and efficiently implemented, way to convert a wide range of 3D meshes to binary volumes up to 1024^3 voxels.
- Utilization: *binvox* is offered as a set of command-line, UNIX-style, utilities that read, process, and write mesh and voxel files. Command-line options are simple and easy to learn and use. As such, these tools can be easily integrated in third-party visualization applications or pipelines. The source code of the toolkit is quite compact, platform independent, and easy to read. This allows one to easily add extra input or output formats and/or integrate it in more complex applications, if needed.

OpenVDB

Type:	Class	library
1/ 1		

Availability: Open source (written in C++)

Address: http://www.openvdb.org/

Description: Open Volumes with Dynamic Topology, or OpenVDB, is a class library that supports the efficient representation and manipulation of very large voxel volumes. Designed in mind for handling very high resolution sparse volumes, OpenVDB provides a set of sophisticated data storage, indexing, and manipulation operations that allow the processing of 3D voxel volumes of thousands of voxels cubed or more. Operations are provided for creating volumes from a variety of mesh and point cloud formats, reading voxel volumes from third-party file formats, and processing volumes via level set operations, computational solid geometry (CSG) operations, mathematical morphology, and surface advection and tracking. Apart from these, operations are also provided for procedurally compositing volumes and computing various differential quantities on volumes (divergence, Laplacian, curl, and distance transforms). Results can be exported to both voxel, point cloud, and mesh representations. Support is also included for processing time-dependent volumes, which allows coding various physical simulations that require volumetric domain representations.

Utilization: The main operation mode of OpenVDB is similar to VTK (described above): Users write the intended volume processing scenario in as a C++ program that calls the required functionality provided by Open-VDB classes. Results can be visualized by a number of viewer components provided in OpenVDB itself, or exported to various point cloud file formats. Similar to VTK, this offers a large freedom in building specialized scenarios, but also requires a non-trivial learning curve. While the current focus of OpenVDB is to provide the lower-lever infrastructure required to build end-user applications for volume processing with a focus on volumetric simulations, and less so for interactive volume visualization and exploration, the evolution of OpenVDB will arguably make it easier to use for more general volume processing and volume visualization tasks.

A.4 Grid Processing Software

In this section, we overview several software systems that address the general task of processing *grids*. Under the grid denomination, we include all discrete representations of spatial domains which are formed by vertices connected by various cell types. Following the domain modeling terminology introduced in Chapter 3, we consider here software tools that process discrete representations of 2D curved surfaces (*mesh processing* tools), unorganized point sets, and uniformly sampled 3D volumes (*voxel processing* tools). Given the wide variety of such tools, the focus is here on tools which implement a comprehensive set of typical operations present in grid processing such as resampling, reconstruction, and filtering, rather than on more specialized tools that focus on a narrower set of operations and/or grid types.

MeshLab

Туре:	Turnkey system
Availability:	Open source (written in C and C++)
Address:	http://meshlab.sourceforge.net/
Description:	MeshLab is a general-purpose turnkey system for the analysis, process- ing, and visualization of 3D polygonal meshes. Data can be imported from mesh files in a variety of formats (such as Stanford (PLY), 3D

Studio (3DS), Alias/Wavefront (OBJ), OFF, X3D, and VRML). Both meshes including vertex and cell data, and unorganized point clouds with no connectivity information can be processed. MeshLab includes a large variety of processing operations, including but not limited to mesh cleaning, repairing, simplification, refinement, smoothing and fairing, and computing quality metrics. For point clouds, several algorithms are provided for normals estimation, surface reconstruction, filtering, and registration. MeshLab is continuously extended with recent reseachgrade algorithms via a plug-in mechanism. This makes MeshLab one of the best starting points for applying and/or comparing recent mesh processing algorithms. However, given the rapid pace of development of such algorithms, not all algorithms included in MeshLab have fully optimized or entirely robust implementations. Also, a certain amount of literature study and training is needed to understand the various parameters of the included algorithms.

Utilization: MeshLab can be used much like a traditional image editor. After loading mesh data from files, users can apply any of the provided algorithms in immediate mode, examine the results in a built-in viewer, and repeat the process if desired. MeshLab does not offer the concept of a computational pipeline, such as present in visualization tools such as MayaVi or ParaView. However, this operation mode fits well the highly interactive nature of many mesh processing scenarios, where the user wants to carefully examine the results of each processing step before deciding how and where (on the mesh) to apply the next step, and which this step should be. The final results can be saved in a variety of mesh file formats, compatible with the largest majority of mesh processing or data visualization software tools.

PCL

- Type: Class library
- Availability: Open source (written in C++)
- Address: http://pointclouds.org
- Description: PCL (the Point Cloud Library) is a class library dedicated to the acquisition, processing, and visualization of point cloud datasets. Its core focus is on supporting point cloud operations related to typical computer vision use-cases, such as the analysis of, and information extraction from, point clouds acquired with 3D scanning devices such as laser scanners or range cameras. However, PCL components can be also very useful for a variety of operations on (large) point clouds in the context of data visualization, such as point cloud cleaning and filtering, normal estimation, spatial search, registration, segmentation, surface reconstruction,

and visualization. Similarly to MeshLab (described above), PCL contains a large set of recent research-grade algorithms, which makes it a valuable resource for the researcher or practitioner interested in testing and/or comparing such algorithms. PCL is designed with scalability in mind, and most of its components can efficiently process point clouds of millions of data points.

Utilization: PCL is a class library, which implies that its users need to program their applications using the provided APIs. Although these are very flexible, learning PCL has a steep curve. The extensive use of nontrivial C++ features and design patterns, and its design that relies on fine-grained components, makes it suitable only for the versed C++ programmer, much like, for example, the Boost C++ library. As its documentation uses a relatively more mathematical presentation angle than typical class libraries, PCL developers should be at least familiar with the main computational geometry concepts and terminology.

CGAL

Type:	Class	library	
Type:	Class	library	

Availability: Open source (written in C++)

Address: http://www.cgal.org

- CGAL (the Computational Geometry Algorithms Library) is a class li-Description: brary that includes a wide set of algorithms dedicated to the processing of point clouds and polygonal and volumetric meshes. In contrast to MeshLab, for example, CGAL focuses on providing lower-level functionality, or building blocks, that can be used in the development of applications that need to process grids. Included components cover virtually all well-known computational geometry algorithms, ranging from simple spatial searches and intersection computations, Delaunay and Voronoi diagram construction in 2D and 3D, alpha shapes, surface reconstruction, up to complex polygon and polyhedral decompositions, mesh refinement, and surface parameterization. The design of the library makes extensive use of advanced C++ features such as templates and traits. This makes it possible to parameterize the provided algorithms in a variety of directions, such as choosing the space to work in, interpolation type, or numerical approximations to use. CGAL comes with high-quality documentation and an extensive example set, and is actively maintained and used by a sizeable community. As such, it is arguably the tool of choice for application developers requiring non-trivial computational geometry functionality.
- Utilization: The main operation mode of PCL is similar to VTK (described above): Users write the intended point cloud processing scenario in as a C++

program that calls the required functionality provided by PCL classes. Results can be visualized by a number of viewer components provided in PCL itself, or exported to various point cloud file formats. Similar to VTK, this offers a large freedom in customizing specialized scenarios, but also requires a non-trivial learning curve.

A.5 Information Visualization Software

Compared to scientific visualization systems, information visualization systems come in a larger variety. There are fewer "generic" systems in this category that can be compared to frameworks such as AVS/Express, SCIRun, or IRIS Explorer. One reason is arguably the higher diversity of the application domains, data types, and end user groups for information visualization systems. Consequently, the selection of information-visualization systems presented next has even fewer pretensions to be exhaustive than our selection of scientific-visualization systems. The considered domains for this selection are graphs and trees, multivariate data, and table data.

The Infovis Toolkit (IVTK)

Type:	Class library/application framework
Availability:	Open source (written in Java)
Address:	http://ivtk.sourceforge.net/
Description:	IVTK is a general-purpose toolkit for developing information-visualiza- tion end-user applications and components. IVTK comes as a set of Java class libraries implementing a number of core infovis methods, such as scatter plots, time series, parallel coordinates, matrix plots, and several types of graph and tree layouts.
Utilization:	Developing applications with IVTK and VTK is quite similar. Both are class libraries, so building an application requires programmatically combining instances of the necessary datasets and visualization algo- rithms. One of the features of IVTK is that it uses a generic dataset model. All datasets (including relational ones) are represented as tables. IVTK provides efficient representations for these tables both in terms of memory and access time. However, just as for VTK, constructing a full-fledged end-user application with IVTK requires a fair amount of work and understanding of the toolkit design. Moreover, compared to VTK, IVTK is relatively newer and less developed toolkit, which provides only a small number of basic versions of the many infovis al- gorithms that exist for the supported data types (e.g., tree and graph lavouts).

Prefuse

	Гуре:	Class	library/	application	framework
--	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-----------

Availability: Open source (written in Java)

Address: http://prefuse.org/

- Description: Prefuse is a toolkit for constructing information-visualization applications, and is quite similar to IVTK. The toolkit comes as a set of Java class libraries that provides support for representing the main types of datasets used in information visualization, such as trees, graphs, and tables. Together with these, a number of fundamental algorithms for constructing infovis applications are provided, such as graph and tree layouts, glyphs, dynamic queries, brushing, search, database connectivity, and animation.
- Utilization: Prefuse is both a class library and an application framework. Functionality and data representation are provided in terms of classes. Programming interaction, correlation between multiple views, and application execution is provided by means of framework services. In this respect, prefuse is similar to the VTK and IVTK toolkits. However, the architectures and internals of the two toolkits are quite different. A VTK application is structured like a dataflow pipeline. In prefuse, the accent is laid more on connecting data and processing items via actions and events. All in all, prefuse is a good start to learn experimenting with information-visualization concepts and algorithms via prototyping. However, the toolkit does not yet have a wide palette of implemented algorithms, which is similar to IVTK. Also, the scalability and efficiency of the implemented algorithms cannot yet cope with truly large datasets.

GraphViz

- Type: Library and turnkey system
- Availability: Open source (written in C)
- Address: http://www.graphviz.org/
- Description: GraphViz is a high-quality library for computing and displaying graph layouts. GraphViz implements several popular graph-layout algorithms such as rooted and radial trees, hierarchical directed acyclic graph layouts, and force-directed layouts. In addition to layout, GraphViz offers advanced control of the mapping and rendering of graph nodes and edges, including annotations, spline edges, and nested graphs. An extensive set of options allows one to specify the finest details of the layout and mapping. Its robustness, scalability, simplicity of use, and availability have made GraphViz one of the best-known toolkits for laying

out graphs and quickly producing quality graph visualizations. Several graph visualizations created with the GraphViz software are shown in Section 11.4.2.

Utilization: GraphViz is structured as a set of separate executables. These read and write graph specification files in various formats. These executables can be easily used as turnkey systems to load, lay out, and draw graphs. In addition to these, GraphViz also provides an API that allows more flexible access to the layout functionalities. This allows one to use GraphViz as a layout library on behalf of other applications.

Tulip

- Type: Library and turnkey system
- Availability: Open source (written in Java)
- Address: http://www.tulip-software.org/
- Description: Tulip is a framework for the manipulation and visualization of large graphs. At the core of the Tulip system is an efficient data representation that allows manipulation of graphs with more than one million elements. The Tulip framework contains a core library and an end-user visualization tool. The library provides graph data representation and so-called algorithms. The algorithms include several layout engines (rooted, radial and bubble trees, treemaps, and force-directed) and rendering engines that allow one to parameterize the node and edge glyphs by graph data attributes. Apart from these, several graph data manipulation algorithms are provided, such as editing, clustering, decomposition, and computing statistics on graphs. Several tree visualizations created with the Tulip system are shown in Section 11.4.1.
- Utilization: Tulip can be used either as a C++ class library or as a turnkey system. In the first case, developers build their application on top of the core Tulip graph data and algorithm classes. In the second case, end users can use the Tulip visualization front-end to interactively import, navigate, edit, lay out, and render graphs in a variety of ways. The functionality of the Tulip front-end, although not covering all the functions of the core library, is rich and customizable enough to allow one to use this application as a full-fledged viewer for complex graphs in real applications. Similar to ParaView and MayaVi, the Tulip front-end can be customized via a plug-in mechanism to load additional functionality developed on top of the core libraries.

Gephi

- Type: Library and turnkey system
- Availability: Open source (written in C++)

Address: http://gephi.org/

Description: Gephi is a framework for the visual analysis of medium to large graphs. In terms of features and utilization mode, Gephi is very similar to Tulip. However, Gephi targets a slightly different user group, and poses the focus more on ease of learn and use than on computational scalability, fine-grained APIs, and algorithm customizability. As such, Gephi offers more plug-ins for importing both static and dynamic graphs from a variety of file formats and live data sources and widgets for interactive graph exploration. However, Tulip offers more research-grade graph layout and analysis algorithms. Also, Tulip is scalable to graphs larger than the ones that Gephi can handle at interactive frame rates.

Utilization: Gephi can be used either as a set of Java class library or as a turnkey system. In the first case, developers build their application on top of the core Gephi APIs (graph, layout, attributes, statistics, import, export, tools, filters, and generators). In the second case, end users can use the Gephi visual front-end to interactively import, navigate, edit, lay out, and render graphs in a variety of ways. The Gephi front-end is very similar (albeit easier to learn but slightly less flexible) than its counterpart in Tulip. The front-end can be directly used to generate a wide palette of graph and network visualization and visual analytics applications. Similar to ParaView, MayaVi, and Tulip, Gephi can be customized via a plug-in mechanism to load additional functionality developed on top of its core APIs.

ManyEyes

- Type: Web-based front-end
- Availability: Available online as a web application
- Address: http://www-958.ibm.com/

Description: ManyEyes is a web front-end for a set of information visualization techniques for interactive exploration of moderately-sized information visualization datasets [Viegas et al. 07]. Provided visualization metaphors include treemaps, node-link graph and tree layouts, bar and line charts, scatter plots, timelines, tag clouds, and data-annotated geographical maps. Each visualization offers a few customization options, such as parameterizing the size, color, annotation, and shape of elements in a node-link layout or treemap; or specifying the columns of a table used to create a 2D scatter plot or bar chart. Customization options can be either explicitly specified by the user, or linked to reflect the value of a data attribute. The created visualizations are displayed online, and can be explored by means of a standard web browser. Interaction features, apart from configuring the visualization parameters, cover interactive zooming, panning, and brushing to reveal data values. The provided visualizations are kept on purpose simpler than the equivalent ones offered by toolkits such as Tulip or Prefuse. However, their built-in default values and presets make them suitable for visualizing a wide range of datasets. Also, the data model is kept very simple: All datasets are basically text documents or two-dimensional data tables. While this offers arguably less freedom to model complex relational datasets, it allows for a very short, easy-to-learn, and error-tolerant path from generating the datasets to creating the actual visualizations.

Utilization: In contrast to most other toolkits, that run as local applications on the user's machine, ManyEyes offers a web-based model: Users format their datasets in a simple, typically text-based tabular model, and upload the resulting data file to the ManyEyes site. Next, visualizations can be created online from the uploaded dataset, both by the user who uploaded data, but also by other users. This model allows for an easy sharing of datasets, constructed visualizations, and insights generated from these visualizations—hence the application's name. The main advantage of this model is the ease by which any user can create a (simple) visualization from tabular data, with zero software installation requirements, and with all the software development and maintenance effort located at the site's provider. Disadvantages involve the need to format the data in the template demanded by ManyEyes; having to share potentially confidential data; and the dependence of a third-party service "in the cloud."

Treemap

- Type: Turnkey system
- Availability: Open source for nonprofit uses (written in Java)
- Address: http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/treemap/
- Description: Treemap is a customizable turnkey system for the visualization of large multivariate datasets using the treemap layout. Treemap implements several layout algorithms (slice and dice, squarified, and strip) and allows one to parameterize several elements of the mapping process, such as size, color, borders, and labels of the treemap nodes by the data attributes of the underlying tree. Several interactive navigation and filtering mechanisms support a wide range of structure and attribute-based user queries. Treemap also allows one to construct tree hierarchies from data dynamically using a mechanism called flexible hierarchies. Given a set of multivariate data points, trees can be built level-by-level by successively grouping the points by different user-defined criteria on the data attributes.

Utilization: Treemap comes as a turnkey system that can be customized by means of its user interface. Treemap accepts many data formats as input. Also, Treemap can be configured to monitor "live" data that changes dynamically in time. Its many options can be saved as presets, called feature sets, which allows relatively easy customization without the need for programming. All in all, Treemap is quite easy to use as a customizable turnkey system, but an important limitation is that it cannot be used as a library via an API, e.g., for developing third-party applications.

XmdvTool

Type: Turnkey system

Availability: Open source (written in C/C++)

- Address: http://davis.wpi.edu/xmdv/
- Description: XmdvTool is a general-purpose visualization tool for the interactive exploration of multivariate datasets. As such, XmdvTool implements several visualization methods: scatter plots, star glyphs, parallel coordinates, and dimensional stacking. These visualization methods come in a "flat" and a hierarchical variant. The flat variant visualizes all data points separately. The hierarchical variant first groups the data points in a tree, based on some similarity metric defined on the data attributes. Next, tree nodes, which represent data clusters, are visualized using color and shading to map different cluster attributes. XmdvTool is implemented in C++ using OpenGL for the graphics and Tcl/Tk for the user interface functionality.
- Utilization: XmdvTool comes as a turnkey system that can be directly used to visualize multivariate data coming in a number of different formats. The user interface is relatively easy to learn. A strong feature of Xmdv-Tool is the provision of many interaction mechanisms that allow several types of brushing in screen, data, and structure spaces; zooming and panning; display distortion techniques; and masking and reordering of the axes (dimensions). All these mechanisms make XmdvTool a versatile tool that can be used relatively easily to get a first look into a given multivariate dataset. However, just as Treemap, the functionality of XmdvTool is not available as an API or library, which makes its applicability limited in some contexts.

Bibliography

- [AbsInt 07] AbsInt. "aiSee: Graph Visualization." Available online (http://www.absint.com/aisee/), 2007.
- [Adobe Systems Incorporated 07] Adobe Systems Incorporated. "Adobe Acrobat Family." Available online (http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/), 2007.
- [AGD 06] AGD. "AGD: A Library of Algorithms for Graph Drawing." Available online (http://www.ads.tuwien.ac.at/AGD/), 2006.
- [Ambardar 06] A. Ambardar. Digital Signal Processing: A Modern Introduction. Toronto: Thomson Engineering, 2006.
- [Arcelli et al. 11] C. Arcelli, G. S. di Baja, and L. Serino. "Distance-Driven Skeletonization in Voxel Images." *IEEE TPAMI* 33:4 (2011), 709–720.
- [Arya et al. 98] S. Arya, D. M. Mount, N. S. Netanyahu, R. Silverman, and A. Wu. "An Optimal Algorithm for Approximate Nearest Neighbor Searching." J. of the ACM 45:6 (1998), 891–923.
- [Au et al. 08] O. Au, C. Tai, H. Chu, D. Cohen-Or, and T. Lee. "Skeleton Extraction by Mesh Contraction." ACM TOG (Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH) 27:3 (2008), 441– 449. Available online (http://visgraph.cse.ust.hk/projects/skeleton).
- [Auber 07] D. Auber. "Tulip Graph Visualization Software System." Available online (http://www.tulip-software.org/), 2007.
- [AVS, Inc. 06] AVS, Inc. AVS/Express Developer's Reference. Waltham, MA: Advanced Visual Systems, Inc., 2006.
- [Bajaj and Xu 03] C. L. Bajaj and G. Xu. "Anisotropic Diffusion of Surfaces and Functions on Surfaces." ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 22:1 (2003), 4– 32.
- [Banks and Singer 95] D. C. Banks and B. A. Singer. "A Predictor-Corrector Technique for Visualizing Unsteady Flow." *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 1:2 (1995), 151–163.

- [Baudel and Broeksema 12] T. Baudel and B. Broeksema. "Capturing the Design Space of Sequential Space-Filling Layouts." *IEEE TVCG* 18:12 (2012), 2593– 2602.
- [Bavoil et al. 05] L. Bavoil, S. P. Callahan, P. J. Crossno, J. Freire, C. E. Scheidegger, C. T. Silva, and H. T. Vo. "VisTrails: Enabling Interactive Multiple-View Visualizations." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 135–142, 2005. http://www.vistrails. org.
- [Bederson and Shneiderman 03] B. Bederson and B. Shneiderman. The Craft of Information Visualization: Readings and Reflections. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [Bederson et al. 02] B. Bederson, B. Shneiderman, and M. Wattenberg. "Ordered and Quantum Treemaps: Making Effective Use of 2D Space to Display Hierarchies." ACM Transactions of Graphics 21:4 (2002), 833–854.
- [Bellard 06] F. Bellard. "The FFmpeg Multimedia System." Available online (http:// ffmpeg.mplayerhq.hu/), 2006.
- [Belytschko et al. 96] T. Belytschko, Y. Krongauz, D. Organ, M. Fleming, and P. Krysl. "Meshless Methods: An Overview and Recent Developments." Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 139:1 (1996), 3–47.
- [Bentley 75] J. L. Bentley. "Multidimensional Binary Search Trees Used for Associative Searching." Comm. of the ACM 18:9 (1975), 509–517.
- [Bentley 90] J. L. Bentley. "K-d Trees for Semidynamic Point Sets." In Proc. 6th Ann. ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry, pp. 187–197. New York: ACM Press, 1990.
- [Bergman et al. 95] L. D. Bergman, B. E. Rogowitz, and L. A. Treinish. "A Rule-Based Tool for Assisting Colormap Selection." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 118–125. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1995.
- [Bern and Eppstein 92] M. Bern and D. Eppstein. "Mesh Generation and Optimal Triangulation." In *Computing and Euclidean Geometry*, pp. 23–90. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 1992.
- [Bernardini et al. 99] F. Bernardini, J. Mittleman, H. Rushmeier, C. Silva, and G. Taubin. "The Ball-Pivoting Algorithm for Surface Reconstruction." *IEEE TVCG* 5:4 (1999), 349–359.
- [Bertin 77] J. Bertin. La graphique et le traitement graphique de l'information. Paris: Flammarion, 1977.
- [Bertin 83] J. Bertin. Semiology of Graphics. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 1983.
- [Bitter et al. 00] I. Bitter, M. Sato, M. Bender, K. T. McDonnell, A. Kaufman, and M. Wan. "CEASAR: A Smooth, Accurate and Robust Centerline Extraction Algorithm." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 45–52. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2000.
- [Bloesch 93] A. Bloesch. "Aesthetic Layout of Generalized Trees." Software—Practice and Experience 23:8 (1993), 817–827.

- [Blum 67] H. Blum. "A Transformation for Extracting New Descriptors of Shape." In Models for the Perception of Speech and Visual Form, pp. 362–380. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1967.
- [Boardman 00] R. Boardman. "Bubble Trees: The Visualization of Hierarchical Information Structures." In Proc. ACM CHI, pp. 315–316. New York: ACM Press, 2000.
- [Borg and Groenen 97] I. Borg and P. J. F. Groenen. Modern Multidimensional Scaling: Theory and Applications. New York: Springer, 1997.
- [Borland and Taylor 07] D. Borland and R. M. Taylor. "Rainbow Color Map (Still) Considered Harmful." *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* 27:2 (2007), 14–17.
- [Botha 13] C. P. Botha. "DeVIDE Visualization Framework." Available online (http://www.cg.its.tudelft.nl/Projects/DeVIDE), 2013.
- [Botsch et al. 10] M. Botsch, L. Kobbelt, M. Pauly, P. Alliez, and B. Levy. Polygon Mesh Processing. Natick, MA: A K Peters, Ltd., 2010.
- [Böttger et al. 14] J. Böttger, A. Schäfer, G. Lohmann, A. Villringer, and D. Margulies. "Three-Dimensional Mean-Shift Edge Bundling for the Visualization of Functional Connectivity in the Brain." *IEEE TVCG* 20:3 (2014), 471–480.
- [Brandenburg 03] A. Brandenburg. "Computational Aspects of Astrophysical MHD and Turbulence." In Advances in Nonlinear Dynamics, edited by A. Ferriz-Mas and M. Nú nez, pp. 269–337. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor and Francis Group, 2003.
- [Brandes and Pich 07] U. Brandes and C. Pich. "Eigensolver methods for progressive multidimensional scaling of large data." In Graph Drawing: 14th International Symposium, GD 2006, Karlsruhe, Germany, September 18–20, 2006, Revised Papers, number 4372 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 42–53. Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- [Brewer and Harrower 13] C. Brewer and M. Harrower. "ColorBrewer." Available online (http://www.colorbrewer.org/), 2013.
- [Broeksema et al. 13] B. Broeksema, T. Baudel, A. C. Telea, and P. Crisafulli. "Decision Exploration Lab: A Visual Analytics Solution for Decision Management." *IEEE TVCG* 19:12 (2013), 1972–1981.
- [Bruls et al. 00] M. Bruls, K. Huizing, and J. J. van Wijk. "Squarified Treemaps." In Proc. VisSym, pp. 33–42. Berlin: Springer, 2000.
- [Burch and Diehl 08] M. Burch and S. Diehl. "TimeRadarTrees: Visualizing Dynamic Compound Digraphs." Computer Graphics Forum 27:3 (2008), 823–830.
- [Burch et al. 10] M. Burch, M. Fritz, F. Beck, and S. Diehl. "TimeSpiderTrees: A Novel Visual Metaphor for Dynamic Compound Digraphs." In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Visual Languages and Human-Centric Computing, pp. 168–175. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2010.
- [Bürkle et al. 01] D. Bürkle, T. Preusser, and M. Rumpf. "Transport and Diffusion in Time-Dependent Flow Visualization." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 61–68. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2001.

- [Byelas and Telea 06] H. Byelas and A. Telea. "Visualization of Areas of Interest in Software Architecture Diagrams." In *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM Symposium on Software Visualization*, pp. 105–114. New York: ACM Press, 2006.
- [Cabral and Leedom 93] B. Cabral and L. C. Leedom. "Imaging Vector Fields using Line Integral Convolution." In Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 93, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, pp. 263–270. New York: ACM Press, 1993.
- [Cao et al. 10a] J. Cao, A. Tagliasacchi, M. Olson, H. Zhang, and Z. Su. "Point Cloud Skeletons via Laplacian Based Contraction." In *Proceedings of International Conference on Shape Modeling and Applications (SMI)*, pp. 187–197. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2010.
- [Cao et al. 10b] T. Cao, K. Tang, A. Mohamed, and T. Tan. "Parallel Banding Algorithm to Compute Exact Distance Transform with the GPU." In Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH Symp. on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games, pp. 134–141, 2010. Available online (http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~tants/pba.html).
- [Card et al. 99] S. Card, J. Mackinlay, and B. Shneiderman. *Readings in Information Visualization: Using Vision to Think.* San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 1999.
- [Castleman 96] K. R. Castleman. Digital Image Processing. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1996.
- [CGAL 13] CGAL. "CGAL Computational Geometry Algorithms Library." Available online (http://www.cgal.org/), 2013.
- [Chalmers 96] M. Chalmers. "A Linear Iteration Time Layout Algorithm for Visualising High-Dimensional Data." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 127–131. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1996.
- [Chamberlin and Boyce 74] D. D. Chamberlin and R. F. Boyce. "SEQUEL: A Structured English Query Language." In Proc. International Conference on Management of Data (ACM SIGFIDET) Workshop on Data Description, Access and Coontrol, pp. 249–264. New York: ACM Press, 1974.
- [Chang et al. 09] M.-C. Chang, F. Leymarie, and B. Kimia. "Surface Reconstruction from Point Clouds by Transforming the Medial Scaffold." *CVIU* 113:11 (2009), 1130–1146.
- [Chen and Carroll 00] S. J. Chen and S. D. Carroll. "3-D Reconstruction of Coronary Arterial Tree to Optimize Angiographic Visualization." *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 19:4 (2000), 318–336.
- [Chen et al. 00] M. Chen, A. E. Kaufman, and R. Yagel. Volume Graphics. New York: Springer, 2000.
- [Chi 00] E. H. Chi. "A Taxonomy of Visualization Techniques using the Data State Reference Model." In Proc. IEEE InfoVis, pp. 69–75. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2000.
- [Chi 02] E. H. Chi. A Framework for Visualizing Information. Human-Computer Interaction Series, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2002.

- [Christensen et al. 10] J. H. Christensen, M. B. T. Soerensen, Z. Linghui, S. Chen, and M. O. Jensen. "Pre-Diagnostic Digital Imaging Prediction Model to Discriminate between Malignant Melanoma and Benign Pigmented Skin Lesion." *Skin Research* and *Technology* 16:1 (2010), 98–108.
- [Clarenz et al. 04] U. Clarenz, M. Rumpf, and A. Telea. "Surface Processing Methods for Point Sets using Finite Elements." *Computers and Graphics* 28:6 (2004), 851– 868.
- [Cleveland 85] W. S. Cleveland. The Elements of Graphing Data. Monterey, CA: Wadsworth Advanced Books and Software, 1985.
- [Cline et al. 88] H. E. Cline, W. E. Lorensen, S. Ludke, C. R. Crawford, and B. C. Teeter. "Two Algorithms for the Three-Dimensioal Construction of Tomograms." *Medical Physics* 15:3 (1988), 320–327.
- [Coffman and Graham 72] E. G. Coffman and R. L. Graham. "Optimal Scheduling for Two-Processor Systems." Acta Informatica 1:3 (1972), 200–213.
- [Cohen et al. 96] J. Cohen, A. Varshney, D. Manocha, G. Turk, H. Weber, P. Agarwal, F. Brooks, and W. Wright. "Simplification Envelopes." In *Proceedings of SIG-GRAPH 96, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series*, pp. 119–128. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley, 1996.
- [Cohen et al. 07] J. Cohen, A. Varshney, and G. Turk. "Simplification Envelopes Software." Available online (http://www.cs.unc.edu/~geom/envelope.html), 2007.
- [Comaniciu and Meer 02] D. Comaniciu and P. Meer. "Mean Shift: A Robust Approach Toward Feature Space Analysis." IEEE TPAMI 24:5 (2002), 603–619.
- [Corbi 99] T. A. Corbi. "Program Understanding: Challenge for the 1990s." IBM Systems Journal 28:2 (1999), 294–306.
- [Cormen et al. 01] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. An Introduction to Algorithms, Second edition. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001.
- [Cornea et al. 05] N. D. Cornea, M. F. Demirci, D. Silver, A. Shokoufandeh, and S. Dickinson. "3D Object Retrieval using Many-to-many Matching of Curve Skeletons." In Proc. Shape Modeling and Applications (SMI'05), pp. 368–373. New York: ACM Press, 2005.
- [Cornea et al. 07] N. D. Cornea, D. Silver, and P. Min. "Curve-Skeleton Properties, Applications, and Algorithms." *IEEE TVCG* 13:3 (2007), 87–95.
- [Costa and Cesar 01] L. Costa and R. Cesar. Shape Analysis and Classification. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2001.
- [Cox and Cox 01] T. F. Cox and M. A. A. Cox. Multidimensional Scaling. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2001.
- [Cui et al. 08] W. Cui, H. Zhou, H. Qu, P. Wong, and X. Li. "Geometry-Based Edge Clustering for Graph Visualization." *IEEE TVCG* 14:6 (2008), 1277–1284.
- [Cuisenaire and Macq 97] O. Cuisenaire and B. M. Macq. "Applications of the Region Growing Euclidean Distance Transform: Anisotropy and Skeletons." In Proc. ICIP, pp. 200–203. Washington, D.C.: IEEE Computer Society, 1997.

- [CUMULVS 07] CUMULVS. "CUMULVS Visualization System." Available online (http://www.csm.ornl.gov/cs/cumulvs.html), 2007.
- [Danielsson 80] P. E. Danielsson. "Euclidean Distance Mapping." Computer Graphics and Image Processing 14:3 (1980), 227–248.
- [de Berg et al. 00] M. de Berg, M. van Krefeld, M. Overmars, and O. Schwarzkopf. Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, Second edition. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [de Silva and Tenenbaum 03] V. de Silva and J. B. Tenenbaum. "Global versus Local Methods in Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction." In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 15, 15, pp. 705–712. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2003.
- [Devita et al. 01] V. T. Devita, S. Hellman, and S. A. Rosenberg. Cancer: Principles & Practice of Oncology. Alphen aan den Rijn, the Netherlands: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins / Wolters Kluwer, 2001.
- [Dey and Sun 06] T. K. Dey and J. Sun. "Defining and Computing Curve-Skeletons with Medial Geodesic Function." In Proc. Symposium on Geometry Processing, pp. 123–152. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 2006.
- [Dey 06] T. K. Dey. Curve and Surface Reconstruction: Algorithms with Mathematical Analysis. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Di Battista et al. 99] G. Di Battista, P. Eades, R. Tamassia, and I. G. Tollis. Graph Drawing: Algorithms for the Visualization of Graphs. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1999.
- [Diehl 04] S. Diehl. Software Visualization: International Seminar, Dagstuhl Castle, Germany, May 20–25, 2001, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2269. New York: Springer, 2004.
- [Diehl 07] S. Diehl. Software Visualization: Visualizing the Structure, Behaviour, and Evolution of Software. New York: Springer, 2007.
- [Eades 84] P. Eades. "A Heuristic for Graph Drawing." Congressus Numerantium 42 (1984), 149–160.
- [Edelsbrunner et al. 83] H. Edelsbrunner, D. Kirkpatrick, and R. Seidel. "On the Shape of a Set of Points in the Plane." *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 29:4 (1983), 551–559.
- [Eick et al. 92] S. G. Eick, J. L. Steffen, and E. E. Sumner. "Seesoft—A Tool for Visualizing Line Oriented Software Statistics." *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 18:11 (1992), 957–968.
- [Engel 02] K. Engel. "Interactive High-Quality Volume Rendering with Flexible Consumer Graphics Hardware." In Eurographics State-of-the-Art (STAR) Reports, pp. 9–16. New York: ACM Press, 2002. See also the software available at http://www.vis.uni-stuttgart.de/~engel/pre-integrated.

- [Ersoy et al. 11] O. Ersoy, C. Hurter, F. Paulovich, G. Cantareiro, and A. Telea. "Skeleton-Based Edge Bundles for Graph Visualization." *IEEE TVCG* 17:2 (2011), 2364–2373.
- [Ester et al. 96] M. Ester, H. P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu. "A Density-Based Algorithm for Discovering Clusters in Large Spatial Databases with Noise." In *Proc. KDD*, pp. 226–231. Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press, 1996.
- [Falcão et al. 04] A. X. Falcão, J. Stolfi, and R. A. Lotufo. "The Image Foresting Transform: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications." *IEEE TPAMI* 26:1 (2004), 19–29.
- [Faloutsos and Lin 95] C. Faloutsos and K. Lin. "FastMap: A Fast Algorithm for Indexing, Data-Mining and Visualization of Traditional and Multimedia Datasets." ACM SIGMOD Record 2:2 (1995), 163–174.
- [Fisher et al. 96] R. Fisher, S. Perkins, A. Walker, and E. Wolfart. Hypermedia Image Processing Reference. New York: J. Wiley and Sons, 1996.
- [Foley et al. 95] J. D. Foley, A. van Dam, S. K. Feiner, and J. F. Hughes. Computer Graphics: Principles and Practice in C, Second edition. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Professional, 1995.
- [Frank and Asuncion 13] A. Frank and A. Asuncion. "UCI machine learning repository.", 2013. Available online (http://www.ics.uci.edu/~mlearn).
- [Frey 01] P. Frey. "Yams: A Fully Automatic Adaptive Isotropic Surface Remeshing Procedure." Technical Report 0252, INRIA, 2001. Available online (http://www. ann.jussieu.fr/frey/software.html).
- [Frick et al. 94] A. Frick, A. Ludwig, and H. Mehldau. "A Fast Adaptive Layout Algorithm for Undirected Graphs." In Proc. Graph Drawing, pp. 388–403. New York: Springer, 1994.
- [Friedman et al. 77] J. H. Friedman, J. L. Bentley, and R. A. Finkel. "An Algorithm for Finding Best Matches in Logarithmic Expected Time." ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 3:3 (1977), 209–226.
- [Friendly 08] M. Friendly. "Milestones in the History of Thematic Cartography, Statistical Graphics, and Data Visualization." Available online (http://www.math. yorku.ca/SCS/Gallery/milestone/milestone.pdf), 2008.
- [Frigo 14] M. Frigo. "Fast Fourier Transform C Software Library." Available online (http://www.fftw.org/), 2014.
- [Frishman and Tal 08] Y. Frishman and A. Tal. "Online Dynamic Graph Drawing." IEEE TVCG 14:4 (2008), 727–740.
- [Fruchterman and Reingold 91] T. M. J. Fruchterman and E. M. Reingold. "Graph Drawing by Force-Directed Placement." Software—Practice and Experience 21:11 (1991), 1129–1164.
- [Fua et al. 99] Y. Fua, M. O. Ward, and E. A. Rundensteiner. "Hierarchical Parallel Coordinates for Visualizing Large Multivariate Data Sets." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 43–50. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.

- [Fukunaga 90] K. Fukunaga. Introduction to Statistical Pattern Recognition, Second edition. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 1990.
- [Gansner and Koren 07] E. R. Gansner and Y. Koren. "Improved Circular Layouts." In Proc. Graph Drawing, pp. 386–398. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 2007.
- [Gansner et al. 93] E. R. Gansner, E. Koutsofios, S. C. North, and K. P. Vo. "A Technique for Drawing Directed Graphs." *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 19:3 (1993), 214–230.
- [Garland and Heckbert 97] M. Garland and P. Heckbert. "Simplification using Quadric Error Metrics." In Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 97, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, pp. 209–216. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley, 1997.
- [Garland 99] M. Garland. "Multiresolution Modeling: Survey and Future Opportunities." In Eurographics'99 State-of-the-Art (STAR) Reports. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 1999.
- [Ge and Fitzpatrick 96] Yaorong Ge and J. Michael Fitzpatrick. "On the Generation of Skeletons from Discrete Euclidean Distance Maps." *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 18:11 (1996), 1055–1066.
- [Geist et al. 97] G. A. Geist, J. A. Kohl, and P. M. Papadopoulos. "CUMULVS: Providing Fault-Tolerance, Visualization and Steering of Parallel Applications." International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications 11:3 (1997), 224–236.
- [GEM 07] GEM. "Graph Embedder (GEM) Software." Available online (http://i44ftp. info.uni-karlsruhe.de/pub/papers/frick/gem1.0a.tar.gz), 2007.
- [Gephi 14] Gephi. "An Open Graph Visualization Platform." Available online (http://gephi.org), 2014.
- [GIMP 14] GIMP. "GIMP—The GNU Image Manipulation Program." Available online (http://www.gimp.org/), 2014.
- [Golub and van Loan 89] G. H. Golub and C. F. van Loan. Matrix Computations, Second edition. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins Univ. Press, 1989.
- [Gonzalez and Woods 02] R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods. *Digital Image Processing*, Second edition. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [Gonzalez et al. 04] R. C. Gonzalez, R. E. Woods, and S. L. Eddins. *Digital Image Processing using MATLAB*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2004.
- [GPGPU 14] GPGPU. "General-Purpose Computation Using Graphics Hardware." Available online (http://www.gpgpu.org/), 2014.
- [GraphViz 14] GraphViz. "The GraphViz Graph Visualization Software." Available online (http://www.graphviz.org/), 2014.
- [Greilich et al. 09] M. Greilich, M. Burch, and S. Diehl. "Visualizing the Evolution of Compound Digraphs with TimeArcTrees." Computer Graphics Forum 28:3 (2009), 975–982.
- [Griebel and Schweitzer 06] M. Griebel and M. A. Schweitzer. *Meshfree Methods for Partial Differential Equations*. New York: Springer, 2006.

- [Griebel et al. 04] M. Griebel, T. Preusser, M. Rumpf, M. A. Schweitzer, and A. Telea. "Flow Field Clustering via Algebraic Multigrid." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 35–42. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2004.
- [Grivet et al. 04] S. Grivet, D. Auber, J. P. Domenger, and G. Melançon. "Bubble Tree Drawing Algorithm." In Proc. International Conference on Computer Vision and Graphics (ICCVG), pp. 633–641. Berlin: Springer, 2004.
- [GTS 13] GTS. "The GNU Triangulated Surface Library." Available online (http:// gts.sourceforge.net/), 2013.
- [Hansen and Johnson 05] C. Hansen and C. J. Johnson. The Visualization Handbook. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2005.
- [Harel and Koren 00] D. Harel and Y. Koren. "A Fast Multi-Scale Method for Drawing Large Graphs." In Proc. 8th International Symposium on Graph Drawing (GD00), pp. 183–196. Berlin: Springer, 2000.
- [Hartmann 99] E. Hartmann. "On the Curvature of Curves and Surfaces Defined by Normalforms." Computer Aided Geometric Design 16 (1999), 355–376.
- [Hauser et al. 02] H. Hauser, F. Ledermann, and H. Doleisch. "Angular Brushing of Extended Parallel Coordinates." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 127–135. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2002.
- [Havre et al. 02] S. Havre, E. Hetzler, P. Whitney, and L. Nowell. "ThemeRiver: Visualizing Thematic Changes in Large Document Collections." *IEEE TVCG* 8:1 (2002), 9–20.
- [Heckbert and Garland 97] P. Heckbert and M. Garland. "Survey of Polygonal Surface Simplification Algorithms." In SIGGRAPH'97 Course Notes on Multiresolution Surface Modeling. New York: ACM Press, 1997.
- [Heckel et al. 99] B. Heckel, G. H. Weber, B. Hamann, and K. I. Joy. "Construction of Vector Field Hierarchies." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 19–25. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.
- [Helman and Hesselink 89] J. L. Helman and L. Hesselink. "Representation and Display of Vector Field Topology in Fluid Flow Data Sets." *IEEE Computer* 22:9 (1989), 27–36.
- [Helman and Hesselink 91] J. L. Helman and L. Hesselink. "Visualizing Vector Field Topology in Fluid Flows." *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* 11:3 (1991), 36–46.
- [Henderson 04] A. Henderson. The ParaView Guide. Clifton Park, NY: Kitware, Inc., 2004.
- [Herman et al. 00] I. Herman, G. Melançon, and M. S. Marshall. "Graph Visualization and Navigation in Information Visualization: A Survey." *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 6:1 (2000), 24–43.
- [Holten and van Wijk 08] D. Holten and J. J. van Wijk. "Visual Comparison of Hierarchically Organized Data." Comp. Graph. Forum 27:3 (2008), 759–766.

- [Holten and van Wijk 09] D. Holten and J. J. van Wijk. "Force-Directed Edge Bundling for Graph Visualization." Computer Graphics Forum 28:3 (2009), 670– 677.
- [Holten 06] D. H. R. Holten. "Hierarchical Edge Bundles: Visualization of Adjacency Relations in Hierarchical Data." *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2006)* 12:5 (2006), 741–748.
- [Hoppe et al. 92] H. Hoppe, T. DeRose, T. Duchamp, J. McDonald, and W. Stuetzle. "Surface Reconstruction from Unorganized Points." Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH 26:2 (1992), 71–78.
- [Hoppe 97] H. Hoppe. "View-Dependent Refinement of Progressive Meshes." In Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 97, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, pp. 189–198. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley, 1997.
- [Hoppe 98] H. Hoppe. "Efficient Implementation of Progressive Meshes." Computers & Graphics 22:1 (1998), 27–36.
- [Hoppe 99] H. Hoppe. "New Quadric Metrics for Simplifying Meshes with Appearance Attributes." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 59–66. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.
- [Hotz et al. 10] I. Hotz, J. Sreevalsan-Nair, H. Hagen, and B. Hamann. "Tensor Field Reconstruction Based on Eigenvector and Eigenvalue Interpolation." In Scientific Visualization: Advanced Concepts, 1, edited by H. Hagen, 1, pp. 110–123. Dagstuhl, Germany: Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2010.
- [Hurter et al. 12] C. Hurter, O. Ersoy, and A. Telea. "Graph Bundling by Kernel Density Estimation." Computer Graphics Forum 31:3 (2012), 435–443.
- [Hurter et al. 13] C. Hurter, O. Ersoy, and A. Telea. "Smooth Bundling of Large Streaming and Sequence Graphs." In Proc. PacificVis, pp. 41–48. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2013.
- [ICC 14] ICC. "International Color Consortium." Available online (http://www.color. org), 2014.
- [ImageJ 13] ImageJ. "ImageJ Image Processing Software." Available online (http:// rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/), 2013.
- [InfoWiki 14] InfoWiki. "The Information Visualization Community Platform." Available online (http://www.infovis-wiki.net/), 2014.
- [Inselberg and Dimsdale 90] A. Inselberg and B. Dimsdale. "Parallel Coordinates: A Tool for Visualizing Multidimensional Geometry." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 361–378. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1990.
- [Inselberg 98] A. Inselberg. "A Survey of Parallel Coordinates." In Mathematical Visualization, pp. 167–179. New York: Springer, 1998.
- [Inselberg 09] A. Inselberg. Parallel Coordinates Visual Multidimensional Geometry and its Applications. New York: Springer, 2009.
- [Jain and Murty 99] A. K. Jain and M. N. Murty. "Data Clustering: A Review." ACM Computing Surveys 31:3 (1999), 264–323.

- [Jain et al. 95] R. Jain, R. Kasturi, and B. G. Shunck. Machine Vision. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1995.
- [Jain 89] A. Jain. Fundamentals of Digital Image Processing. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1989.
- [Jalba et al. 13] A. Jalba, J. Kustra, and A. Telea. "Surface and Curve Skeletonization of Large 3D Models on the GPU." *IEEE TPAMI* 35:6 (2013), 1495–1508.
- [Jankun-Kelly et al. 06] M. Jankun-Kelly, M. Jiang, D. Thompson, and R. Machiraju. "Vortex Visualization for Practical Engineering Applications." *IEEE Transactions* on Visualization and Computer Graphics 12:5 (2006), 957–964.
- [Jansing et al. 99] E. D. Jansing, T. A. Albert, and D. L. Chenoweth. "Two-Dimensional Entropic Segmentation." *Pattern Recognition Letters* 20:3 (1999), 329–336.
- [Jobard and Lefer 97] B. Jobard and W. Lefer. "Creating Evenly-Spaced Streamlines of Arbitrary Density." In Proc. EG Workshop on Visualization in Scientific Computing, pp. 43–56. New York: Springer, 1997.
- [Johnson and Shneiderman 91] B. Johnson and B. Shneiderman. "Tree-Maps: A Space-Filling Approach to the Visualization of Hierarchical Information Structures." In Proc. IEEE InfoVis, pp. 284–291. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1991.
- [Joia et al. 11] P. Joia, D. Coimbra, J. A. Cuminato, F. V. Paulovich, and L. G. Nonato. "Local Affine Multidimensional Projection." *IEEE TVCG* 17:12 (2011), 2563–2571.
- [Jünger and Mutzel 03] M. Jünger and P. Mutzel. Graph Drawing Software. New York: Springer, 2003.
- [Kamada and Kawai 89] T. Kamada and S. Kawai. "An Algorithm for Drawing General Undirected Graphs." *Information Processing Letters* 31:1 (1989), 7–15.
- [Kass et al. 88] M. Kass, A. Witkin, and D. Terzopoulos. "Snakes: Active Contour Models." International Journal of Computer Vision 1:4 (1988), 321–331.
- [Kazhdan et al. 06] M. Kazhdan, M. Bolitho, and H. Hoppe. "Poisson Surface Reconstruction." In Proc. Symp. Geometry Processing (SGP), pp. 61–70, 2006. http://www.cs.jhu.edu/~misha/Code/PoissonRecon/Version4.51.
- [Kindlmann et al. 00] G. Kindlmann, D.Weinstein, and D. Hart. "Strategies for Direct Volume Rendering of Diffusion Tensor Fields." *IEEE TVCG* 6:2 (2000), 124–138.
- [Kindlmann 04a] G. Kindlmann. "Superquadric Tensor Glyphs." In Proc. EG/IEEE Symposium on Visualization (VisSym'04), pp. 147–154. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 2004.
- [Kindlmann 04b] Gordon Kindlmann. "Visualization and Analysis of Diffusion Tensor Fields." PhD thesis, School of Computing, University of Utah, 2004.
- [Kindlmann 06] G. Kindlmann. "The Teem Tensor Visualization Software Package." Available online (http://teem.sourceforge.net/), 2006.
- [Kitware, Inc. 04] Kitware, Inc. The VTK User's Guide. Clifton Park, NY: Kitware, Inc., 2004.

- [Kitware, Inc. 13] Kitware, Inc. "VTK Home Page." Available online (http://www. kitware.com/vtk), 2013.
- [Koike 93] H. Koike. "The Role of Another Spatial Dimension in Software Visualization." ACM Transactions of Information Systems 11:3 (1993), 266–286.
- [Koren et al. 03] Y. Koren, L. Carmel, and D. Harel. "Drawing Huge Graphs by Algebraic Multigrid Optimization." *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation* 1:4 (2003), 645–673.
- [Koschke 03] R. Koschke. "Software Visualization in Software Maintenance, Reverse Engineering, and Re-Engineering: A Research Survey." J. Soft. Maint. and Evol. 15:2 (2003), 87–109.
- [Kruskal and Landwehr 83] J. B. Kruskal and J. M. Landwehr. "Icicle Plots: Better Displays for Hierarchical Clustering." JSTOR 37:2 (1983), 162–168.
- [Lambert et al. 10] A. Lambert, R. Bourqui, and D. Auber. "Winding Roads: Routing Edges into Bundles." Computer Graphics Forum 29:3 (2010), 432–439.
- [Lanza and Marinescu 06] M. Lanza and R. Marinescu. Object-Oriented Metrics in Practice. New York: Springer, 2006.
- [Laramee et al. 04] R. S. Laramee, H. Hauser, H. Doleisch, B. Vrolijk, F. H. Post, and D. Weiskopf. "The State of the Art in Flow Visualization: Dense and Texture-Based Techniques." *Computer Graphics Forum* 23:2 (2004), 203–221.
- [Laramee et al. 07] R. S. Laramee, H. Hauser, L. Zhao, and F. Post. "Topology-Based Flow Visualization – The State of the Art." In *Topology-Based Methods in Visualization*, edited by H. Hauser, H. Hagen, and H. Theisel, pp. 1–19. Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- [Larkin and Simon 87] J. Larkin and H. Simon. "Why a Diagram Is (Sometimes) Worth 10,000 Words." Cognitive Science 11 (1987), 65–99.
- [Lederman 12] F. Lederman. "Parvis Parallel Coordinates Visualisation." Available online (http://home.subnet.at/flo/mv/parvis/), 2012.
- [Levoy 88] Marc Levoy. "Display of Surfaces from Volume Data." IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 8:3 (1988), 29–37.
- [Li and Shen 07] L. Li and H.-W. Shen. "Image-Based Streamline Generation and Rendering." *IEEE TVCG* 13:3 (2007), 630–640.
- [Li et al. 10] C. Li, C. Xu, C. Gui, and M. D. Fox. "Distance Regularized Level Set Evolution and Its Application to Image Segmentation." *IEEE TPAMI* 19:12 (2010), 3243–3254.
- [Lichtenbelt et al. 98] B. Lichtenbelt, R. Crane, and S. Naqvi. Introduction to Volume Rendering. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall - Hewlett-Packard Professional Books, 1998.
- [Linsen and Prautzsch 01] L. Linsen and H. Prautzsch. "Local versus Global Triangulation." In Proc. Eurographics, pp. 201–208. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 2001.

- [Liu et al. 10] L. Liu, E. Chambers, D. Letscher, and T. Ju. "A Simple and Robust Thinning Algorithm on Cell Complexes." *Computer Graphics Forum* 29:7 (2010), 2253–2260.
- [Loop 87] C. T. Loop. "Smooth Subdivision Surfaces Based on Triangles." Master's thesis, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Utah, 1987. Available online (http:// research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/cloop/thesis.pdf).
- [Lorensen and Cline 87] W. E. Lorensen and H. E. Cline. "Marching Cubes: A High Resolution 3D Surface Construction Algorithm." Proc. SIGGRAPH '87, Computer Graphics 21:4 (1987), 163–169.
- [Lorensen 04] B. Lorensen. "On the Death of Visualization." In Proc. NIH/NSF Fall Workshop on Visualization Research Challenges. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2004.
- [Lotufo et al. 00] R. A. Lotufo, A. X. Falcão, and F. Zampirolli. "Fast Euclidean Distance Transform using a Graph-Search Algorithm." In *Proc. SIBGRAPI*, pp. 269– 275. Washington, D.C.: IEEE Computer Society, 2000.
- [Low and Tan 97] K. L. Low and T.S. Tan. "Model Simplification using Vertex Clustering." In Proc. ACM Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics, pp. 75–82. New York: ACM Press, 1997.
- [Luebke 01] D. Luebke. "A Developer's Survey of Polygonal Simplification Algorithms." IEEE Computer Graphics & Applications 21:3 (2001), 24–35.
- [Ma et al. 12] J. Ma, S. Bae, and S. Choi. "3D Medial Axis Point Approximation Using Nearest Neighbors and the Normal Field." Visual Computer 28:1 (2012), 7–19.
- [Mackinlay 86] J. D. Mackinlay. "Automating the Design of Graphical Presentations of Relational Information." ACM Transactions on Graphics 5:2 (1986), 110–141.
- [Majda et al. 01] A. J. Majda, A. L. Bertozzi, and D. G. Crighton. Vorticity and Incompressible Flow. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [Manzanera et al. 99] A. Manzanera, T. Bernard, F. Preteux, and B. Longuet. "Medial Faces from a Concise 3D Thinning Algorithm." In Proc. ICCV 99, pp. 337–343. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.
- [Mao et al. 98] X. Mao, Y. Hatanaka, H. Higashida, and A. Imamyia. "Image-Guided Streamline Placement on Curvilinear Grid Surfaces." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 135–142. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1998.
- [Marcus et al. 03] A. Marcus, L. Feng, and J. I. Maletic. "3D Representations for Software Visualization." In Proc. ACM SoftVis, pp. 27–36. New York: ACM Press, 2003.
- [Martins et al. 14] R. Martins, D. Coimbra, R. Minghim, and A. Telea. "Visual Analysis of Dimensionality Reduction Quality for Parameterized Projections." Computers & Graphics 41 (2014), 26–42.
- [MathWorks, Inc. 14] MathWorks, Inc. "MATLAB." Available online (http://www. mathworks.com/products/matlab), 2014.

- [MayaVi 13] MayaVi. "The MayaVi Visualization System." Available online (http:// mayavi.sourceforge.net), 2013.
- [McCormick et al. 87] B. H. McCormick, T. A. DeFanti, and M. D. Brown. "Visualization in Scientific Computing." *Computer Graphics* 21:6.
- [McLoughlin et al. 10] T. McLoughlin, R. S. Laramee, and E. Zhang. "Constructing Streak Surfaces in 3D Unsteady Vector Fields." In *Proceedings of the 26th Spring Conference on Computer Graphics (SCCG)*, pp. 25–32. New York: ACM Press, 2010. Additional pseudocode available at http://cs.swan.ac.uk/~cstony/research/ streaksurfaces/mcloughlin10streaksurfacessupplementary.pdf.
- [McReynolds and Blythe 99] T. McReynolds and D. Blythe. "Advanced Graphics Programming Techniques using OpenGL." In SIGGRAPH '99 Course Notes. New York: ACM Press, 1999. Available online (http://www.opengl.org/resources/code/ samples/sig99/).
- [Mead 92] A. Mead. "Review of the Development of Multidimensional Scaling Methods." The Statistician 33 (1992), 27–35.
- [Mebarki et al. 05] A. Mebarki, P. Alliez, and O. Devillers. "Farthest Point Seeding for Efficient Placement of Streamlines." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 479–486. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE CS Press, 2005.
- [Meijster et al. 00] A. Meijster, J. Roerdink, and W. Hesselink. "A General Algorithm for Computing Distance Transforms in Linear Time." In *Mathematical Morphology and Its Applications to Image and Signal Processing*, pp. 331–340. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 2000.
- [MeshLab 14] MeshLab. "MeshLab Mesh Processing Tool." Available online (http:// meshlab.sourceforge.net), 2014.
- [MeVis Inc. 13] MeVis Inc. "The MeVisLab Visualization Framework." Available online (http://www.mevislab.de), 2013.
- [Meyer et al. 12] J. Meyer, J. Thomas, S. Diehl, B. Fisher, D. Keim, D. Laidlaw, S. Miksch, K. Mueller, W. Ribarsky, B. Preim, and A. Ynnerman. "From Visualization to Visually Enabled Reasoning." In *Scientific Visualization: Advanced Concepts (Dagstuhl Follow-up Series)*, pp. 227–245. Wadern, Germany: Leigniz Center for Informatics / Dagstuhl Publishing, 2012.
- [Moreland 09] K. Moreland. "Diverging Color Maps for Scientific Visualization." In Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Advances in Visual Computing, pp. 92–103. Berlin: Springer, 2009.
- [Morse et al. 02] E. Morse, M. Lewis, and K. A. Olsen. "Testing Visual Information Retrieval Methodologies Case Study: Comparative Analysis of Textual, Icon, Graphical, and 'Spring' Displays." Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 53:1 (2002), 28–40.
- [Mount 06] D. M. Mount. "ANN Programming Manual." Available online (http:// www.cs.umd.edu/~mount/ANN/Files/1.1/ANNmanual_1.1.pdf), 2006.
- [Mullikin 92] J. Mullikin. "The Vector Distance Transform in Two and Three Dimensions." CVGIP: Graphical Models and Image Processing 54:6 (1992), 526–535.

- [Munzner 09] T. Munzner. "A Nested Model for Visualization Design and Validation." IEEE TVCG 15:6 (2009), 921–928.
- [Myler and Weeks 93] H. R. Myler and A. R. Weeks. Computer Imaging Recipes in C. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1993.
- [National Library of Medicine 14] National Library of Medicine. "The Insight Segmentation and Registration Toolkit (ITK)." Available online (http://www.itk.org), 2014.
- [Nguyen et al. 12] Q. Nguyen, P. Eades, and S.-H. Hong. "StreamEB: Stream edge bundling." In Graph Drawing: 20th International Symposium, GD 2012, Redmond, WA, USA, September 19–21, 2012, Revised Selected Papers, LNCS 7704, pp. 324–332. Berlin: Springer, 2012.
- [Nielson et al. 06] G. M. Nielson, G.-P. Bonneau, and T. Ertl. Scientific Visualization: The Visual Extraction of Knowledge from Data. New York: Springer, 2006.
- [Nooruddin and Turk 03] F. Nooruddin and G. Turk. "Simplification and Repair of Polygonal Models Using Volumetric Techniques." *IEEE TVCG* 9:2 (2003), 191– 205. http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~min/binvox.
- [O'Donnel and Westin 05] L. O'Donnel and C.-F. Westin. "White Matter Tract Clustering and Correspondence in Populations." In Proc. Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Interventions (MICCAI'05), LNCS 3749. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [Oeltze et al. 07] S. Oeltze, H. Doleisch, H. Hauser, P. Muigg, and B. Preim. "Interactive Visual Analysis of Perfusion Data." *IEEE TVCG* 13:6 (2007), 1392–1399.
- [Ogniewicz and Kubler 95] R. L. Ogniewicz and O. Kubler. "Hierarchic Voronoi Skeletons." Pattern Recognition 28:3 (1995), 343–359.
- [Ohtake et al. 03] Y. Ohtake, A. Belyaev, M. Alexa, G. Turk, and H. P. Seidel. "Multi-Level Partition of Unity Implicits." Proc. SIGGRAPH '03, Transactions on Graphics 22 (2003), 463–470.
- [Okabe et al. 92] A. Okabe, B. Boots, and K. Sugihara. Spatial Tessellations: Concepts and Applications of Voronoi Diagrams. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, 1992.
- [OpenCV 14] OpenCV. "The OpenCV Computer Vision Library." Available online (http://opencvlibrary.sourceforge.net/), 2014.
- [Otsu 79] N. Otsu. "A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-level Histograms." IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics 9:1 (1979), 62–66.
- [Paiva et al. 11] J. G. Paiva, L. Florian, H. Pedrini, G. P. Telles, and R. Minghim. "Improved Similarity Trees and Their Application to Visual Data Classification." *IEEE TVCG* 17:12 (2011), 2459–2468. Urlhttp://infoserver.lcad.icmc.usp.br/infovis2/Tools.
- [Palagyi and Kuba 99] K. Palagyi and A. Kuba. "Directional 3D Thinning using 8 Subiterations." In Proc. DGCI 99, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1568, pp. 325–333. London: Springer-Verlag, 1999.

- [Parolin et al. 10] A. Parolin, E. Herzer, and C. Jung. "Semi-automated Diagnosis of Melanoma through the Analysis of Dermatological Images." In Proc. SIBGRAPI, pp. 71–78. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2010.
- [Paulovich et al. 07] F. V. Paulovich, M. C. F. Oliveira, and R. Minghim. "The Projection Explorer: A Flexible Tool for Projection-Based Multidimensional Visualization." In Proc. 20th Brazilian Symp. on Computer Graphics and Image Processing (SIBGRAPI), pp. 27–36. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2007. http://infoserver.lcad.icmc.usp.br/infovis2/PEx.
- [Paulovich et al. 08] F. V. Paulovich, L. G. Nonato, R. Minghim, and H. Levkowitz. "Least Square Projection: A Fast High Precision Multidimensional Projection Technique and its Application to Document Mapping." *IEEE TVCG* 14:3 (2008), 564–575.
- [Paulovich et al. 10] F. V. Paulovich, C. Silva, and L. G. Nonato. "Two-Phase Mapping for Projecting Massive Data Sets." *IEEE TVCG* 16 (2010), 1281–1290.
- [Paulovich et al. 11] F. Paulovich, D. Eler, J. Poco, C. Botha, R. Minghim, and L. G. Nonato. "Piecewise Laplacian-based Projection for Interactive Data Exploration and Organization." *Computer Graphics Forum* 30:3 (2011), 1091–1100.
- [Peeters et al. 09] T. Peeters, A. Vilanova, and B. ter Haar Romenij. "Interactive Fiber Structure Visualization of the Heart." Computer Graphics Forum 28:8 (2009), 2140–2150.
- [Pekalska et al. 99] E. Pekalska, D. de Ridder, R. Duin, and M. Kraaijveld. "A New Method of Generalizing Sammon Mapping with Application to Algorithm Speedup." In Proceedings of the 5th Annual Conference of the Advanced School for Computing and Imaging (ASCI), pp. 221–228. Delft, NL: ASCI Press, 1999.
- [Perona and Malik 90] P. Perona and J. Malik. "Scale-Space and Edge Detection using Anisotropic Diffusion." *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 12:7 (1990), 629–639.
- [Pfleeger et al. 05] S. L. Pfleeger, C. Verhoef, and J. C. van Vliet. "Analyzing the Evolution of Large-Scale Software." *Journal of Software Maintenance* 17:1 (2005), 1–2.
- [Pierpaoli and Basser 96] C. Pierpaoli and P. J. Basser. "Toward a Quantitative Assessment of Diffusion Anisotropy." *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 36:6 (1996), 893–906.
- [Platt 05] J. C. Platt. "FastMap, MetricMap, and Landmark MDS are all Nyström Algorithms." In Proc. 10th Intl. Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, pp. 261–268. Society for Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, 2005.
- [Plaugher et al. 00] P. J. Plaugher, A. Stepanov, M. Lee, and D. R. Musser. *The C++ Standard Template Library.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall PTR, 2000.
- [Pobitzer et al. 11] A. Pobitzer, R. Peikert, R. Fuchs, B. Schindler, A. Kuhn, H. Theisel, K. Matkovic, and H. Hauser. "The State of the Art in Topology-Based Visualization of Unsteady Flow." *Computer Graphics Forum* 30:6 (2011), 1789–1811.

- [Post et al. 02] F. H. Post, B. Vrolijk, H. Hauser, R. S. Laramee, and H. Doleisch. "Feature Extraction and Visualization of Flow Fields." In *EUROGRAPHICS* 2002 State of the Art Reports, pp. 69–100. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 2002.
- [Post et al. 03a] F. H. Post, G.-P. Bonneau, and G. M. Nielson. Data Visualization: The State of the Art. New York: Springer, 2003.
- [Post et al. 03b] F. H. Post, B. Vrolijk, H. Hauser, R. S. Laramee, and H. Doleisch. "The State of the Art in Flow Visualization: Feature Extraction and Tracking." *Computer Graphics Forum* 22:4 (2003), 775–792.
- [Preim and Bartz 07] B. Preim and D. Bartz. Visualization in Medicine: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2007.
- [Press et al. 02] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery. *Numerical Recipes in C++*, Second edition. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [Preusser and Rumpf 99] T. Preusser and M. Rumpf. "Anisotropic Nonlinear Diffusion in Flow Visualization." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 323–332. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.
- [Prewitt and Mendelsohn 66] J. M. S. Prewitt and M. L. Mendelsohn. "The Analysis of Cell Images." Annals of the New York Academy of Science 128:3 (1966), 1035– 1053.
- [Rao and Card 94] R. Rao and S. K. Card. "The Table Lens: Merging Graphical and Symbolic Representations in an Interactive Focus+Context Visualization for Tabular Information." In Proc. ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI), pp. 318–322. New York: ACM Press, 1994.
- [Rauber et al. 13] P. E. Rauber, A. X. Falcão, T. V. Spina, and P. J. de Rezende. "Interactive Segmentation by Image Foresting Transform on Superpixel Graphs." In Proc. SIBGRAPI, pp. 133–140. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2013.
- [Reddy 93] J. N. Reddy. Introduction to the Finite Element Method, Second edition. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1993.
- [Reinders et al. 99] F. Reinders, F. H. Post, and H. J. W. Spoelder. "Attribute-Based Feature Tracking." In Proc. Data Visualization (VisSym'99), pp. 63–72. New York: Springer, 1999.
- [Reinders et al. 00] F. Reinders, M. Jacobson, and F. Post. "Skeleton Graph Generation for Feature Shape Description." In Proc. Data Visualization (VisSym'00), pp. 73–82. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 2000.
- [Reiss 05] S. P. Reiss. "The Paradox of Software Visualizaton." In Proc. 3rd Intl. Workshop on Visualizing Software for Understanding and Analysis (VISSOFT'05), pp. 59–63. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2005.
- [Reniers et al. 08] D. Reniers, J. J. van Wijk, and A. Telea. "Computing Multiscale Skeletons of Genus 0 Objects Using a Global Importance Measure." *IEEE TVCG* 14:2 (2008), 355–368.

- [Reniers et al. 14] D. Reniers, L. Voinea, O. Ersoy, and A. Telea. "The Solid* Toolset for Software Visual Analytics of Program Structure and Metrics Comprehension: From Research Prototype to Product." *Science of Computer Programming* 79:1 (2014), 224–240.
- [Rezk-Salama et al. 99] C. Rezk-Salama, P. Hastreiter, T. Christian, and T. Ertl. "Interactive Exploration of Volume Line Integral Convolution Based on 3D-Texture Mapping." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, edited by David Ebert, Markus Gross, and Bernd Hamann, pp. 233–240. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.
- [Ribarsky et al. 94] W. Ribarsky, B. Brown, T. Myerson, R. Feldmann, S. Smith, and L. Treinish. "Object-Oriented, Dataflow Visualization Systems—A Paradigm Shift?" In Scientific Visualization: Advances and Challenges, pp. 251–263. New York: Academic Press, 1994.
- [Robertson et al. 91] G. Robertson, J. D. Mackinlay, and S. K. Card. "Cone Trees: Animated 3D Visualizations of Hierarchical Information." In Proc. ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI), pp. 189–194. New York: ACM Press, 1991.
- [Roettger 06] S. Roettger. "The Volume Library." Available online (http://www9. informatik.uni-erlangen.de/External/vollib/), 2006.
- [Roettger 08] S. Roettger. "V³: The Versatile Volume Viewer." Available online (http://www.stereofx.org/volume.html), 2008.
- [Rossignac and Borrel 93] J. Rossignac and P. Borrel. "Multi-Resolution 3D Approximations for Rendering Complex Scenes." In *Geometric Modeling in Computer Graphics*, pp. 455–465. New York: Springer, 1993.
- [Roweis and Saul 00] S. T. Roweis and L. K. Saul. "Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction by Locally Linear Embedding." Science 290:5500 (2000), 2323–2326.
- [Sakamoto and Takagi 88] M. Sakamoto and M. Takagi. "Patterns of Weighted Voronoi Tessellations." Science on Form 3:2 (1988), 103–111.
- [Samet 90] H. Samet. The Design and Analysis of Spatial Data Structures. Reading, MA: Addision-Wesley, 1990.
- [Schalkoff 89] R. Schalkoff. Digital Image Processing and Computer Vision. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1989.
- [Schneider and Seifert 06] O. Schneider and B. Seifert. "WinDirStat—Windows Directory Statistics." Available online (http://windirstat.info/), 2006.
- [Schroeder et al. 92] W. Schroeder, J. Zarge, and W. Lorensen. "Decimation of Triangle Meshes." Proc. SIGGRAPH '92, Computer Graphics 26:2 (1992), 65–70.
- [Schroeder et al. 06] W. Schroeder, K. Martin, and B. Lorensen. The Visualization Toolkit: An Object-Oriented Approach to 3-D Graphics, Fourth edition. Clifton Park, NY: Kitware, Inc., 2006.
- [Schroeder 97] W. Schroeder. "A Topology-Modifying Progressive Decimation Algorithm." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 205–212. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1997.

- [SCIRun 07] SCIRun. "SCIRun: A Scientific Computing Problem Solving Environment, Scientific Computing and Imaging Institute (SCI)." Available online (http://software.sci.utah.edu/scirun.html), 2007.
- [Sethian 96] J. A. Sethian. Level Set Methods: Evolving Interfaces in Geometry, Fluid Mechanics, Computer Vision, and Materials Science. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [Shepard 68] D. Shepard. "A Two-Dimensional Interpolation Function for Irregularly Spaced Data." In Proc. 23rd ACM International Conference, pp. 517–724. New York: ACM Press, 1968.
- [Shewchuk 02] J. R. Shewchuk. "Delaunay Refinement Algorithms for Triangular Mesh Generation." Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications 22:1–3 (2002), 21–74.
- [Shewchuk 06] J. R. Shewchuk. "Triangle Project Home Page." Available online (http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~quake/triangle.html), 2006.
- [Shi and Malik 00] J. Shi and J. Malik. "Normalized Cuts and Image Segmentation." IEEE TPAMI 22:8 (2000), 888–905.
- [Shirley and Marschner 09] P. Shirley and S. Marschner. Fundamentals of Computer Graphics, Third edition. Natick, MA: A K Peters, Ltd., 2009.
- [Shirley and Morley 03] P. Shirley and R. K. Morley. *Realistic Ray Tracing*, Second edition. Natick, MA: A K Peters, Ltd., 2003.
- [Shneiderman 92] B. Shneiderman. "Tree Visualization with Treemaps: 2-D Space-Filling Approach." ACM Transactions on Graphics 11:1 (1992), 92–99.
- [Shneiderman 96] B. Shneiderman. "The Eyes Have It: A Task by Data Type Taxonomy for Information Visualizations." In *Proceedings of IEEE Symposium on Visual Languages*, pp. 336–343. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1996.
- [Shneiderman 06] B. Shneiderman. "Treemaps for Space-Constrained Vsualization of Hierarchies." Available online (http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/treemap-history/ index.shtml), 2006.
- [Shreiner et al. 03] D. Shreiner, M. Woo, J. Neider, and T. Davis. The OpenGL Programming Guide: The Official Guide to Learning OpenGL. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Professional, 2003.
- [Shreiner 04] D. Shreiner. OpenGL Reference Manual. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Professional, 2004.
- [Siddiqi et al. 02] K. Siddiqi, S. Bouix, A. Tannenbaum, and S. Zucker. "Hamilton-Jacobi Skeletons." *IJCV* 48:3 (2002), 215–231.
- [Sillion 94] F. Sillion. Radiosity and Global Illumination. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Inc., 1994.
- [Silva et al. 12] C. Silva, F. Paulovich, and L. G. Nonato. "User-Centered Multidimensional Projection Techniques." Comput. Sci. Eng. 14:4 (2012), 74–81.
- [Slicer 13] Slicer. "3D Slicer." Available online (http://www.slicer.org/), 2013.

- [SmartMoney 13] SmartMoney. "Map of the Market." Available online (http://www. smartmoney.com/marketmap/), 2013.
- [Sobel and Feldman 73] I. Sobel and G. Feldman. "A 3 × 3 Isotropic Gradient Operator for Image Processing." In *Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis*, edited by R. Duda and P. Hart, pp. 271–273. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1973.
- [Sobiecki et al. 13] A. Sobiecki, H. Yasan, A. Jalba, and A. Telea. "Qualitative Comparison of Contraction-based Curve Skeletonization Methods." In Proc. ISMM, pp. 425–439. Berlin: Springer, 2013.
- [Spence 07] R. Spence. Information Visualization: Design for Interaction, Second edition. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2007.
- [Spencer et al. 09] B. Spencer, R. S. Laramee, G. Chen, and E. Zhang. "Evenly-Spaced Streamlines for Surfaces: An Image-Based Approach." *Computer Graphics Forum* 28:6 (2009), 1618–1631.
- [Sprenger et al. 00] T. Sprenger, R. Brunella, and M. Gross. "H-BLOB: A Hierarchical Visual Clustering Method Using Implicit Surfaces." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 162–170. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2000.
- [SQL 14] SQL. "SQL Database Reference Material." Available online (http://www. sql.org/), 2014.
- [Stam 98] J. Stam. "Exact Evaluation of Catmull-Clark Subdivision Surfaces at Arbitrary Parameter Values." In Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH, pp. 395–404. New York: ACM Press, 1998.
- [Standish 84] T. A. Standish. "An Essay on Software Reuse." IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering 10:5 (1984), 494–497.
- [Stasko et al. 98] J. T. Stasko, J. Domingue, M. H. Brown, and B. A. Price. Software Visualization: Programming as a Multimedia Experience. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1998.
- [Stasko et al. 00] J. Stasko, R. Catrambone, M. Guzdial, and K. McDonald. "An Evaluation of Space-Filling Information Visualizations for Depicting Hierarchical Structures." *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 53:5 (2000), 663–694.
- [Stone 03] Maureen Stone. A Field Guide to Digital Color. Natick, MA: A K Peters, Ltd., 2003.
- [Stroustrup 04] B. Stroustrup. The C++ Programming Language, Third edition. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 2004.
- [Strzodka and Telea 04] R. Strzodka and A. Telea. "Generalized Distance Transforms and Skeletons in Graphics Hardware." In *Proc. EG/IEEE VisSym*, pp. 221–230. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2004.
- [Sugiyama et al. 81] K. Sugiyama, S. Tagawa, and M. Toda. "Methods for Visual Understanding of Hierarchical Systems." *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* 11:2 (1981), 109–125.

- [Tagliasacchi et al. 09] A. Tagliasacchi, H. Zhang, and D. Cohen-Or. "Curve Skeleton Extraction from Incomplete Point Cloud." In ACM SIGGRAPH 2009 Papers, pp. 541–550. New York: ACM Press, 2009.
- [Telea and Ersoy 10] A. Telea and O. Ersoy. "Image-Based Edge Bundles: Simplified Visualization of Large Graphs." Computer Graphics Forum 29:3 (2010), 543–551.
- [Telea and Jalba 12] A. Telea and A. Jalba. "Computing Curve Skeletons from Medial Surfaces of 3D Shapes." In *Proceedings of Theory and Practice of Computer Graphics (TPCG)*, pp. 56–74. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics, 2012.
- [Telea and van Wijk 99] A. Telea and J. J. van Wijk. "VISSION: An Object-Oriented Dataflow System for Simulation and Visualization." In *Proc. VisSym*, pp. 309–318. New York: Springer, 1999.
- [Telea and van Wijk 02] A. Telea and J. J. van Wijk. "An Augmented Fast Marching Method for Computing Skeletons and Centerlines." In Proc. Data Visualization (VisSym'99), pp. 251–258. New York: Springer, 2002.
- [Telea and van Wijk 03] A. Telea and J. J. van Wijk. "3D IBFV: Hardware-Accelerated 3D Flow Visualization." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 31–38. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2003.
- [Telea and Vilanova 03] A. Telea and A. Vilanova. "A Robust Level-Set Algorithm for Centerline Extraction." In Proc. Data Visualization (VisSym'03), pp. 185–194. Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association, 2003.
- [Telea 04] A. Telea. "An Image Inpainting Technique Based on the Fast Marching Method." Journal of Graphics Tools 9:1 (2004), 23–34.
- [Telea 06] A. Telea. "Combining Extended Table Lens and Treemap Techniques for Visualizing Tabular Data." In Proc. EuroVis, pp. 51–58. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2006.
- [Tenenbaum et al. 00] J. B. Tenenbaum, V. de Silva, and J. C. Langford. "A Global Geometric Framework for Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction." *Science* 290:5500 (2000), 2319–2323.
- [Thiel and Montanevert 92] E. Thiel and A. Montanevert. "Chamfer Masks: Discrete Distance Functions, Geometrical Properties and Optimization." In Proc. ICPR, pp. 244–247. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1992.
- [Thomas and Cook 05] J. A. Thomas and K. A. Cook, editors. Illuminating the Path: Research and Development Agenda for Visual Analytics. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2005.
- [Tobias and Seara 02] O. J. Tobias and R. Seara. "Image Segmentation by Histogram Thresholding Using Fuzzy Sets." *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 11:12 (2002), 1457–1465.
- [Torgeson 65] W. S. Torgeson. "Multidimensional Scaling of Similarity." Psychometrika 30 (1965), 379–393.
- [TortoiseSVN 07] TortoiseSVN. "TortoiseSVN." Available online (http://tortoisesvn. tigris.org/), 2007.

- [Tory and Möller 04] M. Tory and T. Möller. "Rethinking Visualization: A High-Level Taxonomy." In Proc. IEEE InfoVis, pp. 151–158. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2004.
- [Trottenberg et al. 01] U. Trottenberg, C. Oosterlee, and A. Schüller. Multigrid: Basics, Parallelism and Adaptivity. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2001.
- [Trümper et al. 13] J. Trümper, A. Telea, and J. Döllner. "Multiscale Visual Comparison of Execution Traces." In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Program Comprehension (ICPC)*, pp. 53–62. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2013.
- [Tufte 90] E. R. Tufte. Envisioning Information. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press, 1990.
- [Tufte 97] E. R. Tufte. Visual Explanations. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press, 1997.
- [Tufte 01] E. R. Tufte. The Visual Display of Quantitative Information, Second edition. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press, 2001.
- [Turk and Banks 96] G. Turk and D. Banks. "Image-Guided Streamline Placement." In Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 96, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, pp. 453–460. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley, 1996.
- [Tutte 01] W. T. Tutte. Graph Theory. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [van der Zwan et al. 13] M. van der Zwan, Y. Meiburg, and A. Telea. "A Dense Medial Descriptor for Image Analysis." In *Proc. VISAPP*, pp. 133–140. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2013.
- [van Liere and de Leeuw 03] R. van Liere and W. de Leeuw. "Graphsplatting: Visualizing Graphs as Continuous Fields." *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 9:2 (2003), 206–212.
- [van Liere and van Wijk 96] R. van Liere and J. J. van Wijk. "CSE: A Modular Architecture for Computational Steering." In Proc. EG Workshop on Scientific Visualization, pp. 257–266. Berlin: Springer, 1996.
- [van Liere et al. 12] R. van Liere, J. Mulder, K. Sharoudi, and J. van Wijk. "Computational Steering Environment." Available online (http://www.cwi.nl/projects/ cse/cse.html), 2012.
- [van Walsum et al. 96] T. van Walsum, F. H. Post, D. Silver, and F. J. Post. "Feature Extraction and Iconic Visualization." *IEEE TVCG* 2:2 (1996), 111–119.
- [van Wijk and Telea 01] J. J. van Wijk and A. Telea. "Enridged Contour Maps." In Proceedings of the Conference on Visualization '01, pp. 69–74. Washington, DC: IEEE Computer Society, 2001.
- [van Wijk and van de Wetering 99] J. J. van Wijk and H. van de Wetering. "Cushion Treemaps: Visualization of Hierarchical Information." In Proc. IEEE InfoVis, pp. 73–78. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1999.
- [van Wijk 91] J. J. van Wijk. "Spot Noise Texture Synthesis for Data Visualization." In Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH, pp. 309–318. New York: ACM Press, 1991.
- [van Wijk 93] J. J. van Wijk. "Implicit Stream Surfaces." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 245–252. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1993.

- [van Wijk 02a] J. J. van Wijk. "Image Based Flow Visualization." Proc. SIGGRAPH '02, Transactions on Graphics 21:3 (2002), 745–754.
- [van Wijk 02b] J. J. van Wijk. "Image Based Flow Visualization Supplemental Material." Proc. SIGGRAPH 21:3 (2002), http://www.win.tue.nl/~vanwijk/ibfv.
- [van Wijk 03] J. J. van Wijk. "Image Based Flow Visualization for Curved Surfaces." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 17–24. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2003.
- [van Wijk 05] J. J. van Wijk. "The Value of Visualization." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 79–86. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2005.
- [van Wijk 06] J. J. van Wijk. "The SequoiaView File System Visualization Tool." Available online (http://www.win.tue.nl/sequoiaview/), 2006.
- [Verma et al. 00] V. Verma, D. Kao, and A. Pang. "A Flow-Guided Streamline Seeding Strategy." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 163–170. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2000.
- [Viegas et al. 07] F. Viegas, M. Wattenberg, F. van Ham, and J. Kriss. "ManyEyes: A Site for Visualization at Internet Scale." *IEEE TVCG* 13:6 (2007), 1121–1128.
- [ViewVC 07] ViewVC. "The ViewVC CVS Repository Browser." Available online (http://www.viewvc.org/), 2007.
- [Vilanova et al. 99] A. Vilanova, A. König, and E. Gröller. "VirEn: A Virtual Endoscopy System." Machine Graphics and Vision 8:3 (1999), 469–487.
- [Vliegen et al. 06] R. Vliegen, J. J. van Wijk, and E. J. van der Linden. "Visualizing Business Data with Generalized Treemaps." *IEEE TVCG* 12:5 (2006), 789–796.
- [Voinea 12] L. Voinea. "The CVSgrab Software Evolution Visualization System." Available online (http://www.cs.rug.nl/svcg/SoftVis/VCN), 2012.
- [Walton 04] J. P. Walton. "NAG's IRIS Explorer." In Visualization Handbook, edited by C. R. Johnson and C. D. Hansen. New York: Academic Press, 2004.
- [Wan et al. 01] M. Wan, F. Dachille, and A. Kaufman. "Distance-Field Based Skeletons for Virtual Navigation." In *Proc. IEEE Visualization*, pp. 239–246. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2001.
- [Wang et al. 99] J. T.-L. Wang, X. Wang, K.-I. Lin, D. Shasha, B. A. Shapiro, and K. Zhang. "Evaluating a Class of Distance-Mapping Algorithms for Data Mining and Clustering." In *Proc. ACM KDD*, pp. 307–311. New York: ACM Press, 1999.
- [Wang et al. 13] R. Wang, V. J. Wedeen, and A. A. Martinos. "The Diffusion Toolkit and TrackVis Software Toolset." Available online (http://trackvis.org), 2013.
- [Ward et al. 10] M. O. Ward, G. Grinstein, and D. Keim. Interactive Data Visualization: Foundations, Techniques, and Applications. Natick, MA: A K Peters, 2010.
- [Ward 94] M. O. Ward. "XmdvTool: Integrating Multiple Methods for Visualizing Multivariate Data." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 17–21. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1994.
- [Ware 04] C. Ware. Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Second edition. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2004.

- [Wattenberg 99] M. Wattenberg. "Visualizing the Stock Market." In Proc. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)—Extended abstracts, pp. 188– 189. New York: ACM Press, 1999.
- [Wegman 90] E. J. Wegman. "Hyperdimensional Data Analysis using Parallel Coordinates." Journal of the American Statistical Association 411:85 (1990), 664–675.
- [Weickert 98] J. Weickert. Anisotropic Diffusion in Image Processing. Stuttgart: Teubner-Verlag, 1998.
- [Wendland 06] H. Wendland. Scattered Data Approximation. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Westin et al. 97] C. F. Westin, S. Peled, H. Gubjartsson, R. Kikinis, and F. A. Jolesz. "Geometrical Diffusion Measures for MRI from Tensor Basis Analysis." In Proc. 5th Annual Meeting of the ISMRM, p. 1742. Berkeley, CA: International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 1997.
- [Williams and Munzner 04] M. Williams and T. Munzner. "Steerable, Progressive Multidimensional Scaling." In Proc. IEEE InfoVis, pp. 57–64. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2004.
- [Williams et al. 95] J. G. Williams, K. M. Sochats, and E. Morse. "Visualization." Annual Review of Information Science and Technology (ARIST) 30 (1995), 161– 207.
- [Wolfram Research, Inc. 14] Wolfram Research, Inc. "Wolfram Mathematica." Available online (http://www.wolfram.com/products/mathematica/index.html), 2014.
- [Wong and Thomas 04] P. C. Wong and J. Thomas. "Visual Analytics." IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 24:5 (2004), 20–21.
- [Xu and Prince 97] C. Xu and J. Prince. "Gradient Vector Flow: A New External Force for Snakes." In *Proc. IEEE CVPR*, pp. 66–71. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 1997.
- [Ye et al. 05] X. Ye, D. Kao, and A. Pang. "Strategies for Seeding 3D Streamlines." In Proc. IEEE Visualization, pp. 471–478. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2005.
- [Zhou and Toga 99] Y. Zhou and A. W. Toga. "Efficient Skeletonization of Volumetric Objects." Transactions of Visualization and Computer Graphics 5:3 (1999), 210– 225.
- [Zhou et al. 98] Y. Zhou, A. Kaufman, and A. W. Toga. "Three-Dimensional Skeleton and Centerline Generation Based on an Approximate Minimum Distance Field." *The Visual Computer* 14:7 (1998), 303–314.
- [Zhukov and Barr 02] L. Zhukov and A. H. Barr. "Oriented Tensor Reconstruction: Tracing Neural Pathways from Diffusion Tensor MRI." In *Proceedins of IEEE Visualization 2002*, pp. 387–394. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Press, 2002.

DATA VISUALIZATION PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE

SECOND EDITION

ALEXANDRU C. TELEA

Praise for the First Edition:

"... perfect for college-level computer libraries and classroom assignment alike, offers a basic introduction to the field and its various visualization techniques. ... An excellent reference for any advanced, college-level holding strong in computer graphics or data visualization techniques."

—Midwest Book Review

Designing a complete visualization system involves many subtle decisions. When designing a complex, real-world visualization system, such decisions involve many types of constraints, such as performance, platform (in)dependence, available programming languages and styles, user-interface toolkits, input/output data format constraints, integration with third-party code, and more.

Focusing on those techniques and methods with the broadest applicability across fields, the second edition of **Data Visualization**: **Principles and Practice** provides a streamlined introduction to various visualization techniques. The book illustrates a wide variety of applications of data visualizations, illustrating the range of problems that can be tackled by such methods, and emphasizes the strong connections between visualization and related disciplines such as imaging and computer graphics. It covers a wide range of sub-topics in data visualization: data representation; visualization of scalar, vector, tensor, and volumetric data; image processing and domain modeling techniques; and information visualization.

See What's New in the Second Edition:

- Additional visualization algorithms and techniques
- New examples of combined techniques for diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) visualization, illustrative fiber track rendering, and fiber bundling techniques
- Additional techniques for point-cloud reconstruction
- Additional advanced image segmentation algorithms
- Several important software systems and libraries

Algorithmic and software design issues are illustrated throughout by (pseudo)code fragments written in the C++ programming language. Exercises covering the topics discussed in the book, as well as datasets and source code, are also provided as additional online resources.



6000 Broken Sound Parkway, NW Suite 300, Boca Raton, FL 33487 711 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017 2 Park Square, Milton Park Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN, UK

www.crcpress.com Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN, UK

Computer Science and Engineering



K19084

www.crcpress.com